

# **CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM**

Date: March 25, 2024

To: Mark F. Miller, City Manager

From: Robert J. Bruner, Deputy City Manager

Megan E. Schubert, Assistant City Manager Robert C. Maleszyk, Chief Financial Officer

Dee Ann Irby, Controller

Kurt Bovensiep, Public Works Director

G. Scott Finlay, City Engineer/Traffic Engineer

Subject: Authorization for Grant Submittal – Local Bridge Program

# **History**

In 2019, the federal government used the general fund to supplement highway infrastructure funding. Michigan received \$93.5 million of the \$2.5 billion, from the Highway Infrastructure Program. The Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) has established a Local Bridge Bundling Program that utilizes the local share of the Fiscal Year Highway Infrastructure Program (HIP) to improve critical bridges in Michigan.

This is an opportunity to fund some bridges that might not otherwise find funding. Over the last 10 years, local agencies had been able to maintain the percent of bridges in good or fair condition, but recently conditions have started to decline, and the local agencies have not been able to make a significant reduction in the bridges in serious or critical condition. At the current condition level, Michigan lags behind its neighboring Great Lakes States, and the national average, in the percentage of good or fair bridges. Without increased funding, statistical forecasts predict bridge conditions will continue to decline.

The City of Troy engages OHM Advisors, a current Engineering Consultant, to perform all of the City's annual bridge inspections. The Beach Road mill & overlay project in 2023 revealed significant top deck delamination that was repaired with the project, the repairs are a temporary measure until funding can be secured to replace the beams and top deck

Attached is the application prepared by OHM Advisors and reviewed by City Staff for the pursuit of Local Bridge Funding.

# <u>Financial</u>


The Local Bridge Program requires a 5% match from the submitting agency. Currently there is no requirement to obligate the necessary funds. City Staff will include funding during the appropriate budget year. Based on the bridge cost estimate worksheet \$914,000 is the total cost, the City's share would be \$45,700.



# **CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM**

# **Recommendation**

City Staff recommends the authorization to submit a grant application through the Michigan Department of Transportation Local Bridge Program to pursue funding for the replacement of the beams and top deck at an estimated cost of \$914,000 of which the City of Troy will be responsible for 5% or \$45,700.



City of Troy
Section 18





Date: 7/13/2022

STR 13611	STR 13611 BRIDGE SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT							
Facility	Latitude / Longitude	MDOT Structure ID	Structure Condition					
BEACH ROAD	42.581 / -83.1976	634679200079B01	Fair Condition(5)					
Feature	Length / Width / Spans	Owner						
ROUGE RIVER	24 / 39.9 / 1	City: TROY(6792)						
Location	Built / Recon. / Paint / Ovly.	TSC	Operational Status					
0.3 MI N OF WATTLES	1981 / / / 2012	Oakland(23)	A Open, no restriction(A)					
Region / County	Material / Design	Last NBI Inspection	Scour Evaluation					
Metro(7) / Oakland(63)	5 Prestressed Concrete / 05 Box Bm/Gird- Multiple	04/20/2023 / O85G	5 Stable w/in footing					

NBI INSPECTION			O85G
Inspector Name	Agency / Company Name	Insp. Freq.	Insp. Date
Adam Rychwalski	Orchard, Hiltz & McCliment Inc	24	04/20/2023

#### **GENERAL NOTES**

2023 inspection assisted by Nick Aukerman.

Concrete Box Beam w/ Timber Railings retrofitted with Concrete Barrier on the east side with Sidewalk and guardrail along the west side. Road construction happening at time of 2023 inspection. HMA milled through bridge, approaches, and beyond.

Repairs made to top of beams during road construction project in spring 2023 while road was closed. Approximately 75% of the top flanges were repaired, repairs included chipping deteriorated concrete and re-casting the top flanges of the adjacent box beams. Roadway was repaired after beam repairs. Recommend applying for superstructure replacement at next call for projects.

DECK				
	04/19	04/21	04/23	
1. Surface (SIA-58A)	6	6	6	Transverse crack at both the north and south abutments. Cracks partially sealed. Longitudinal crack at centerline at cold joint partially sealed with 2'x1' pothole at midspan filled with cold patch. Spider web cracking in northwest quadrant mostly unsealed. Some random cracking at isolated locations. HMA surface milled at time of 2023 inspection. Potholes appear to be from deck deterioration. See deck comments for more detail. (EDIT 8-31-23 - see general comments on repairs made after inspection, surface repaved after repairs) (04/23)  Transverse crack at both the north and south abutments. Cracks partially sealed. Longitudinal crack at centerline at cold joint partially sealed with 2'x1' pothole at midspan filled with cold patch. Spider web cracking in northwest quadrant mostly unsealed. Some random cracking at isolated locations. (04/21)  Transverse crack at both the north and south abutments. Cracks sealed. Longitudinal crack at centerline at cold joint partially sealed with 2'x1' pothole at midspan. Spider web cracking in northwest quadrant mostly unsealed (04/19)
2. Expansion Joints	N	N	N	(04/23) (04/21) (04/19)
3. Other Joints	N	N	N	(04/23) (04/21) (04/19)
4. Railings	7	7	6	Jersey barrier on east side with vertical cracks. Guardrail on west side with no issues. West concrete barrier posts cracking with exposed rebar on north end. (04/23) Jersey barrier on east side with vertical cracks. Guardrail on west side with no issues. (04/21) Jersey barrier on east side with vertical cracks. Guardrail on west side with no issues. (04/19)
5. Sidewalks or Curbs	7	7		Minor cracking. Some settling at ends in approaches. (04/23) Minor cracking. Some settling at ends in approaches creating potential trip hazard. (04/21) Minor cracking. Some settling at ends in approaches creating potential trip hazard. (04/19)
6. Deck Bottom Surface	N	N		Side-by-side box beams. See deck and stringer comments. (04/23) Side-by-side box beams. See deck and superstructure comments. (04/21) Side-by-side box beams. See deck and superstructure comments. (04/19)

(SIA-58B)

STR 13611				BRIDGE SAFETY INS	PECTION REPORT	
Facility BEACH ROAD Feature ROUGE RIVER			42.58 <b>Leng</b>	ude / Longitude 1 / -83.1976 th / Width / Spans 39.9 / 1	MDOT Structure ID 634679200079B01 Owner City: TROY(6792)	Structure Condition Fair Condition(5)
Location 0.3 MI N OF WATTL Region / County Metro(7) / Oakland			Built 1981 Mater 5 Pre	/ Recon. / Paint / Ovly.	TSC Oakland(23) Last NBI Inspection 04/20/2023 / O85G	Operational Status A Open, no restriction(A) Scour Evaluation 5 Stable w/in footing
7. Deck (SIA-58)	6	6	5	see surface and stringer co and at north reference line general comments on repa rating remains at fair due t Surface in fair condition an see surface and superstructure	omments. Large spalls in t . Rebar exposed in tops of airs made after inspection, o beam condition at the tin d stringers in fair conditior cture comments. (04/21) id stringers in good conditi	n. No deck on side-by-side box beams op of beams at midspan of west curbline if beams 3 & 4 west. (EDIT 8-31-23 - see surface repaved after repairs. Deck ne of inspection and construction) (04/23 n. No deck on side-by-side box beams on. No deck on side-by-side box beams
8. Drainage				Two catch basins along so Positive drainage present. Two catch basins along so	outh approach. (04/23) Road crowns on bridge ar buth approach. (04/21) Road crowns on bridge ar	nd slopes away on north and south side.  nd slopes away on north and south side.  nd slopes away on north and south side.
SUPERSTRUCTU	JRE					
	04/19	04/21	04/23			
9. Stringer (SIA-59)	6	6	5	between boxes. East fasc growing from it. Beam 7W middle half delaminated 1' areas. Leaking with stalact comments. (EDIT 8-31-23 change to bottom flange of 13 adjacent box beams. Estimate to between boxes. Fascia pogrowing from it. Beam 7W delamination at north end. stalactites at most joints (Devidence of previous drain post tensioning duct grout has delamination 5' long by	ia post tensioning duct gro has delamination 3/4 leng wide. Beams 5W & 7W hat tites at most joints. For de - see general comments of condition) (04/23) vidence of previous draina lost tensioning duct grout pot has delamination 5' long beam 5W middle third del 14/21) lage from box weep holes pocket is spalled and has y 1' wide at mid span of joi	ge from box weep holes and from but pocket is spalled and has vegetation th along the north end 1' wide. Beam 5W ave 2 broken strands at delamination tails on top of beams see deck on repairs made after inspection. No ge from box weep holes and from bocket is spalled and has vegetation by 1' wide at mid span of joint 6W and aminated 1' wide. Leaking with and from between boxes. North fascia vegetation growing from it. Beam 7W and delamination at north end. with stalactites at most joints (04/19)
10. Paint (SIA-59A)	N	N	N	(04/23) (04/21) (04/19)		
11. Section Loss	N	N	N	(04/23) (04/21) (04/19)		
12. Bearings	7	7	7	Functioning as intended. S	Some debris and leaching (	(at curb lines) and staining. (04/23) (at curb lines) and staining. (04/21) (at curb lines) and staining. (04/19)
SUBSTRUCTURE	<b>.</b>					
	04/19	04/21	04/23			
13. Abutments (SIA-60)	7	7	7	at all abutment/wingwall in abutment and wingwall on beam 7W bearing area. (0 Some leaching stains from at all abutment/wingwall in abutment and wingwall on Some leaching stains from few minor hairline cracks.	terfaces and no joint seale SW quadrant trimmed bu 4/23) I leakage from backwall. A terfaces and no joint seale SW quadrant trimmed bu leakage from backwall. A Separate ~2" at all abutme	A few minor hairline cracks. Separate ~2 or in place. Tree growing between to not removed. Cracking south abutment of few minor hairline cracks. Separate ~2 or in place. Tree growing between to not removed. (04/21)  Area was dry at the time of inspections. A cent/wingwall interfaces and no joint of wingwall on SW quadrant trimmed but

			ľ	WICHIGAN DEPARTMENT	OF TRANSPORTATION			
STR 13611				BRIDGE SAFETY INS	PECTION REPORT			
Facility BEACH ROAD Feature ROUGE RIVER Location 0.3 MI N OF WATTLI Region / County Metro(7) / Oakland(			42.58 Leng 24 / Built 1981 Mate 5 Pre	ude / Longitude 31 / -83.1976 pth / Width / Spans 39.9 / 1 / Recon. / Paint / Ovly. / / 2012 rial / Design estressed Concrete / 05 Bm/Gird- Multiple	MDOT Structure ID 634679200079B01 Owner City: TROY(6792) TSC Oakland(23) Last NBI Inspection 04/20/2023 / O85G	Structure Condition Fair Condition(5)  Operational Status A Open, no restriction(A) Scour Evaluation 5 Stable w/in footing		
14. Piers (SIA-60)	N	N	N	(04/23) (04/21) (04/19)				
15. Slope Protection	N	N	N	(04/23) (04/21) (04/19)				
16. Channel (SIA-61)	4	4	4	on east side have dammed water flow. Channel turns Channel meanders with so on east side have dammed water flow. Channel turns Channel meanders with so on east side have dammed the control of the channel meanders.	d the direct flow through th sharply south on west side everal grass/mud islands o d the direct flow through th sharply south on west side everal grass/mud islands o d the direct flow through th	n the east. Several fallen trees/branches e channel and creating new paths for the of bridge. Poor alignment. (04/23) n the east. Several fallen trees/branches e channel and creating new paths for the of bridge. Poor alignment. (04/21) n the east. Several fallen trees/branches e channel and creating new paths for the of bridge. Poor alignment. (04/19)		
17. Scour Inspection	5	5	5	Flow is deeper along south abutment down to riparap. Material buildup along north abutment. No scour noted noted but uneven channel. Steel sheet piling along north banks both east and west sides. Silted in riprap along south abutment (04/23) Flow is deeper along south abutment down to riparap. Material buildup along north abutment. No scour noted noted but uneven channel. Steel sheet piling along north banks both east and west sides. Silted in riprap along south abutment (04/21) Flow is deeper along south abutment down to riparap. Material buildup along north abutment. No scour noted noted but uneven channel. Steel sheet piling along north banks both east and west sides. Silted in riprap along south abutment (04/19)				
APPROACH								
	04/19	04/21	04/23	<b>;</b>				
18. Approach Pavement	7	7	7	HMA approaches milled at HMA Approaches with hair HMA Approaches with hair	rline cracks. Most cracks a	re sealed. (04/21)		
19. Approach Shoulders Sidewalks	7	7	7	hazard. Sidewalk has a fe Sidewalk approach slabs h hazard. Sidewalk has a fe	ew cracks. HMA shoulders nave heaved on one side a ew cracks. HMA shoulders nave heaved on one side a	and settled on the other, creating a trip		
20. Approach Slopes				South bank is stable. (04/2 Steel sheet piling on north South bank is stable. (04/2	23) bank, but has heavy pack 21) bank, but has heavy pack	rust and some holes in the sheets. rust and some holes in the sheets. rust and some holes in the sheets.		
21. Utilities				west side and cross (east/road. (04/23) Two storm catch basins or west side and cross (east/road. (04/21) Two storm catch basins or	west) just south of bridge.  n south side approach. Util west) just south of bridge.  n south side approach. Util	ity poles/lines running north/south along Water main running along east side of ity poles/lines running north/south along Water main running along east side of ity poles/lines running north/south along Water main running along east side of		

	1111011110	AN DEI AIRTMEN	TOT TRANSFORTATION			
STR 13611	BRI	DGE SAFETY IN	SPECTION REPORT			
Facility BEACH ROAD Feature	Latitude / 42.581 / -8	_	MDOT Structure ID 634679200079B01	Structure Condition Fair Condition(5)		
ROUGE RIVER	24 / 39.9 /	-	Owner City: TROY(6792)			
Location		on. / Paint / Ovly.	TSC	Operational Status		
0.3 MI N OF WATTLES	_	/ / 2012	Oakland(23)	A Open, no restriction(A)		
Region / County	Material /	Design	Last NBI Inspection	Scour Evaluation		
Metro(7) / Oakland(63)	5 Prestresse Box Bm/Gire	ed Concrete / 05 d- Multiple	04/20/2023 / O85G	5 Stable w/in footing		
22. Drainage Culverts	12" outlet pipe in SW wingwall w/ some sediment build up right at outlet. Invert at waterline. 36" outlet pipe in NW wingwall w/ stones (debris on bottom of pipe). Invert approx. halfway below water line. Welded rebar screen on 36" outlet, steel is severely corroded (thin and broken off in some spots) at water line. (04/23)  12" outlet pipe in SW wingwall w/ some sediment build up right at outlet. Invert at waterline. 36" outlet pipe in NW wingwall w/ stones (debris on bottom of pipe). Invert approx. halfway below water line. Welded rebar screen on 36" outlet, steel is severely corroded (thin and broken off in some spots) at water line. (04/21)  12" outlet pipe in SW wingwall w/ some sediment build up right at outlet. Invert at waterline. 36" outlet pipe in NW wingwall w/ stones (debris on bottom of pipe). Invert approx. halfway below water line. Welded rebar screen on 36" outlet, steel is severely corroded (thin and broken off in some spots) at water line. (04/19)					
MISCELLANEOUS						
Guard Rail			Other Items			
Item	Rating		<u>Item</u>	Rating		
36A. Bridge Railings	1		71. Water Adequacy	7		
36B. Transitions	1		72. Approach Alignment	7		
36C. Approach Guardrail	1		Temporary Support	0 No Temporary Supports		
36D. Approach Guardrail Ends	0		High Load Hit (M)	No		
			Special Insp. Equipment	2		
			Underwater Insp. Method	1		
False Decking (Timber) Removed	to Complete	Inspection	N/A - No False Decking			
Critical Feature Inspections (S	SIA-92)					
	Freq	<u>Date</u>				
92A. Fracture Critical 92B. Underwater						

92C. Other Special 92D. Fatigue Sensitive

STR 13611	STR 13611 STRUCTURE INVENTORY AND APPRAISAL					
Facility	Latitu	de / Longitude	MDOT Structure ID	Structure Condition	wie v	
BEACH ROAD		1 / -83.1976	634679200079B01	Fair Condition(5)		
Feature	Lenat	h / Width / Spans	Owner	( ,	_	
ROUGE RIVER	_	39.9 / 1	City: TROY(6792)			
Location		Recon. / Paint / Ovly.	TSC	Operational Status		
0.3 MI N OF WATTLES	1981		Oakland(23)	A Open, no restriction(	۸۱	
Region / County		ial / Design	Last NBI Inspection	•	7)	
Metro(7) / Oakland(63)			04/20/2023 / O85G			
Metro(7) / Oakland(63)		stressed Concrete / 05 m/Gird- Multiple	04/20/2023 / 0650	5 Stable w/in footing		
Bridge History, Type,	Materials	Route Carried By Struc	cture(ON Record)	Route Under Structure (UN	NDER Record)	
27 - Year Built	1981	5A - Record Type	1	5A - Record Type	-	
106 - Year Reconstructed		5B - Route Signing	5	5B - Route Signing		
202 - Year Painted	2042	5C - Level of Service	1	5C - Level of Service		
203 - Year Overlay	2012 5 05	5D - Route Number	00000	5D - Route Number		
43 - Main Span Bridge Type 44 - Appr Span Bridge Type	5 05	5E - Direction Suffix 10L - Best 3m UncIr-Lt	0	5E - Direction Suffix 10L - Best 3m Unclr-Lt		
77 - Steel Type	0	10R - Best 3m Unclr-Rt	99 99	10R - Best 3m Unclr-Et		
78 - Paint Type	0	PR Number	33   33	PR Number		
79 - Rail Type	1	Control Section		Control Section		
80 - Post Type	1	11 - Mile Point	1.14	11 - Mile Point		
107 - Deck Type	2	12 - Base Highway Network	0	12 - Base Highway Network		
108A - Wearing Surface	6	13 - LRS Route-Subroute	0000006263 01	13 - LRS Route-Subroute		
108B - Membrane	2	19 - Detour Length	1	19 - Detour Length		
108C - Deck Protection	0	20 - Toll Facility	3	20 - Toll Facility		
Structure Dimens	ions	26 - Functional Class	19	26 - Functional Class		
34 - Skew	0	28A - Lanes On 29 - ADT	3500	28B - Lanes Under 29 - ADT		
35 - Struct Flared	N	30 - Year of ADT	1981	30 - Year of ADT		
45 - Num Main Spans	1	32 - Appr Roadway Width	24	42B - Service Type Under	5	
46 - Num Apprs Spans	0	32A/B - Ap Pvt Type/Width	5 24.02	47L - Left Horizontal Clear		
48 - Max Span Length 49 - Structure Length	22	42A - Service Type On	5	47R - Right Horizontal Clear		
50A - Width Left Curb/SW	4.8	47L - Left Horizontal Clear	0	54A - Left Feature		
50B - Width Right Curb/SW	7.8	47R - Right Horizontal Clear		54B - Left Underclearance	99 99	
33 - Median	0	53 - Min Vert Clr Ov Deck	99 99	54C - Right Feature		
51 - Width Curb to Curb	24	100 - STRAHNET 102 - Traffic Direct	2	54D - Right Clearance Under Clearance Year	99 99	
52 - Width Out to Out	39.9	102 - Tranic Direct	0	55A - Reference Feature	N	
112 - NBIS Length	Υ	110 - Truck Network	0	55B - Right Horiz Clearance	14	
Inspection Dat	ta	114 - Future ADT	4025	56 - Left Horiz Clearance		
90 - Inspection Date	04/20/2023	115 - Year Future ADT	2001	100 - STRAHNET		
91 - Inspection Freq	24	Freeway	0	102 - Traffic Direct		
92A - Frac Crit Req/Freq	N	Structure Ap	praisal	109 - Truck %		
93A - Frac Crit Insp Date		36A - Bridge Railing	1	110 - Truck Network		
92B - Und Water Req/Freq	N I	36B - Rail Transition	1	114 - Future ADT 115 - Year Future ADT		
93B - Und Water Insp Date 92C - Oth Spec Insp Req/Freq	N	36C - Approach Rail	1	Freeway		
93C - Oth Spec Insp Date	IN I	36D - Rail Termination	0	•		
92D - Fatigue Req/Freq	N	67 - Structure Evaluation	5	Proposed Improve	ments	
93D - Fatigue Insp Date	,	68 - Deck Geometry	2	75 - Type of Work		
176A - Und Water Insp Method	1	69 - Underclearance	N 7	76 - Length of Improvement		
58 - Deck Rating	5	<ul><li>71 - Waterway Adequacy</li><li>72 - Approach Alignment</li></ul>	7	94 - Bridge Cost 95 - Roadway Cost		
58A/B - Deck Surface/Bottom	6	103 - Temporary Structure	,	96 - Total Cost		
59 - Superstructure Rating	5	113 - Scour Criticality	5	97 - Year of Cost Estimate		
59A - Paint Rating	N 7	Miscellane	90118	Load Rating and P	ostina	
60 - Substructure Rating 61 - Channel Rating	4	37 - Historical Significance	5	31 - Design Load	6	
62 - Culvert Rating	N	98A - Border Bridge State	3	41 - Open, Posted, Closed	A	
Ğ		98B - Border Bridge %		63 - Fed Oper Rtg Method	6	
Navigation Date		101 - Parallel Structure	N	64F - Fed Oper Rtg Load	3.09	
38 - Navigation Control	0	EPA ID		64MA - Mich Oper Rtg Method	6	
<ul><li>39 - Vertical Clearance</li><li>40 - Horizontal Clearance</li></ul>	0	Stay in Place Forms		64MB - Mich Oper Rtg	3.04	
111 - Pier Protection		143 - Pin & Hanger Code		64MC - Mich Oper Truck	18	
116 - Lift Brdg Vert Clear	0	148 - No. of Pin & Hangers		65 - Inv Rtg Method	6	
3 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1				66 - Inventory Load	1.85	
				70 - Posting 141 - Posted Loading	5	
				1-11 1 USICU LUAUING	H	


193 - Overload Class

STR 13611	WORK RECOM		
Facility	Latitude / Longitude	MDOT Structure ID	Structure Condition
BEACH ROAD	42.581 / -83.1976	634679200079B01	Fair Condition(5)
Feature	Length / Width / Spans	Owner	
ROUGE RIVER	24 / 39.9 / 1	City: TROY(6792)	
Location	Built / Recon. / Paint / Ovly.	TSC	Operational Status
0.3 MI N OF WATTLES	1981 / / / 2012	Oakland(23)	A Open, no restriction(A)
Region / County	Material / Design	Last NBI Inspection	Scour Evaluation
Metro(7) / Oakland(63)	5 Prestressed Concrete / 05 Box Bm/Gird- Multiple	04/20/2023 / O85G	5 Stable w/in footing

WORK RECOMMENDATIONS				O85G
Inspector Name	Agency / Company Nam	ne	Insp. Freq.	Insp. Date
Adam Rychwalski	Orchard, Hiltz & McClime	nt Inc	24	04/20/2023
<b>RECOMMENDATIONS &amp; ACTIO</b>	N ITEMS			
Recommendation Type	Priority		Description	
Super Repl.	М	Superstructu	re deteriorating. Replacer recommended	

Printed on 03/06/2024

# 1a. Situation Map





Beach Rd to Long Lake Rd To N Adams Rd To Wattles Rd To Beach Rd

Detour: 3.0 miles (Note: Beach Rd is a NFC major collector and detour utilizes NFC major collector or greater road classifications.)

3. Photographs



Typical Approach



Typical Surface



Typical Elevation



Typical Abutment



Top Flange of Box Beam Deterioration



Top Flange of Box Beam Deterioration



Top Flange of Box Beam Deterioration



Top Flange of Box Beam Deterioration



Typical Leaching Joint Between Box Beams



Beam 5W Cracking



Beam 7W Delamination and Broken Strand

### 4. Application Requirements for Beach Rd over Rouge River

## A. Local Agency Contact Person

Scott Finlay - City Engineer City of Troy 500 W. Big Beaver Rd Troy, MI 48084

B. The purpose of this application is for the rehabilitation of the bridge carrying Beach Road over Rouge River. Funding requested for a superstructure replacement, approach roadway and guardrail, and maintenance of traffic.

## C. Economic Importance of the Structure

This structure is located in a residential area of Troy 0.33 miles north of Wattles Road. Beach Road Park is 0.33 miles north of the bridge.

Although there are no schools in the immediate area of the bridge, Beach Road is utilized by the school district for bussing purposes. If bus traffic is not able to cross the bridge it will put a financial burden on the already tight school budgets because of increased length of bus routes. Emergency vehicles would also be impacted by the closing as well, increasing response times. The bridge is also used by local residents to access Beach Road Park and the main roads of the area.

The structure is an adjacent prestressed concrete box beam structure with 1 24' span. The bridge is overall in fair condition and rated a 5. During a road rehabilitation in 2023 where the HMA surface was replaced, it was found that 75% of the top flanges of the beams had deteriorated and crumbled away. The unsound concrete was removed and recast. However, this is considered a temporary fix, and only providing cover to the existing rebar in the beams. Additionally, beam 7W has a delamination <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of the length along the north end that is 1' wide. Beams 5W and 7W have 2 broken strands at delamination areas. There is no structural deck and the surface is newly paved HMA. The abutments are in good condition and rated a 7. There are small hairline cracks, cracking at south abutment beam 7W bearing area, some leaching stains, and a 2" gap between all abutment and wingwall interfaces with no joint sealer.

The recommended repair for the bridge is a superstructure replacement. The condition of the box beams warrants replacement due to the broken strands and deteriorated top flanges of the beams. Broken strands compromise the structural integrity and load carrying capacity of the beams. The deteriorated top flange of the beams has a temporary concrete patch with an unknown service life. The only way to repair the structure is to replace the beams. The substructure is in fair condition and rehabilitated, so a full replacement is not needed. The approaches should be replaced as well to provide a smooth

transition to the bridge deck from the approach. A smooth transition is important as it will prevent excess impact loads, which can result in damage to the superstructure of the bridge.

## D. If there is a current detour, what does it affect?

Currently the bridge is open to traffic and there is no detour.

#### E. If the structure were to be closed, what would the detour affect?

If the structure were to be closed, the detour would affect the residents in the area. The school system, with its already tight budget, will have cost increases because of the need to reroute its buses. Emergency vehicles would have to take a longer route to reach emergencies in the area. As seconds matters in an emergency, this could become a public safety issue. Access to Beach Road Park would be impacted as well by the closure of the bridge.

## F. The structure is not currently closed.

#### **G.** Maintenance of the Structure

The HMA surface was replaced in 2023 as part of a road rehabilitation job. During the job, 75% of the top flanges of the box beams were found to have deteriorated. The deteriorated concrete was removed and the top flanges were recast.

#### **5. Estimated Rehabilitation Costs**

Superstructure Replacement and Approac	11 11 OIK
A. Approach Construction \$390,00	00.00
B. Structure Construction \$ 524,00	00.00
Total (A & B) \$ 914,00	00.00

For a breakdown of construction costs see Appendix A.

#### 6. Priority List

## 1. Beach Rd over Rouge River

#### 7. Resolution

The resolution is attached in Appendix B.

# 8. Previous Applications

It is understood that all previous applications have been discarded and that this application will be used to select funding.



## Exhibit 4 - Cost Estimating Worksheet

March   Marc	2024		В	RIDGE COST ESTIMAT	E WOR	KSHEET			REV: 02/6/2024
March   Marc				- CPM, REHAB, R	EPLACI	E -		DATE:	3/6/2024
Column	OWNER: TROY	FISCAL Y	EAR: 2027	- ,		Out to Out	Curb to Curb	ENGINEER:	AJR
DECOLATION   DEC									
PARTICIPATION   PERSONNE PROJECTION OF ST   STR. PYPE. PrestractOctomer for the first Name of Circles	TSC: Oakland	PR: 626301	MP: 1.138		24.0	39.9	24.0		
PRIMARY VIORA CATIVITY   MOCT Bags, Daugn attitus   CLEAR ROADOWS   SS   ST   STR. 1996   Preference Column   CATIVITY   MOCT Bags, Daugn attitus   CLEAR ROADOWS   SS   ST   STR. 1996   Preference Column   CATIVITY   CLEAR ROADOWS   SS   ST   STR. 1996   S	LOCATIO	N- BEACH ROAD	over ROLIGERIVER					BRIDGE ID:	N/A
NOW NENDOR   NOW SEARCH   NOW Separation   Service Separation   Servic				DE	CK AREA:	958	SFT	STR. TYPE: P	restressed Concrete
NEW MODIO   (Processes best of an basid on inciging contents and hydrouth congluments)   (Processes Contents)	OTHER WOR	K:		CLEAR RO	DADWAY:	576	SFT	В	ox Beam or Girders - Mu
Sergie Spans, Cope Separation	WORK A	CTIVITY	MDOT Bridge	Design Guides	<u>(</u>	QUANTITY	UNIT	UNIT COST	TOTAL
Serging Span, Over Weater	NEW BRIDGE				quirements)				
Methods   Spare   Cher Water   Lernigh * 1000. (seld demo. approach, MOT)   Self   \$470.00 (Self   Proceed Lineary * 4870.00 (Self   Self									
Press Culter   Lergib + 600   (ad dems. approach, MOT)   SFT   \$595,00]FFT									
Peer Signershuchin, Conde Seguention		Length < 40							
Peer Signershuchin, Conde Seguention	NEW SUPERSTRUCTURE								
WINDERSONS		de Separation					SFT	\$310.00 /SFT	
STI	New Superstructure, Ove	er Water	(incl. remove exist	deck/super; add MOT & approach	)	1,008.0	SFT	\$315.00 /SFT	\$317,520.00
New Bridge Deck & Barrier	WIDENING								
New Bridge Dock & Barrar	Structure Widening,	ft	(incl. deck/super/si	ub widening, add approach transiti	on)		SFT	\$630.00 /SFT	
Eities Structure, Grade Separation   SFT   \$75,00]SFT   Eities Structure, Crede Separation   SFT   \$50,00]SFT	NEW DECK								
Emile Structure, Crede Separation   SFT   \$75,00,09FT	New Bridge Deck & Barri	er	(incl. remove exist	deck/railing, add approach, MOT)			SFT	\$150.00 /SFT	
Enter Structure, Over Wester	DEMOLITION								
Peter   Pete									
Bridge Railing Replacement							SFT	\$95.00 /SFT	
Concrete Broat Flock   Cut Palech									
Concrete Dearier Patch									
Concrete Desk Patch		urb Patch			-				
Deep Overlay									
Epoxy Overlay   SYD									
Expansion_Joint Replacement	Epoxy Overlay		(incl. warranty)				SYD	\$48.00 /SYD	
Full Depth Patch				ce elastomeric gland)					
Healer / Sealer   S		ment	(incl. removal)						
HMA Overlay with WP membrane   (Epoxy; \$22/eyd   Latex; \$26/eyd   HMA: \$7/eyd)   \$YD   \$40,000 \$YD			(nenetrates cracks	in bridge deck)				\$140.00/SFT	
Overlay Removal   (Epoxy \$22/leyd   Latiex. \$26/leyd   HMA: \$7/leyd)   SYD   \$22,00  SYD   Reseal Bridge Joints   FT   \$48,00  FT   \$1,000  ST   \$		embrane	(porroulated eracite	znago asony					
SPIT   \$46.00 SFT			(Epoxy: \$22/syd   l	atex: \$26/syd   HMA: \$7/syd)					
Substructural Replacement   (incl. temporary supports)   EA   \$6,450.00[EA   FT   \$1,150.00[FT   Facility Replacement   (incl. temporary supports)   EA   \$5,700.00[EA   FT   \$1,150.00[FT									
Bearing Realignment   Replacement   (incl. temporary supports)   EA   \$6.450.00[EA			(incl. joint repl & hy	rdro)			SFI	\$46.00 /SFT	
Heat Straightening									
Pack Rust Repair   (greater than 36" separation)   FT   \$1.150.00]FT		eplacement							
Paint - Complete									
Paint - Partial / Spot / Zone   (incl. clean & coat - \$20k minimum)   SFT   \$60.00/SFT				separation)					
PCI Beam End Blockout   (incl. temporary supports)   EA   \$17,000.00[EA		ne		- \$20k minimum)					
Pin & Hanger Replacement   (incl. temporary supports)   EA		·-							
Structural Steel Repair - Stiffener		ent	(incl. temporary su	pports)					
Substructure Patching									
Substructure Patching		air - Stiffener	(includes each side	e of beam)			EA	\$1,500.00 EA	
Substructure Replacement   (incl. temporary supports, excavation)   CFT   \$375.00/ICFT   Substructure Horizontal Surface Sealer   SYD   \$75.00/ISYD   S75.00/ISYD   S75.									
Substructure Horizontal Surface Sealer   Temporary Supports   (add Structural Steel Repair - Stiffener for ea steel beam)   EA									
Temporary Supports   (add Structural Steel Repair - Stiffener for ea steel beam)   EA \$4,000.00   EA			(incl. temporary su	pports, excavation)					
MISCELLANEOUS   SYD \$320.00 SYD   S20.00 S		Juliace Gealei	(add Structural Ste	el Repair - Stiffener for ea steel be	eam)				
Articulating Concrete Block System (ACB)   SYD   \$320.00/SYD   S47.00/SYD   Concrete Surface Coalign   SYD   \$47.00/SYD   S47.00/SYD   Concrete Surface Coalign   SYD   \$47.00/SYD   S47.00/SYD   S47.			(======================================					<u> </u>	
Concrete Surface Coating		ck System (ACR)					SYD	\$320.00\/SYD	
College Coll									
Metal Mesh Panels	Culvert Cleanout						FT	\$125.00 /FT	
Pressure Relief Joint									
Riprap					Off)				
Silane Treatment   SFT   \$7.00   SFT   Silane Treatment   SPD   \$150.00   SVD   Silane Treatment   SPD   \$150.00   SVD   Silane Treatment   SPD   \$150.00   SVD						133.3			\$36,666,67
Siope Protection Repairs					,	100.0			ψ30,000.01
STRUCTURE CONSTRUCTION BUDGET   \$354,1	Slope Protection Repairs			,					
Approach Pavement, 12" RC	Other								
Approach Pavement, 12" RC					9	STRUCTUE	RE CONSTI	RUCTION BUDGET	\$354.18
Approach Pavement, 12" RC						311100101	te conton	CONTON BODOLI	φοσ+, το
Approach Curb & Gutter   (incl. removal) 20' ea. quadrant   80.0   FT   \$57.00   FT   \$4,560		PC .	(incl. remay=1: - ! !	ourh guttor minutes!\\ 001	4	400 7	CVP	\$220 00 (OVD	<b>#04 F00 00</b>
Guardrail Anchorage to Bridge   (each quadrant)   4.0   EA   \$2,540.00   EA   \$10,160		no			u				
Guardrail   (incl. removal) < 200ft beyond reference line   100.0   FT   \$41.00   FT		Bridge		ou. quaurani					\$10,160.00
Guardrail Terminal (each quadrant)	Guardrail		(incl. removal) < 2	00ft beyond reference line			FT	\$41.00 /FT	\$4,100.00
Utilities   1.0						4.0			\$15,600.00
Name		(	(beyond approach	pavement)					\$150,000.00
Part Width Construction						1.0	LSUM	\$25,000.00 LSUM	\$25,000.00
Crossovers	TRAFFIC CONTROL	Unit Cost to be determine	d by Region or TS0	C Traffic & Safety					
Temporary Traffic Signals   Set									
RR Flagging Detour         LSUM LSUM \$30,000.0 LSUM \$30,000.           RELATED ROAD/TRAFFIC CONSTRUCTION BUDGET         \$263,99           CONTINGENCY (10% - 20%) (use higher contingency for small projects)         20         % \$618,000.00         \$124,0           MOBILIZATION (estimate at 10%)         10         % \$742,000.00         \$740,000.00		<u> </u>			-				
Detour         1.0         LSUM         \$30,000.00 LSUM         \$30,000.           RELATED ROAD/TRAFFIC CONSTRUCTION BUDGET         \$263,91           CONTINGENCY         (10% - 20%) (use higher contingency for small projects)         20         %         \$618,000.00         \$124,0           MOBILIZATION         (estimate at 10%)         10         %         \$742,000.00         \$740,000.00		•							
CONTINGENCY         (10% - 20%) (use higher contingency for small projects)         20         %         \$618,000.00         \$124,0           MOBILIZATION         (estimate at 10%)         10         %         \$742,000.00         \$74,0						1.0			\$30,000.00
CONTINGENCY         (10% - 20%) (use higher contingency for small projects)         20         %         \$618,000.00         \$124,0           MOBILIZATION         (estimate at 10%)         10         %         \$742,000.00         \$74,0				DELA	TED PO	۸D/TP۸EE	IC CONST	PLICTION PLINGET	¢263.0E2
MOBILIZATION (estimate at 10%) 10 % \$742,000.00 \$74,0					ייבט אט				
	CONTINGENCY		contingency for sm	all projects)					\$124,000
INFLATION         (assume 4% per year, beginning in 2025)         12         %         \$816,000.00         \$98,0									\$74,000
	INFLATION	(assume 4% per year, be	ginning in 2025)			12	%	\$816,000.00	\$98,000

(Does not include PE or CE)

