## TRAFFIC COMMITTEE AGENDA

November 20, 2019 - 7:30 P.M.

## Lower Level Conference Room - Troy City Hall, 500 West Big Beaver Road

1. Roll Call
2. Minutes - September 18,2019

## PUBLIC HEARINGS

3. Request for Sidewalk Waiver - 370 McKinley (Sidwell \#88-20-09-254-015)

## REGULAR BUSINESS

4. Request for Traffic Control - Drake Drive and Tucker Drive at Standish Drive
5. Request for Traffic Control - Hartland Drive at Kilmer Drive
6. 2019 Meeting Schedule
7. Public Comment
8. Other Business
9. Adjourn
cc: Item 4: Mary Ortmann, 5298 Standish
Properties within 300'
Item 5: $\quad$ Marci Curtis, 343 Vanderpool
Properties within 300'

Traffic Committee Members
Sgt. Justin Novak, Police Department;
Lt. Eric Caloia, Fire Department;

## TRAFFIC COMMITTEE

## MESSAGE TO VISITORS, DELEGATIONS AND CITIZENS

The Traffic Committee is composed of seven Troy citizens who have volunteered their time to the City to be involved in traffic and safety concerns. The stated role of this Committee is:
a. To give first hearing to citizens' requests and obtain their input.
b. To make recommendations to the City Council based on technical considerations, traffic surveys, established standards, and evaluation of citizen input.
c. To identify hazardous locations and recommend improvements to reduce the potential for traffic crashes.

Final decisions on sidewalk waivers will be made by the Committee at this meeting.
The recommendations and conclusions arrived at on regular items this evening will be forwarded to the City Council for their final action. Any citizen can discuss these recommendations before City Council. The items discussed at the Traffic Committee meeting will be placed on the City Council Agenda by the City Manager. The earliest date these items might be considered by City Council would normally be 10 days to 2 weeks from the Traffic Committee meeting. If you are interested, you may wish to contact the City Manager's Office in order to determine when a particular item is on the Agenda.

Persons wishing to speak before this Committee should attempt to hold their remarks to no more than 5 minutes. Please try to keep your remarks relevant to the subject at hand. Please speak only when recognized by the Chair. These comments are made to keep this meeting moving along. Anyone wishing to be heard will be heard; we are here to listen and help in solving or resolving your particular concerns.

## PUBLIC HEARING

## 3. Request for Sidewalk Waiver - 370 McKinley (Sidwell \#88-20-09-254-015)

Pat Bismack of 2742 Powderhorn (Rochester Hills), requests a sidewalk waiver for the sidewalk at 370 McKinley (Sidwell \#88-20-09-254-015). Mr. Bismack states "I would be the only one with a sidewalk in the whole sub".

The Department of Public Works (DPW) recommends approving the waiver request and not requiring the installation of sidewalk "due to the lack of sidewalk on the surrounding parcels", contingent upon the submission of a cash deposit for future construction and to assure consent and participation in any future sidewalk installation.

Mr. Bismack has already paid the sidewalk waiver fee in lieu of constructing the sidewalk. This was done due to the time of year and the need to have final grade approval issued to allow for the closing of the house. (See attached email)

## SUGGESTED RESOLUTIONS:

1. WHEREAS, City of Troy Ordinances, Chapter 34, allows the Traffic Committee to grant waivers of the City of Troy Design Standards for Sidewalks upon a demonstration of necessity; and

WHEREAS, Pat Bismack has requested a waiver of the requirement to construct sidewalk based on lack of sidewalk on surrounding parcels; and

WHEREAS, the Traffic Committee has determined the following:
a. A waiver will not impair the public health, safety or general welfare of the inhabitants of the City and will not unreasonably diminish or impair established property values within the surrounding area, and
b. A strict application of the requirements to construct a sidewalk would result in practical difficulties to, or undue hardship upon, the owners, and
c. The construction of a new sidewalk would lead nowhere and connect to no other walk, and thus will not serve the purpose of a pedestrian travel-way.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Traffic Committee GRANTS a waiver of the sidewalk requirement for 370 McKinley (Sidwell \#88-20-09-254-015) contingent upon the receipt of a cash deposit commensurate with the cost of sidewalk construction.
2. WHEREAS, the Traffic Committee has determined, after a public hearing, that Petitioner failed to establish the standards justifying the granting of a waiver,

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Traffic Committee DENIES a waiver of the sidewalk requirement for 370 McKinley (Sidwell \#88-20-09-254-015).

## REGULAR BUSINESS

4. Request for Traffic Control - Drake Drive and Tucker Drive at Standish Drive

Mary Ortmann of 5298 Standish Drive states that the lack of traffic control at the intersection of Drake Drive and Tucker Drive, both at Standish Drive creates a hazardous condition.

## SUGGESTED RESOLUTIONS:

a. RESOLVED, that the intersection of Drake Drive at Standish Drive be MODIFIED from no traffic control to a STOP sign on the Drake Drive approach to the intersection.
b. RESOLVED, that NO CHANGE be made at the intersection of Drake Drive at Standish Drive.
c. RESOLVED, that the intersection of Tucker Drive at Standish Drive be MODIFIED from no traffic control to YIELD signs on both Tucker Drive approaches to the intersection.
d. RESOLVED, that NO CHANGE be made at the intersection of Tucker Drive at Standish Drive.

## 5. Request for Traffic Control - Hartland Drive at Kilmer Drive

Marci Curtis of 343 Vanderpool states that the lack of ALL-WAY STOP control at the intersection of Hartland Drive at Kilmer Drive creates a hazardous condition. A new home at the corner has exacerbated the condition and reduced the sight lines.

## SUGGESTED RESOLUTIONS:

a. RESOLVED, that the intersection of Hartland Drive at Kilmer West Drive be MODIFIED from STOP control on the Kilmer Drive approaches to the intersection to ALL-WAY STOP control at the intersection of Hartland Drive and Kilmer Drive.
b. RESOLVED, that NO CHANGE be made at the intersection of Hartland Drive at Kilmer Drive.

## 6. 2020 Meeting Schedule

According to City of Troy Traffic Committee By-Laws, Article IV - Meetings:
"Regular meetings will be held on the third Wednesday of each month at 7:30 p.m. at the Troy City Hall, 500 West Big Beaver Road, Troy, Michigan."

There are no other by-laws or procedures that establish the actual dates of the meetings, but an annual calendar of meetings is published by the City so meeting dates need to be set for this purpose.

## SUGGESTED RESOLUTION:

a. RESOLVED, that the Traffic Committee SHALL HOLD Regular Meetings in 2020 according to the following schedule at 7:30 PM:

- Wednesday, January 15
- Wednesday, February 19
- Wednesday, March 18
- Wednesday, April 15
- Wednesday, May 20
- Wednesday, June 17
- Wednesday, July 15
- August - NO MEETING
- Wednesday, September 16
- Wednesday, October 21
- Wednesday, November 18
- December - NO MEETING


## 7. Public Comment

8. Other Business

## 9. Adjourn

A regular meeting of the Troy Traffic Committee was held Wednesday, September 18, 2019 in the Lower Level Conference Room at Troy City Hall. Pete Ziegenfelder called the meeting to order at 7:30 p.m.

## 1. Roll Call

Present: Don Johnson
Richard Kilmer
Cindy Nurak
Al Petrulis
Sunil Sivaraman
Cynthia Wilsher
Pete Ziegenfelder
Alankar Shende, Student Representative
Also present: Dan Mistura, 924 Banmoor
Rosetta Mistura, 924 Banmoor
Jim Heidt, 321 Hickory
Justin Kellow, 416 E. Lovell
Mohammed Malik, 268 Hickory
Sgt. Justin Novak, Police Department
Bill Huotari, City Engineer/Traffic Engineer

## 2. Minutes - July 17, 2019

Resolution \# 2019-09-16
Moved by Kilmer
Seconded by Sivaraman
To approve the minutes as printed.
Yes: Johnson, Kilmer, Nurak, Petrulis, Sivaraman, Wilsher, Ziegenfelder
No: None
MOTION CARRIED

## PUBLIC HEARINGS

3. No Public Hearings

## REGULAR BUSINESS

## 4. Request for Traffic Control - Banmoor Drive at Emerson Drive

Dan Mistura of 924 Banmoor states that the lack of traffic control at the intersection of Banmoor Drive at Emerson Drive creates a hazardous condition.

Mr. Mistura was in attendance at the meeting and stated that many times cars pull out without stopping for oncoming traffic. It is only about 70 yards from Crooks to Emerson and a bush obstructs visibility at the intersection. There is a truck that is frequently parked (legally) between 994 and 980 Banmoor which forces vehicles out and around.

Mr. Sivaraman asked about bus stops in this area. The intersection is the bus stop for Bemis Elementary.

Mr. Ziegenfelder stated that he supports traffic control signs at all intersections.
Mr. Petrulis stated that OHM recommended that a Stop sign be placed on the Emerson Drive approach to the intersection. He further agreed that Emerson is very close to Crooks and for eastbound Banmoor traffic, Emerson comes up on you very quickly.

Resolution \# 2019-09-17
Moved by Kilmer
Seconded by Sivaraman
RESOLVED, that the intersection of Banmoor Drive at Emerson Drive be MODIFIED from no traffic control to a STOP sign on the Emerson Drive approach to the intersection.

Yes: Johnson, Kilmer, Nurak, Petrulis, Sivaraman, Wilsher, Ziegenfelder
No: None

## MOTION CARRIED

## 5. Request for Traffic Control - Jamaica Drive at Key West Drive

Rick Swanquist of 1301 Key West submitted an email on September 5, 2019 (after the agenda had already been posted) requesting that the item be removed from the agenda as he was satisfied with the findings of the study and did not want to pursue the request.

The item was withdrawn from the agenda and no action was taken.

## 6. Request for Traffic Control - Lovell Drive at Montclair Drive

Leah Kellow of 416 E. Lovell states that the existing YIELD signs on Lovell Drive do not cause traffic to yield the right-of-way or stop at the intersection with Montclair Drive, creating a hazardous condition.

Justin Kellow of 416 E. Lovell was in attendance at the meeting and stated that there are no sidewalks in the area and many residents use the roads to walk on, ride bikes, roller blade, etc. Lovell is a cut-through route between Livernois and Rochester and traffic has increased along with the speed of traffic. His mailbox has been hit and he has reminded drivers to slow down when he or his family are in or near the road. There is a big bush on the corner and Montclair curves as it approaches the intersection which reduce visibility at the intersection. He supports ALL-WAY STOP at the intersection.

Traffic Engineering received emails from Elizabeth Williams at 561 E. Lovell and Dave Meinhard of 550 E. Lovell along with one phone call from Orazio Vettraino of 6748 Montclair in support of ALL-WAY STOP at the intersection.

Mr. Sivaraman asked if there are any bus stops in this area. Bus stop locations were not known.

Mr. Petrulis discussed replacing the existing Yield signs with Stop signs.
Mr. Johnson stated that all of the neighbors who attended the meeting and/or contacted Traffic Engineering support ALL-WAY STOP at the intersection.

Resolution \# 2019-09-18
Moved by Sivaraman
Seconded by Wilsher
RESOLVED, that the intersection of Lovell Drive at Montclair Drive be MODIFIED from YIELD signs on the Lovell Drive approaches to ALL-WAY STOP at the intersection of Lovell Drive at Montclair Drive.

Yes: Johnson, Kilmer, Nurak, Petrulis, Sivaraman, Wilsher, Ziegenfelder
No: None

## MOTION CARRIED

## 7. Request for Traffic Control - Plum Drive at Hickory Drive

Traffic Committee member Richard Kilmer of 62 Hickory requested at the July 17, 2019 Traffic Committee meeting that the intersection of Plum Drive at Hickory Drive be reviewed for purposes of an ALL-WAY STOP. Mr. Kilmer states that STOP signs on the Plum Drive approaches only do not provide adequate traffic control at the intersection.

Jim Heidt of 321 Hickory was in attendance at the meeting and has lived in this area for 44 years. He stated that traffic has increased exponentially over that time and Hickory is used as a cut-through. Troy Police have sat in his driveway over the years. Livernois traffic has increased and will only become heavier with the apartment complexes being built north and south of Maple Road. Starr at Plum and Cherry at Hartshorn were recently revised to have Stop signs and traffic has been using Plum and Hickory to avoid those stop signs.

Mr. Heidt continued that Morris Elementary is now an international school so morning arrival and evening dismissal has created more traffic as more students are dropped off and picked up by their parents. There are no stop signs on Hickory. Many new families have moved in so there are many new children in the area. Traffic is very heavy in the AM and PM peak hours. Mr. Heidt supports ALL-WAY STOP at the intersection.

Mohammed Malik of 268 supported the statements made by Mr. Heidt and also supports ALLWAY STOP at the intersection. Mr. Malik reiterated that traffic has increased significantly and especially during the AM and PM peak hours. There are no stop signs on Hickory.

Mr. Kilmer has lived in this area since 1969 and agrees that traffic has increased significantly and vehicles drive fast to avoid backups on Livernois and Maple. There are a lot of pedestrians that use the roads as there are no sidewalks in the area. He supports ALL-WAY STOP at the intersection.

Mr. Petrulis stated that this is one of the most chaotic areas of the city he has observed during the PM peak hour. With all the construction and extra traffic it is difficult to drive through this area.

Ms. Wilsher is very familiar with this area as she drives it daily to and from her home on Maple Road. She agrees that ALL-WAY STOP is needed at this intersection.

Resolution \# 2019-09-19
Moved by Sivaraman
Seconded by Wilsher
RESOLVED, that the intersection of Plum Drive at Hickory Drive be MODIFIED from STOP signs on the Plum Drive approaches to ALL-WAY STOP control at the intersection of Plum Drive at Hickory Drive

Yes: Johnson, Kilmer, Nurak, Petrulis, Sivaraman, Wilsher, Ziegenfelder
No: None

## MOTION CARRIED

## 8. Public Comment

There was no public comment at the meeting.

## 9. Other Business

Ms. Wilsher provided information to Sgt. Novak regarding a parking concern in the Redwood/Wacon/Jamaica/Kenyon area. Sgt. Novak will review the concern and report back.

Mr. Kilmer reported that the arrow board on northbound Livernois for the lane closure, south of 175, does not work during the early morning hours, between 5:00 AM and 5:30 AM. Traffic Engineering will contact MDOT for their review.

Sgt. Justin Novak was introduced as the police liaison to the Traffic Committee.
Alankar Shende was introduced as the student representative to the Traffic Committee.
Discussion of a previous recommendation at Alfred Drive at Edith Street was brought forth as information as the resident originally wanted the Traffic Committee to reconsider their recommendation but ultimately withdrew the request.

Traffic Engineering reported that I75 would be closed this weekend from I696 to Square Lake
for bridge demolition and repair work on the existing pavement.
10. Adjourn

The meeting adjourned at 8:28 p.m.

Bill Huotari, City Engineer/Traffic Engineer
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DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
4693 Rochester Road
Troy, MI 48085
troymi.gov
October 2, 2019

TO:
FROM:

SUBJECT: Request for Waiver of Sidewalk Requirement
Sidwell Number 20-09-254-015

Per the attached waiver form, Pat Bismack, is requesting a waiver for the sidewalk on the property located at 370 McKinley, 20-09-254-015 in the Houghten Acres Sub Plat.

Chapter 34 City of Troy Sidewalks and Driveway Approaches Ordinance \# 34-07 specifies that all owners of lots and premises abutting dedicated streets open to the public shall be required to construct sidewalks and driveway approaches at the time of construction of any new buildings or structures, or additions to buildings or structures, or at the time a nonconforming use changes to a permitted use in the Zoning District. No occupancy permit shall be issued until such time as the owners of said property have complied with the requirements of this provision provided only that the Director of Building and Zoning may extend the time for completion of the required sidewalks and driveway approaches in accordance with established procedure.
City of Troy Sidewalks and Driveway Approaches Ordinance \# 34.07.01 also requires that a sidewalk be installed in conjunction with the development of a parcel due to a recent lot split, combination of parcels or a re-platting.
Please be advised that Mckinley does not have a sidewalk on either the north or south sides of the street, and neither Daniels nor Houghten have any sidewalk on the east or west sides of the street.
Due to the lack of sidewalk on the surrounding parcels, we recommend that the sidewalk not be installed at 370 McKinley as per ordinance \#34.07.
If the sidewalk requirements were to be waived, we recommend the approval be subject to the submission of a cash deposit for future construction to assure consent and participation in any future sidewalk installation.

City of Troy
Mr. Kurt Bovensiep
Public Works Director
4693 Rochester Road
Troy, MI 48098

Mr. Bovensiep,
I am/we are the owners) of the property at 370 NC M/NLEY,
Lot number 40$\rangle \cos \ddagger 663$
Subdivision Name 1才0U9HTEN ACRES
Sidewall Number $20-09-254-015$

1/we would like to request a sidewalk variance for the following reasons:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

See attached plan/sketch.
I/We can be contacted at $\qquad$
Phone Number
BISMACK@SBCgLOBAL.NE.
Email Address


Rock Hills mi 48309
City, State, Zip



## GIS Online



370 McKinley


| From: | William J Huotari |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Wednesday, October 2, 2019 11:49 AM |
| To: | 'bismack@sbcglobal.net' |
| Cc: | George J Ballard; Kurt Bovensiep |
| Subject: | 370 McKinley - Sidewalk Waiver |

Pat, per our discussion, the sidewalk waiver fee will be:
$(100-16) \times 5 \times \$ 4.33=\underline{\$ 1,818.60}$
This amount must be paid prior to final grade being issued as the 5 ' sidewalk is included on the approved plot plan.

## The sidewalk waiver is still contingent on Traffic Committee approval of the same at the

 November 20, 2019 meeting as staff does not have the authority to grant a waiver.Staff will recommend that the sidewalk waiver be granted contingent upon the receipt of a cash deposit commensurate with the cost of sidewalk construction (which you will already have posted).

Should the Traffic Committee deny the waiver, the funds would be refunded and the sidewalk would need to be constructed per the approved plot plan.

You would still be expected to attend the November 20, 2019 Traffic Committee meeting at Troy City Hall, Lower Level Conference Room, at 7:30 PM to discuss the sidewalk waiver request.

Any questions, please let me know.
Thanks, Bill


William J. Huotari, PE
City Engineer | Traffic Engineer
City of Troy
248.524.3387


| From: | BRAD Niederquell |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | William J Huotari |
| Subject: | Public Hearing for Sidewalk waiver for 370 McKinley |
| Date: | Friday, November 1, 2019 9:58:06 AM |

ttn:
Engineering Department
City of Troy
M. Aileen Dickson

I received a notice for a public hearing to consider the request of waiver of sidewalk requirements for the property located at 370 McKinley (Sidwell \#88-20-09-254-015).

I will not be able to attend the public hearing. However, I am writing this in support of the waiver. There are no sidewalks in the subdivisions outlined by l-75, Long Lake, Square Lake and Livernois. Since this property falls within this zone there is no logical sense to require a sidewalk. Obviously, my opinion would be much different if this subdivision had sidewalks.

I would like to point out that the restoration of McKinley after the contractor ran utilities for this property was done to a very poor standard. It should be improved.

Thank you,
Brad Niederquell
5370 Wright Dr
2486708338

## TRAFFIC COMMITTEE REPORT

October 21, 2019
TO: Traffic Committee
FROM: Bill Huotari, City Engineer/ Traffic Engineer
SUBJECT: Request for Traffic Control
Drake Drive and Tucker Drive at Standish Drive

## Background:

Mary Ortmann of 5298 Standish Drive states that the lack of traffic control at the intersection of Drake Drive and Tucker Drive, both at Standish Drive creates a hazardous condition.

There was a single crash recorded in the past full five (5) years at the intersection of Tucker Drive at Standish Drive. No crashes occurred at the intersection of Drake Drive and Standish Drive.

The posted speed limit on both streets is 25 mph .
Standish Drive is presumed to be the major roadway at both intersections as it continues through each intersection and connects to numerous side streets. Both intersections are uncontrolled on every approach.

The major potential sight distance obstructions at the intersection of Drake Drive at Standish Drive is an evergreen tree at the northwest quadrant of the intersection and the northeast house corner at the southwest quadrant of the intersection. The major potential sight distance obstructions at the intersection of Tucker Drive at Standish Drive are the house corners on each quadrant of the intersection.

The safe approach speed was found to be 8.3 mph for a vehicle traveling on eastbound Drake Drive as a result of the sight obstruction from the evergreen tree at the northwest quadrant of the intersection, therefore a STOP sign is the recommended treatment on the Drake Drive approach to Standish Drive.

The safe approach speed was found to be 19.3 mph for a vehicle traveling on eastbound Tucker Drive as a result of the house corner at the southwest quadrant of the intersection, and 17.4 mph for a vehicle traveling westbound Tucker Drive as a result of the house corner at the southeast quadrant, therefore a YIELD sign is the recommended treatment on the Tucker Drive approaches to Standish Drive.

The city requested that OHM review the intersection and provide their findings and recommendations (copy attached).

October 3, 2019

Mr. William Huotari, PE

City Engineer
City of Troy
500 W. Big Beaver Rd
Troy, MI 48084

| RE: | Traffic Control Recommendation for |
| :--- | :--- |
| Drake Drive at Standish Drive and Tucker Drive at Standish Drive |  |
| OHM JN: $\quad 0128-19-0240$ |  |

Dear Mr. Huotari:
As requested, we have reviewed the intersections of Drake Drive at Standish Drive and Tucker Drive to determine the proper traffic control. Drake Drive at Standish Drive is a 3-legged intersection located approximately 1,500 feet east of John R Road and 1,730 feet north of Long Lake Road. The intersection of Tucker Drive and Standish Drive is a 4-legged intersection located approximately 600' south of Drake Drive. The speed limit on all streets under investigation is 25 mph . The intersections are uncontrolled on every approach. Reference the attachments for aerial and intersection photos.

## Types of Roadways

Tucker Drive, Drake Drive, and Standish Drive are all considered local streets. Standish Drive runs north / south near the intersections and provides local access to John R Road (minor arterial) via Drake Drive and Mayflower Drive. Standish Drive terminates to the south at Radcliffe Drive, which has no outlet. Tucker Drive provides access to / from the local neighborhood and Long Lake Road (principal arterial) via Saffron Drive, and to / from John R Road via Standish Drive. Tucker Drive is closed for emergency vehicle access only approximately 300 feet to the west of Standish Drive.

The surrounding land use is entirely single-family residential. On-street parking is permitted on the east side of Standish Drive, the north side of Drake Drive, and on the south side of Tucker Drive in the vicinity of the intersections. Standish Drive is currently uncontrolled and would be considered the major road as it continues through the intersections, while Drake Drive and Tucker Drive would be considered the minor roads as they terminate at or just past Standish Drive.

The ensuing traffic control analysis adheres to the requirements presented in the Michigan Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MMUTCD), which are considered mandates of state law. A reference document explaining the background behind the analysis is attached to this memo.

## Crash Analysis

Based on information obtained through the Traffic Improvement Association of Michigan, there was a single crash recorded in the past full five (5) years at the intersection of Tucker Drive and Standish Drive. The single crash occurred between 11:30 PM December 9, 2015 and December 10, 2015 1:00 AM, and involved a car parked legally on the east side of Standish Drive that was struck by an unknown vehicle that fled the scene. No crashes occurred at the intersection of Drake Drive and Standish Drive. The crash data does not constitute a compelling case for modifying the existing controls.

## Traffic Volumes

Traffic counts were conducted on southbound Standish Drive north of Drake Drive and on westbound Tucker Drive east of Standish Drive on Wednesday, September 18, 2019. The total daily entering traffic observed on the southbound Standish Drive approach was 364 vehicles, with a maximum hourly volume of 40 vehicles occurring between 7:00 to 8:00 AM and 6:00 to 7:00 PM. The total daily entering traffic observed on the westbound Tucker Drive approach was 1042 vehicles, with a maximum hourly volume of 119 vehicles between 7:00 to 8:00 AM.

Standish Drive is presumed to be the major roadway at both intersections as it continues through each intersection and connects to numerous side streets. The observation that westbound Tucker Drive carried almost three times the traffic volume on southbound Standish Drive is likely explained by road construction on John R Road during the traffic count, leading to increased cut-through traffic on Tucker Drive.

Given the vehicle volumes observed, one can reasonably ascertain that Standish Drive (considered major roadway) fails to meet and / or sustain the 300 vehicles per hour threshold required for all-way STOPcontrol for even one hour, let alone the minimum of 8 hours. Additionally, the combined entering vehicular, pedestrian, and bicycle volumes on Tucker Drive or Drake Drive (considered minor roadways) are highly unlikely to average at least 200 units for any 8 hours.

Since the posted speed limit is only 25 mph on Standish Drive, it is reasonable to assume that the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile approach speed does not exceed 40 mph . Thus, the minimum vehicular volume warrants on any study road cannot be discounted to 70 percent of the values described previously. Finally, the study intersections fall significantly shy of even the reduced 80 percent volumes, based on the count data collected. Therefore, the minimum volume criteria for an all-way STOP has not been met. The summary reports for the traffic counts are attached to this memo.

## Approach Speeds

The approach speed limit on all study streets is 25 mph . Speed limits alone cannot be used in this case to determine which direction of traffic should be assigned the right-of-way.

## Sight Distance

The major potential sight distance obstructions at the intersection of Drake Drive at Standish Drive is an evergreen tree at the northwest quadrant of the intersection and the northeast house corner at the southwest quadrant of the intersection. The major potential sight distance obstructions at the intersection of Tucker Drive at Standish Drive are the house corners on each quadrant of the intersection. Reference the attachments for intersection photos. These obstructions come into play when determining the safe approach speeds for the intersection. The safe approach speed is the speed at
which a vehicle can approach an intersection and still stop in time to avoid a collision with a vehicle on the cross street. Safe approach speeds are determined through calculations.

When the safe approach speed is found to be less than 10 mph , a STOP sign is recommended. When the safe approach speed is found to be more than 10 mph , a YIELD sign is recommended. In this case, the safe approach speed was found to be 8.3 mph for a vehicle traveling on eastbound Drake Drive as a result of the sight obstruction from the evergreen tree at the northwest quadrant of the intersection, therefore a STOP sign is the recommended treatment on Drake Drive.

The safe approach speed was found to be 19.3 mph for a vehicle traveling on eastbound Tucker Drive as a result of the house corner at the southwest quadrant of the intersection, and 17.4 mph for a vehicle traveling on westbound Tucker Drive as a result of the house corner at the southeast quadrant. Given that the safe approach speed is greater than 10 mph , a YIELD sign is the recommended treatment on each approach. The safe approach speed calculation spreadsheets for each intersection are attached for your reference.

## Recommendation

OHM recommends that the City install a STOP sign on the Drake Drive approach and a YIELD sign on both Tucker Drive approaches to Standish Drive. The intersections should be reevaluated if traffic volumes increase or more crashes begin to occur.

Sincerely,
Orchard, Hiltz \& McCliment, Inc.

## matt Clave

Matt Clark, EIT
Engineer


Sara Merrill, PE, PTOE
Traffic Project Manager

## Attachments:

- Aerial Photo
- Safe Approach Speed Calculation Spreadsheets
- Intersection Photos
- UD-10 Crash Report
- Traffic Count Summaries
- Traffic Control Determination Reference Guide





Photograph No. 1: Drake Drive - Heading East
Date: 9/17/2019 Photographer: Matt Clark


Photograph No. 2: Drake Drive - Heading East and Looking Left
Date: 9/17/2019
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Photograph No. 3: Drake Drive - Heading East and Looking Right
Date: 9/17/2019
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Photograph No. 4: Drake Drive - Looking West
Date: 9/17/2019
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Photograph No. 5: Standish Drive at Drake Drive - Heading South
Date: 9/17/2019
Photographer: Matt Clark


Photograph No. 6: Standish Drive at Drake Drive - Heading South and Looking Right
Date: 9/17/2019
Photographer: Matt Clark


Photograph No. 7: Standish Drive at Drake Drive - Heading North
Date: 9/17/2019
Photographer: Matt Clark


Photograph No. 8: Standish Drive at Drake Drive - Heading North and Looking Left
Date: 9/17/2019
Photographer: Matt Clark


Photograph No. 9: Tucker Drive - Heading East
Date: 9/17/2019 Photographer: Matt Clark


Photograph No. 10: Tucker Drive - Heading East and Looking Left
Date: 9/17/2019
Photographer: Matt Clark


Photograph No. 11: Tucker Drive - Heading East and Looking Right
Date: 9/17/2019 Photographer: Matt Clark


Photograph No. 10: Standish Drive at Tucker Drive- Heading South
Date: 9/17/2019
Photographer: Matt Clark


Photograph No. 11: Standish Drive at Tucker Drive - Heading South and Looking Right
Date: 9/17/2019
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Photograph No. 10: Standish Drive at Tucker Drive- Heading South and Looking Left Date: 9/17/2019

Photographer: Matt Clark


Photograph No. 11: Tucker Drive - Heading West
Date: 9/17/2019
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Photograph No. 10: Tucker Drive - Heading West and Looking Right Date: 9/17/2019 Photographer: Matt Clark


Photograph No. 11: Tucker Drive - Heading West and Looking Left
Date: 9/17/2019 Photographer: Matt Clark


Photograph No. 10: Standish Drive at Tucker Drive- Heading North
Date: 9/17/2019 Photographer: Matt Clark


Photograph No. 11: Standish Drive at Tucker Drive - Heading North and Looking Right
Date: 9/17/2019 Photographer: Matt Clark


Photograph No. 10: Standish Drive at Tucker Drive- Heading North and Looking Left Date: 9/17/2019 Photographer: Matt Clark

| Authority: 1949 PA 300, Sec.257.622 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Compliance: Required |
| Penalty: $\$ 100$ and/or 90 days (Rev 11/2006) |$\quad$| External \# | Crash ID |
| :---: | :---: |

STATE OF MICHIGAN TRAFFIC CRASH REPORT

| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { ORI: } \\ \mathrm{MI} 6378400 \end{array}$ |  | Department NameTroy Police Department |  |  |  |  | ReviewerSZUMINSKI (100902) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Crash Date } \\ 12 / 10 / 2015 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Crash Time } \\ 02: 00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { No. of Units } \\ 02 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Crash Type Other/Unknown | Special Circumstances O School Bus | S <br> O None <br> Hit and Run | - Deer <br> O Fleeing Police | $\begin{gathered} \text { Special Ch } \\ \text { ○ Fatal } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hecks } \\ & \text { In } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | affic Area | ORV/Snowmobile |
| County 63 - Oakland | Traffic Control None |  | Relation to Roadway On Road | Special Study | Weather Clear |  | Area10 - NON-FRWY Straight roadway |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { City/Twsp } \\ 84 \text { - Troy } \end{array}$ | Construction Zone (if applicable) Lane Closed |  |  | Activity | Light <br> Dark-Unlighted | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Road Condition } \\ & \text { Dry } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Total Lanes } \\ 02 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Speed Limit } \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Posted } \\ \text { Yes } \end{array}$ |


| Z | Prefix | Road Name <br> STANDISH | Road Type | Suffix | Divided Roadway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{\vdash}{\text { ® }}$ | Distance <br> 475 Feet S |  | Traffic Way 01 - Not physically divided |  | Access Control <br> 01 - No access control |
| $\bigcirc$ | Prefix | Intersecting Road DRAKE | Road Type | Suffix | Divided Roadway |






| Start <br> Time | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mon } \\ \text { 16-Sep-19 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tue } \\ \text { 17-Sep-19 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wed } \\ \text { 18-Sep-19 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thu } \\ \text { 19-Sep-19 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fri } \\ \text { 20-Sep-19 } \end{gathered}$ | Average Day | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sat } \\ \text { 21-Sep-19 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sun } \\ \text { 22-Sep-19 } \end{gathered}$ | Week Average |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM | * | * | 1 | * | * | 1 | * | * | $1]$ |  |  |
| 01:00 | * | * | 0 | * | * | 0 | * | * | 0 |  |  |
| 02:00 | * | * | 0 | * | * | 0 | * | * | 0 |  |  |
| 03:00 | * | * | 0 | * | * | 0 | * | * | 0 |  |  |
| 04:00 | * | * | 3 | * | * | 3 | * | * | $3 \square$ |  |  |
| 05:00 | * | * | 3 | * | * | 3 | * | * | $3 \square$ |  |  |
| 06:00 | * | * | 14 | * | * | 14 | * | * | 14 |  |  |
| 07:00 | * | * | 40 | * | * | 40 | * | * | 40 |  |  |
| 08:00 | * | * | 27 | * | * | 27 | * | * | 27 |  |  |
| 09:00 | * | * | 14 | * | * | 14 | * | * | 14 |  |  |
| 10:00 | * | * | 26 | * | * | 26 | * | * | 26 |  |  |
| 11:00 | * | * | 11 | * | * | 11 | * | * | 11 |  |  |
| 12:00 PM | * | * | 2 | * | * | 2 | * | * | 2 - |  |  |
| 01:00 | * | * | 7 | * | * | 7 | * | * | 7 |  |  |
| 02:00 | * | * | 18 | * | * | 18 | * | * | 18 |  |  |
| 03:00 | * | * | 23 | * | * | 23 | * | * | 23 |  |  |
| 04:00 | * | * | 36 | * | * | 36 | * | * | 36 |  |  |
| 05:00 | * | * | 33 | * | * | 33 | * | * | 33 |  |  |
| 06:00 | * | * | 40 | * | * | 40 | * | * | 40 |  |  |
| 07:00 | * | * | 25 | * | * | 25 | * | * | 25 |  |  |
| 08:00 | * | * | 25 | * | * | 25 | * | * | 25 |  |  |
| 09:00 | * | * | 11 | * | * | 11 | * | * | 11 |  |  |
| 10:00 | * | * | 2 | * | * | 2 | * | * | $2 \square$ |  |  |
| 11:00 | * | * | 3 | * | * | 3 | * | * | $3 \square$ |  |  |
| Day Total | 0 | 0 | 364 | 0 | 0 | 364 | 0 | 0 | 364 |  |  |
| \% Avg. WkDay | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Avg. <br> Week | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  |
| AM Peak | - | - | 07:00 | - | - | 07:00 | - - | - | 07:00 | - | - |
| Vol. | - | - | 40 | - | - | 40 | - - | - | 40 | - | - |
| PM Peak | - | - | 18:00 | - | - | 18:00 | - - | - | 18:00 | - | - |
| Vol. | - | - | 40 | - | - | 40 | - - | - | 40 | - | - |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 364 | 0 | 0 | 364 | 0 | 0 | 364 |  |  |
| ADT |  | ADT 364 |  | AADT 364 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## Reference Guide on Traffic Control Determination in the State of Michigan

## Backeground

This document is intended to be used as a reference guide for performing intersection traffic control studies of intersections on public roadways in Michigan. The document explains the procedure and requirements necessary to implement traffic control at an intersection as stipulated by the Michigan Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MMUTCD). Generally, the starting premise is an uncontrolled intersection. The first step would then be to verify if the intersection should remain uncontrolled or if YIELD or STOP controls on the minor street approach(es) should be provided. For locations with higher traffic volumes and / or crash issues, then an evaluation of the location for all-way STOP warrants would be performed. The appropriate analysis for each level of control described below.

## YIELD Traffic Control Guidance

The use of a YIELD sign is intended to assign the right-of-way at intersections where it is not usually necessary to stop before proceeding into the intersection. Conversely, the STOP sign is intended for use where it is usually necessary to stop before proceeding into the intersection.

The following conditions should be fully evaluated to determine how the right-of-way should be assigned:

- Traffic Volumes: Normally, the heavier volume of traffic should be given the right-of-way.
- Approach Speeds: The higher speed traffic should normally be given the right-of-way.
- Types of Highways: When a minor highway intersects a major highway, it is usually desirable to control the minor highway.
- Sight Distance: Sight distance across the corners of the intersection is the most important factor and is critical in determining safe approach speeds.


## STOP Traffic Control Guidance

Based on the MMUTCD there are four conditions where STOP signs may be warranted:

- At the intersection of a less important road with a main road where application of the normal right-of-way rule is unduly hazardous.
- On a street entering a through highway or street.
- At an unsignalized intersection in a signalized area.
- At other intersections where a combination of high speed, restricted view, or crash records indicate a need for control by the STOP sign.

Many times STOP signs are installed where they may not be warranted. Traffic experts agree that unnecessary STOP signs:

- Cause accidents they are designed to prevent.
- Breed contempt for other necessary STOP signs.
- Waste millions of gallons of gasoline annually.
- Create added noise and air pollution.
- Increase, rather than decrease, speeds between intersections.

There is also an explicit restriction in the MMUTCD that STOP signs are not to be used for speed control, in Section 2B. 04.

## Evaluation of All-W ay STOP Traffic Control

Based on the MMUTCD there are four conditions where all-way STOP signs may be warranted:
A. Where traffic control signals are justified, the multi-way stop is an interim measure that can be installed quickly to control traffic while arrangements are being made for the installation of the traffic control signal.
B. Five or more reported crashes in a 12 -month period that are susceptible to correction by a multi-way stop installation. Such crashes include right-turn and left-turn collisions as well as right-angle collisions.
C. Minimum volumes:

1. The vehicular volume entering the intersection from the major street approaches (total of both approaches) averages at least 300 vehicles per hour for any 8 bours of an average day; and
2. The combined vehicular, pedestrian, and bicycle volume entering the intersection from the minor street approaches (total of both approaches) averages at least 200 units per hour for the same 8 hours, with an average delay to minor-street vehicular traffic of at least 30 seconds per vehicle during the bigbest hour; but
3. If the 85 th-percentile approach speed of the major-street traffic exceeds 40 mph , the minimum vehicular volume warrants are 70 percent of the values provided in Items 1 and 2.
D. Where no single criterion is satisfied, but where Criteria B, C.1, and C. 2 are all satisfied to 80 percent of the minimum values. Criterion C. 3 is excluded from this condition.

## TRAFFIC COMMITTEE REPORT

October 30, 2019

$$
\text { TO: } \quad \text { Traffic Committee }
$$

FROM: Bill Huotari, City Engineer/ Traffic Engineer
SUBJECT: Request for Traffic Control
Hartland Drive at Kilmer Drive

## Background:

Marci Curtis of 343 Vanderpool states that the lack of ALL-WAY STOP control at the intersection of Hartland Drive at Kilmer Drive creates a hazardous condition. A new home at the corner has exacerbated the condition and reduced the sight lines.

There were three (3) crashes recorded in the past full five (5) years at the intersection of Hartland Drive at Kilmer Drive.

The posted speed limit on both streets is 25 mph .
Kilmer Drive and Hartland Drive are considered local streets. Kilmer Drive runs north/south and provides access to/from Big Beaver Road. Hartland Drive runs east/west and provides local access to Rochester Road on the east side and Livernois Road on the west side. Kilmer Drive is STOPcontrolled and would be considered the minor roadway at the intersection.

The major potential sight distance obstruction for a motorist traveling southbound on Kilmer Drive is the southeast house corner of the property on the northwest quadrant of the intersection. The major potential sight distance obstruction for a motorist traveling northbound on Kilmer Drive is the northeast house corner at the southwest quadrant of the intersection.

The safe approach speeds on Kilmer Drive were 13.3 mph and 13.0 mph for southbound and northbound vehicles, respectively. Therefore, YIELD-control would be the appropriate traffic control treatment on the Kilmer Drive approaches, rather than the existing STOP-control. However, OHM recommends retaining the existing STOP signs to not violate the expectations of motorists who use the intersection on a regular basis.

The city requested that OHM review the intersection and provide their findings and recommendations (copy attached).

October 30, 2019
Mr. William Huotari, PE
City Engineer
City of Troy
500 W. Big Beaver Rd
Troy, MI 48084
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { RE: } & \text { Traffic Control Recommendation for } \\ \text { Kilmer Drive at Hartland Drive } \\ \text { OHM JN: 0128-19-0240 }\end{array}$
Dear Mr. Huotari:
As requested, we have reviewed the intersection of Kilmer Drive at Hartland Drive to determine the proper traffic control. Kilmer Drive at Hartland Drive is a 4-legged intersection located approximately 850 feet north of Big Beaver Road and about 3,050 feet west of Rochester Road. The speed limit on both streets under investigation is 25 mph . Kilmer Drive is STOP-controlled on both approaches to Hartland Drive. Attached are aerial and intersection photos.

## Types of Roadways

Both Kilmer Drive and Hartland Drive are considered local streets. Kilmer Drive runs north / south at this point and provides local access to / from Big Beaver Road (principal arterial) via Langston Street, Hartland Drive, Vanderpool Drive, and Trombley Drive. Hartland Drive runs east / west and provides local access to Rochester Road (principal arterial) on the east side and Livernois Road (minor arterial) on the west side via Louis Street, Troy Street, Frankton Drive, Helena Street, Talbot Street, Kilmer Drive, and Ellenboro Drive. Hartland Drive terminates at the west end at Livernois Road. Kilmer Drive terminates to the north at Trombley Drive which only outlets to the east. Kilmer Drive terminates to the south at East Big Beaver Road.

The surrounding land use is single-family residential to the east, north and west of this intersection; the southern area is mixed residential and commercial. On-street parking is permitted in the vicinity of this intersection on the west side of Kilmer Drive and on the north side of Hartland Drive. Kilmer Drive is STOP-controlled and would be considered the minor roadway at the intersection.

## Traffic Control Analyses

Traffic control analyses described herein adheres to the requirements presented in the Michigan Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MMUTCD) that are considered mandates of state law. A reference document explaining the background behind the analyses is attached to this memo.

## Crash Analysis

Based on information obtained through the Traffic Improvement Association of Michigan, there were three (3) crashes recorded in the past full five (5) years at the intersection of Kilmer Drive and Hartland

Drive. Key information on the crashes are described below. Given that less than the recommended minimum of five (5) crashes susceptible to correction by all-way STOP-control did not occur during a 12month period, the crash data does not compel OHM Advisors to modify the existing controls.

1) The most recent crash date was September 8, 2015 at $3: 35$ PM. The crash involved two vehicles in which a vehicle backing out of a driveway on Kilmer Drive near Big Beaver Road struck a southbound vehicle on Kilmer Drive after the former driver failed to yield.
2) Another crash took place on February 19, 2015 at 9:43 AM. This impact involved a vehicle turning south on Kilmer Drive from eastbound Hartland Drive. The driver claims that the sun got in her eyes and she took a wide turn southbound onto Kilmer Drive resulting in her striking a vehicle that was stopped at the stop sign travelling northbound on Kilmer Drive.
3) The remaining crash occurred on August 20, 2014 at 5:02 PM. This impact involved a driver travelling eastbound on Hartland Drive who was struck by a vehicle travelling northbound on Kilmer Drive whom thought that Hartland Drive was STOP-controlled. The driver travelling northbound struck the traveler heading eastbound after failing to yield.

## Traffic Volumes

Traffic counts were taken on Hartland Drive east of Kilmer Drive on October 22, 2019. The average daily traffic (ADT) observed travelling on Hartland Drive was 1,302 vehicles. The maximum observed afternoon hourly volume, and the daily peak hour, was 172 vehicles occurring between 5:00 to 6:00 PM. The maximum observed morning hourly volume was 121 vehicles occurring between 8:00 and 9:00 AM.

Traffic counts were also collected at Kilmer Drive south of Hartland Drive through October 16-17, 2019. The ADT observed travelling on Kilmer Drive was 926 vehicles. The maximum afternoon hourly volume observed was 84 vehicles between 4:00 and 5:00 PM on October 17, 2019. The observed maximum morning hourly volume, and also the daily peak hour, was 117 vehicles between 8:00 AM and 9:00 AM on October 17, 2019.

Given the vehicle volumes observed, Hartland Drive (considered the major roadway) fails to meet the 300 vehicles per hour minimum required for all-way STOP-control. This intersection did not meet this metric for a single one-hour period, much less sustain it across an 8 -hour period. Further, the combined entering vehicular, pedestrian, and bicycle volumes on Kilmer Drive (considered the minor roadway) does not average 200 units or more per hour for any 8 -hour period.

Vehicle speed data was also collected on both Hartland Drive and Kilmer Drive. The $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile approach speed on Hartland Drive was observed to be 28 mph in both the westbound and eastbound directions. The $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile approach speed on Kilmer Drive was observed to be 28 mph in the southbound direction and 27 mph in the northbound direction. Thus, the observed $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds were found to be less than 40 mph on all approaches, so the minimum vehicular volume warrants for an all-way STOP cannot be discounted to 70 percent of the values described previously. Therefore, the minimum volume criteria for an all-way STOP has not been met. The summary reports for the traffic counts are attached to this memo.

## Approach Speed Limits

The approach speed limit on all study streets is 25 mph . Speed limits alone cannot be used in this case to determine which direction of traffic should be assigned the right-of-way.

## Sight Distance

The major potential sight distance obstruction at the intersection of Kilmer Drive at Hartland Drive for a motorist traveling southbound on Kilmer Drive is the southeast house corner of the property on the northwest quadrant of the intersection. The major potential sight distance obstruction for a motorist traveling northbound on Kilmer Drive is the northeast house corner at the southwest quadrant of the intersection. Reference the attachments for intersection photos. These obstructions impact calculating the safe approach speeds for the intersection. The safe approach speed is the speed at which a vehicle can approach an intersection and still stop in time to avoid a collision with a vehicle seen on the cross street.

When the safe approach speed is found to be less than 10 mph , STOP sign is recommended. When the safe approach speed is found to be more than 10 mph , a YIELD sign is recommended. In this case, the safe approach speeds on Kilmer Drive were 13.3 mph and 13.0 mph for southbound and northbound vehicles, respectively. Thus, based on the safe approach speed calculations, YIELD-control would be considered on the Kilmer Drive approaches. The safe approach speed calculation spreadsheets for each intersection are attached for your reference.

## Recommendation

The preceding analyses did not determine that any criteria were met for all-way STOP-control. Additionally, the safe approach speed approach calculations determined that YIELD-control would be the appropriate traffic control treatment on the Kilmer Drive approaches, rather than the existing STOPcontrol. However, OHM recommends retaining the existing STOP signs to not violate the expectations of motorists who use the intersection a regular basis. The intersection should be reevaluated if traffic volumes increase or more crashes begin to occur.

Sincerely,
OHM Advisors


Matt Clark, EIT
Engineer


Practice Leader - Traffic


Cara Kennedy, P.E.
Engineer

Attachments:
Aerial Photo
Safe Approach Speed Calculation Spreadsheets
Intersection Photos
UD-10 Crash Reports (3)
Traffic Count Summaries
Traffic Control Determination Reference Guide

## GIS Online



## Safe Approach Speed Calculation

Kilmer Drive and Hartland Drive City of Troy

Measured:
Width of Roads
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Road 1 }= & 22 & (\mathrm{tt}) \\ \text { Road 2 }= & 22 & (\mathrm{ft})\end{array}$
Distance to Obstructions
$\begin{aligned} a & \\ a & \text { (tt) }\end{aligned}$

| $\mathrm{a}=$ | 43 | $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $\mathrm{e}=$ | 48 | $(\mathrm{ft})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{b}=$ | 40 | $(\mathrm{ft)}$ | $\mathrm{f}=$ | 28 | $(\mathrm{ft)}$ |
| $\mathrm{c}=$ | 42 | $(\mathrm{ft)}$ | $\mathrm{g}=$ | 37 | $(\mathrm{ft)}$ |
| $\mathrm{d}=$ | 39 | $(\mathrm{ft})$ | $\mathrm{h}=$ | 87 | $(\mathrm{ft})$ |

Angle of Intersection
Road 1 Posted $=90$ (degrees, measure counterclockwise) Speed Limit $=25(\mathrm{mph})$

Assumed:
Speed of Vehicle A = Speed of Vehicle C
= Posted Speed Limit on Road 1

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
+ & 5 & (\mathrm{mph}) \\
\mathrm{V}_{1}= & 30 & (\mathrm{mph})
\end{array}
$$

Perception / Reaction Time (AASHTO)
$t=2.5 \quad$ (sec)
Deceleration rate (AASHTO)
A $=11.20$
Clearance distance in excess of safe stopping distance (AAA) $\mathrm{EC}=0 \quad$ (ft)

Calculated Safe Approach Speed for Vehicle B Approaching on Road 2

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\mathrm{V}_{2} & = & 13.3 \\
\text { or } \mathrm{V}_{2} & = & 13.0
\end{array}
$$

Calculated Safe Approach Speed for Vehicle D
Approaching on Road 2

Threshold of Safe Approach Speed (AAA, FHWA \& NSC) to Recommend STOP Control 10.0 (mph), to Recommend YIELD Control (mph) Otherwise Recommends NO CONTROL


Intermediate Calculations:


Notes: Enter field measurements in yellow highlighted area Blue fields are std. default values; change only for cause. Calculated by spreadsheet


Photograph No. 1: Hartland Drive - Heading East
Date: 10/17/2019 Photographer: Matt Clark


Photograph No. 2: Hartland Drive - Heading East and Looking Left
Date: 10/17/2019
Photographer: Matt Clark


Photograph No. 3: Hartland Drive - Heading East and Looking Right Date: 10/17/2019 Photographer: Matt Clark


Photograph No. 4: Hartland Drive - Heading West
Date: 10/17/2019
Photographer: Matt Clark


Photograph No. 5: Hartland Drive - Heading West and Looking Left
Date: 10/17/2019 Photographer: Matt Clark


Photograph No. 6 Hartland Drive - Heading West and Looking Right
Date: 10/17/2019 Photographer: Matt Clark


Photograph No. 7: Kilmer Drive - Heading South
Date: 10/17/2019 Photographer: Matt Clark


Photograph No. 8 Kilmer Drive - Heading South and Looking Right
Date: 10/17/2019
Photographer: Matt Clark


Photograph No. 9 Kilmer Drive - Heading South and Looking Left Date: 10/17/2019 Photographer: Matt Clark


Photograph No. 10: Kilmer Drive - Heading North
Date: 10/17/2019 Photographer: Matt Clark


Photograph No. 11: Kilmer Drive - Heading North and Looking Left
Date: 10/17/2019
Photographer: Matt Clark


Photograph No. 12: Kilmer Drive - Heading North and Looking Right
Date: 10/17/2019 Photographer: Matt Clark

| Authority: 1949 PA 300, Sec.257.622 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Compliance: Required |
| Penalty: $\$ 100$ and/or 90 days (Rev 11/2006) |$\quad$|  | External \# | Crash ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

STATE OF MICHIGAN TRAFFIC CRASH REPORT

| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { ORI: } \\ \mathrm{MI} 6378400 \end{array}$ |  | Department NameTroy Police Department |  |  |  |  |  |  | ReviewerNOVAK (104493) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Crash Date } \\ 09 / 08 / 2015 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Crash Time } \\ 15: 35 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { No. of Units } \\ 02 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Crash Type Other/Unknown | Special Circumstances O School Bus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { es None } \\ & \text { O Hit and } \end{aligned}$ | nd Run | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O Deer } \\ & \text { O Fleeing Police } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hecks } \\ & \text { In } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ic Area | ORV/Snowmobile |
| County 63 - Oakland | Traffic Control None |  | Relation to Roadway On Road | Special Study |  | Weather Other/Unknown |  | Area10 - NON-FRWY Straight roadway |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { City/Twsp } \\ 84 \text { - Troy } \end{array}$ | Construction Zone (if applicable) Lane Closed |  |  | Activity | Light |  | Road Condition Dry |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Lanes } \\ & 01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Speed Limit } \\ 25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Posted } \\ \text { Yes } \end{array}$ |


| 2 | Prefix | Road Name BIG BEAVER | Road Type | Suffix | Divided Roadway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{\ominus}{\text { ® }}$ | Distance 100 Feet N |  | Traffic Way 01 - Not physically divided |  | Access Control <br> 01 - No access control |
| $\bigcirc$ | Prefix | Intersecting Road HARTLAND | Road Type | Suffix | Divided Roadway |





| Authority: 1949 PA 300, Sec.257.622 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Compliance: Required |
| MSP UD-10E |
| Penalty: $\$ 100$ and/or 90 days (Rev 11/2006) |$\quad$

STATE OF MICHIGAN TRAFFIC CRASH REPORT

| ORI: MI 6378400 |  | Department Name Troy Police Department |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reviewer } \\ & \text { SZUMINSKI (100902) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Crash Date } \\ 02 / 19 / 2015 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Crash Time } \\ 09: 43 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of Units } \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ | Crash Type Head On | Special Circumstances - School Bus |  | - Deer <br> O Fleeing Police | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Special Ch } \\ \circ \text { Fatal } \end{array}$ | hecks <br> O Non- | fic Area | ORV/Snowmobile |
| County 63 - Oakland | Traffic C Stop |  | Relation to Roadway On Road | Special Study | Weather Clear |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Area } \\ 07-N C \end{array}$ | N-FRW | Intersec |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { City/Twsp } \\ & 84 \text { - Troy } \end{aligned}$ | Constru | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Zone (if ap } \\ & \text { Type } \end{aligned}$ | Lane Closed | Activity | Light Daylight | Road Condition Dry |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Lanes } \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Speed Limit } \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Posted } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ |


| Z | Prefix | Road Name KILMER | Road Type ST | Suffix | Divided Roadway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| く | Distance 25 Feet S |  | Traffic Way 01 - Not physically divided |  | Access Control <br> 01 - No access control |
| $\bigcirc$ | Prefix | Intersecting Road HARTLAND | Road Type ST | Suffix | Divided Roadway |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unit Number } \\ & 01 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | State Driver License Number <br> MI \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date of Birth (Age) } \\ & \text { \#\#/\#\#/\#\#\#\# (55) } \end{aligned}$ |  | License Type <br> - Operator <br> - Chauffer <br> O Moped |  | Endorsements <br> - Cycle <br> O Farm <br> - Recreation |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sex } \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Total Occupants } \\ & 01\end{aligned}\right.$ |  | ardous Action <br> 9 - Improper tu |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unit Type MV | Driver Information <br> \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# TROY, MI 48083-5044 (\#\#\#) \#\#\#-\#\#\#\# |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Injury } \\ \mathrm{O} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Position } \\ 01 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Restraint } \\ 04 \end{array}$ | Hospital NONE |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Driver Condition <br> $\bullet 1 \quad 02 \quad 03$ |  | 3040 | $5 \bigcirc 607 \bigcirc 8 \bigcirc 9099$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ejected | Trapped | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Airbag Deployed } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ |  | Ambulance NONE |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Alcohol o Yes Test Type |  | - No O Field | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O Refused } \\ & \text { O PBT } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | - Not offered <br> O Breath 0 |  |  | Urine | Test Results |  |  |  | O Urine Test Results |  |  | Citation Issued- Hazardous $\quad$ O Other |  |  |  |
|  | Vehicle Registration \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | State MI |  | Insurance / Policy \# \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  |  |  |  |  | Towed To/By |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Special Vehicles } \\ 0 \end{array}$ |  | Private Trailer Type | Vehicle Defect |
|  | VIN <br> \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  |  | Vehicle Description |  | Make |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Model } \\ & \text { ILLENIA } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Color SILVER |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Year } \\ & 2001 \end{aligned}$ | Vehicle Type Passenger Car |  |  |
|  | Location of Greatest Dam |  |  | First Impact08 |  |  | Extent of Damage | 3 | Driveable Yes | Vehicle DirectionS |  | Vehicle Use01 - Private |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Action Prior } \\ & 03 \text { - Turning right } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Sequence of First <br> Events $\bullet 17-$ Motor veh in transport <br> $(\bullet$ indicates MOST harmful event $)$  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Second |  | Third |  |  |  |  | Fourth |  |  |  |




| Authority: 1949 PA 300, Sec.257.622 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Compliance: Required |
| Penalty: $\$ 100$ and/or 90 days (Rev 11/2006) |$\quad$| External \# | Crash ID |
| :---: | :---: |

STATE OF MICHIGAN TRAFFIC CRASH REPORT

| ORI:MI 6378400 |  | Department NameTroy Police Department |  |  |  |  |  | ReviewerJONES (118024) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Crash Date } \\ & 08 / 20 / 2014 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Crash Time } \\ 17: 02 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { No. of Units } \\ 02 \end{array}$ | Crash Type Angle |  | Special Circumstances o School Bus | and Run | - Deer <br> O Fleeing Police | $\begin{gathered} \text { Special Ch } \\ \text { ○ Fatal } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hecks } \\ & \text { In } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ic Area | ORV/Snowmobile |
| County $\quad 63$ - Oakland | Traffic Control <br> Stop sign |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Relation to Roadway } \\ & \text { On Road } \end{aligned}$ |  | Special Study | Weather <br> Clear |  | Area07 - NON-FRWY in Intersection |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { City/Twsp } \\ 84 \text { - Troy } \end{array}$ | Construction Zone (if applicable) |  |  | Lane Closed | Activity | Light ${ }^{\text {Daylight }}$ | Road Condition Wet |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Total Lanes } \\ 02 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Speed Limit } \\ 25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Posted } \\ \text { Yes } \end{array}$ |


| z | Prefix | Road Name KILMER | Road Type ST | Suffix | Divided Roadway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{\ominus}{\text { ® }}$ | Distance 5 Feet S |  | Traffic Way 01 - Not physically divided |  | Access Control <br> 01 - No access control |
| $\bigcirc$ | Prefix | Intersecting Road HARTLAND | Road Type ST | Suffix | Divided Roadway |





| Investigated <br> at Scene Yes | Reported Date (Time) <br> 08/20/2014 (17:02) | 1st Investigator Name (Badge) <br> C. HUCK (85) | 2nd Investigator Name (Badge) | Photos By |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Narrative

VEHICLE 2 WAS PROCEEDING E/B ON HARTLAND, AT KILMER, WHEN VEHICLE 1 PULLED OUT IN FRONT, FROM N/B KILMER CAUSING A CRASH VEHICLE 2 DID NOT HAVE A STOP SIGN AND HAD THE RIGHT OF WAY.ININDRIVER 1 ADVISED SHE THOUGHT TRAFFIC WAS CLEAR AND THAT E/B HARTLAND HAD A STOP SIGN SO SHE BEGAN PROCEEDING N/B ON KILMER AFTER STOPPING FOR A STOP SIGN AT HARTLAND.ININDRIVER 2 ADVISED SHE WAS E/B ON HARTLAND WHEN DRIVER 1 PULLED OUT IN FRONT OF HER FROM N/B KILMER.

INININDEPENDENT WITNESS, RICHARD BAILEY, CONFIRMED DRIVER 2'S STATEMENT.

Weather: Various
Serial Number: 33214
Installed by: Matt Clark
Other Notes: None

| Start | 21-Oct-19 |  | Tue |  | Wed |  | Thu |  | Fri |  | Sat |  | Sun |  | Week Average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | WB | EB | WB | EB | WB | EB | WB | EB | WB | EB | WB | EB | WB | EB | WB | EB |
| 12:00 AM | * | * | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 1 | 2 |
| 01:00 | * | * | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 2 | 1 |
| 02:00 | * | * | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 | 2 |
| 03:00 | * | * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 | 0 |
| 04:00 | * | * | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 1 | 0 |
| 05:00 | * | * | 4 | 5 | 4 | 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 4 | 4 |
| 06:00 | * | * | 20 | 9 | 11 | 12 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 16 | 10 |
| 07:00 | * | * | 43 | 19 | 45 | 27 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 44 | 23 |
| 08:00 | * | * | 78 | 43 | 82 | 55 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 80 | 49 |
| 09:00 | * | * | 32 | 25 | 16 | 16 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 24 | 20 |
| 10:00 | * | * | 16 | 34 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 16 | 34 |
| 11:00 | * | * | 29 | 45 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 29 | 45 |
| 12:00 PM | * | * | 33 | 50 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 33 | 50 |
| 01:00 | * | * | 32 | 39 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 32 | 39 |
| 02:00 | * | * | 38 | 37 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 38 | 37 |
| 03:00 | * | * | 39 | 63 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 39 | 63 |
| 04:00 | 10 | 32 | 24 | 90 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 17 | 61 |
| 05:00 | 30 | 143 | 33 | 139 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 32 | 141 |
| 06:00 | 34 | 73 | 25 | 81 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 30 | 77 |
| 07:00 | 27 | 40 | 25 | 46 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 26 | 43 |
| 08:00 | 12 | 45 | 13 | 30 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 12 | 38 |
| 09:00 | 6 | 29 | 12 | 20 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 9 | 24 |
| 10:00 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 10 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 3 | 10 |
| 11:00 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 4 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 4 | 6 |
| Lane | 125 | 378 | 505 | 797 | 164 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 492 | 779 |
| Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak | - | - | 08:00 | 11:00 | 08:00 | 08:00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 08:00 | 08:00 |
| Vol. | - | - | 78 | 45 | 82 | 55 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 80 | 49 |
| PM Peak | 18:00 | 17:00 | 15:00 | 17:00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15:00 | 17:00 |
| Vol. | 34 | 143 | 39 | 139 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 39 | 141 |


| Comb. Total | 503 | 1302 | 279 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1271 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADT | ADT 1,302 | AADT 1,302 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Weather: Various
Serial Number: 27494 Installed by: Matt Clark Other Notes: None

34000 Plymouth Road
Livonia, MI 48150
Advancing Communities
Kilmer S of Hartland


| Comb. <br> Total | 0 | 0 | 912 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Weather: Various
Serial Number: 33214
Installed by: Matt 214
Other Notes: None


Stats
10 MPH Pace Speed: 21-30 MPH Number in Pace : 260 $\begin{array}{lr}\text { Percent in Pace : } & 51.5 \% \\ \text { icles }>25 \mathrm{MPH}: & 171\end{array}$

Weather: Various
Serial Number: 33214
Installed by: Matt Clark
Other Notes: None
Othe


Weather: Various
Serial Number: 27494 Installed by: Matt Clark Other Notes: None

| Start | 1 | 16 | 21 | 26 | 31 | 36 | 41 | 46 | 51 | 56 | 61 | 66 | 71 | 76 |  | Pace | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 999 | Total | Speed | in Pace |
| 10/16/19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | * | * |
| 01:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | * | * |
| 02:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14-23 | 1 |
| 03:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | * | * |
| 04:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | * | 1 |
| 05:00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 20-29 | 4 |
| 06:00 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 21-30 | 20 |
| 07:00 | 6 | 6 | 14 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 21-30 | 31 |
| 08:00 | 8 | 16 | 34 | 17 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 21-30 | 51 |
| 09:00 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 20-29 | 16 |
| 10:00 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 16-25 | 12 |
| 11:00 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 21-30 | 14 |
| 12 PM | 2 | 4 | 16 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 21-30 | 28 |
| 13:00 | 2 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 16-25 | 17 |
| 14:00 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 20-29 | 8 |
| 15:00 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 16-25 | 13 |
| 16:00 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 20-29 | 11 |
| 17:00 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 21-30 | 14 |
| 18:00 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 20-29 | 11 |
| 19:00 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 20-29 | 14 |
| 20:00 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 11-20 | 3 |
| 21:00 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 21-30 | 8 |
| 22:00 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15-24 | 3 |
| 23:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | * | * |
| Total | 50 | 77 | 148 | 126 | 32 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 438 |  |  |
| Percent | 11.4\% | 17.6\% | 33.8\% | 28.8\% | 7.3\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  |
| AM Peak | 11:00 | 08:00 | 08:00 | 07:00 | 08:00 | 06:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 08:00 |  |  |
| Vol. | 9 | 16 | 34 | 17 | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 82 |  |  |
| PM Peak | 16:00 | 13:00 | 12:00 | 12:00 | 12:00 | 15:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12:00 |  |  |
| Vol. | 6 | 7 | 16 | 12 | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40 |  |  |

Weather: Various
Serial Number: 27494
Installed by: Matt Clark
Other Notes: None
Oth


Weather: Various
Serial Number: 27494 Installed by: Matt Clark Other Notes: None

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start | 1 | 16 | 21 | 26 | 31 | 36 | 41 | 46 | 51 | 56 | 61 | 66 | 71 | 76 |  | Pace | Number |
| Time | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 999 | Total | Speed | in Pace |
| 10/16/19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | * | * |
| 01:00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9-18 | 1 |
| 02:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | * | * |
| 03:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | * | * |
| 04:00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9-18 | 1 |
| 05:00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9-18 | 1 |
| 06:00 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 16-25 | 7 |
| 07:00 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 21-30 | 13 |
| 08:00 | 2 | 5 | 18 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 21-30 | 26 |
| 09:00 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 16-25 | 14 |
| 10:00 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 21-30 | 7 |
| 11:00 | 9 | 5 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 19-28 | 16 |
| 12 PM | 2 | 7 | 21 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 21-30 | 29 |
| 13:00 | 1 | 6 | 13 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 16-25 | 19 |
| 14:00 | 3 | 10 | 13 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 16-25 | 23 |
| 15:00 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 16-25 | 20 |
| 16:00 | 4 | 11 | 21 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 21-30 | 34 |
| 17:00 | 7 | 12 | 24 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 20-29 | 38 |
| 18:00 | 2 | 4 | 14 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 21-30 | 21 |
| 19:00 | 1 | 4 | 14 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 20-29 | 20 |
| 20:00 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 16-25 | 15 |
| 21:00 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 21-30 | 8 |
| 22:00 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 19-28 | 4 |
| 23:00 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 16-25 | 3 |
| Total | 55 | 103 | 194 | 106 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 474 |  |  |
| Percent | 11.6\% | 21.7\% | 40.9\% | 22.4\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  |
| AM Peak | 11:00 | 09:00 | 08:00 | 07:00 | 09:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 08:00 |  |  |
| Vol. | 9 | 7 | 18 | 8 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34 |  |  |
| PM Peak | 15:00 | 17:00 | 17:00 | 17:00 | 12:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17:00 |  |  |
| Vol. | 7 | 12 | 24 | 14 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57 |  |  |

Weather: Various
Serial Number: 27494
Installed by: Matt Clark
Other Notes: None

Livonia, MI 48150
Kilmer
Advancing Communities
S of Hartland


## Reference Guide on Traffic Control Determination in the State of Michigan

## Backeground

This document is intended to be used as a reference guide for performing intersection traffic control studies of intersections on public roadways in Michigan. The document explains the procedure and requirements necessary to implement traffic control at an intersection as stipulated by the Michigan Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MMUTCD). Generally, the starting premise is an uncontrolled intersection. The first step would then be to verify if the intersection should remain uncontrolled or if YIELD or STOP controls on the minor street approach(es) should be provided. For locations with higher traffic volumes and / or crash issues, then an evaluation of the location for all-way STOP warrants would be performed. The appropriate analysis for each level of control described below.

## YIELD Traffic Control Guidance

The use of a YIELD sign is intended to assign the right-of-way at intersections where it is not usually necessary to stop before proceeding into the intersection. Conversely, the STOP sign is intended for use where it is usually necessary to stop before proceeding into the intersection.

The following conditions should be fully evaluated to determine how the right-of-way should be assigned:

- Traffic Volumes: Normally, the heavier volume of traffic should be given the right-of-way.
- Approach Speeds: The higher speed traffic should normally be given the right-of-way.
- Types of Highways: When a minor highway intersects a major highway, it is usually desirable to control the minor highway.
- Sight Distance: Sight distance across the corners of the intersection is the most important factor and is critical in determining safe approach speeds.


## STOP Traffic Control Guidance

Based on the MMUTCD there are four conditions where STOP signs may be warranted:

- At the intersection of a less important road with a main road where application of the normal right-of-way rule is unduly hazardous.
- On a street entering a through highway or street.
- At an unsignalized intersection in a signalized area.
- At other intersections where a combination of high speed, restricted view, or crash records indicate a need for control by the STOP sign.

Many times STOP signs are installed where they may not be warranted. Traffic experts agree that unnecessary STOP signs:

- Cause accidents they are designed to prevent.
- Breed contempt for other necessary STOP signs.
- Waste millions of gallons of gasoline annually.
- Create added noise and air pollution.
- Increase, rather than decrease, speeds between intersections.

There is also an explicit restriction in the MMUTCD that STOP signs are not to be used for speed control, in Section 2B. 04.

## Evaluation of All-W ay STOP Traffic Control

Based on the MMUTCD there are four conditions where all-way STOP signs may be warranted:
A. Where traffic control signals are justified, the multi-way stop is an interim measure that can be installed quickly to control traffic while arrangements are being made for the installation of the traffic control signal.
B. Five or more reported crashes in a 12 -month period that are susceptible to correction by a multi-way stop installation. Such crashes include right-turn and left-turn collisions as well as right-angle collisions.
C. Minimum volumes:

1. The vehicular volume entering the intersection from the major street approaches (total of both approaches) averages at least 300 vehicles per hour for any 8 bours of an average day; and
2. The combined vehicular, pedestrian, and bicycle volume entering the intersection from the minor street approaches (total of both approaches) averages at least 200 units per hour for the same 8 hours, with an average delay to minor-street vehicular traffic of at least 30 seconds per vehicle during the bigbest hour; but
3. If the 85 th-percentile approach speed of the major-street traffic exceeds 40 mph , the minimum vehicular volume warrants are 70 percent of the values provided in Items 1 and 2.
D. Where no single criterion is satisfied, but where Criteria B, C.1, and C. 2 are all satisfied to 80 percent of the minimum values. Criterion C. 3 is excluded from this condition.

## ITEM \#6

## TRAFFIC COMMITTEE REPORT

October 21, 2019

TO: Traffic Committee
FROM: Bill Huotari, City Engineer/ Traffic Engineer
SUBJECT: 2020 Traffic Committee Meeting Schedule

According to the City of Troy Traffic Committee By-Laws, Article IV - Meetings:
"Regular meetings will be held on the third Wednesday of each month at 7:30 p.m. at the Troy City Hall, 500 West Big Beaver Road, Troy, Michigan."

There are no other by-laws or procedures that establish the actual dates of the meetings, but an annual calendar of meetings is published by the City so meeting dates need to be set for this purpose.

Recommended dates for 2020 Traffic Committee meetings are detailed below:

- Wednesday, January 15
- Wednesday, February 19
- Wednesday, March 18
- Wednesday, April 15
- Wednesday, May 20
- Wednesday, June 17
- Wednesday, July 15
- August - NO MEETING
- Wednesday, September 16
- Wednesday, October 21
- Wednesday, November 18
- December - NO MEETING

