

500 West Big Beaver Troy, MI 48084 troymi.gov

248.524.3364 planning@troymi.gov

PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING AGENDA REGULAR MEETING

Tom Krent, Chairman, David Lambert, Vice Chairman Carlton Faison, Michael W. Hutson, Lakshmi Malalahalli, Marianna Perakis, Sadek Rahman, Jerry Rauch and John J. Tagle

May 25, 2021

7:00 P.M.

Council Chambers

Public Comment may be communicated to the Planning Commission via telephone voice mail by calling 248.524.1305 or by sending an email to planning@troymi.gov. All comments will be provided to the Planning Commission.

- 1. ROLL CALL
- 2. SUSPENSION OF PLANNING COMMISSION BYLAWS
- 3. <u>APPROVAL OF AGENDA</u>
- 4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES April 27, 2021 and May 11, 2021
- 5. PUBLIC COMMENT For Items Not on the Agenda

REZONING REQUEST

 <u>PUBLIC HEARING – REZONING REQUEST (File Number Z JPLN2021-0001</u>) – Proposed Lindsey Center Rezoning, East of Crooks, south of Big Beaver (2690 Crooks; PIN 88-20-28-101-003), Section 28, From O (Office) to BB (Big Beaver) Zoning District

SITE PLAN REVIEW

- PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN REVIEW (File Number PSCP2021-0001) Proposed Willowbrook No. 2 Site Condominium (PIN 88-20-24-100-013 & 88-20-24-100-014), 7 units/lots, East side of John R, South of Wattles, Section 24, Currently Zoned R-1C (One Family Residential) Zoning District
- PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN REVIEW (File Number SP2021-0003) Proposed The Alcove Site Improvements, East side of Livernois, South of Big Beaver (2600 Livernois), Section 27, Currently Zoned MF (Multiple-Family Residential) Zoning District

CITY OF TROY MASTER PLAN

9. MASTER PLAN UPDATE

OTHER ITEMS

10. <u>PUBLIC COMMENTS</u> – For Items on the Agenda

11. PLANNING COMMISSION COMMENT

13. ADJOURN

NOTICE: People with disabilities needing accommodations for effective participation in this meeting should contact the City Clerk by e-mail at <u>clerk@troymi.gov</u> or by calling (248) 524-3317 at least two working days in advance of the meeting. An attempt will be made to make reasonable accommodations.

Chair Krent called the virtual Regular meeting of the Troy City Planning Commission to order at 7:00 p.m. on April 27, 2021. Chair Krent introduced the procedure to be followed for a remote meeting.

1. ROLL CALL

<u>Present:</u> Carlton M. Faison Michael W. Hutson Tom Krent David Lambert Lakshmi Malalahalli Marianna Perakis Sadek Rahman Jerry Rauch John J. Tagle

Also Present:

R. Brent Savidant, Community Development Director Ben Carlisle, Carlisle Wortman Associates Julie Quinlan Dufrane, Assistant City Attorney Jackie Ferencz, Planning Department Administrative Assistant Kathy L. Czarnecki, Recording Secretary

2. <u>SUSPENSION OF PLANNING COMMISSION BYLAWS</u>

Resolution # PC-2021-04-027

Moved by: Rahman Support by: Faison

WHEREAS Public Act 228 of 2020, MCL 15.263a(1)(b), permits public meetings to be held by electronic means where a local state of emergency has been declared,

WHEREAS the Troy City Council declared a local state of emergency through Resolution 2021-04-048 because it determined that an in-person meeting could detrimentally increase exposure of board members and the general public to COVID-19,

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That as allowed by Planning Commission Rules of Procedure Article IV, Sections 3 and 6, the Troy Planning Commission hereby **TEMPORARILY SUSPENDS** the requirement of holding a meeting at the Troy City Hall and **ALLOWS** all Troy Planning Commission Members to electronically participate in any Planning Commission meeting until the Troy City Council lifts the local state of emergency or through December 31, 2021 whichever is earlier.

Members participating electronically will be considered present and in attendance at the meeting and may participate in the meeting as if physically present. However, members must avoid using email, texting, instant messaging, and other such electronic forms of communication to make a decision or deliberate toward a decision.

RESOLVED, As allowed by Planning Commission By-laws and Rules of Procedure Article VII, Section 5(A-D) the Troy Planning Commission hereby TEMPORARILY SUSPENDS the requirement that a person who wishes to address Planning Commission must do so in person and **ALLOWS** four (4) methods of receiving Public Comment for electronic meetings. (1) Public comments can be submitted for the Planning Commission meeting by sending an email to: planning@troymi.gov. Timely received emails will be incorporated into the record by reference and will be distributed to Planning Commission members for review and consideration. Emails will be considered timely if received prior to 4:00 pm on the day of the meeting, (2) Public comments may be submitted by calling the following phone number and leaving a voicemail message: (248) 524-1305. Timely received voicemail messages will be played during the electronic meeting. Recorded voicemail messages will be considered timely if received prior to 4:00 pm on the day of the meeting, (3) Members of the public may attend the electronic meeting virtually by signing into the electronic meeting through the designated platform (i.e. Zoom.us) and may comment on an agenda item when recognized by the Chair, and (4) Members of the public may call into the electronic meeting using a designated call-in number associated with the electronic meeting and will be recognized by the Chair before being permitted to speak. All public comments will be limited to three minutes.

Discussion on the motion on the floor.

There was discussion on procedure to follow with respect to public comment received by email.

- Acknowledge receipt and read all email messages into the record.
- Acknowledge receipt and read only email messages not incorporated in agenda packet and received after posted deadline.
- If resident present at live Public Hearing, email message does not have to be read.
- Consistency in procedure among all Boards; City Council reads email messages into record.
- Tally email messages; in support and/or in opposition.
- Select Planning Commission member to read email messages into the record.
- Time limitation on email messages.
- Notice posted online regarding public comment received by email.

Ms. Dufrane clarified the Notice posted online with reference to public comment posed no legal concern. She advised the Board that an amendment to the Bylaws requires two-thirds vote.

<u>Resolution # PC-2021-04-028</u>

Moved by: Perakis Support by: Lambert

RESOLVED, To **AMEND** the **RESOLVED** portion of Resolution #PC-2021-04-027, specifically item one (1) with respect to the four (4) methods of receiving Public Comment for electronic meetings, to read: (1) Public comments can be submitted for the Planning Commission meeting by sending an email to: <u>planning@troymi.gov</u>. Timely

received emails will be read at the meeting and made part of the record unless the email author attends the meeting at the live public hearing, at which point the email message will not be read but rather incorporated into the record by reference.

Vote on the amendment on the floor.

Yes: All present (9)

MOTION CARRIED

Vote on the motion on the floor as amended.

Resolution # PC-2021-04-027 (as amended)

Moved by: Rahman Support by: Faison

Yes: All present (9)

MOTION CARRIED

3. <u>APPROVAL OF AGENDA</u>

<u>Resolution # PC-2021-04-029</u>

Moved by: Rahman Support by: Faison

RESOLVED, To approve the Agenda as amended to remove Agenda item #9, Rezoning Request (File Number Z JPLN2021-0001), Proposed Lindsey Center Rezoning, East side of Crooks, South of Big Beaver (2690 Crooks, Parcel 88-20-28-101-003), Section 28, From O (Office) to UR (Urban Residential).

Yes: All present (9)

MOTION CARRIED

4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Resolution # PC-2021-04-030

Moved by: Lambert Support by: Malalahalli

RESOLVED, To approve the minutes of the April 13, 2021 Regular meeting as submitted.

Yes: All present (9)

MOTION CARRIED

- 5. <u>PUBLIC COMMENT</u> For Items Submitted via Email or Telephone Message
 - Brody Rukenbrod, University of Detroit Jesuit High School student and Troy resident, was virtually present. Mr. Rukenbrod asked for consideration to install a public basketball court at Beach Road park.

Mr. Savidant advised the Board that Mr. Rukenbrod's request received in an email format was forwarded to the appropriate department.

PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN REVIEW

 <u>PUBLIC HEARING - PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN REVIEW (File Number SP2020-0013)</u>
 – Proposed The Meadows of Troy (One Family Residential Cluster), East of John R, North of Square Lake (Parcel 88-20-01-300-016), Section 1, Currently Zoned R-1D (One Family Residential) District

Mr. Carlisle gave a brief review of the Preliminary Site Plan application that was presented and discussed at the April 13, 2021 meeting. He specifically addressed the open space, wetlands, trailhead, desired housing project, elevations and renderings and the applicant's request for a side yard deviation. Mr. Carlisle compared the proposed cluster development plan with what could be developed by right. Mr. Carlisle cited the benefits of the application that would not be achievable without a cluster type development. He recommends that the Planning Commission recommend approval to the City Council with the three conditions as identified in his report dated March 26, 2021.

Present were Tim Loughrin and James Clarke of Robertson Brothers, James Butler of Professional Engineering Associates and Rick West, Superintendent for Business Services, Troy School District.

Mr. Loughrin gave a PowerPoint presentation. He addressed property ownership, desired ranch style homes, open space, homeowners' association maintenance responsibility and the trailhead amenity that connects to the existing trail system.

There was discussion on:

- Landscaping along the west and east sides of the development.
- Ownership of parcels.
- Deviation of side yard setbacks; sale of homes, "real" distance.
- Comparison of similar housing development by applicant outside of the City.
- Environmental concerns with maintenance of open space area.
- Typical homeowners' association fees for this type of development; \$350-\$450/mo.

Mr. Clarke addressed the cluster development plan as relates to the side yard deviation and preservation of the wetlands.

PUBLIC HEARING OPENED (continued)

There was no one virtually present to speak.

The following email messages were read:

- Laury Shah, no address; in opposition
- Ellen B, no last name/address, multiple emails and petition; in opposition
- Maureen Bedford, no address, multiple emails; in opposition
- Koshy and Esther George, 2212 Lakeside; in opposition
- Natalie Chrisopoulas, no address; in opposition
- Chuck Shepherd, no address; in support
- Linda and Don Gottschalk, 6270 Silverstone, two emails; in opposition
- Dorene, no last name/address; in opposition
- Camille Bedford, no address, 2 emails; in opposition

Ms. Ferencz reported no voicemail messages were received.

PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED

Mr. Rauch noted from the 39-page petition of 843 signatures, he counted 140 Troy resident signatures.

Resolution # PC-2021-04-xxx

Moved by: Rahman Support by: - - -

RESOLVED, The Planning Commission hereby recommends to the City Council that the proposed The Meadows of Troy Site Condominium (One Family Residential Cluster), 31 units/lots, East of John R, North of Square Lake (Parcel 88-20-01-300-016), Section 1, approximately 12 acres in size, Currently Zoned R-1D (One Family Residential) District, be approved for the following reasons:

- 1. The cluster development better protects the site's natural resources than if the site were not developed as a cluster.
- 2. The cluster development better protects the adjacent properties than if the site were not developed as a cluster.
- 3. The cluster development is compatible with adjacent properties.
- 4. The site can be adequately served with municipal water and sewer.
- 5. The applicant is providing a public parking lot and trailhead to preserved trails.
- 6. The applicant is providing a housing product with first floor master bedroom and bath desired by the community.

BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED, The Planning Commission recommends the following design considerations:

- 1. Provide a new wetland assessment or extension from Michigan Department of Environment, Greak Lakes and Energy (EGLE).
- 2. Provide maintenance agreement for the public parking lot.

- 3. Provide easement over Turtle Woods for access to the public lot.
- 4. Provide landscaping on the east and west sides with trees for privacy.
- 5. To include in the Homeowners' Association manual a statement that state they should not use chemicals that are harmful for animals and ecology.

With no support for the motion, a brief discussion followed with respect to the appropriateness of placing restrictions on the use of harsh chemicals in the maintenance of the open space area. Mr. Rahman opted to remove condition #5.

Resolution # PC-2021-04-031

Moved by: Rahman Support by: Lambert

RESOLVED, The Planning Commission hereby recommends to the City Council that the proposed The Meadows of Troy Site Condominium (One Family Residential Cluster), 31 units/lots, East of John R, North of Square Lake (Parcel 88-20-01-300-016), Section 1, approximately 12 acres in size, Currently Zoned R-1D (One Family Residential) District, be approved for the following reasons:

- 1. The cluster development better protects the site's natural resources than if the site were not developed as a cluster.
- 2. The cluster development better protects the adjacent properties than if the site were not developed as a cluster.
- 3. The cluster development is compatible with adjacent properties.
- 4. The site can be adequately served with municipal water and sewer.
- 5. The applicant is providing a public parking lot and trailhead to preserved trails.
- 6. The applicant is providing a housing product with first floor master bedroom and bath desired by the community.

BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED, The Planning Commission recommends the following design considerations:

- 1. Provide a new wetland assessment or extension from the Michigan Department of Environment, Greak Lakes and Energy (EGLE).
- 2. Provide maintenance agreement for the public parking lot.
- 3. Provide easement over Turtle Woods for access to the public lot.
- 4. Provide landscaping on the east and west sides with trees for privacy.
- Yes: Faison, Krent, Lambert, Rahman, Tagle
- No: Hutson, Perakis, Rauch, Malalahalli

MOTION CARRIED

Mr. Hutson stated he voted no because he is in favor of placing a condition on the use of harsh chemicals in the maintenance of the open space area.

SPECIAL USE APPROVAL

 PUBLIC HEARING - SPECIAL USE AND PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN REVIEW (File Number SP2020-0014) – Proposed St. Mark Coptic Church Gymnasium and Classroom Addition, West side of Livernois, South of Wattles (3603 Livernois), Section 21, Currently Zoned R-1B (One Family Residential) District

Mr. Carlisle gave a brief review of the Special Use and Preliminary Site Plan application that was presented and discussed at the April 13, 2021 meeting. He addressed worship services and the use of the gymnasium, landscape plan, lighting, elevations and renderings.

Mr. Carlisle said if the Planning Commission is satisfied that the use of the addition would not impact adjacent residential properties, that all Special Use standards have been met. He recommends approval of the application with the two conditions as identified in his report dated March 26, 2021.

Present were project architect Harold Remlinger of DesignTeam Plus and Mark Nasr of St. Mark Optic Church.

Mr. Remlinger gave a PowerPoint presentation. He addressed building height, insulation and security, existing landscape screening, lighting and church worship and gymnasium activities. Mr. Remlinger assured the Board there would be no spillage of light onto neighboring properties and worship services and gymnasium activities would not be held concurrently.

There was discussion on:

- Maintenance of church property.
- Landscape screening.

Mr. Carlisle confirmed the church is currently in compliance with landscape requirements. He said although the existing dense tree line appears to offer sufficient screening for adjacent residential, the Planning Commission could place a condition on the Special Use approval to provide additional landscaping.

Mr. Savidant said he conducted a site visit. He said the property appears to be wellmaintained, the existing trees are quite dense, and he could not confirm any allegations as cited in comments received from the public.

PUBLIC HEARING OPENED (continued)

There was no one virtually present to speak.

The following email messages were read:

- David Bemis, no address, multiple emails; in opposition
- Mary Ann and Joseph Howell, no address; in opposition
- Suzanne Conover, 73 Kirks Lane; in opposition

Ms. Ferencz reported no voicemail messages were received.

PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED

Ms. Perakis said the property appeared to be well-kept when she visited the site.

Mr. Nasr said the church wants to be a good neighbor and welcomed anyone to walk the property or contact the church with any concerns.

Resolution # PC-2021-04-032

Moved by: Lambert Support by: Tagle

RESOLVED, That Special Use Approval and Preliminary Site Plan Approval for the proposed St. Mark Coptic Church Gymnasium and Classroom Addition, West side of Livernois, South of Wattles (Parcel 88-20-21-277-036), Section 21, Zoned R-1B (One Family Residential) District, be granted, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Applicant agrees that there will be no concurrent use of site facilities that should require parking to exceed 322 spaces. Events or uses that draw users to the facility, such as basketball tournaments or other large gathering events, shall not be held concurrently with regularly scheduled church activities such as mass.
- 2. Applicant provides a detailed landscape review as a part of the final site plan.

Yes: All present (9)

MOTION CARRIED

CONDITIONAL REZONING

 PUBLIC HEARING - CONDITIONAL REZONING (File Number CR JPLN2019-003) – Proposed Livernois Court, East side of Livernois, North of Big Beaver (88-20-22-301-007, 88-20-22-301-008 and 88-20-22-301-009), Section 22, From R-1C (One Family residential) to BB (Big Beaver Road)

After a brief explanation of a Conditional Rezoning application, Mr. Carlisle reviewed the Conditional Rezoning application for the Board's consideration this evening. He addressed the existing wetlands and floodplain, the concept plan provided by the applicant and conditions offered by the applicant. Mr. Carlisle indicated that due to traffic, surrounding land uses and limited developable area because of the wetlands and floodplain, it is unlikely that the site will develop as currently zoned single family residential. Mr. Carlisle asked the applicant to confirm how the development relates to the floodplain and wetlands, how he plans to preserve the wetland/floodplain area and explain the necessity of a 40-foot easement for the parcel to the north.

Mr. Carlisle said the change in the application since it was last considered at the December 10, 2020 meeting is that the parcel to the north and owned by Mr. Black is now included in the proposed rezoning. He addressed how the property could be developed by right and how the application relates to the Rezoning Standards and the Master Plan. Mr. Carlisle recommends that the Planning Commission consider the application, hold a public hearing and consider any public comments.

Mr. Rauch stated he attended the December 10, 2020 meeting in which this item was considered and spoke at the public hearing as a member of the public. He said his comments were based on the information presented at that time and do not reflect any pre-determined opinion on the application before the Board this evening.

Present were Erion Nikolla of Eureka Building Company and James Butler of Professional Engineering Associates.

Mr. Nikolla said Mr. Black, owner of the parcel to the north, does not want to sell his property but Mr. Black supports the proposed rezoning to the Big Beaver zoning district. He said the proposed 40-foot easement is for access to and from the Black property. Mr. Nikolla said the same rezoning conditions would apply to the Black property. Mr. Nikolla said the development would not interfere with the floodplain or wetlands and conservation of the wetlands would be determined during legal discussions and incorporated into the Conditional Rezoning agreement.

There was discussion on:

- Applicant bound by any approval of a conditional rezoning, even if subsequent zoning changes are made to the Master Plan.
- Uniqueness of this particular application.
 - o not tied to a specific site plan
 - o submittal by co-applicants, co-property ownership
 - o future development on northern parcel unknown
 - o uncertainty that conditions offered are associated to northern parcel
- Comparison of building heights of surrounding developments.
- Allowable building heights in Big Beaver district versus multi-family district.
- Process to draft Conditional Rezoning agreement.
- Assurance that wetlands, green space to the east remain undeveloped.

Ms. Dufrane stated that any conditions offered by the applicant, or in this case coapplicants, must be associated to all the parcels; one parcel cannot be singled out and acquire a zoning change only.

Ms. Dufrane will work with the applicants on the application submittal as it relates to property ownership and conditions offered.

PUBLIC HEARING OPENED

There was no one virtually present to speak.

The following email messages were read:

- Chance Tess, property owner of Parcel 88-20-22-301-009; in support
- George Contis, legal representative for Judith A. Bill and B. Suzanne Giarmarco, property owners of Parcel 88-20-22-301-008; in support
- William B. Black, 3364 Livernois, property owner of Parcel 20-22-301-007; in support

Ms. Ferencz reported no voicemail messages were received.

PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED

Resolution # PC-2021-04-033

Moved by: Lambert Support by: Rahman

RESOLVED, To postpone action on the application so that the petitioner has time to resolve issues raised by City staff, the Planning Consultant and members of the Commission.

Yes: All present (9)

MOTION CARRIED

REZONING

9. Agenda item removed; see Resolution # PC-2021-04-029.

10. PLANNING COMMISSION COMMENT

There were general Planning Commission comments, some relating to:

- Zoom meeting format for public hearings.
- Comparison of applications with other communities.
- Signatures on petitions.

Ms. Dufrane asked members to determine a format they wish to follow in handling public comment at Public Hearings so that she can better prepare a Resolution to Suspend the Bylaws.

11. ADJOURNMENT

The virtual Regular meeting of the Planning Commission adjourned at 10:55 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Tom Krent, Chair

Kathy L. Czarnecki, Recording Secretary

C:\Users\bob\Documents\Kathy\COT Planning Commission Minutes\2021\2021 04 27 Regular Meeting_Draft.doc

Chair Krent called the virtual Regular meeting of the Troy City Planning Commission to order at 7:06 p.m. on May 11, 2021.

1. ROLL CALL

Present: Carlton M. Faison Tom Krent David Lambert Lakshmi Malalahalli Marianna Perakis Sadek Rahman Jerry Rauch John J. Tagle

<u>Absent:</u> Michael W. Hutson

Also Present:

R. Brent Savidant, Community Development Director Ben Carlisle, Carlisle Wortman Associates Julie Quinlan Dufrane, Assistant City Attorney Jackie Ferencz, Planning Department Administrative Assistant Kathy L. Czarnecki, Recording Secretary

Resolution # PC-2021-05-034

Moved by: Rauch Support by: Faison

RESOLVED, To postpone all agenda items on the May 11, 2021 meeting and the Public Hearing for Agenda item #6, Proposed Lindsey Center Rezoning, to the May 25, 2021 meeting.

Discussion on the motion on the floor.

Mr. Savidant announced that because of a technical difficulty with the Zoom remote meeting platform, the meeting does not afford the ability for public participation required under the Open Meetings Act. Therefore, it is recommended to postpone all items on the agenda for two weeks.

Vote on the motion on the floor.

Yes: Faison, Krent, Lambert, Malalahalli, Perakis, Rahman, Rauch, Tagle Absent: Hutson

MOTION CARRIED

Mr. Savidant formally apologized to the applicants present for Agenda item #6, Jordan Jonna, Eric Williams and Jason Gekiere.

Ms. Dufrane announced City Council lifted the State of Emergency order, and it is expected that the May 25, 2021 meeting will be in person. She stated that to continue to meet remotely, one must be in the military or cite a medical condition. Ms. Dufrane asked any Board member to advise the administration if he/she cannot attend in person and cite the reason to continue to attend remotely so the administration can accommodate the member(s).

Ms. Dufrane and Mr. Savidant assured that all precautions will be taken to protect Board members and the general public from exposure to COVID-19, such as social distancing, mask coverings, disinfectants.

Mr. Savidant said most likely additional agenda items would be added to the agenda posted this evening but noted no additional public hearings have been noticed.

The virtual Regular meeting of the Planning Commission adjourned at 7:14 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Tom Krent, Chair

Kathy L. Czarnecki, Recording Secretary

C:\Users\bob\Documents\Kathy\COT Planning Commission Minutes\2021\2021 05 11 Regular Meeting_Draft Postpone.doc

DATE: May 6, 2021

- TO: Planning Commission
- FROM: R. Brent Savidant, Community Development Director
- SUBJECT: <u>PUBLIC HEARING REZONING REQUEST (File Number Z JPLN2021-</u> <u>0001</u>) – Proposed Lindsey Center Rezoning, East of Crooks, south of Big Beaver (2690 Crooks; PIN 88-20-28-101-003), Section 28, From O (Office) to BB (Big Beaver) Zoning District.

The applicant AF Jonna Development & Management Company seeks rezoning of the subject parcel from O (Office) to BB (Big Beaver). The parcel is 4.45 acres in area. An office building presently sits on the parcel.

Based on the application, the applicant seeks to repurpose the existing building from office to residential. This may be accommodated via the building permit review process if there is minimal site work proposed. The Big Beaver Zoning District permits multi-family residential use, the Office district does not.

The Master Plan classifies the parcel as Big Beaver Road. The rezoning application is consistent with the Master Plan.

The attached report prepared by Carlisle/Wortman Associates, Inc. (CWA), the City's Planning Consultant, summarizes the rezoning request. CWA prepared the report with input from various City departments including Planning, Engineering, Public Works and Fire Department. City Management supports the findings of fact contained in the report and agrees with the recommendation.

Attachments:

- 1. Maps
- 2. Report prepared by Carlisle/Wortman Associates, Inc.
- 3. Rezoning application

G:\REZONING REQUESTS\Z JPLN2021-0001 LINDSEY CENTER\PC Memo 05 11 2021.doc

PROPOSED RESOLUTION

<u>PUBLIC HEARING – REZONING REQUEST (File Number Z JPLN2021-0001)</u> – Proposed Lindsey Center Rezoning, East of Crooks, south of Big Beaver (2690 Crooks; PIN 88-20-28-101-003), Section 28, From O (Office) to BB (Big Beaver) Zoning District.

Resolution # PC-2021-05-

Moved by: Seconded by:

RESOLVED, That the Planning Commission hereby recommends to the City Council that the O to BB rezoning request, as per Section 16.03 of the City of Troy Zoning Ordinance, located on the east side of Crooks, south of Big Beaver (2690 Crooks), within Section 28, being approximately 4.45 acres in size, be **GRANTED** for the following reasons:

- 1. The proposed rezoning is consistent with the Master Plan
- 2. The proposed rezoning does not appear to cause or increase any nonconformity.
- 3. If rezoned the property will be capable of accommodating service and facility loads caused by use of the development.
- 4. The rezoning does not appear to impact public health, safety, or welfare.
- 5. The rezoning will ensure compatibility with adjacent uses of land.
- 6. The rezoning would provide market flexibility to an office building in the wake of the Covid-19 pandemic.
- 7. The rezoning would permit residential uses by right, which would have the effect of locating new residents within walking distance of the Big Beaver commercial corridor and employment centers.
- 8. The rezoning would permit residential uses which would promote walkability and create a more 24-hour environment within the Big beaver corridor.

Yes: No:

MOTION PASSED / FAILED



117 NORTH FIRST STREET SUITE 70 ANN ARBOR, MI 48104 734.662.2200 734.662.1935 FAX

Date:

May 4, 2021

Rezoning Analysis For City of Troy, Michigan

Applicant:	Jordan Jonna
Project Name:	Lindsey Center
Location:	2690 Crooks Road
Zoning:	O, Office
Action Requested:	Rezone from O, Office to BB, Big Beaver

SITE/PROJECT DESCRIPTION/CURRENT USE

The applicant is requesting to rezone the parcel at 2690 Crooks Road from O-Office to BB, Big Beaver, in order to convert the existing four-story Lindsey Centre building to a 64-unit apartment building. The parcel is located on the east side of Crooks Road, between Big Beaver (16 Mile) Road and Kirts Boulevard. Currently, the 14.8-acre subject site is improved with the aforementioned 4-story office building. Overall site parking is sufficient for the conversion from office to residential.

Multiple-family residential is not a permitted use in the O, Office District but is permitted in BB, Big Beaver Residential. Please note that additional uses to the site that alter the existing building footprint or any additional building on site will require a future site plan review by the Planning Commission.

Lindsey Rezoning May 4, 2021

Figure 1. Aerial View of Site



NEIGHBORING ZONING AND LAND USE



The following chart compares zoning, future land use designation, and existing land use surrounding the subject parcel.

Table 1. Neighboring Zoning and Land Use				
	Zoning	Existing Land Use	Future Land Use (Master Plan)	
Subject Parcel	O – Office	Office Building	Big Beaver / High Density Residential	
North	BB – Big Beaver Road FBC	Office Building	Big Beaver Road FBC	
South	O – Office	Funeral Home	High Density Residential	
East	O – Office	Office Building	Big Beaver / High Density Residential	
West	R-1C – One Family Residential / O – Office	Church (Special Use) / Office	Big Beaver / High Density Residential	

Table 1. Neighboring Zoning and Land Us	е
---	---

According to the Future Land Use Map in the Troy Master Plan, the property is located on the border of the Big Beaver Road Corridor and High Density Residential.

MASTER PLAN

The proposed development is consistent with the Master Plan as the City seeks to bring additional high-density residential units to this area of the City. The 2006 Big Beaver Corridor study stated that "In order to remain competitive and continue to be a leader in economic development in Southeast Michigan, Troy must plan for this Corridor to evolve in light of a changing economy." In that spirit, the City adopted the key concepts of the Big Beaver Corridor Study in 2006:

- Promote redevelopment opportunities along the Big Beaver Corridor.
- Promote redevelopment with a greater mix of land uses, particularly new residences.
- Transform Big Beaver Corridor into a destination or "People Place" characterized by round-the-clock activity and an exciting nightlife.
- Maintain a unified vision for transforming Big Beaver Road into a World-Class Boulevard, while improving the corridor by focusing on each of its neighborhoods.
- Maintain and improve existing businesses along Big Beaver Corridor.
- Transform the corridor into a pedestrian-friendly environment.

The current Master Plan emphasizes these points, and emphasis residential uses along the corridor, and improvements to pedestrian circulation.

We find that the conversion of the office building into a multiple family residential use is consistent the Master Plan and Big Beaver Corridor Study:

- The proposed development will locate new residents within walking distance of the everbooming Big Beaver commercial corridor and employment centers.
- Providing easy access to a main thoroughfare within the City and regional transportation network.
- Repurposes a building from an unknown future office market to a known market of residential.
- Additional residential uses promotes walkability and creates a more 24-hour environment.

We find that the proposed rezoning is consistent with the City's adopted policies including the Master Plan and the Big Beaver Corridor Study.

DEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL

Current Zoning

The intent of the O, Office District is to provide areas for office uses and limited related retail and service uses which support an office environment. These districts are typically located along commercial corridors in the City, or on the periphery of regionally prominent retail and service center. The O, Office District is suited to serve as a conventional transition zone or in support of more regionally prominent areas districts with a more intense concentration of uses.

Proposed Zoning

The Troy Master Plan recognizes that certain areas of the City may be conducive to high-density residential dwellings, particularly when located in close proximity to more intense mixed use and non-residential development.

The Big Beaver (BB) District is intended to implement the policies set forth in the Big Beaver Corridor Study, Big Beaver Design Guidelines, and the City's Master Plan. With the current office market environment, the conversion to a more viable use of residential is supported both in the Master Plan, the Big Beaver Corridor Study and the zoning ordinance.

TRAFFIC IMPACT AND SITE ACCESS

Access to the site will be two driveways from Crooks Road. As stated before, the site is currently a 4-story office building with an existing parking lot with 300+ spaces. Sixty-four (64) multiple family residential units is less impactful from a traffic standpoint than the exiting four (4) story office building.

If future development is proposed for the site, a Traffic Impact Analysis may be required by the Zoning Administrator, in consultation with the Traffic Engineer, to analyze the effect of development upon existing street traffic.

ESSENTIAL FACILITIES AND SERVICES

Essential facilities and services related to the proposed development will be evaluated as part of the building permit review if the property is rezoned for use as a multiple family residential building.

FINDING FOR REZONING

According to Rezoning Procedures in Section 16.03C, a rezoning may only be approved upon a finding and determination that all of the following are satisfied:

1) The proposed rezoning is consistent with the Master Plan. If the current zoning is in material conflict with the Master Plan.

CWA: The Future Land Use Map in the Master Pan designates this area as the border between Big Beaver District and High Density Residential. Repurposing a building from an unknown future office market to a known market of residential is consistent with the goals and policies of the Master Plan and Big Beaver Corridor Study

2. The proposed rezoning will not cause nor increase any non-conformity.

CWA: Rezoning from O, Office to BB, Big Beaver will not cause nor increase any nonconformity as a residential use is not permitted in O, Office but is permitted in BB, Big Beaver.

3. Public services and facilities affected by a proposed development will be capable of accommodating service and facility loads caused by use of the development.

CWA: The use conversation is not likely to significantly adversely impact any public services and facilities under the requested rezoning.

4. The rezoning will not impact public health, safety, or welfare.

CWA: Rezoning of this site to BB, Big Beaver will not impact the public health, safety, or welfare of the citizens of Troy or adjacent municipality.

5. The rezoning will ensure compatibility with adjacent uses of land.

CWA: Adjacent uses of land are O, Office designated parcels of land with existing office/office-like buildings. The office building on the subject parcel will be converted into a high-density residential building, keeping the office building aesthetic. As stated above, the rezoning will be consistent with the Master Plan.

RECOMMENDATIONS

We find that the conversion of the rezoning of the site to repurpose the building from an unknown future office market to a known market of residential, is consistent with the Master Plan and the required rezoning standards:

- The proposed development will locate new residents within walking distance of the everbooming Big Beaver commercial corridor and employment centers.
- Provides easy access to a main thoroughfare within the city and regional transportation network.
- Additional residential promotes walkability and creates a more 24-hour environment.

Based upon the comments of the analysis, we recommend approval of the proposed rezoning of the parcel from O, Office to BB, Big Beaver.

un R. C.

CARLISLE/WORTMAN ASSOC., INC. Benjamin R. Carlisle, AICP, LEED AP Principal

CARLISLE/WORTMAN ASSOC., INC. Kristoffer Canty Planner

CITY OF TROY REZONING REQUEST APPLICATION

CITY OF TROY PLANNING DEPARTMENT 500 W. BIG BEAVER TROY, MICHIGAN 48084 248-524-3364 E-MAIL: planning@troymi.gov



REZONING REQUEST APPLICATION \$1,800.00

> ESCROW FEE \$1,500.00

NOTICE TO THE APPLICANT

REGULAR MEETINGS OF THE TROY PLANNING COMMISSION ARE HELD ON THE SECOND AND FOURTH TUESDAY OF EACH MONTH AT 7:00 P.M. AT CITY HALL.

APPLICATIONS SHALL BE FILED NOT LATER THAN THIRTY (30) DAYS BEFORE THE SCHEDULED DATE OF THE MEETING.

A PLANNING COMMISSION PUBLIC HEARING SHALL BE SCHEDULED FOLLOWING A DETERMINATION BY THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT THAT THE APPLICATION IS COMPLETE.

PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. NAME OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT: Lindsey Center
- 2. ADDRESS OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY: 2690 Crooks Road
- 3. CURRENT ZONING CLASSIFICATION: Office
- 4. PROPOSED ZONING CLASSIFICATION: Urban Residential (UR)
- 5. TAX ID NUMBER(S) OF SUBJECT PROPERTY: 20-28-101-003
- 6. APPLICANT FOR REZONING: NAME Jordan Jonna

OWNER OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY:

COMPANY AF Jonna Development & Management Co.		COMPANY	
ADDRESS 4036 Telegraph Road, Suite 201		ADDRESS	
_{CITY} Bloomfield Hills _{STATE} MI	_{ZIP} 48032		

TELEPHONE 248-593-6200

NAME

CITY ______STATE _____ ZIP _____

TELEPHONE_____

E-MAIL

- 7. THE APPLICANT BEARS THE FOLLOWING RELATIONSHIP TO THE OWNER OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY: Applicant is owner.
- 8. SIGNATURE OF THE PROPERTY OWNER: BY THIS SIGNATURE, THE PROPERTY OWNER AUTHORIZES PLACEMENT OF A SIGN ON THE PROPERTY TO INFORM THE PUBLIC AS TO THIS REQUEST FOR REZONING.

SIGNATURE OF THE APPLICANT: 9.

F-MAII jjonna@afjonna.com

Rev. Aug 2018

REZONING APPLICATION CHECKLIST

t

A COMPLE	FE REZONING APPLICATION SHALL INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING:
	REQUIRED FEE
	ONE (1) HARD COPY OF THE SIGNED APPLICATION FORM
	ONE (1) HARD COPY OF A CERTIFIED BOUNDARY SURVEY OF THE PROPERTY TO BE REZONED, WHICH SHALL INCLUDE A LEGAL DESCRIPTION AND A SCALE DRAWING, PREPARED BY A LICENSED LAND SURVEYOR
	ONE (1) HARD COPY OF A PROPOSED SITE PLAN INDICATING THE SUBJECT PROPERTY AND THE BUILDINGS / USES PROPOSED TO BE CONSTRUCTED
	ONE (1) HARD COPY OF A STATEMENT INDICATING WHY, IN THE OPINION OF THE APPLICANT, THE REZONING REQUESTED IS CONSISTENT WITH THE MASTER PLAN, AND WHY SUCH A REZONING IS CONSISTENT WITH ADJACENT ZONING DISTRICTS AND USES, AND WILL NOT BE DETRIMENTAL TO THE PROPERTY OF OTHER PERSONS LOCATED IN THE VICINITY
	ONE (1) CD CONTAINING AN ELECTRONIC VERSION OF THE COMPLETE REZONING APPLICATION

NOTICE TO APPLICANT

PUBLIC HEARING NOTICES REGARDING REQUESTS FOR REZONING REQUESTS WILL BE SENT TO PROPERTY OWNERS WITHIN 300 FEET OF THE SITE INVOLVED IN THE REQUEST. THE OPINIONS OF ADJACENT PROPERTY OWNERS ARE TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND THE CITY COUNCIL IN THE COURSE OF THEIR PUBLIC HEARINGS.



LOCATION MAP SCALE: I" = 2,000'±



SOURCE: GOOGLE EARTH PRO

AERIAL MAP SCALE: I" = 200'±

PLAN REFERENCE MATERIALS:

- I. THIS PLAN SET REFERENCES THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS **INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO:** ALTA/TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY PREPARED BY KEM-TEC
 - SURVEY DATED 12/12/2019 AERIAL MAP OBTAINED FROM GOOGLE EARTH PRO LOCATION MAP OBTAINED FROM USGS ONLINE

 - ZONING INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM CITY OF TROY ZONING MAP
- 2. ALL REFERENCE MATERIAL LISTED ABOVE SHALL BE CONSIDERED A PART OF THIS PLAN SET AND ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED WITHIN THESE MATERIALS SHALL BE UTILIZED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS PLAN SET. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE TO OBTAIN A COPY OF EACH REFERENCE AND REVIEW IT THOROUGHLY PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.



Know what's **below Call** before you dig.

SITE REZONING PLANS FOR

2690 CROOKS ROAD PROPOSED MULTI-FAMILY APARTMENTS

PARCEL ID: 20-28-101-003 2690 CROOKS ROAD CITY OF TROY, OAKLAND COUNTY, MICHIGAN



PLANS PREPARED BY:



Detroit, MI · Rutherford, NJ · New York, NY Princeton, NJ · Tampa, FL · Boston, MA www.stonefieldeng.com

607 Shelby Suite 200, Detroit, MI 48226 Phone 248.247.1115

SHEET INDEX DRAWING TITLE COVER SHEET SITE PLAN SITE PLAN (PHASE II) **ADDITIONAL SHEETS**

DRAWING TITLE ALTA LAND SURVEY



APPLICANT

AF JONNA DEVELOPMENT 4036 TELEGRAPH ROAD, SUITE 201 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48302





0191M-19301.01-AF JONNA-BIG BEAVER ROAD & CROOKS ROAD, TROY, MICADDIPLOTISDP-02-03-SITE.DWG

TABLE OF LAND USE AND ZONING PARCEL ID: 20-28-101-003 EXISTING ZONE: OFFICE (O) PROPOSED ZONE: URBAN RESIDENTIAL (UR)

	• •	•
PROPOSED USE		
MULTI-FAMILY APARTMENTS	PERMITTED USE	
ZONING REQUIREMENT	REQUIRED	PROPOSED
MINIMUM LOT AREA	N/A	193,599 SF (4.44 AC)
MINIMUM BUILDING COVERAGE	50% (96,800 SF)	11.3% (21,890 SF)
MAXIMUM DENSITY	35 UNITS/ACRE (155 UNITS)	14.8 UNITS/ACRE (62
REQUIRED FRONT YARD SETBACK	10 FT	42.0 FT
MINIMUM SIDE YARD SETBACK	0 FT	52.5 FT
MINIMUM REAR YARD SETBACK	0 FT	237.6 FT
MINIMUM SETBACK BETWEEN BUILDINGS	30 FT	N/A

FLOOR AREA TABLE		
CODE SECTION	REQUIRED	PROPOSED
§ 4.09.C	FLOOR AREA/UNIT:	TBD
	I BEDROOM = 600 SF/UNIT	
	2 BEDROOM = 800 SF/UNIT	
	3 BEDROOM = 1,000 SF/UNIT	
	4 BEDROOM = 1,200 SF/UNIT	

OFF-STREET PARKING REQUIREMENTS		
CODE SECTION	REQUIRED	PROPOSED
§ TABLE 13.06-A	MULTI-FAMILY DWELLING:	333 SPACES
	2 SPACES PER DWELLING UNIT	
	(62 UNITS)(2 SPACES PER UNIT) = 124 SPACES	
§ TABLE 13.06-B	90° PARKING:	EXISTING
	9.5 FT X 19 FT W/ 24 FT AISLE	
§ 13.06.F.3	MAXIMUM PARKING:	333 SPACES (W)
	120% OF REQUIRED PARKING	
	(124 SPACES)(1.2) = 149 SPACES	
§ 3. .C.4	BICYCLE PARKING:	TO BE PROVIDED
	2 SPACES PER BUILDING	
	(I BUILDINGS)(2 SPACES/BUILDING) = 2 SPACES	
§ 13.02.E-1	SITE LANDSCAPING:	26.4% (51,208 SF)
	15% OF THE SITE AREA SHALL BE LANDSCAPED	
	(193,599 SF)(0.15) = 29,040 SF	
§ 4.09.D.5	RECREATIONAL AREA:	36,542 SF
	300 SF RECREATIONAL AREA PER UNIT	
	(62 UNITS)(300 SF/UNIT) = 18,600 SF	

(W) WAIVER

2 UNITS)	
2 UNITS)	

<u>SYMBOL</u>	DESCRIPTION
	PROPERTY LINE
	EXISTING BUILDING
	PROPOSED CURB
	PROPOSED BUILDING

PROPOSED CONCRETE

GENERAL NOTES

- I. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND FAMILIARIZE THEMSELVES WITH THE EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS AND THE PROPOSED SCOPE OF WORK (INCLUDING DIMENSIONS, LAYOUT, ETC.) PRIOR TO INITIATING THE IMPROVEMENTS IDENTIFIED WITHIN THESE DOCUMENTS. SHOULD ANY DISCREPANCY BE FOUND BETWEEN THE EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS AND THE PROPOSED WORK THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY STONEFIELD ENGINEERING & DESIGN, LLC. PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.
- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL OBTAIN ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ENSURE THAT ALL REQUIRED APPROVALS HAVE BEEN OBTAINED PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION. COPIES OF ALL REQUIRED PERMITS AND APPROVALS SHALL BE KEPT ON SITE AT ALL TIMES DURING CONSTRUCTION.
 ALL CONTRACTORS WILL, TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY
- 3. ALL CONTRACTORS WILL, TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW, INDEMNIFY AND HOLD HARMLESS STONEFIELD ENGINEERING & DESIGN, LLC. AND IT'S SUB-CONSULTANTS FROM AND AGAINST ANY DAMAGES AND LIABILITIES INCLUDING ATTORNEY'S FEES ARISING OUT OF CLAIMS BY EMPLOYEES OF THE CONTRACTOR IN ADDITION TO CLAIMS CONNECTED TO THE PROJECT AS A RESULT OF NOT CARRYING THE PROPER INSURANCE FOR WORKERS COMPENSATION, LIABILITY INSURANCE, AND LIMITS OF COMMERCIAL GENERAL LIABILITY INSURANCE.
- 4. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOT DEVIATE FROM THE PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS IDENTIFIED WITHIN THIS PLAN SET UNLESS APPROVAL IS PROVIDED IN WRITING BY STONEFIELD ENGINEERING & DESIGN, LLC.
 5. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE TO DETERMINE THE MEANS AND
- METHODS OF CONSTRUCTION.
 6. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOT PERFORM ANY WORK OR CAUSE DISTURBANCE ON A PRIVATE PROPERTY NOT CONTROLLED BY THE PERSON OR ENTITY WHO HAS AUTHORIZED THE WORK WITHOUT PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT FROM THE OWNER OF THE PRIVATE PROPERTY.
- THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE TO RESTORE ANY DAMAGED OR UNDERMINED STRUCTURE OR SITE FEATURE THAT IS IDENTIFIED TO REMAIN ON THE PLAN SET. ALL REPAIRS SHALL USE NEW MATERIALS TO RESTORE THE FEATURE TO ITS EXISTING CONDITION AT THE CONTRACTORS EXPENSE.
 CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE TO PROVIDE THE APPROPRIATE SHOP
- DRAWINGS, PRODUCT DATA, AND OTHER REQUIRED SUBMITTALS FOR REVIEW. STONEFIELD ENGINEERING & DESIGN, LLC. WILL REVIEW THE SUBMITTALS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE DESIGN INTENT AS REFLECTED WITHIN THE PLAN SET.
 THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR TRAFFIC CONTROL IN
- ACCORDANCE WITH MANUAL ON UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES, LATEST EDITION. 10. THE CONTRACTOR IS REQUIRED TO PERFORM ALL WORK IN THE PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE APPROPRIATE GOVERNING AUTHORITY AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE
- PROCUREMENT OF STREET OPENING PERMITS. 11. THE CONTRACTOR IS REQUIRED TO RETAIN AN OSHA CERTIFIED SAFETY INSPECTOR TO BE PRESENT ON SITE AT ALL TIMES DURING CONSTRUCTION & DEMOLITION ACTIVITIES.
- 12. SHOULD AN EMPLOYEE OF STONEFIELD ENGINEERING & DESIGN, LLC. BE PRESENT ON SITE AT ANY TIME DURING CONSTRUCTION, IT DOES NOT RELIEVE THE CONTRACTOR OF ANY OF THE RESPONSIBILITIES AND REQUIREMENTS LISTED IN THE NOTES WITHIN THIS PLAN SET.





W. BI	G BEAVER RD. SITE ROY CENTER DR.
	RTS BLVD.
(NOT	TO SCALE)
LEGEND	
	FOUND MONUMENT (AS NOTED) FOUND SECTION CORNER (AS NOTED) RECORD AND MEASURED DIMENSION RECORD DIMENSION MEASURED DIMENSION ELECTRIC MANHOLE ELECTRIC PANEL TRANSFORMER UTILITY POLE GAS METER GAS VALVE TELEPHONE MANHOLE ELECTRIC OUTLET CABLE TV RISER TRAFFIC SIGNAL CROSSWALK SIGNAL CLEANOUT SANITARY MANHOLE ROUND CATCH BASIN SQUARE CATCH BASIN SQUARE CATCH BASIN DRAIN STORM DRAIN MANHOLE FIRE HYDRANT FIRE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION WATER GATE MANHOLE WATER VALVE AIR CONDITIONING UNIT BOLLARD FLAGPOLE FLOOD LIGHT LIGHTPOST/LAMP POST MAIL BOX SINGLE POST SIGN DOUBLE POST SIGN DOUBLE POST SIGN DOUBLE POST SIGN DOUBLE POST SIGN HANDICAP PARKING PARCEL BOUNDARY LINE ADJOINER PARCEL LINE SECTION LINE EASEMENT (AS NOTED) BUILDING BUILDING OVERHANG ASPHALT CURB CONCRETE CURB RAISED CONCRETE PARKING EDGE OF CONCRETE (CONC.) EDGE OF ASPHALT (ASPH.) EDGE OF GRAVEL FENCE (AS NOTED) WALL (AS NOTED) UANDSCAPING (AS NOTED) OVERHEAD UTILITY LINE UNDERGROUND PIPE (AS NOTED)
	BUILDING AREA
	ASPHALT
	CONCRETE



- DATE: May 20, 2021
- TO: Planning Commission
- FROM: R. Brent Savidant, Community Development Director
- SUBJECT: <u>PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN REVIEW (File Number PSCP2021-0001)</u> Proposed Willowbrook No. 2 Site Condominium (PIN 88-20-24-100-013 & 88-20-24-100-014), 7 units/lots, East side of John R, South of Wattles, Section 24, Currently Zoned R-1C (One Family Residential) Zoning District.

The petitioner Langham Investments LLC submitted the above referenced Preliminary Site Plan Approval application for a 7-unit site condominium. The property is currently zoned R-1C (One Family Residential) District. The Planning Commission is responsible for granting Preliminary Site Plan Approval for site condominium applications.

Willowbrook No. 2 is provided vehicular access via the extension of two stub streets in The Estates at Willowbrook, a site condominium that was approved by the Planning Commission in 2016.

The attached report prepared by Carlisle/Wortman Associates, Inc. (CWA), the City's Planning Consultant, summarizes the project. CWA prepared the report with input from various City departments including Planning, Engineering, Public Works and Fire. City Management supports the findings of fact contained in the report and recommends approval of the project, as noted.

Attachments:

- 1. Maps
- 2. Report prepared by Carlisle/Wortman Associates, Inc.
- 3. Preliminary Site Plan application for Willowbrook No. 2.

G:\SUBDIVISIONS & SITE CONDOS\Willowbrook No. 2\PC Memo 05 25 2021.docx

PROPOSED RESOLUTION

<u>PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN REVIEW (File Number PSCP2021-0001)</u> – Proposed Willowbrook No. 2 Site Condominium (PIN 88-20-24-100-013 & 88-20-24-100-014), 7 units/lots, East side of John R, South of Wattles, Section 24, Currently Zoned R-1C (One Family Residential) Zoning District.

Resolution # PC-2021-05-

Moved by: Seconded by:

RESOLVED, That Preliminary Site Condominium Approval, pursuant to Article 8 and Section 10.02 of the Zoning Ordinance, as requested for Willowbrook No. 2 Site Condominium, 7 units/lots, East side of John R, South of Wattles (PIN 88-20-24-100-013 & 88-20-24-100-014), Section 24, Currently Zoned R-1C (One Family Residential) District, be granted, subject to the following:

- 1. Provide crosswalk striping.
- 2. Add language to the Master Deed stating that the Developer will maintain the outlot, until such time that the outlot is turned over to the Association or the Developers rights cease to exist.

) or
(denied, for the following reasons:	_) or
(postponed, for the following reasons:)

Yes: No: Absent:

MOTION CARRIED / FAILED

G:\SUBDIVISIONS & SITE CONDOS\Willowbrook No. 2\Proposed Resolution 2021 05 25.doc



117 NORTH FIRST STREET SUITE 70 ANN ARBOR, MI 48104 734.662.2200 734.662.1935 FAX

Date: May 17, 2021

Site Condominium Plan For City of Troy, Michigan

Applicant:	Joseph Maniaci, Langham Investments LLC.
Project Name:	Estates at Willowbrook No. 2
Plan Date:	March 2, 2021
Location:	SE Corner of John R. Road and Wattles Road.
Zoning:	R-1C, One Family Residential
Action Requested:	Preliminary Site Condominium Approval

PROJECT AND SITE DESCRIPTION

We are in receipt of a site condominium application that includes a site plan, topographic survey, preliminary utility and grading plan, tree inventory, landscape plan, and floorplans and elevations. The subject site is +/- 2.9 acres. The site is located on east side of John R. Road, south of Wattles Road. The proposed site condominium will be on two (2) parcels (20-24-100-013 and 20-24-100-014). This is the second phase of the Estates of Millbrook.

The applicant is requesting approval of a seven (7) unit single family detached site condominium project. The proposed residential use is permitted in the R-1C district. All lots will have access to via a new 60-foot-wide public road off Macaw and Sandpiper Drives, that connects those two public roads. As part of Phase 1 of Willbrook, an undersized outlot was retained to be reserved for possible future development. This undersized outlot as part of Phase 1 is being combined with additional area to create lot 5 of the Phase 2.

The applicant has shown a 46' x 409' outlot, located in the southern edge of the development. The outlot does not meet the depth requirements to build a house. The applicant proposes to maintain the lot until such time as additional property can be added to it to make a conforming lot. The applicant should add

Willowbrook No. 2 May 4, 2021

language to the Master Deed to state that the Developer will maintain the outlot until such time as the outlot is turned over to the Association or the Developers rights cease to exist.

Location of subject site:

East side of John R. Road; South of Wattles Road.

Location and Aerial Image of Subject Site



Size of subject site:

The property is 2.9 acres in area.

Proposed use of subject site:

The proposed use is seven (7) single-family residential site condominium units.

Current use of subject site:

The property is currently two (2) single family homes.

Current Zoning:

The property is currently zoned R-1C, One Family Residential District.

Surrounding Property Details:

Direction	Zoning	<u>Use</u>
North	R-1C, One-family Residential District	Single-family home
South	R-1C, One-family Residential District	Single-family home

East	R-1C, One-family Residential District	Single-family home
West	R-1C, One-family Residential District	Place of Worship

SITE ARRANGEMENT, ACCESS, and CIRCULATION

The seven (7) lots are arranged along the proposed 60-foot-wide public road. The public road (Chickadee Drive) will connect both Sandpiper Drive and Macaw Drive to eliminate the dead ends and create an easier flow of traffic. The proposed lots are regular in shape, allow for adequate setbacks, and permit sufficient space for the homes and ingress and egress for each unit.

Items to be Addressed: None.

AREA, WIDTH, HEIGHT, SETBACKS

Required and Provided Dimensions:

Table 4.06.C establishes the requirements for the R-1C District. The requirements and the proposed dimensions are as follows:

	<u>Required:</u>	Provided:	Compliance:
Front	30 feet	30 feet	Complies
Rear	40 feet	40 feet	Complies
Side	10 feet / 20 feet	10 feet / 20 feet	Complies
Average Lot Size per Unit	10,500 sq/ft w/sewer	10,788 sq/ft	Complies
Average Lot Width	85 feet	85.70 feet	Complies
Maximum Height	2 ½ stories 30 Feet	2 Stories 27 Feet	Complies
Maximum Lot Area Covered by Buildings	30%	22%	Complies
Minimum Floor Area per Unit	1,200 sq/ft	2,590 to 3,250 sq/ft	Complies

The proposed site condominium meets all R-1C calculations.

Items to be addressed: None.

NATURAL RESOURCES

Topography – The site is relatively flat with little elevation changes.

Woodlands – The applicant has submitted a tree survey. Trees on site include Colorado Spruce, Silver Maple, Austrian Pine, Scotch Pine, Apple/Crabapple, Cottonwood, Pear, Ash, American Elm, Oak,

Willowbrook No. 2 May 4, 2021

Boxelder, and Common Buckthorn. One (1) landmark Oak tree is to be removed according to the applicant. The applicant is preserving 18 trees onsite. No mitigation is required.

Wetlands/Floodplain – The applicant has provided a delineation report which notes that there is a wetland on site but it is not regulated. The subject parcels lie with Zone X; areas determined to be outside of the 0.2% annual change of flood.

Items to be Addressed: None.

LANDSCAPING

The Landscape Plan includes a mixture of evergreen and deciduous trees. Site condominium and subdivision landscaping are regulated by Section 13.02.F.2.

	Required:	Provided:	Compliance:
Greenbelt Street Trees	1 tree for every 50 linear feet. 1,244.33 feet = 27 trees	23 new trees, 4 existing (3 trees on Dexter Drive; 1 tree on Macaw Drive)	Compliant
Buffer: John R.	Where a subdivision or site condominium abuts a public road right-of-way located outside of the proposed subdivision or site condominium, the screening requirements set forth in Section 13.02.B., Screening Between Land Uses shall be met in the following manner: Where a subdivision or site condominium abuts a street right-of- way of either one hundred and twenty (120) or one hundred and fifty (150) feet as designated in the City of Troy Master Plan, the screening alternative number 2, as set forth in Table 13.02-A, shall be required. 1 Large Evergreen Tree per ten (10) lineal feet. 210 lineal feet along John R. Road = 21 trees	21 Coniferous trees are provided. 17 new; 4 existing.	Compliant

Items to be Addressed: None.

ENGINEERING

The City Engineering Departments will review this project for the final site condominium review.

Items to be Addressed: *Provide City Engineering Departments Review of the site condominium.*

FIRE DEPARTMENT

The City Fire Department will review the site plan for the final site condominium review.

Items to be Addressed: *Provide Fire department review for safety requirements.*

SIDEWALKS

The applicant has provided a sidewalk along both sides of the proposed internal road. There is a curb drop and barrier-free ramp for a crosswalk. Applicant should provide stripping to indicate the crosswalk.

Items to be Addressed: *Provide crosswalk stripping.*

FLOOR PLANS AND ELEVATIONS

The applicant is has submitted sample floor plans. The applicant has submitted three elevation types including a ranch.

Items to be Addressed: None

SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

Section 10.02 sets forth the intent and standards for site condominium projects.

1. Intent: The intent of this Section is to regulate site condominium projects to ensure compliance with this Ordinance and other applicable standards of the City, to provide procedures and standards for review and approval or disapproval of such developments, and to insure that each project will be consistent and compatible with other developments in the community.

The proposed site condominium project is consistent and compatible with other developments in the community.

Section 10.02.E. regulates physical improvements associated with condominium projects. It requires the following:

1. Principal access and circulation through a site condominium shall be provided by public streets constructed to City standards, within sixty (60) foot wide rights-of-way. Secondary access and circulation through such developments, on which some of the residential parcels may have their sole frontage, may be provided by twenty-eight (28) foot wide streets constructed to City public street standards, within forty (40) foot private easements for public access. The applicant has provided a 60-foot wide public right-of-way. All lots front on the 60-foot right-of-way.

2. Principal access to site condominium of five (5) acres or less in area may be provided by way of twentyeight (28) foot wide streets constructed to City public street standards, within forty (40) foot private easements for public access, when in the opinion of the City Council the property configuration is such that the provision of conforming dwelling unit parcels is impractical. **Not applicable.** 3. All entrances to major or secondary thoroughfares shall include deceleration, acceleration and passing lanes as required by Engineering Standards of the City of Troy. **Not applicable.**

4. Sidewalks shall be constructed, in accordance with City Standards, across the frontage of all dwelling unit parcels. Utilities shall be placed within street rights-of-way, or within easements approved as to size and location by the City Engineer. Satisfied, with crosswalk stripping added.

5. All shall be served by public water, sanitary sewer, storm sewer and detention/retention systems constructed to City standards, at the expense of the developer. Easements over these systems shall be conveyed and recorded before occupancy permits are issued for dwelling units. The applicant has proposed full utilities, but all proposed configurations and easements are subject to approval by the City engineering department.

As noted above, all condominium projects are subject to Section 8.05.A.7, which establishes the requirements for a preliminary site plan submittal. Three additional requirements are specifically identified for residential projects. The three additional requirements, identified in 8.05.A.7.o, include:

i. Calculation of the dwelling unit density allowable and a statement of the number of dwelling units, by type, to be provided. **Satisfied.**

ii. Topography on site and fifty (50) feet beyond, drawn at two (2) foot contour intervals, with existing drainage courses, flood plains, wetlands, and tree stands indicated. **Satisfied.**

iii. The typical floor plans and elevations of the proposed buildings, with building height(s). **Height cannot** exceed **30-feet.**

Items to be Addressed:

RECOMMENDATIONS

We recommend preliminary site plan approval with the following condition:

- 1. Provide crosswalk striping.
- 2. Add language to the Master Deed that states that the Developer will maintain the outlot until such time as the outlot is turned over to the Association or the Developers rights cease to exist.

CARLISLE/WORTMAN ASSOC., INC. Benjamin R. Carlisle, LEED AP, AICP

CARLISLE/WORTMAN ASSOC., INC. Kristoffer Canty Planner




LEGEND

EXISTING ASPHALT PAVEMENT TO REMAIN EXISTING CONCRETE PAVEMENT TO REMAIN EXISTING GRAVEL O REMAIN

EXISTING CONTOURS,

INTERVAL

EXISTING GRADE

OR ASPHALT STREET PAVEMENTS PROPOSED CONCRETE SIDEWALKS 645.00 PROPOSED GRADE DIRECTION OF SURFACE DRAINAGE

PROPOSED CONCRETE

BENCHMARKS

SITE BENCHMARK #1 ELEVATION = 649.44 (NAVD 88 DATUM)

CITY OF TROY BENCHMARK #1067 ARROW ON TOP OF HYDRANT #23-17 ON W. SIDE OF JOHN R ROAD AT EXISTING RESIDENCE #3887.

SITE BENCHMARK #2 ELEVATION = 649.83 (NAVD 88 DATUM)

ARROW ON TOP OF HYDRANT ON W. SIDE OF JOHN R ROAD AT EXISTING CHURCH #3753 (BIG BEAVER UNITED METHODIST CHURCH).

ELEVATION = 649.75 (NAVD 88 DATUM) ARROW ON TOP OF HYDRANT ON W. SIDE OF JOHN R ROAD SOUTH OF ENTRANCE TO CITY OF TROY'S RAINTREE PARK.

SOILS (FROM COUNTY SOILS SURVEY)

- CAPAC SANDY LOAM (O TO 4% SLOPES). O"--8" VERY DARK GRAYISH-BROWN SANDY LOAM, 8"-20" BROWN CLAY LOAM, 20"-32" GRAYISH-BROWN CLAY LOAM, 32"-60" PALE BROWN LOAM. MODERATELY SLOW PERMEABILITY, SLOW RUNOFF.
- BROOKSTON & COLWOOD LOAMS. 0"-8" DARK GRAYISH-BROWN SANDY LOAM, 8"-12" BROWN SANDY LOAM, 12"-18" YELLOWISH-BROWN SANDY CLAY LOAM, 18"-34" DARK YELLOWISH-BROWN SANDY CLAY LOAM, 34"-46" YELLOWISH-BROWN SANDY CLAY LOAM, 46"-60" BROWN SANDY LOAM. MODERATE PERMEABILITY, SLOW RUNOFF.
- AQUENTS, SANDY, LOAMY, UNDULATING (0 TO 2% SLOPES) 0-60" VARIABLE. VERY LOW POTENTIAL SURFACE RUNOFF, VERY POORLY DRAINED.



CONTRACTOR'S NOTE

The locations of existing underground utilities are shown in an approximate way only. The contractor shall determine the exact location of all existing utilities before commencing work. He agrees to be fully responsible for any and all damages which might be (TOLL FREE) for the location of underground utilities occasioned by his failure to exactly locate and preserve any and all underground utilities.



The contractor shall be responsible for adhering to all applicable local, state, and federal standards, specifications, and guidelines for construction.

;# d	.b.h. (inches) Botanical	Name	Common Name	Condition	Comments	Health Score	(N) Not Protected (s = size, sp = species, c = condition)	Landmark (L)	Remove?	Replaceme Required
01	15 Picea pung		Colorado Spruce	fair	- Excessive pitch				Yes	7.5"
02 03	31 Acer sacch 13 Pinus nigr		Silver Maple Austrian Pine	good fair	- Utility pruned & dead buds		N (sp)		Yes NO	none
04 05	13 Pinus nigr 15 Pinus nigr		Austrian Pine Austrian Pine	fair fair	- Utility pruned & dead buds - Utility pruned & dead buds	1			NO NO	
06	20 Pinus nigr	a	Austrian Pine	fair	- Utility pruned & dead buds			L	NO	-40" (credi
07	12 Pinus sylv 10 Pinus sylv		Scotch Pine Scotch Pine	fair fair	- Dead branch(es) - Excessive pitch				Yes Yes	6" 5"
09	32 Acer sacch	arinum	Silver Maple	fair	- Broken branch(es)		N (sp)		Yes	none
10	34 Acer sacch 29 Acer sacch		Silver Maple Silver Maple	fair fair	- Rot in trunk - Rot in trunk		N (sp) N (sp)		Yes Yes	none
12	17 Acer sacch		Silver Maple	good	Det in town b		N (sp)		Yes	none
13	26 Acer sacch 7 / 6 / 6 / Malus spp		Silver Maple Apple / Crabapple	fair fair	- Rot in trunk - Poor crotch		N (sp)		Yes Yes	none 3.5"
15 *	13 Acer sacch 9 / 9 / 5 Acer sacch		Silver Maple Silver Maple	fair fair	- Contorted crown - Leaning		N (sp) N (sp)		Yes Yes	none none
17	7 Acer sacch		Silver Maple	good	- Deaning		N (sp)		Yes	none
18 19	13 Acer sacch 16 / 15 / 13 / 10 Acer sacch		Silver Maple Silver Maple	fair fair	- Bent/crooked leader - Poor crotch		N (sp) N (sp)		Yes Yes	none
20	14 Acer sacch		Silver Maple	fair	- Leaning		N (sp)		Yes	none
21	12 / 7 Acer sacch 11 / 4 Acer sacch		Silver Maple Silver Maple	fair fair	- Poor crotch - Poor crotch		N (sp) N (sp)		Yes Yes	none
23	8 / 8 / 6 / 6 / Acer sacch	arinum	Silver Maple	fair	- Poor crotch		N (sp)		Yes	none
24 25	20 / 17 Populus de 11 Populus de		Cottonwood Cottonwood	fair good	- Poor crotch		N (sp) N (sp)		Yes Yes	none
26	8 / 8 Acer sacch	arinum	Silver Maple	fair	- Poor crotch		N (sp)		Yes	none
27	12 Populus de 20 Populus de		Cottonwood Cottonwood	fair good	- Bent/crooked leader		N (sp) N (sp)		Yes Yes	none
29	6 Acer sacch	arinum	Silver Maple	good	- Design of the second s		N (sp)		Yes	none
30 31	6 / 6 / 5 / 5 Acer sacch 8 Acer sacch		Silver Maple Silver Maple	fair good	- Poor crotch		N (sp) N (sp)		Yes Yes	none
32	5 Acer sacch		Silver Maple	fair	- Scarred trunk		N (s,sp)		Yes	none
33 ×	10 Populus de 18 Populus de		Cottonwood Cottonwood	fair good	- Leaning		N (sp) N (sp)		Yes Yes	none
35 *	13 Populus de 15 / 7 / 4 Acer sacch	eltoides	Cottonwood Silver Maple	fair fair	- Scarred trunk - Rot in trunk		N (sp) N (sp)		Yes Yes	none none
37	14 Populus de	eltoides	Cottonwood	good			N (sp)		Yes	none
38 39	13 / 9 / 5 Populus de 6 / 4 Acer sacch		Cottonwood Silver Maple	fair fair	- Smallest trunk dead - Contorted crown		N (sp) N (sp)		Yes Yes	none none
40	6 Acer sacch	arinum	Silver Maple	fair	- Bent/crooked leader		N (sp)		Yes	none
41	12 Populus de 5 Acer sacch		Cottonwood Silver Maple	good fair	- Bent/crooked leader		N (sp) N (s,sp)		Yes Yes	none
43	7 Populus de	eltoides	Cottonwood	fair	- Bent/crooked leader		N (sp)		Yes	none
44	6 Acer sacch 9 Populus de		Silver Maple Cottonwood	fair fair	- Bent/crooked leader - Contorted crown		N (sp) N (sp)		Yes Yes	none none
46	10 Populus de	eltoides	Cottonwood	fair	- Rot in trunk		N (sp)		Yes	none
47 48	16 Populus de 9 Populus de		Cottonwood Cottonwood	fair fair	- Bent/crooked leader - Bent/crooked leader		N (sp) N (sp)		Yes Yes	none
49	19 Populus de	eltoides	Cottonwood	good			N (sp)		Yes	none
50 51	7 / 4 / Acer sacch 14 Populus de		Silver Maple Cottonwood	fair fair	- Poor crotch - Bent/crooked leader		N (sp) N (sp)		Yes Yes	none
52	9 / 3 / Acer sacch	arinum	Silver Maple	fair	- Leaning		N (sp)		Yes	none
-53 -54	8 Populus de 7 / 7 / 3 Acer sacch		Cottonwood Silver Maple	fair fair	- Leaning - Smallest trunk dead		N (sp) N (sp)		Yes	none
-55	6 Acer sacch	arinum	Silver Maple	fair	- Leaning		N (sp)		Yes	none
-56 -57	10 / 8 Populus de 7 / 5 Acer sacch		Cottonwood Silver Maple	fair fair	- Poor crotch - Poor crotch		N (sp) N (sp)		Yes Yes	none
58	18 / 8 Populus de	eltoides	Cottonwood	fair	- Poor crotch		N (sp)		Yes	none
-59 -60	14 Picea pung 7 Pyrus spp.		Colorado Spruce Callery Pear	poor fair	- 50% or more dead - Rot in trunk	43%	N (c)		Yes NO	none
61	6 Pyrus spp.		Callery Pear	fair	- Rot in trunk				NO	
62 63	5 / Pyrus spp. 5 / Pyrus spp.		Callery Pear Callery Pear	good good			N (s) N (s)		NO	
64	30 Acer sacch	arinum	Silver Maple	good			N (sp)		NO	
65 66	6 Fraxinus s 10 / 10 Acer negur	5.5.	Ash Boxelder	poor fair	- Signs of Emerald Ash Borer - Rot in trunk		N (sp) N (sp)		Yes Yes	none
67	6 Fraxinus s	pp.	Ash	poor	- Signs of Emerald Ash Borer		N (sp)		Yes	none
68 69	6 / 3 Ulmus am 7 Acer negu		American Elm Boxelder	fair fair	- Poor crotch - Contorted crown		N (sp) N (sp)		Yes Yes	none
70	26 / 11 Populus de		Cottonwood Silver Maple	fair	- Poor crotch		N (sp) N (sp)		Yes Yes	none
72	18 / 10 / Acer sacch 18 Populus de		Cottonwood	poor good	- Lean greater than 45 degrees		N (sp)		Yes	none
73	16 / 15 Populus de		Cottonwood Silver Maple	fair good	- Poor crotch		N (sp) N (sp)		Yes Yes	none
75	16 Acer sacch 8 Acer sacch		Silver Maple	fair	- Contorted crown		N (sp)		Yes	none
76	14 Salix xpp. 6 Ulmus am	ricana	Willow American Elm	fair good	- Leaning		N (sp) N (sp)		Yes Yes	none
78	16 Quercus s	op.	Oak (white family)	good			n (3p)	L	Yes	16"
79 80	6 / 5 / 4 Rhamnus 7 / 5 / 5 / 5 Acer sacch		Common Buckthorn Silver Maple	fair fair	- Contorted crown - Bent/crooked leader		N (sp) N (sp)		Yes Yes	none
81	19 / 11 Acer sacch		Silver Maple	fair	- Poor crotch		N (sp)		Yes	none
82 83	8 Acer sacch 15 / 8 Populus de		Silver Maple Cottonwood	fair fair	- Bent/crooked leader - Dead branch(es)		N (sp) N (sp)		Yes Yes	none none
84	7 / 5 / 4 / 4 Acer sacch	arinum	Silver Maple	fair	- Contorted crown		N (sp)		Yes	none
85	14 / 6 Acer sacch 13 Populus de		Silver Maple Cottonwood	fair fair	- Poor crotch - Bent/crooked leader		N (sp) N (sp)		Yes Yes	none
86 87	13 Populus de 26 Populus de		Cottonwood	good	Senty Clocked leader		N (sp) N (sp)		Yes	none
88 89	6 Acer sacch 9 Acer sacch		Silver Maple Silver Maple	fair good	- Leaning		N (sp) N (sp)		Yes Yes	none none
90	10 Acer sacch	arinum	Silver Maple	fair	- Contorted crown		N (sp)		Yes	none
91 92	18 Populus de 10 Populus de		Cottonwood Cottonwood	good fair	- Bent/crooked leader		N (sp) N (sp)		Yes Yes	none none
93	8 Acer sacch	arinum	Silver Maple	poor	- 50% or more dead	40%	N (sp,c)		Yes	none
94 95	11 Acer sacch 10 Populus de		Silver Maple Cottonwood	good fair	- Bent/crooked leader		N (sp) N (sp)		Yes Yes	none none
96	18 Populus de	eltoides	Cottonwood	good		-	N (sp)		Yes	none
97 98	8 Acer sacch 8 / 6 Acer sacch		Silver Maple Silver Maple	good fair	- Contorted crown		N (sp) N (sp)		Yes Yes	none
99	14 Acer sacch		Silver Maple	fair	- Leaning		N (sp)		Yes	none
00 (1 01	ag number not used) 7 / 6 / 3 <i>Acer negu</i> i	ndo	Boxelder	fair	- Poor crotch		N (sp)		Yes	none
02	14 Acer sacch	arinum	Silver Maple	fair fair	- Bent/crooked leader		N (sp)		Yes	none
03 04	6 / 6 Acer sacch 15 Acer sacch		Silver Maple Silver Maple	fair fair	- Contorted crown - Leaning		N (sp) N (sp)		Yes Yes	none
05	5 Malus spp	•	Apple / Crabapple	fair	- Leaning		N (s)		NO	
06 07	7 / 4 / 4 / Morus spp 6 Acer negu		Mulberry Boxelder	fair fair	- Contorted crown - Leaning		N (sp)		NO NO	
08	6 Ulmus spp	• 5	Elm	good		1			NO NO (offsite)	
10	15 Ulmus spp 6 Acer negut		Elm Boxelder	good fair	- Contorted crown		N (sp)		NO (offsite) NO	
11	6 / 5 / Rhamnus	cathartica	Common Buckthorn	fair	- Contorted crown		N (sp)		NO	
12 13	8 Acer negur 5 / Rhamnus		Boxelder Common Buckthorn	poor fair	- Contorted crown		N (sp) N (s,sp)		NO NO	
14	7 / 6 / Crataeagu	s spp.	Hawthorn	fair	- Poor crotch	1			NO (offsite)	
15 16	16 Ulmus spp 6 / 4 Acer negur		Elm Boxelder	good fair	- Leaning		N (sp)		NO (offsite) NO	
17	12 Acer negu	ndo	Boxelder	fair	- Leaning		N (sp)		NO	
18 19	9 / 5 Acer negur 6 Fraxinus s		Boxelder Ash	poor poor	Re-sprouts from fallen-over treeSigns of Emerald Ash Borer	30%	N (sp,c) N (sp)		Yes Yes	none none
20	7 / 6 / 6 / Ulmus spp		Elm	fair	- Grown into fence				NO	
21 22	13 / 4 Acer negur 5 Fraxinus s		Boxelder Ash	fair poor	- Leaning - Signs of Emerald Ash Borer		N (sp) N (s,sp)		NO (offsite) NO (offsite)	
23	20 / 18 / 17 Acer negu	ndo	Boxelder	poor	- Hollow and significantly dead	33%	N (sp,c)		Yes	none
24 25	7 / Acer negur 29 Acer negur		Boxelder Boxelder	poor poor	Hollow and significantly dead50% or more dead	27% 30%	N (sp,c) N (sp,c)		NO (offsite) Yes	none
20			Apple / Crabapple	fair	- Contorted crown		N (s)		Yes	none

TOTAL CALIPER INCHES OF REPLACEMENT REQUIRED = 38" TOTAL CALIPER INCHES OF CREDIT = 40"

NET CALIPER INCHES OF REPLACEMENT REQUIRED = NONE



CONTRACTOR'S NOTE

The locations of existing underground utilities are shown in an approximate way only. The contractor shall determine the exact location of all existing utilities before commencing work. He agrees to be fully responsible for any and all damages which might be (TOLL FREE) for the location of underground utilities occasioned by his failure to exactly locate and preserve any and all underground utilities.



The contractor shall be responsible for adhering to all applicable local, state, and federal standards, specifications, and guidelines for construction.

PLANT SCHEDULE & COST ESTIMATE

LARGE DECIL	DUOUS TR	EES:				INSTALLATION	
QUANTITY:	LABEL:	BOTANICAL NAME:	COMMON NAME:	SIZE:	UNIT PRICE:	COST:	UNIT TOTAL:
8 EACH	Tt	TILIA TOMENTOSA	STERLING LINDEN	2.5" CAL.	@ \$300.00	@ \$25.00	\$2,600.00
7 EACH	Lt	LIRIODENDRON TULIPIFERA	TULIP TREE	2.5" CAL.	@ \$300.00	@ \$25.00	\$2,275.00
8 EACH	Gb	GINGKO BILOBA	GINGKO	2.5" CAL.	@\$300.00	@ \$25.00	\$2,600.00
CONIFEROUS	TREES:					INSTALLATION	
QUANTITY:	LABEL:	BOTANICAL NAME:	COMMON NAME:	SIZE:	UNIT PRICE:	COST:	UNIT TOTAL:
8 EACH	Pr	PINUS RESINOSA	NORWAY (RED) PINE	5' HT.	@ \$300.00	@ \$25.00	\$2,600.00
9 EACH	Рр	PICEA PUNGENS	COLORADO SPRUCE	5'HT.	@\$300.00	@\$25.00	\$2,925.00
TOTAL TREE	S: 40					IBTOTAL COST: & CONTINGENCY	\$13,000 : \$650
					TC	TAL ESTIMATE:	\$13,650

PLANTING NOTES

- 1. ALL CONSTRUCTION AND PLANT MATERIAL LOCATIONS TO BE ADJUSTED ON SITE IF NECESSARY TO AVOID UTILITIES, STRUCTURES, DRIVEWAYS, ETC.
- ANY SUBSTITUTIONS OF PLANT MATERIAL OR ALTERATION IN PLANT SIZES OR SPECIFICATIONS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY OF TROY ORDINANCE STANDARDS. ALL STREET TREES SHALL MEET THE MINIMUM SIZE, SPACING AND SPECIES REQUIREMENTS AS SET FORTH IN THE CITY OF TROY'S ZONING ORDINANCE, SECTION 13.02.H. "MINIMUM SIZE AND SPACING REQUIREMENTS" AND SECTION 13.02.1. "PROHIBITED SPECIES".
- 3. ALL LARGE TREES AND EVERGREENS TO BE STAKED, GUYED, AND WRAPPED.
- 4. PLANT BEDS TO BE MULCHED AND DRESSED WITH 4" OF SHREDDED HARD
- 5. DIG SHRUB PITS 1' LARGER THAN SHRUB ROOT BALLS AND TREE PITS 2' LARGER THAN ROOT BALLS. BACKFILL WITH ONE PART TOP SOIL FROM EXCAVATED PLANTING HOLE.
- 6. REMOVE ALL TWINE, WIRE, AND BURLAP FROM THE TREE AND SHRUB EARTH BALLS AND FROM TREE TRUNKS.
- LAWN TREES TO BE MULCHED WITH A 2' WIDE MINIMUM OF 6" DEEP SHREDDED BARK RING OR APPROVED ALTERNATE DESIGN FOR TRUNK PROTECTION.
- 8. PROVIDE HYDRO-SEEDING AND/OR SOD FOR ALL NEW LAWN AREAS.
- INSTALLATION OF PLANT MATERIAL SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF NURSERYMEN LANDSCAPE STANDARDS.
- 10. PLANT MATERIAL, ESPECIALLY EVERGREENS, TO BE PLANTED HIGHER THAN NORMAL WHEN HEAVY SOIL CONDITIONS (CLAY, ETC.) PREVAIL.
- 11. ALL PLANTING AREAS TO BE PREPARED WITH APPROPRIATE SOIL MIXTURES AND FERTILIZER BEFORE PLANT INSTALLATION.
- 12. PLANT TREES AND SHRUBS GENERALLY NO CLOSER THAN THE FOLLOWING DISTANCES FROM SIDEWALKS, CURBS, AND PARKING STALLS:
- SHADE TREES.....5 FT. a). ORNAMENTAL AND EVERGREEN TREES.......10 FT.
- (CRAB, PINE, SPRUCE, ETC.) SHRUBS THAT ARE LESS THAN 1 FOOT с).
- TALL AND WIDE AT MATURITY......2 FT.
- 13. NO TREES OR EVERGREENS TO BE INSTALLED OVER ANY PROPOSED OR EXISTING UTILITY LINES AS SHOWN ON THE OVERALL LANDSCAPE PLAN. REFER TO ENGINEERING PLANS FOR EXACT LOCATIONS OF UTILITY LINES.
- 14. FOR EXISTING TREE PROTECTION, A 4-FOOT HIGH ORANGE SNOW FENCE SHALL BE ERECTED AROUND TREE DRIP LINES PRIOR TO LAND CLEARING AND SITE CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTAINED THROUGHOUT SITE DEVELOPMENT PERIOD. NO CUTTING, FILLING OR TRESPASSING SHALL OCCUR INSIDE FENCED AREAS WITHOUT PRIOR CITY APPROVAL.



- DO NOT PRUNE TERMINAL LEADER OR BRANCH TIPS. PRUNE AWAY DEAD OR BROKEN BRANCHES ONLY. REMOVE NURSERY APPLIED TREE WRAP, TAPE OR STRING FROM TREE TRUNK AND CROWN. REMOVE ALL TAGS OR LABELS.
- PRUNE SUCKERS OFF.
- SET ROOTBALL LEVEL TO GRADE OR SLIGHTLY ABOVE GRADE (1/2") IF IN CLAY SOIL.
- MULCH 2"-3" DEEP LEAVING 3" CIRCLE OF BARE SOIL AROUND TRUNK OF TREE. -FOLD DOWN OR PULL BACK STRING, BURLAP OR
- PLASTIC EXPOSING ROOTBALL. REMOVE ALL NON-DEGRADABLE MATERIALS. DO NOT REMOVE SOIL FROM ROOTBALL.
- -BREAK UP (SCARIFY) SIDES OF PLANTING HOLE. CENTER ROOTBALL IN PLANTING HOLE. LEAVE BOTTOM OF PLANTING HOLE FIRM. DO NOT AMEND SOIL OR BUILDING RUBBLE. USE WATER TO SETTLE SOIL AND REMOVE AIR POCKETS AND FIRMLY SET TREE. GENTLY TAMP IF NEEDED.



DO NOT STAKE UNLESS IN HEAVY CLAY SOIL, WINDY CONDITIONS, 3" OR GREATER DIAMETER TREE TRUNK OR LARGE CROWN. IF STAKING IS NEEDED DUE TO THESE CONDITIONS:

- STAKE WITH 2 x 2 HARDWOOD STAKES OR APPROVED EQUAL DRIVEN 6" – 8" OUTSIDE OF ROOTBALL.
- LOOSELY STAKE TREE TRUNK TO ALLOW FOR TRUNK FLEXING.
- 2" 3" WIDE BELT-LIKE, NYLON OR PLASTIC STRAPS (2 PER TREE ON OPPOSITE SIDES OF TREE, CONNECT FROM TREE TO STAKE HORIZONTALLY. DO NOT USE ROPE OR WIRE THROUGH A HOSE.)
- REMOVE ALL STAKING MATERIALS AFTER 1 YEAR.

TREE PLANTING DETAIL

SCALE: NONE



	¢	2 NORTH, OAKLAND UMENTATIC BOX AS F	CORNER OF SEC RANGE 11 EAST, COUNTY, MI. FOUN ON CAP, #17623 I RECORDED IN LIBEI DAKLAND COUNTY I	CITY OF 1 ID REMON- N MONUME R 17055, I	ROY, - NT		-FOUND 1/2"			ex. 12" SANITARY EX. 12" SANITARY SEWER	IST. М.Н. А 646.77 5
							REBAR ŴITH CAP, #30085 	UTIL. POLE Ø	RIM 646.79	EX. 6" GAS MAIN OVERHEAD WIRES	641 641 640 640 640 640 640 640 640 640 640 640
RE	E INVEI	NTORY						386.66'(R)	386.72'(M)	OU K.U.W. LIVE V	
S SUR	RVEYED ON J	ANUARY 19, 20	021 BY ERIC A. C	LSON, PLL	C, LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT A		(N) Not Regulated				Stoff CONC. CURB
d.b 401	15	Botanical Name Picea pungens	Common Name Colorado Spruce	fair	Comments - Excessive pitch		(s = size, sp = species, c = condition)	(L)			646.4A 646.7'
402 403	13	Acer saccharinum Pinus nigra	Silver Maple Austrian Pine	good fair	- Utility pruned & dead buds		N (sp)				Sector Se
404 405	15	Pinus nigra Pinus nigra	Austrian Pine Austrian Pine		- Utility pruned & dead buds - Utility pruned & dead buds						610 ¹¹ 110 ¹⁴ 616 ¹⁵³
406 407 408	12	Pinus nigra Pinus sylvestris Pinus sylvestris	Austrian Pine Scotch Pine Scotch Pine	fair fair fair	 Utility pruned & dead buds Dead branch(es) Excessive pitch 			L	RO	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 	640° 64°
408 409 410	32	Pinus sylvestris Acer saccharinum Acer saccharinum	Silver Maple Silver Maple	fair	- Excessive pitch - Broken branch(es) - Rot in trunk		N (sp) N (sp)		Ъ	·///////	645 ⁸⁹ 45 ⁸⁹ 460 ⁴
411 412	29	Acer saccharinum Acer saccharinum Acer saccharinum	Silver Maple Silver Maple	fair good	- Rot in trunk		N (sp) N (sp) N (sp)		0 -042		8 ⁴ . 8 ⁴
413 414		Acer saccharinum	Silver Maple Apple / Crabapple	fair	- Rot in trunk - Poor crotch		N (sp)		UB -100-		, 1 9
415 416	9/9/5	Acer saccharinum Acer saccharinum	Silver Maple Silver Maple	fair fair	- Contorted crown - Leaning		N (sp) N (sp)		7 4-24-	#3670 EXISTING BUILDING	ek ^{5,59} e ^{k5,15} e ^{k5}
417 418 419 16	13	Acer saccharinum Acer saccharinum	Silver Maple Silver Maple	good fair	- Bent/crooked leader		N (sp) N (sp)		NO. 20	/F.F.=647.13	645.22
419 16 420 421	14	Acer saccharinum Acer saccharinum Acer saccharinum	Silver Maple Silver Maple Silver Maple	fair fair fair	 Poor crotch Leaning Poor crotch 		N (sp) N (sp) N (sp)		E GIRL		l la v
422	11 / 4	Acer saccharinum Acer saccharinum Acer saccharinum	Silver Maple Silver Maple	fair	 Poor crotch Poor crotch 		N (sp) N (sp)		R PAR		్ సి ్ సీ సీ conc. cure కో కి curter
424 425	20 / 17	Populus deltoides Populus deltoides	Cottonwood Cottonwood	fair good	- Poor crotch		N (sp) N (sp)				6 ¹⁵⁵ 122
426 427	8 / 8 12	Acer saccharinum Populus deltoides	Silver Maple Cottonwood	fair fair	- Poor crotch - Bent/crooked leader		N (sp) N (sp)		"BOYS	~	Big and a character and a character a char
428 429 430	6	Populus deltoides Acer saccharinum Acer saccharinum	Cottonwood Silver Maple	good good fair	- Poor crotch		N (sp) N (sp)		7.		
430 431 432	8	Acer saccharinum Acer saccharinum Acer saccharinum	Silver Maple Silver Maple Silver Maple	fair good fair	 Poor crotch Scarred trunk 		N (sp) N (sp) N (s,sp)				6 ^{k5,5} 6 ^{k5}
433 434	10	Acer sacchannum Populus deltoides Populus deltoides	Cottonwood Cottonwood	fair good	- Leaning		N (sp) N (sp)			EX. 12" STORI	
435 436	13 15 / 7 / 4	Populus deltoides Acer saccharinum	Cottonwood Silver Maple	fair fair	- Scarred trunk - Rot in trunk		N (sp) N (sp)				645 645 645 645 CCC
437 438	14 13 / 9 / 5	Populus deltoides Populus deltoides	Cottonwood Cottonwood	good fair	- Smallest trunk dead		N (sp) N (sp)				W/ BLOCK WALL GAS GAS
439 440 441	6	Acer saccharinum Acer saccharinum Populus deltoides	Silver Maple Silver Maple	fair fair	- Contorted crown - Bent/crooked leader		N (sp) N (sp)		-	CONC & C	
441 442 443	5	Populus deltoides Acer saccharinum Populus deltoides	Cottonwood Silver Maple Cottonwood	good fair fair	- Bent/crooked leader - Bent/crooked leader		N (sp) N (s,sp) N (sp)				
443 444 445	6	Populus deltoides Acer saccharinum Populus deltoides	Silver Maple Cottonwood	fair	- Bent/crooked leader - Bent/crooked leader - Contorted crown		N (sp) N (sp) N (sp)				
446 447	10 16	Populus deltoides Populus deltoides	Cottonwood Cottonwood	fair fair	- Rot in trunk - Bent/crooked leader		N (sp) N (sp)				
448 449	9 19	Populus deltoides Populus deltoides	Cottonwood Cottonwood	fair good	- Bent/crooked leader		N (sp) N (sp)				, 7 C
450 451 452	14	Acer saccharinum Populus deltoides	Silver Maple Cottonwood	fair fair	 Poor crotch Bent/crooked leader Leaning 		N (sp) N (sp)				сноо
452 453 454	8	Acer saccharinum Populus deltoides Acer saccharinum	Silver Maple Cottonwood Silver Maple	fair fair fair	 Leaning Leaning Smallest trunk dead 		N (sp) N (sp) N (sp)				
455 456	6	Acer saccharinum Acer saccharinum Populus deltoides	Silver Maple Cottonwood	fair	- Leaning - Poor crotch		N (sp) N (sp) N (sp)				74 S 243
457 458	7 / 5	Acer saccharinum Populus deltoides	Silver Maple Cottonwood	fair fair	- Poor crotch - Poor crotch		N (sp) N (sp)				"BARNARD ELEMENTARY PARCEL NO. 20-24-100-043
459 460	14 7	Picea pungens Pyrus spp.	Colorado Spruce Callery Pear	poor fair	- 50% or more dead - Rot in trunk	43%	N (c)				-24-1
461 462 463	5 /	Pyrus spp. Pyrus spp. Pyrus spp.	Callery Pear Callery Pear Callery Pear	fair good	- Rot in trunk		N (s)				. 20-
463 464 465	30	Pyrus spp. Acer saccharinum Fraxinus spp.	Callery Pear Silver Maple Ash	good good poor	- Signs of Emerald Ash Borer		N (s) N (sp) N (sp)				EL EN
466 467	10 / 10	Acer negundo Fraxinus spp.	Boxelder Ash	fair	- Rot in trunk - Signs of Emerald Ash Borer	-	N (sp) N (sp) N (sp)				D EL PARCEL
468 469	6 / 3 7	Ulmus americana Acer negundo	American Elm Boxelder	fair fair	- Poor crotch - Contorted crown		N (sp) N (sp)				AR
470 471	18 / 10 /	Populus deltoides Acer saccharinum	Cottonwood Silver Maple	fair poor	 Poor crotch Lean greater than 45 degrees 		N (sp) N (sp)				RN
472 473 474	16 / 15	Populus deltoides Populus deltoides Acer saccharinum	Cottonwood Cottonwood Silver Maple	good fair good	- Poor crotch		N (sp) N (sp) N (sp)				BAI
475 476	8	Acer saccharinum Acer saccharinum Salix xpp.	Silver Maple Willow	fair fair	- Contorted crown - Leaning		N (sp) N (sp) N (sp)				:
477 478	6 16	Ulmus americana Quercus spp.	American Elm Oak (white family)	good good			N (sp)	L			
479 480	7 / 5 / 5 / 5	Rhamnus cathartica Acer saccharinum	Silver Maple	fair	- Contorted crown - Bent/crooked leader		N (sp) N (sp)		LE	GEND	
481 482 483	8	Acer saccharinum Acer saccharinum Populus deltoides	Silver Maple Silver Maple Cottonwood	fair fair fair	 Poor crotch Bent/crooked leader Dead branch(es) 		N (sp) N (sp) N (sp)			۲	FOUN
483 484 485	7 / 5 / 4 / 4	Populus deltoides Acer saccharinum Acer saccharinum	Silver Maple Silver Maple	fair fair	 Dead branch(es) Contorted crown Poor crotch 		N (sp) N (sp) N (sp)			٢	SET 1 WITH
486 487	13	Populus deltoides Populus deltoides	Cottonwood Cottonwood	fair good	- Bent/crooked leader		N (sp) N (sp)			Ø	UTILIT
488 489	6 9	Acer saccharinum Acer saccharinum	Silver Maple Silver Maple	fair good	- Leaning		N (sp) N (sp)			φ. Ψ	GUY N UTILIT
490 491	18	Acer saccharinum Populus deltoides	Silver Maple Cottonwood	fair good	- Contorted crown		N (sp) N (sp)			0 0	MANH CLEAN
492 493 494	8	Populus deltoides Acer saccharinum Acer saccharinum	Cottonwood Silver Maple Silver Maple	fair poor good	- Bent/crooked leader - 50% or more dead	40%	N (sp) N (sp,c) N (sp)			⊕ Ⅲ	ROUN. SQUAI
494 495 496	10	Acer saccharnnum Populus deltoides Populus deltoides	Cottonwood Cottonwood	fair good	- Bent/crooked leader		N (sp) N (sp) N (sp)	-		>	END S GATEM
497 498	8	Acer saccharinum Acer saccharinum	Silver Maple Silver Maple	good fair	- Contorted crown		N (sp) N (sp)			⊗ Ŭ	FIRE
499 501	14 7 / 6 / 3	Acer saccharinum Acer negundo	Silver Maple Boxelder	fair fair	- Leaning - Poor crotch		N (sp) N (sp)			ර් (ම	MAILB SIGN
502 503	6 / 6	Acer saccharinum Acer saccharinum	Silver Maple Silver Maple	fair	- Bent/crooked leader - Contorted crown		N (sp) N (sp)			00 ^{0,0}	EXISTI
504 [•] 505 [•] 506		Acer saccharinum Malus spp. Morus spp.	Silver Maple Apple / Crabapple Mulberry	fair fair fair	 Leaning Leaning Contorted crown 	-	N (sp) N (s)			۔ جي #٥٥٥) EXISTI
507 * 508 *	6	Morus spp. Acer negundo Ulmus spp.	Boxelder Elm	fair good	- Leaning		N (sp)				PARCE
509 ° 510 °	15	Ulmus spp. Ulmus spp. Acer negundo	Elm Boxelder	good fair	- Contorted crown		N (sp)			· ·	ADJAC ADJAC SECTIO
511 512	6 / 5 / 8	Rhamnus cathartico Acer negundo	Boxelder	poor	- Contorted crown		N (sp) N (sp)				EXISTI
513 514	7 / 6 /	Rhamnus cathartica Crataeagus spp.	Hawthorn	fair	- Contorted crown - Poor crotch		N (s,sp)				EXISTI
515 516 517	6 / 4	Ulmus spp. Acer negundo	Elm Boxelder Boxelder	good fair	- Leaning		N (sp)			·	——————————————————————————————————————
517 518 519	9 / 5	Acer negundo Acer negundo Fraxinus spp.	Boxelder Boxelder Ash	fair poor poor	 Leaning Re-sprouts from fallen-over tree Signs of Emerald Ash Borer 	30%	N (sp) N (sp,c) N (sp)			·····	EXISTI EXISTI
519 520 521	7/6/6/		Ash Elm Boxelder	fair fair	 Signs of Emerald Ash Borer Grown into fence Leaning 		N (sp)				
521 522	5	Acer negundo Fraxinus spp. Acer negundo	Ash Boxelder	poor	 Signs of Emerald Ash Borer Hollow and significantly dead 	33%	N (sp) N (s,sp) N (sp,c)			000	EXISTI
				poor	- Hollow and significantly dead	27%			· <u></u>		
523 524 525	7 /	Acer negundo Acer negundo	Boxelder Boxelder	poor	- 50% or more dead	30%	N (sp,c) N (sp,c)		X	XX \	—\\ EXISTI EXISTI









*PLANS ARE CONCEPTUAL AND MAY VARY

















*PLANS ARE CONCEPTUAL AND MAY



















SECOND FLOOR PLAN



VILLA COLONIAL 3700 SQFT.

61

MONDRIAN PROPERTIES

Investigation • Remediation Compliance • Restoration 10448 Citation Drive, Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

Mailing Address: P.O. Box 2160 Brighton, MI 48116-2160

800 395-ASTI Fax: 810.225.3800

www.asti-env.com

Sent Via Email Only

March 22, 2021

Mr. Joe Maniaci **Mondrian Properties** 50215 Schoenherr Road Shelby Township, MI 48315

RE: Wetland Delineation and Jurisdictional Assessment 4.2 Acres John R. Road, Willowbrook No.2 Troy, Oakland County, Michigan ASTI File No. 11786

Dear Mr. Maniaci:

On February 26, 2021, ASTI Environmental (ASTI) conducted a site investigation to delineate wetland boundaries on approximately 4.2-acres of land located at 3710, 3740, and 3790 John R Road, south of East Wattles Road and north of West Big Beaver Road in Troy, Oakland County, Michigan (Property). One wetland likely not regulated by the Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy (EGLE) was found on the Property (Figure 1 – *GPS-Surveyed Wetland Boundaries*). Wetland boundaries, as depicted on Figure 1, were located using a professional grade, hand-held Global Positioning System unit (GPS).

SUPPORTING DATA AND MAPPING

The United States Geological Survey (USGS) Warren, Michigan 7.5' Quadrangle Map, the USDA Web Soil Survey (WSS), the National Wetland Inventory Map (NWI), EGLE Wetlands Map Viewer web site, and digital aerial photographs were all used to support the wetland delineation and subsequent regulatory status determination. None of the reviewed data indicated the presence of wetlands on the Property.

In addition, the WSS indicated the Property is comprised of the soils Brookston and Colwood loam (0-2% slopes), Aquents sandy loam, undulating (0-2% slopes), and Shebeon-Urban land complex (0-4% slopes). The soil complexes of



Brookston and Colwood loam and Aquents sandy loam, are listed as hydric soil according to the WSS.

FINDINGS

ASTI investigated the Property for the presence of any lakes, ponds, wetlands, and watercourses. This work is based on *MCL 324 Part 301 (Inland Lakes and Streams)* and *Part 303 (Wetland Protection).*

It should be noted that some municipalities have local wetland ordinances and natural features setbacks that may apply to this property. In addition, in some circumstances the US Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE) may also have jurisdiction of wetlands or watercourses on your Property. If either is the case for your site, this information will also be noted in the wetland descriptions below.

The delineation protocol used by ASTI for this delineation is based on the US Army Corps of Engineers' *Wetland Delineation Manual*, 1987, the *Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineer Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral/ Northeast Region*, and related guidance/documents, as appropriate. Wetland vegetation, hydrology, and soils were used to locate the wetland boundaries. One wetland area was found on the Property discussed below.

Wetland A

Wetland A is a forested wetland 0.03 acres in size, located in the central portion of the Property (see Figure 1). Dominant vegetation found within Wetland A included American elm (*Ulmus americana*), eastern cottonwood (*Populus deltoides*), glossy buckthorn (*Frangula alnus*), common reed (*Phragmites australis*), and dogbane (*Apocynum cannabinum*). Soils within Wetland A were loamy and are considered hydric because the criteria for a depleted matrix was met. Indicators of wetland hydrology observed within Wetland A included water marks and water stained leaves.

Dominant vegetation observed within the upland adjacent to Wetland A included Kentucky blue grass (*Poa pratensis*) and (*Glechoma hederacea*). Upland soils were fine loam and no evidence of wetland hydrology was observed.

It is ASTI's opinion that Wetland A is not regulated by EGLE because it is less than 5 acres in size and isolated (greater than 500 feet from an EGLE regulated inland lake, stream, or pond).

Wetland Flagging

Wetland boundaries were marked in the field with day-glow pink and black striped flagging labeled with the flag numbers A-1 through A-9. All flagging was located using a hand-held, survey-grade, sub-meter, GPS unit simultaneous to wetland delineation activities. Surveyed wetland locations are depicted on Figure 1.

Wetland Delineation and Jurisdictional Determination John R Road Property Troy, Oakland Co., MI ASTI File No. 11786



SUMMARY

Based upon the data, criteria, and evidence noted above, it is ASTI's professional opinion that the Property includes one wetland (Wetland A) not regulated by EGLE under the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act (1994 P.A. 451), Part 303 Wetland Protection. However, please note that EGLE has the final authority on the extent of regulated wetlands, lakes, and streams in the State of Michigan.

Attached are Figure 1, which shows the GPS-surveyed locations of wetland flagging on the Property, and completed US Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE) Wetland Data Forms. Please note that the data sheet numbers match the data collection sampling points shown on Figure 1.

Thank you for the opportunity to assist you with this project. Please let us know if we can be of any further assistance in moving your project forward.

Sincerely yours,

ASTI ENVIRONMENTAL

eremich Roth

Jeremiah Roth, PWS Wetland Ecologist Professional Wetland Scientist #3291

Dana R. Knox, PWS Wetland Ecologist Professional Wetland Scientist #213

Attachments: Figure 1 – *GPS-Surveyed Wetland Boundaries* Completed ACOE Wetland Data Forms

Wetland Delineation and Jurisdictional Determination John R Road Property Troy, Oakland Co., MI ASTI File No. 11786 It is ASTI's opinion that this wetland is not likely to be regulated by EGLE. This map does not imply an official opinion by EGLE nor is it legally binding.





WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Willowbrook No.2	City/County: City of Troy, Oakland Co. Sampling Date: 2/26/2021						
Applicant/Owner: Mondrain Properties	State: MI Sampling Point: UP1						
Investigator(s): JWR (ASTI Environmental)	Section, Township, Range: Sec.13 T02, R11E						
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): plain	Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope %: 0-1						
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR L	Lat: Datum: NAD 83						
Soil Map Unit Name: Shebeon-Urban land complex	x (0-4% slopes) NWI classification: None						
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	I for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)						
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology _	significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No						
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology _	naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)						
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.							
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes	X No Is the Sampled Area						
Hydric Soil Present? Yes No X within a Wetland? Yes No X							
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No X If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:						
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)							

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is require	ed; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	s (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C	C6) Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)) Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B	8)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:		
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No X
		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>No X</u>
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe)		
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe)	No X Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe)	No X Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe)	No X Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	No X Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	No X Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	No X Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	No X Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	No X Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	No X Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	No X Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	No X Depth (inches):	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: UP1

<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. <u>Ulmus americana</u> 2.	5	Yes	FACW	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 5 (A)
3.				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 6 (B)
5				Percent of Dominant Species
6				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 83.3% (A/B
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
	5	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15ft)				OBL species 0 x 1 = 0
1. Rhamnus frangula	35	Yes	FAC	FACW species 5 $x 2 = 10$
2. Rhamnus cathartica	40	Yes	FAC	FAC species 85 x 3 = 255
3. Fraxinus americana	15	No	FACU	FACU species X 4 = 60
4				UPL species <u>5</u> x 5 = <u>25</u>
5				Column Totals: 110 (A) 350 (A)
6				Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.18
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
	90	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5ft)				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Carex blanda	5	Yes	FAC	$3 - Prevalence Index is \leq 3.0^{1}$
2. Geum canadense	5	Yes	FAC	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporti data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
3. Carex plantaginea	5	Yes	UPL	
4				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
5				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
6				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8.				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diame
9.				at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10.				
11				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12	15	=Total Cover		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardles of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30ft)				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft i
1. None				height.
2.				
3.				Hydrophytic
1			······	-
		=Total Cover		
				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe t	o the dep	th needed to docu	ment th	ne indicat	or or co	nfirm the absence of indi	cators.)
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Featu	res			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-18	10YR 3/3						Loamy/Clayey	
	40)/D 0/2				·			
	10YR 6/3	<u> </u>			·			
					·			
					·			
					·			
					·		·	
		<u> </u>			· <u> </u>			
			,		·			
¹ Type: C=Co	oncentration, D=Deple	etion, RM=	Reduced Matrix, M	S=Mask	ked Sand	Grains.	² Location: PL=Po	ore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil I		,						oblematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol	(A1)	_	Polyvalue Belo	w Surfa	ce (S8) (L	.RR R,	2 cm Muck (A	A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
Histic Ep	ipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)			Coast Prairie	Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
Black His	()	-	Thin Dark Surfa	ace (S9)) (LRR R,	MLRA 1	49B)5 cm Mucky	Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
Hydroger	n Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma S	Sands (S	611) (LRF	κ, L)	Polyvalue Be	low Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)
	Layers (A5)	-	Loamy Mucky			R K, L)		rface (S9) (LRR K, L)
	Below Dark Surface	(A11)	Loamy Gleyed		F2)			ese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
	rk Surface (A12)	-	Depleted Matrix					odplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
	ucky Mineral (S1)	-	Redox Dark Su					c (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
	leyed Matrix (S4)	-	Depleted Dark					Aaterial (F21)
	edox (S5) Matrix (S6)	-	Redox Depress Marl (F10) (LR		8)			r Dark Surface (F22) in in Remarks)
	face (S7)	-		ix ix, ∟)				
³ Indicators of	hydrophytic vegetati	on and we	tland hvdrologv mu	st be pr	esent. un	ess distu	urbed or problematic.	
	ayer (if observed):		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	I				
Type:	Non	е						
Depth (in	nches):						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes No X
Remarks:	· _							
	m is revised from Nor	thcentral a	and Northeast Regi	onal Sup	pplement	Version 2	2.0 to include the NRCS Fie	eld Indicators of Hydric Soils,
	2015 Errata. (http://w							-

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Willowbrook No.2	City/0	County: City of Troy, Oakland Co.	Sampling Date: 2/26/2021			
Applicant/Owner: Mondrain Properties		State: MI	Sampling Point: UP2			
Investigator(s): JWR (ASTI Environmental)		Section, Township, Range: Sec.13	T02, R11E			
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): depression	Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>concave</u>	Slope %: 0-1			
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR L	Lat:	Long:	Datum: NAD 83			
Soil Map Unit Name: Shebeon-Urban land comp	lex (0-4% slopes)	NWI classification:	: None			
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typi	cal for this time of year?	Yes X No (If no,	explain in Remarks.)			
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances" pres	sent? Yes X No			
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any answers ir	n Remarks.)			
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.						
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes	s X No Ist	he Sampled Area				
Hydric Soil Present? Yes	s No X wit	hin a Wetland? Yes	No <u>X</u>			
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes	s No X If y	es, optional Wetland Site ID:				
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here c	r in a separate report.)					

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		<u>S</u>	econdary Indicators (minimum	n of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is require	ed; check all that apply)		Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	_	Drainage Patterns (B10)	
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)		Moss Trim Lines (B16)	
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	_	Dry-Season Water Table (C	C2)
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	_	Crayfish Burrows (C8)	
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Root	ts (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial	Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	_	Stunted or Stressed Plants	(D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)	
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		Microtopographic Relief (D4	4)
? Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8	3)		X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):			
Water Table Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):			
Saturation Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	Wetland	Hydrology Present? Y	res No X
		Wetland	Hydrology Present? Y	/esNoX
Saturation Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):		, ,	/es NoX
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe)	No X Depth (inches):		, ,	/es NoX
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe)	No X Depth (inches):		, ,	/esNoX
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe)	No X Depth (inches):		, ,	/es NoX
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mon	No X Depth (inches):		, ,	/es NoX
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mon	No X Depth (inches):		, ,	/esNo_X
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mon	No X Depth (inches):		, ,	/esNo_X
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mon	No X Depth (inches):		, ,	/esNo_X
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mon	No X Depth (inches):		, ,	/esNo_X
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mon	No X Depth (inches):		, ,	/esNo_X
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mon	No X Depth (inches):		, ,	/esNo_X
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mon	No X Depth (inches):		, ,	/esNo_X

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: UP2

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30ft)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
/		Species?	Status	Dominance Test worksneet:
1. Populus deltoides	35	Yes	FAC	Number of Dominant Species
2. <u>Acer saccharinum</u>	35	Yes	FACW	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
3		·		Total Number of Dominant
4				Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B)
5		·		Percent of Dominant Species
6				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)
7		·		Prevalence Index worksheet:
	70	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15ft)				OBL species 0 x 1 = 0
1. Rhamnus frangula	15	Yes	FAC	FACW species 35 x 2 = 70
2				FAC species 50 x 3 = 150
3				FACU species x 4 =
4	_			UPL species 0 x 5 = 0
5.				Column Totals: 85 (A) 220 (B)
6.				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 2.59$
7.		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
	15	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5ft)		-		X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. None				$3 - \text{Prevalence Index is } \le 3.0^1$
				4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
		·		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
3.		·		
4.		·		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
5		- <u> </u>		¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
6				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7		·		Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter
9				at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10		·		Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH
11				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
		=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30ft)				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
1. None				height.
2.				
3.				Hydrophytic
4.				Vegetation Present? Yes X No
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separ	ate sheet)			I

Image: Type: Color (moist) % Color (moist) % Type' Loc ² Texture Remarks 0-18 10YR 6/3 60	Depth	ription: (Describe			x Featu						
0-18 10YR 9/3 60 Loamy/Clayey fine loam 10YR 6/3 40	·		%				Loc ²	Textur	Э	Rema	irks
10YR 6/3 40 10YR 6/3 10 10YR 10 10 110YR 10 10 110YR 10<	0-18	10YR 3/3	60					Loamv/Cla	avev	fine lo	am
Image: Space of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Image: Space of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.	0.10							Louny, on			
Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :		10YR 6/3	40								
Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :											
Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :											
Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :											
Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :											
Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :											
Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :											
Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :											
Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :											
Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :											
Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :											
Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :											
Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :											
Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :											
Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B) Histic Epipedon (A2) MLRA 149B) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, L) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) High Chroma Sands (S11) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L, L) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Depleted Matrix (F2) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, P) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 143) Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Other (Explain in Remarks) Dark Surface (S7) Piedmont floodplain solie Remarks) "Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: None	¹ Type: C=Co	ncentration, D=Depl	etion, RM=	Reduced Matrix, M	IS=Mask	ked Sand	Grains.	² Lo	cation: PL=Po	ore Lining, M=Ma	atrix.
Histic Epipedon (A2) MLRA 149B) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, I) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) High Chroma Sands (S11) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 144 Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 143) Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Other (Explain in Remarks) Dark Surface (S7) Thin Remarks) Other (Explain in Remarks)	-							Ind		-	
Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, L) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) High Chroma Sands (S11) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 144 Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 143) Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Other (Explain in Remarks) Dark Surface (S7) Thin Dark Surface (F22) Other (Explain in Remarks)			-			ce (S8) (I	.RR R,				
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) High Chroma Sands (S11) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, L) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Depleted Matrix (F3) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 144 Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 145) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Red Parent Material (F21) Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Other (Explain in Remarks) Dark Surface (S7) Thin Dark Surface (F7) Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: None None None					,			40B)			
Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 144 Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 143) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Red Parent Material (F21) Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Other (Explain in Remarks) Dark Surface (S7) 3 Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: None		()	-					49D)			
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, Thick Dark Surface (A12) Depleted Matrix (F3) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 144 Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 143) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Red Parent Material (F21) Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Other (Explain in Remarks) Dark Surface (S7) 3 Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: None			-				-				
Thick Dark Surface (A12) Depleted Matrix (F3) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 144, 145, 1			e (A11)				,,				
Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Red Parent Material (F21) Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Other (Explain in Remarks) Dark Surface (S7) Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: None			· / -			,			-		
Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Other (Explain in Remarks) Dark Surface (S7) ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: None	Sandy Mu	ucky Mineral (S1)	-	Redox Dark Su	urface (F	6)			Mesic Spodic	c (TA6) (MLRA 1	44A, 145, 149B)
Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Other (Explain in Remarks) Dark Surface (S7) 3 Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: None	Sandy Gl	eyed Matrix (S4)	_	Depleted Dark	Surface	(F7)			Red Parent M	Aaterial (F21)	
Dark Surface (S7) ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type:None	Sandy Re	edox (S5)	-	Redox Depress	sions (Fa	B)			-		22)
³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type:None			-	Marl (F10) (LR	R K, L)				Other (Explai	n in Remarks)	
Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: None	Dark Surf	face (S7)									
Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: None	3 and a stars of			tion of building to our second							
Type: None				tiand hydrology mu	ist be pro	esent, un	ess distu	rbea or probi	ematic.		
								Hydric Sc	il Present?	Yes	No X
Remarks:	Depth (in	ches).						пушис эс	Fresent	Tes	

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Willowbrook No.2	City/County: City of Troy, Oakland Co. Sampling Date: 2/26/2021
Applicant/Owner: Mondrain Properties	State: MI Sampling Point: UP3
Investigator(s): JWR (ASTI Environmental)	Section, Township, Range: Sec.13 T02, R11E
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): plain	Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>convex</u> Slope %: <u>0-1</u>
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR L	Lat: Long: Datum: NAD 83
Soil Map Unit Name: Shebeon-Urban land complex	x (0-4% slopes) NWI classification: None
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typica	al for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology _	significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology _	naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes	No X Is the Sampled Area
Hydric Soil Present? Yes	No X within a Wetland? Yes No X
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes	No X If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or i	n a separate report.)

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)	
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is require	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)		
Surface Water (A1)	Surface Water (A1) Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)	
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)	
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C	 Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) 	
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)	
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)	
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)	
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B	8)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
Field Observations:			
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):		
Water Table Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):		
Saturation Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches): We	tland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>No X</u>	
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe)	No X Depth (inches): We	tland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>No X</u>	
(includes capillary fringe)	No X Depth (inches): We nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(includes capillary fringe)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(includes capillary fringe)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(includes capillary fringe)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: U

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. None 2.				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:0 (A)
3. 4.				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:1(B)
5. 6.				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15ft)				OBL species x 1 =
1. <u>None</u>				FACW species 0 x 2 = 0
2				FAC species 0 x 3 = 0
3				FACU species95x 4 =380
4				UPL species x 5 =0
5				Column Totals: 95 (A) 380 (B)
6.				Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.00
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
		=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5ft)				2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Poa pratensis	80	Yes	FACU	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
2. Glechoma hederacea	15	No	FACU	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
3.				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4.				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
5.				_
6.				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7.				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10.				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH
11.				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12	95	=Total Cover		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30ft) 1. None				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
2				
3				Hydrophytic Vegetation
4				Present? Yes No X
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separ	rate sheet.)			•

Depth Matr (inches) Color (moist 0-18 10YR 4/4 10YR 6/3		Color (moist)	x Featur						
0-18 10YR 4/4	<u> </u>	(/	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture		Rema	rks
						Loamy/Claye		fine lo	am
10YR 6/3						Loanty/Claye	<u>y</u>	nine io	am
	40								
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=E	Depletion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, M	IS=Mask	ed Sand	Grains.	² Locati	on: PL=Pore	e Lining, M=Ma	atrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators:								blematic Hydr	
Histosol (A1)		Polyvalue Belo		ce (S8) (I	.RR R,			0) (LRR K, L,	
Histic Epipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B	,					edox (A16) (LI	
Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)		Thin Dark Surfa High Chroma S				-	-) (LRR K, L, R)
Stratified Layers (A5)		Loamy Mucky			-		-	w Surface (S8) ace (S9) (LRR	
Depleted Below Dark Sur	face (A11)	Loamy Gleyed			(IX, L)				2) (LRR K, L, R)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)		Depleted Matri		/			-		9) (MLRA 149B)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1		Redox Dark Su		6)					44A, 145, 149B)
Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark	Surface	(F7)		Re	d Parent Ma	terial (F21)	
Sandy Redox (S5)		Redox Depress	sions (F8	3)		Ve	ry Shallow D	ark Surface (F	22)
Stripped Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) (LR	R K, L)			Ot	ner (Explain	in Remarks)	
Dark Surface (S7)									
3									
³ Indicators of hydrophytic veg Restrictive Layer (if observe		etland hydrology mu	st be pre	esent, un	ess distu	irbed or problema	atic.		
	None								
Depth (inches):						Hydric Soil F	recent?	Yes	No Y
Remarks:						Hyunc Son P	Tesent:	163	<u>No X</u>

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

City/County: City of Troy, Oakland Co. Sampling Date: 2/26/2021
State: MI Sampling Point: WT1
Section, Township, Range: Sec.13 T02, R11E
relief (concave, convex, none): <u>concave</u> Slope %: <u>0-1</u>
Long: Datum: NAD 83
NWI classification: None
Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
rbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
atic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)
pling point locations, transects, important features, etc.
Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes X No If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:
Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Soil Cracks (B6)

Surface Water (A1)	X Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
X Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Ro	bots (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soil	s (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)) Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B	8)	X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:		
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No
		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No
Saturation Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe)	No X Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe)	No X Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe)	No X Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	No X Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	No X Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	No X Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	No X Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	No X Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	No X Depth (inches):	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: WT1

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30ft)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. Ulmus americana	15	Yes	FACW	Number of Dominant Species
2. Populus deltoides	35	Yes	FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:6 (A)
3. Acer negundo	5	No	FAC	Total Number of Dominant
4. Quercus palustris	5	No	FACW	Species Across All Strata: <u>6</u> (B)
5				Percent of Dominant Species
6				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
	60	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15ft)				OBL species 0 x 1 = 0
1. Frangula alnus	5	Yes	FAC	FACW species 45 x 2 = 90
2				FAC species 60 x 3 = 180
3				FACU species x 4 =
4				UPL species 0 x 5 = 0
5				Column Totals: 105 (A) 270 (B)
6				Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.57
7				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
	5	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5ft)				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Phragmites australis	25	Yes	FACW	X_3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
2. Apocynum cannabinum	10	Yes	FAC	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
3				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
5				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
6				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter
9				at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH
11				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
	35	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30ft)				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
1. Vitis riparia	5	Yes	FAC	height.
2				I hulten hudia
3				Hydrophytic Vegetation
4				Present? Yes X No
	5	=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separa	ate sheet.)			

SOIL

		o the dep				tor or co	nfirm the absence of inc	licators.)
Depth	Matrix			<pre>< Feature</pre>		2		
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-12	10YR 4/2	95	10YR 5/6	5	С	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations
12-18	10YR 5/1	95	10YR 5/8	5	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations
1 <u>т</u> с с								
Hydric Soil Ir		etion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, M	S=Mask	ed Sand	Grains.		Pore Lining, M=Matrix. Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (Polyvalue Belov	w Surfac	ce (S8) (I	RR R.		(A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
	ipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)			,		ie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
Black His			, Thin Dark Surfa		(LRR R,	MLRA 1		Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
Hydrogen	n Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma S					Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)
	Layers (A5)		Loamy Mucky M			-		Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)
	Below Dark Surface	(A11)	Loamy Gleyed					nese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
	rk Surface (A12)		X Depleted Matrix	(F3)			Piedmont F	loodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
Sandy Mu	ucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark Su	rface (F	6)		Mesic Spod	lic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
Sandy Gl	eyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark	Surface	(F7)		Red Parent	Material (F21)
Sandy Re	edox (S5)		Redox Depress	ions (F8	3)		Very Shallo	w Dark Surface (F22)
Stripped I	Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) (LRI	R K, L)			Other (Expl	ain in Remarks)
Dark Surf	face (S7)							
³ Indicators of	hydrophytic vegetati	on and w	etland hydrology mus	st be pre	esent, un	less distu	urbed or problematic.	
Restrictive L	ayer (if observed):							
Туре:	Non	e						
Depth (in	ches):						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No
Remarks:	n in rovined from No	rthoontrol	and Northcost Pagic	nol Sun	nlomont	Varaian	2 0 to ipoludo the NBCS F	Field Indicators of Hydric Soils,
			usda.gov/Internet/FS					Telu mulcalors of Hyunc Solis,

DATE: May 20, 2021

- TO: Planning Commission
- FROM: R. Brent Savidant, Community Development Director
- SUBJECT: <u>PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN REVIEW (File Number SP2021-0003)</u> Proposed The Alcove Site Improvements, East side of Livernois, South of Big Beaver (2600 Livernois), Section 27, Currently Zoned MF (Multiple-Family Residential) Zoning District

The petitioner TriCap Residential Group submitted the above referenced Preliminary Site Plan application for the site improvements to an existing development, including the conversion of a 151-unit extended stay Hawthorn Suites hotel into 151 apartment units.

The Planning Commission is responsible for granting Preliminary Site Plan approval for this item. The conversion from an extended stay hotel to apartments requires two variances:

- 1. As per Section 4.08.C, multiple family residential density is capped at 10 units per acre when adjacent to One Family Residential. The site is 6.4 acres, which would permit a maximum of 64 units. The applicant is proposing a 1:1 conversion of units, creating 151 apartments units. This equates to 23.35 units per acre.
- 2. As per Section 4.08.C, the minimum square footage of an efficiency or one-bedroom unit is 600 square feet. The existing units range in size from 475 to 600 square feet.

The Planning Commission is asked to review and consider the preliminary site plan prior to the applicant going before the ZBA for the required variances. <u>The Planning Commission is not required to take any action on this application at this time</u>.

Attached is a report for the Calls For Service to Hawthorne Suites between January 1, 2016 through May 19, 2021, provided by the Troy Police Department. It is anticipated that the conversion to less transient apartment units, with a responsible landlord/property manager, will greatly reduce the need for police calls to the property. This would be a significant benefit for the City including the residential neighbors to the south and east.

The attached report prepared by Carlisle/Wortman Associates, Inc. (CWA), the City's Planning Consultant, summarizes the project. CWA prepared the report with input from various City departments including Planning, Engineering, Public Works and Fire. City Management supports the findings of fact contained in the report and the recommendations included therein.

Attachments:

- 1. Maps
- Report prepared by Carlisle/Wortman Associates, Inc.
 Preliminary Site Plan.
- 4. Calls for Service data, 1/1/16 5/19/21, provided by Troy Police Department.

G:\SITE PLANS\SP JPLN2021-0003 THE ALCOVE\PC Memo 2021 05 25.docx





Note: The information provided by this application has been compiled from recorded deeds, plats, tax maps, surveys, and other public records and data. It is not a legally recorded map survey. Users of this data are hereby notified that the source information represented should be consulted for verification.





data are hereby notified that the source information represented should be consulted for verification.



117 NORTH FIRST STREET SUITE 70 ANN ARBOR, MI 48104 734.662.2200 734.662.1935 FAX

Date: May 14, 2021

Preliminary Site Plan Review For City of Troy, Michigan

Project Name:	Alcove
Plan Date:	April 22, 2021
Location:	2600 Livernois
Zoning:	MF, Multiple Family Residential
Action Requested:	Preliminary Plan Approval

PROJECT AND SITE DESCRIPTION

The applicant is proposing to convert the existing Hawthorne Suites, which is an extended stay hotel, to a multiple family apartment development. The applicant is proposing a one-to-one conversion of units, converting the existing 151 extended stay units into 151 apartments units.

As part of the conversion, proposed improvements include:

- Landscaping
- Architectural
- Twenty-five (25) additional parking spaces

The applicant does not propose any changes to the existing building footprint. The applicant proposes to add twenty (20) parallel parking spaces along the northern property line adjacent to the I-75 right of way. Five (5) additional spaces are proposed in the southwest portion of the property. With these twenty-five (25) additional spaces, the site will be served with 193 parking spaces, which is three (3) more than required.

Alcove May 14, 2021



Figure 1. - Location and Aerial Image of Subject Site

Size of Subject Property: 23.35 acres

<u>Proposed Uses of Subject Parcel:</u> One-hundred and fifty-one (151) apartment units

<u>Current Use of Subject Property</u>: One-hundred and fifty-one (151) apartment units Alcove May 14, 2021

Extended Stay Hotel

<u>Current Zoning:</u> The property is currently zoned MF, Multiple Family Residential District.

Surrounding Property Details:

Direction	Zoning	Use
North	I-75	I-75
South	R-1E, One-family	Single-
	Residential District	family
		homes
East	MF, Multiple Family	Single-
	Residential District	family
		homes
West	BB, Big Beaver	Commercial

Items to be addressed: None



ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

Though zoned MF, Multiple Family Residential, the conversion from an extended stay hotel to multiple family requires two variances:

- As set forth in Section 4.08.C, multiple family residential is capped at 10 units per acre when adjacent to residential. The site is 6.4 acres, which would permit a maximum of 64 units. The applicant is proposing a one-to-one conversion of units, converting the existing 151 extended stay units into 151 apartments units. This equates to 23.35 units per acre.
- 2. As set forth in Section 4.08.C, the minimum square footage of an efficiency or one-bedroom unit is 600 square feet. The existing units range in size from 475 to 600 square feet.

The Planning Commission is asked to review and consider the preliminary site plan prior to the applicant going to the ZBA for the required variances.

LANDSCAPING

	andscaping Requirem		
	<u>Required:</u>	<u>Provided:</u>	Compliance:
Street Trees: The Ordinance requires that	Livernois = 12 trees	11 trees	Deficient by
the greenbelt shall be landscaped with a			one (1) tree
minimum of one (1) deciduous tree for			
every thirty (30) lineal feet, or fraction			
thereof, of frontage abutting a public			
road right-of-way.			
Site landscaping: A minimum of twenty	20%	Unkown	Provide
percent (15%) of the site area shall be			calculation
comprised of hardscape and landscape			
material.			
Parking Lot Landscaping: 1 tree for every	193 surface spaces	25 trees	Compliant
8 parking spaces. Trees may be located	= 25 trees		with Planning
adjacent to parking lot with planning			Commission
commission approval.			approval
Screening between land uses: Large	Large evergreen	Existing landscaping	Not
evergreen every 10 feet or small ever 3	every 10 feet or	to be supplemented	compliant.
feet.	small ever 3 feet or	with additional	See comment
	alternative as	evergreens. Existing	below
	approved by the	4-foot masonry wall	
	Planning	to remain.	
	Commission		

Table 2.	– Landscapina	Requirements
	Lanascaping	negan emento

The applicant is providing additional trees to comply with the number of parking lot trees but we note that they are located along the perimeter of the parking lot. If they were to place the trees within the existing parking lot they would remove parking and then be out of compliance with the required parking. The Planning Commission may approve parking lot trees to be placed at the perimeter of the parking lots.

There is an existing 4-foot masonry wall that runs the length of the property on the south side. There is no note on the plan of its removal, so we assume it will remain. However, there are pockets along the southern property line that are not adequately screened, and the proposed landscaping is not sufficient to screen those areas. The applicant shall resubmit a landscape plan with sufficient plant density to adequately screen the entire southern property line.



Alcove May 14, 2021

Other site amenities include creation of a dog park, grilling area, and swing area.

Items to be Addressed: 1). Planning Commission to consider allowing parking lot trees to be planted outside of the parking lot; 2). Provide overall site landscaping calculation; 3). Resubmit a landscape plan with sufficient plant density to adequately screen the entire southern property line; and 4). Resubmit landscape plan with a stamp from a registered architect.

ELEVATIONS AND FLOOR PLANS





ELEVATION #1

Alcove May 14, 2021





The applicant is proposing minimal changes to the materials and elevations. Outside of new paint, the only material change the applicant proposes is to replace the vinyl siding on the first floor, with new vinyl. We find that conversion of these units from extended stay to apartments is a unique opportunity to make site improvements including material and architectural changes. We recommend that the applicant provide a higher quality material than vinyl and provide additional architectural improvements to the buildings.

Items to be addressed: Provide a higher quality material than vinyl and provide additional architectural improvements to the buildings.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Because the applicant needs variance, the Planning Commission cannot take action on the item until and if the variances are granted. The Planning Commission is asked to review and consider the preliminary site plan prior to the applicant going to the ZBA for the required variances.

If the variances are granted by the Zoning Board of Appeals, we recommend that the applicant revise their plans and resubmit prior to coming back to the Planning Commission with the following items addressed:

- 1. Provide overall site landscaping calculation
- 2. Resubmit a landscape plan with sufficient plant density to adequately screen the entire southern property line
- 3. Resubmit landscape plan with a stamp from a registered architect
- 4. Provide a higher quality material than vinyl and provide additional architectural improvements to the buildings.

CARLISLE/WORTMAN ASSOC., INC. Benjamin R. Carlisle, LEED AP, AICP

HAWTHORN SUITES OF TROY **RESIDENTIAL CONVERSION**





PROJECT TEAM:

DEVELOPER

TRICAP RESIDENTIAL GROUP 171 N. ABERDEEN, SUITE 400 CHICAGO, IL 60607 (312) 988-9825

CIVIL ENGINEER/LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT

WADE TRIM 555 S. SAGINAW ST, SUITE 201 FLINT, MI 48502 (810) 620-0015

۲

ARCHITECTURAL

F

•

NORTH

 \bigwedge

SITE LOCATION

HOBBS + BLACK ASSOCIATES, INC. 100 N. STATE STREET ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN 48104 (734) 663-4189

•

2600 LIVERNOIS RD TROY, MI

SITE PLAN SUBMITTAL 4/22/2021



SITE VICINITY MAP SCALE - N.T.S.

Ε

PROJECT DATA:

OAKLAND COUNTY PID: 0611722320029

PROPERTY DESCRIPTION: LOT 1, BLOCK 1, PINEHURST 1ST ADDITION

<u>ZONING</u> **EXISTING**

PROPOSED

MF : MULTI-FAMILY - HOTEL

MF : MULTI-FAMILY - APARTMENT RENTAL

MAXIMUM DENSITY PERMITTED

PROPOSED/EXISTING

10 UNITS/ACRE

23.35 UNITS/ACRE (NO CHANGE)

MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STORIES / IN FEET ALLOWABLE PROPOSED/EXISTING

> 2 STORIES/ 25' 2 STORIES/ 23' +/- (NO CHANGE)

MINIMUM YARD SETBACKS ALLOWABLE

FRONT REAR SIDES

30' 30' 30' **PROPOSED/EXISTING** 52.5' (NO CHANGE) 50'+ (NO CHANGE)

50;+ (NO CHANGE)

DISTANCE BETWEEN BUILDINGS **PROPOSED/EXISTING** ALLOWABLE

30' 30'+ (NO CHANGE)

MIN FLOOR AREA / UNIT (SF) ALLOWABLE

EFF/1BD 600sf **PROPOSED/EXISTING**

Varies 475sf - 600+sf (NO CHANGE)

MAX % OF LOT AREA COVERED BY BLDGS ALLOWABLE 35%

PROPOSED/EXISTING 16.8% (NO CHANGE)

PARKING **REFER TO SHEET C1.0 FOR PARKING TABULATIONS**

•

۲

Copyright 2016 Hobbs+Black Associa SITE PLAN REVIEW DATE ISSUED DRAWN BY CHECKED BY

DRAWING INDEX:



ARCHITECTURAL TITLE, DATA, INDEX & SITE LOC'N

EXISTING FIRST FLOOR PLANS EXISTING SECOND FLOOR PLANS EXTERIOR ELEVATIONS EXTERIOR ELEVATIONS

<u>CIVIL & LANDSCAPE</u>

BOUNDARY & TOPO SURVEY PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN TREE PRESERVATION PLAN PRELIMINARY LANDSCAPE PLAN SITE & LANDSCAPE DETAILS

Suit σ Resident Conversi Troy, N 0 ot ന

PROJECT

CONSULTANT

TITLE/ DATA INDEX

SHEET TITLE

21-802

A-000

PROJECT NUMBER

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

SHEET NUMBER
ORIGINAL FLOOR PLANS FOR EXISTING BUILDING **INCLUDED IN PACKAGE FOR REFERNCE ONLY**

Е

٠

D

F

۰

٠

G

۰



С

٠

В

۰

٠

NO PROPOSED EXTERIOR MODIFICATIONS TO THE **BUILDING FOOTPRINT**

F

۲

•

Н

H

•

•

5

•

•

3

•

2

۲

۰

Е

۲

С

٠

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

٠

В

۰



•

EXISTING FIRST FLOOR

PLANS

21-802

CONSULTANT

SHEET TITLE

PROJECT NUMBER

Α

	SITE PLAN F	REVIEW	D	ATE K	04/22/2021
				DRAV	VN BY
•			С	HECKI	ED BY
4	S + BLACK				щ
•	HOBB	A C A L	100 N Storts St	Ann Arbor, MI 48104 P.734.663.4189	www.hobbs-black.com
3	Hawthorn Suites	of Troy	Residential	Conversion	Troy, MI
•	Hav				
2					

© Copyright 2016 Hobbs+Black Associates, Inc ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

Sheet Size - 24x36

F

•



NO PROPOSED EXTERIOR MODIFICATIONS TO THE **BUILDING FOOTPRINT**

F

٠

Η

٠

۲

3

•

2

Н

۰

•

ORIGINAL FLOOR PLANS FOR EXISTING BUILDING **INCLUDED IN PACKAGE FOR REFERNCE ONLY**

•

D

С

•

В

FOR CONSTRUCTION

REVISION

B.J. KINGDON, A.I. 45 RIVERVIEW, WICHITA, KANSAS 6720 2010 (218) 268-0230 Copyright © 11

RELATED DRAWINGS

RESIDENCE INN

TROY MICHIGAN

X

TROY RESIDENCE ASSOCIATES WICHITA, KANSAS

LIVING UNITS

SECOND FLOOR & LOFT PLAN SHIFET TITLE TENANT SHIFET NUMBER

TYPICAL BUILDING SECOND FLOOR PLAN SCALE - N.T.S.

В

٠

ROJECT NUMBER: 7417

ITEM

Е

۲

Е

۲

С

٠

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

0

Α

PROJECT NUMBER

SHEET TITLE

A-105

٠

21-802

SHEET NUMBER

EXISTING SECOND FLOOR PLANS

CONSULTANT

onve Troy C Resi Ó $\mathbf{\sigma}$ L PROJECT 2

60

УЛ

CHECKED BY

DRAWN BY

04/22/2021 DATE ISSUED

SITE PLAN REVIEW

Sheet Size - 24x36

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

© Copyright 2016 Hobbs+Black Associates, I



D

С

•



٠

F

•

Е

٠

۰

٠

٠		A
		MID PT OF SLOPED ROOF
	6'-8"±	EXIST ASPHALT SHINGLES TO REMAIN

8'-10 1/4"

MEZZANINE ELEV = 117'-9 7/8"

— EXISTING ∨INYL SIDNG TO REMAIN (WHITE)

В

٠

Sheet Size - 24x36 © Copyright 2016 Hobbs+Black Associates, Inc. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

•

۰

2

Н

Н

٠

•

6

•

5

•

4

۰

3

•

G

٠

NOTES:

٠

F

٠

G



D

F

٠

Е

٠

SIDE ELEVATION #1 SCALE - 1/8" = 1'-0"

С

٠

٠



- PROPOSED FINISHES ARE REPRESENTATIVE OF FINISHES PROPOSED FOR ALL BUILDINGS WITHIN THE EXISTING DEVELOPMENT. - ALTERATIONS ARE COSMETIC AND NO EXTERIOR STRUCTURAL MODIFICATIONS ARE PROPOSED

D



٠

С

٠

Е

۰

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION В

A-205

PROJECT NUMBER

SHEET NUMBER

21-802

SHEET TITLE

EXTERIOR ELEVATIONS

CONSULTANT

PROJECT

Residential Conversion Troy, MI Troy of

t2 ¥ 88 100 N Ann A P.734.

S

Suit

Hawthorn

•

2

УС Ч

CHECKED BY

DRAWN BY

04/22/2021

DATE ISSUED

SITE PLAN REVIEW

MID PT OF SLOPED ROOF ELEV = 124'-6" — EXIST ASPHALT SHINGLES TO REMAIN 6′-8**″**± MEZZANINE ELEV = 117'-9 7/8" — EXISTING ∨INYL SIDNG TD REMAIN (WHITE) 8'-10 1/4" NEW PAINT ON EXIST

SECOND FLOOR

ELEV = 109'-0 3/8"

9'-0 3" NEW PAINT ON EXIST WOOD STAIR AND RAIL -TYP

REMAIN

FIRST FLOOR ELEV = 100'-0"

- NEW VINYL BD & BATTEN SIDING - SD-2

- EXIST ALUM WINDOWS TO

MID PT OF SLOPED ROOF ELEV = 124'-6"

MEZZANINE ELEV = 117'-9 7/8"

- EXISTING ∨INYL SIDNG T□ REMAIN (WHITE)

- NEW PAINT ON EXIST WOOD TRIM - TYP

- NEW ∨INYL BD & BATTEN SIDING - SD-1

SECOND FLOOR ELEV = 109'-0 3/8"

FIRST FLOOR ELEV = 100'-0"

6′-8**″**±

8'-10 1/4"

9'-0 🕺

— EXIST ASPHALT SHINGLES TO REMAIN

٠

В

Α

Sheet Size - 24x36 © Copyright 2016 Hobbs+Black Associates, Inc. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

•





VICINITY MAP (NOT TO SCALE)

> 12"PVC NORTH INV=664.42 12"PVC WEST INV=664.62

- SS-71039 RIM = 671.42

LEGEND

	-
IANDHOLE	ен
RANSFORMER BOX	E
OWER POLE	Ø
RON (FOUND)	● _{IR}
OVERNMENT CORNER	$\mathbf{\bullet}$
RON PIPE	° _{IP}
UY WIRE ANCHOR	° _{GA}
OUY POLE	O _{GP}
IETAL LIGHT POLE	Ŭ,
RNAMENTAL LIGHT	×
OWER & LIGHT POLE	Q
LEAN OUT	© _{CO}
ANITARY MANHOLE	S
ATCH BASIN (ROUND GRATE)	CB
ATCH BASIN (SQUARE GRATE)	CB
ULVERT END SECTION	<
OWN SPOUT	⊠DS
TORM MANHOLE	5
AS METER	⇔ _{GM}
IR CONDITION UNIT UNIT	
LAG POLE	o _{FP}
IGN POST	o _s
PRINKLER JUNCTION BOX	S ⊟_JB
ONIFEROUS TREE	AND
ECIDUOUS BUSH	(B)
ECIDUOUS TREE	Č
TUMP	-, л
IRE HYDRANT	Q
ATE VALVE & WELL (DETROIT)	\oslash_{D}
HUT OFF VALVE	⊠ WSO
PRINKLER JUNCTION BOX	⊟ _{JB}
VERHEAD ELECTRIC	——————————————————————————————————————
ANITARY SEWER	
TORM SEWER	
DGE OF WOODS	
OMESTIC WATER	
OUNDARY LINE	
UILDING	
HAIN LINK FENCE	——————————————————————————————————————
RIGHT OF WAY	R/W
ECTION LINE	
OP OF BANK	
/ALL	
	্ ব্
ONCRETE	

PAVEMENT

555 S. Saginaw Flint, MI 48502 810 235 2555 www wadatrim or **RIM** M **ARCHITECTS ATE STREET MI 48104** RAPHIC SURVEY GRAPHIC HOBBS + BLACK 100 NORTH STAT ANN ARBOR, M ΟL \approx BOUNDARY HAW^T TROY, MI SUED FOR: DATE: 04/22/21 KPR HOB2012.01F C-0.0



PARKING REQUIREMENTS							
TYPE	NO. OF SPACES						
REQUIRED							
PER TABLE 13.06-A SCHEDULE OF OFF-STREET PARKING SPACES, MULTIPLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL, 1 SPACE PER EFFICIENCY DWELLING UNIT, 2 SPACES PER EACH DWELLING UNIT.							
PARKING REQUIRED							
REGULAR SPACES	184						
BARRIER FREE SPACES	6						
TOTAL PARKING REQUIRED	190						
PARKING PROVIDED							
REGULAR SPACES	182						
BARRIER FREE SPACES	11						
TOTAL PARKING SPACES	193						
	!						

			BY
			DESCRIPTION
			REV# DATE
	555 S. Saginaw Street, Suite 201 Flint, MI 48502		
HOBBS + BLACK ARCHITECTS	ANN ARBOR, MI 48104	PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN	FOR HAWTHORNE REDEVELOPMENT
ISSUED PSP		DATE: 4/22/2	BY: 1 KPR
JOB NO HO SHEET	B201	2.0	



PRESERVATION	SUMMARY

EXISTING TREES							
EET FRONTAGE TREES	11						
ARKING LOT TREES	23						
TAL EXISTING TREES	34						
TREES TO BE REMOVED							
FRONTAGE TREE REMOVAL	0						
NG LOT TREE REMOVAL	6						
OTAL TREE REMOAL	6						
ING TREES TO REMAIN	28						

					DESCRIPTION BY	
					REV# DATE	
	555 S. Saginaw Street, Suite 201	Flint, MI 48502 810.235.2555	www.wadetrim.com			
HOBBS + BLACK ARCHITECTS	100 NORTH STATE STREET	AININ AKBUK, MI 40104	TREE PRESERVATION PLAN	FOR	HAWTHORNE REDEVELOPMENT	
ISSUED PSP) FOR:		DATE		BY: KPR	



193 PR	OPOSED PARKING SPA							
REQUIREMENT								
TREES	25							
STREET FRONTAG								
APPX. 3	APPX. 355 LINEAL FEET							
	REQUIREMENT							
TREES	8							
SCRE	ENING LAND							
APPX. 8	300 LINEAL FEET							
REQUIREMENT								
ALT 1	1 PER 3 LF							
ALT 2	1 PER 10 LF							
6' OPAQUE FENCE								

_	 _					_

1.	
	OF TOPSOIL, SEED/SOD AN
	NATURAL, FRIABLE, FERTILE
	REPRESENTATIVE TOPSOIL I
	HAVE A PH RANGE OF 5.5
	LITTER, SODS, STIFF CLAY,
	TRASH, TOXIC SUBSTANCES
	GROWTH OR HINDER PLAN
	PERCENT ORGANIC MATERIA
	MEET THESE REQUIREMENT
	MET.
_	

nd west side	(Form Based Zoning)	none	None	None			
h Side	R-1E One Family Residential	Per Table 13.02-B Screen Alternative 1 or 2* (13.02-B.3 & 13.02-B.4)	Yes	Per Table 13.02-A			
Side	MF Multi Family Residential	None	None	None			
12-B.3 Solid Wall or Fence. Where a land use activity creates noise, light, dust or other similar nuisance that cannot be effectively screened by a landscape buffer, a solid opaque wall or fence may be required.							

	555 S. Saginaw Street, Suite 201 Flint, MI 48502 810 235 2555		REV# DATE DESCRIPTION BY	
HOBBS + BLACK ARCHITECTS	ANN ARBOR, MI 48104	PRELIMINARY LANDSCAPE PLAN FOR	HAWTHORNE	EVELOPMENT TROY MI
ISSUED PSP	FOR: 04	DATE: /22/21	BY: KPR	HORPO12 015 HAWTHORNF REDEV
JOB NO HO SHEET	B201	2.01 3.0	F	🔊 Wade Trim Group. Inc.

<u>NOTES</u>

CONCRETE NOTES

- 1. ALL CONCRETE SHALL BE 4500 PSI CONCRETE MIX UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
- 2. ALL POLY FIBER REINFORCED CONCRETE SHALL HAVE A MIX RATIO OF 1.5 LBS OF POLY FIBER PER 1.0 CYD OF CONCRETE.

CONCRETE JOINTS

- 1. THE CONTRACTOR MUST PREPARE A JOINTING PLAN AND SUBMIT TO ENGINEER AS A SHOP DRAWING FOR APPROVAL PRIOR TO PLACEMENT OF CONCRETE
- 2. BEGIN SAW CUTS AFTER THE CONCRETE HAS HARDENED ENOUGH TO PERMIT SAWING WITH OUT RAVELING OR MOVING AGGREGATES.
- 3. IF CRACKS DEVELOP AHEAD OF A SAW, STOP SAWING THAT JOINT. LATER USE CRACK SAWS TO FORM JOINT SEALANT RESERVOIRS ALONG THE CRACK LINE.
- 4. JOINT SPACING:
- MAXIMUM SLAB SIZE = $2 \times SLAB$ THICKNESS (INCHES TO FEET), Α. I.E.: 2 X 6 INCHES = 12 FEET - 15 FEET IS ABSOLUTE MAX.
- B. RECOMMENDED MAXIMUM JOINT SPACING (SMALLER IS BETTER)
- A. 4" SLAB: 6 FEET B. 6" SLAB: 10 FEET
- C. 8" SLAB: 14 FEET D. 9" SLAB: 15 FEET
- 5. CATCH BASIN AND MANHOLE CASTINGS REQUIRE A BOXOUT OR ISOLATION TO ALLOW FOR VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL SLAB MOVEMENT.
- 6. SAWCUT JOINTS SHALL BE CONTINUOUS ACROSS THE SLAB AND SHALL MATCH LOCATION OF JOINTS ON ABUTTING CONCRETE SLABS.
- 7. CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ISOLATION/EXPANSION JOINTS BETWEEN SLABS OR AT STRUCTURES.
- 8. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE CONSTRUCTION JOINTS AT EDGE OF POURS OR FORM LINES.
- 9. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE CONTRACTION JOINTS (SAW CUTS OR TOOLED) EQUALLY SPACED AS IDENTIFIED IN NOTE 4 THIS SHEET.

CONCRETE CURBING

- 1. WHERE THE DRAINAGE FLOWS AWAY FROM THE CURB AND GUTTER THE CONTRACTOR MODIFY THE CURB AND GUTTER DETAIL TO SLOPE AWAY FROM THE CURB FACE @ 1" PER FOOT.
- 2. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOT GRADE SITE SO THAT STORM WATER FLOWS TOWARDS OR AGAINST STRAIGHT CURB OR THICKENED EDGE SIDEWALK.
- 3. ALL REBAR FOR CONCRETE CURB AND GUTTER SHALL BE # 4 EPOXY COATED.
- 4. PLACE 1" FIBER JOINT FILLER AT 400' MAXIMUM INTERVALS.
- 5. PLACE 1" FIBER JOINT FILLER AT SPRING POINTS IF CURB RETURNS (AND INTERSECTION STREETS).
- 6. PLACE 1" FIBER JOINT FILLER IN ADJACENT CONTRACTION JOINTS EACH SIDE OF CATCH BASINS.
- 7. PLACE CONTRACTION JOINTS AT 40' MAXIMUM INTERVALS (NO SAW CUT JOINTS ALLOWED).

<u>ASPHALT</u>

- 1. THE LEVELING AND WEARING COURSE SHALL BE DONE IN TWO LIFTS OF MDOT 4E1 OR APPROVED EQUAL (13AM LVSP).
- 2. THE ASPHALT BINDER SHALL BE PG 58-28.

MATERIALS

- 1. GRANULAR MATERIAL MDOT CLASS II SAND
- 2. OPEN GRADED AGGREGATE MDOT 6A OR AASHTO #57
- 3. DENSE GRADED AGGREGATE MDOT 21AA CRUSHED LIMESTONE
- A. CONTRACTOR CAN USE EXISTING CRUSHED SITE CONCRETE/ASPHALT AS AGGREGATE BASE MATERIAL. CONTRACTOR SHALL INCREASE BASE THICKNESS BY 25%.















21-802 | Hawthorne Suites - Resiential Conversion





Site Plan Sub - Rendering Package

EXISTING PHOTO

04.22.2021

RENDERING OF EXISTING





Site Plan Sub - Rendering Package

04.22.2021

PROPOSED RENDERING





Site Plan Sub - Rendering Package

04.22.2021



117 NORTH FIRST STREET SUITE 70 ANN ARBOR, MI 48104 734.662.2200 734.662.1935 FAX

- To: Troy Planning Commission Brent Savidant, AICP, Community Development Director
- From: Ben Carlisle, AICP Megan Masson-Minock, AICP
- Date: May 4, 2021

Re: Troy Master Plan – Survey Release

The Master Plan survey is ready for public release. The survey link will be forwarded to the Planning Commission.

Coinciding with the release of the survey, CWA will create a flyer with the web address and a QR code of the survey. The flyer will be distributed and placed in highly visible locations in the community.

Other means to distribute survey:

- City email distribution lists (approx. 10,000 households)
- City social media
- Emails to HOA Presidents (55 contacts)
- City website
- City Council and Planning Commission announcements
- Article in newspaper(s)
- Distribution to community organizations (schools, places of worship, library, community center, parks and recreation)
- Ad on Page 3 of Troy Times (distributed to every mailbox).

The goal is to be inclusive.

We look forward to Planning Commission input on how to best distribute survey.

Sincerely,



Sample QR Code

Preserves of Troy January 22, 2021

Ben R. Cal

CARLISLE/WORTMAN ASSOC., INC. Benjamin R. Carlisle, AICP, LEED AP Principal

Migen Mn. Minock

CARLISLE/WORTMAN ASSOC., INC. Megan Masson-Minock, AICP Planner