

500 West Big Beaver Troy, MI 48084 troymi.gov

248.524.3364 planning@troymi.gov

PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING AGENDA REGULAR MEETING

David Lambert, Chairman, Marianna Perakis, Vice Chairman Toby Buechner, Carlton Faison, Michael W. Hutson, Tom Krent, Lakshmi Malalahalli, Sadek Rahman and John J. Tagle

September 13, 2022	7:00 P.M.	Council Chambers
•		

- 1. ROLL CALL
- 2. <u>APPROVAL OF AGENDA</u>
- 3. <u>APPROVAL OF MINUTES</u> August 23, 2022
- 4. PUBLIC COMMENT For Items Not on the Agenda

OTHER ITEMS

- <u>PUBLIC HEARING PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT (File Number PUD 019 JPLN2022-0013)</u> – Proposed Village of Troy PUD, South side of Long Lake, West of Rochester (Parcels 88-20-15-201-046 & 88-20-15-201-033), Section 15, Currently Zoned RT (One Family Attached Residential), R-1C (One Family Residential) and CB (Community Business) District.
- 6. <u>PUBLIC COMMENT</u> For Items on the Agenda
- 7. <u>ADJOURN</u>

NOTICE: People with disabilities needing accommodations for effective participation in this meeting should contact the City Clerk by e-mail at <u>clerk@troymi.gov</u> or by calling (248) 524-3317 at least two working days in advance of the meeting. An attempt will be made to make reasonable accommodations

Chair Lambert called the Regular meeting of the Troy City Planning Commission to order at 7:00 p.m. on August 23, 2022, in the Council Chamber of the Troy City Hall. Chair Lambert and Vice Chair Perakis presented opening remarks relative to the role of the Planning Commission and procedure of tonight's meeting.

1. ROLL CALL

Present: Carlton M. Faison Michael W. Hutson Tom Krent David Lambert Lakshmi Malalahalli Marianna Perakis John J. Tagle

<u>Absent:</u> Toby Buechner Sadek Rahman

Also Present:

R. Brent Savidant, Community Development Director Ben Carlisle, Carlisle Wortman Associates Julie Quinlan Dufrane, Assistant City Attorney Kathy L. Czarnecki, Recording Secretary

2. <u>APPROVAL OF AGENDA</u>

Resolution # PC-2022-08-046

Moved by: Krent Support by: Tagle

RESOLVED, To approve the Agenda as prepared.

Yes: All present (7) Absent: Buechner, Rahman

MOTION CARRIED

3. <u>APPROVAL OF MINUTES</u> – August 9, 2022

Resolution # PC-2022-08-047

Moved by: Malalahalli Support by: Perakis

RESOLVED, To approve the minutes of the August 9, 2022 Regular meeting as submitted.

Yes: All present (7) Absent: Buechner, Rahman

MOTION CARRIED

4. <u>PUBLIC COMMENT</u> – For Items Not on the Agenda

There was no one present who wished to speak.

OTHER ITEMS

5. <u>STUDY ITEM – POTENTIAL REZONING AND PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN</u> – Elevate Mixed Use Development, Southeast Corner of Big Beaver and Todd (1985 W. Big Beaver, 1936 Butterfield, 1787 W. Big Beaver and PIN 88-20-29-226-020), Section 29, Currently Zoned BB (Big Beaver), P (Parking) and R-1C (One Family Residential) Zoning Districts

Mr. Carlisle presented background information on a potential Rezoning and Preliminary Site Plan for Elevate Mixed Use Development. He addressed the proposed combination of five parcels to construct a new five-story mixed use building that wraps around an existing building. The application proposes a 7,000 square foot restaurant, a 70-unit apartment building and a drop off circle with valet service located between the restaurant and apartment building. Mr. Carlisle addressed parking, the applicant's request for relief of 54 parking spaces, access points, amenities and an existing office parcel under separate ownership that is not part of the application. He reported the applicant has not indicated which zoning district would be sought for the rezoning.

Mr. Carlisle reported the application presented this evening is a conceptual plan only and no action would be taken.

Mr. Carlisle asked the Planning Commission to consider the following:

- Is the plan consistent with the City Master Plan?
- Does the Planning Commission support the proposed mix of uses and housing types? Are there additional types or changes in types that the Planning Commission thinks should be considered?
- Is there concern about the future of the remaining parcel zoned Office that is not part of the application?
- Are there site plan changes that the applicant should consider?
- Are there other onsite amenities that the applicant should include?
- Are there other considerations that should be discussed with the applicant?

Discussion among administration and Planning Commission:

- Potential partnership with office building not included in rezoning application.
- Parking; location, residential/restaurant uses, covered/uncovered, shared, valet/selfparking.
- Parking study required and parking requirements must be met with application submittal.

Mr. Savidant introduced property owner Jason Hamama of Encore Development and the architectural team, Peter Stuhlreyer and Mike Pizzola of Designhaus.

Some comments during discussion related to:

- Relationship of apartment building to restaurant.
- Consideration to locate apartment building further south.
- Zoning districts that applicant might seek to rezone parcels; Big Beaver (BB) or Planned Unit Development (PUD).
- Straight rezoning request versus conditional rezoning request.
- Parking; shared, valet, self-parking, consideration of additional parking level if needed.
- Potential use of parcel under separate ownership should it be acquired; encourage partnership of exempt parcel in rezoning application.
- Five (5) foot sidewalk would be provided to accommodate pedestrian traffic.
- Banquet room and co-workspace are for use by apartment residents only.

Mr. Savidant summarized Planning Commission comments:

- Support rezoning to the Big Beaver zoning district.
- Come back with a workable parking design.
- Consider pulling residential further to the south.
- Encourage owner of parcel exempt from conceptual plan to participate in rezoning process.
- 6. <u>PLANNING COMMISSION SCHEDULE</u> Resolution to Cancel October 11, 2022 Regular Meeting

Mr. Savidant said attendance by Planning Commission members and staff at the Annual Michigan Association Planning Conference in Mackinaw Island this October compromises attendance at the October 11, 2022 Regular Planning Commission meeting.

After a brief discussion, it was the consensus of the Planning Commission to cancel the October 11, 2022 regularly scheduled meeting.

Resolution # PC-2022-08-048

Moved by: Krent Support by: Malalahalli

RESOLVED, To cancel the Planning Commission Regular meeting scheduled for October 11, 2022.

Yes:	All present (7)
Absent:	Buechner, Rahman

MOTION CARRIED

7. <u>PUBLIC COMMENT</u> – For Items on the Agenda

There was no one present who wished to speak.

Chair Lambert opened the floor to Planning Commission comment. There were no Planning Commission comments.

8. <u>ADJOURN</u>

The Regular meeting of the Planning Commission adjourned at 7:40 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

David Lambert, Chair

Kathy L. Czarnecki, Recording Secretary

https://d.docs.live.net/2f7ed4fe5f664ea8/Documents/Kathy/COT Planning Commission Minutes/2022/2022 08 23 Draft.docx

DATE: September 8, 2022

TO: Planning Commission

- FROM: R. Brent Savidant, Community Development Director
- SUBJECT: <u>PUBLIC HEARING PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT (File Number PUD 019</u> <u>JPLN2022-0013)</u> – Proposed Village of Troy PUD, South side of Long Lake, West of Rochester (Parcels 88-20-15-201-046 & 88-20-15-201-033), Section 15, Currently Zoned RT (One Family Attached Residential), R-1C (One Family Residential) and CB (Community Business) District.

The applicant Robertson Brothers Homes seeks Conceptual Development Plan (CDP) and Preliminary Development Plan (PDP) approval for the Village of Troy Planned Unit Development (PUD). The project features 20 detached single-family homes, 56 attached single-family homes (2 stories) and 70 attached townhomes (3 stories).

The Planning Commission is a recommending body for this application.

The attached report prepared by Carlisle/Wortman Associates, Inc. (CWA), the City's Planning Consultant, summarizes the project as revised. CWA prepared the report with input from various City departments including Planning, Engineering, Public Works and Fire. City Management supports the findings of fact contained in the report and the recommendations included therein.

Attachments:

- 1. Maps
- 2. Report prepared by Carlisle/Wortman Associates, Inc.
- 3. PUD Application/Site Plan
- 4. Traffic Impact Study, prepared by F&V, dated June 22, 2022.
- 5. Traffic Impact Study Review, memo prepared by OHM dated July 19, 2022.
- 6. Response to TIS Review, memo prepared by F&V, dated August 16, 2022.
- 7. Public comment.

G:\PUDs\PUD 019 JPLN2022-0013 VILLAGE OF TROY\PC Memo 09 13 2022.doc

<u>PUBLIC HEARING – PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT (File Number PUD 019 JPLN2022-0013)</u> – Proposed Village of Troy PUD, South side of Long Lake, West of Rochester (Parcels 88-20-15-201-046 & 88-20-15-201-033), Section 15, Currently Zoned RT (One Family Attached Residential), R-1C (One Family Residential) and CB (Community Business) District.

Resolution # PC-2022-09-

Moved by: Seconded by

WHEREAS, The applicant Robertson Brothers Homes seeks Conceptual Development Plan (CDP) and Preliminary Development Plan (PDP) approval for the Village of Troy Planned Unit Development (PUD), located on the south side of Long Lake, west of Rochester, in Section 15, approximately 20.48 acres in area; and

WHEREAS, The Village of Troy PUD features 20 detached single-family homes, 56 attached single-family homes (2 stories) and 70 attached townhomes (3 stories); and

WHEREAS, The PUD provides a walkable urban environment that is compact, designed to human scale, and exhibits contextual integration of buildings and city spaces, and

WHEREAS, The PUD provides a compatible mix of open space, landscaped areas and pedestrian amenities, including incorporation of a regional trailway system; and

WHEREAS, The PUD proposes appropriate land use transitions between the PUD and surrounding properties, and

WHEREAS, The PUD will reasonably mitigate impacts to the transportation system and enhance non-motorized facilities and amenities.

WHEREAS, The PUD provides a complementary variety of housing types; and

BE IT RESOLVED, That the Planning Commission recommends to City Council that Concept Development Plan Approval and Preliminary Development Plan Approval for the proposed Village of Troy, be granted.

Yes: No: Absent:

MOTION CARRIED/FAILED



1,189

GIS Online

0

595

1,189Feet



Note: The information provided by this application has been compiled from recorded deeds, plats, tax maps, surveys, and other public records and data. It is not a legally recorded map survey. Users of this data are hereby notified that the source information represented should be consulted for verification.



GIS Online



data are hereby notified that the source information represented should be consulted for verification.



117 NORTH FIRST STREET SUITE 70 ANN ARBOR, MI 48104 734.662.2200 734.662.1935 FAX

Date: January 20, 2022 March 22, 2022 September 6, 2022

PUD and Preliminary Development Plan Approval Review For City of Troy, Michigan

Applicant:	Robertson Homes
Project Name:	Village of Troy PUD
Plan Date:	March 2022
Location:	West of southwest corner of Long Lake Road and Rochester Road
Zoning:	RT, R-1C, and CB
Action Requested:	PUD and Preliminary Development Plan Approval

SITE DESCRIPTION

The subject site is located on the west side of the southwest corner of Long Lake Road and Rochester Road. The site is approximately 20.48 acres in area and is proposed for mixed housing type development. The applicant is proposing 146 new for-sale units. Breakout of the units include:

- 20 detached single-family homes
- 56 attached single-family homes (2 stories)
- 70 attached townhomes (3 stories)

Access is via E Long Lake Road and Rochester Road. Most of the property (approximately 15 acres) is zoned RT (residential transitional), with the remaining areas zoned R1-C (one family residential) and C-B (community business).

The applicant is seeking Planned Unit Development approval in order to allow for townhomes on currently zoned CB, Commercial Business district, and for dimensional relief. More details of dimensional relief are noted below.

Site Location:



Proposed Uses of Subject Parcel:

One hundred and forty-six (146) single-family detached homes, attached homes, and townhomes.

Current Use of Subject Property:

Vacant

Current Zoning:

The property is currently zoned RT (residential transitional), R1-C (one family residential), and C-B (community business).

Surrounding Property Details:

Direction	Zoning	Use
North	NN, Neighborhood Node	Commercial and
	and R1-C, Single Family	Residential
South	IB, Industrial and Business,	Commercial, Industrial, and DPW Yard
	CB, Community Business,	
	and CF, Community Facility	
East	NN, Neighborhood Node	Commercial
West	R1-C, Single Family	Single Family Residential

ZONING



The site includes a mix of zoned RT (residential transitional), R1-C (one family residential) and C-B (community business) zoning.

HOUSING DETAILS

The applicant is offering the following housing types:

Detached single-family homes

- 20 Units
- 2 options
 - One-story ranches at 1,850 square feet; or
 - Two-story homes ranging from 1,900 to 3,100 square feet in size

Attached single-family homes (2 stories)

- 56 units
- 1,600 square feet that provides for the option of 2 or 3-bedrooms and includes an attached two car garage.

Attached townhomes (3 stories)

- 70 units
- The entry price point will consist of attached townhomes ranging between 1,200 and 1,350 square feet with private attached single car garages.

Conceptually, it is not clear the distinction between attached townhomes and attached single family homes. The Planning Commission noted a desire to consider alternative housing options than townhomes.

Items to be addressed: 1). Describe difference/distinction from attached townships and attached single-family homes; and 2). Describe if alternatives to townhomes were considered.

MASTER PLAN

The site is designated as a future land use of single family residential, Rochester Road, and partially neighborhood node.

The Planning Commission has spent a lot of time discussing both the neighborhood node concept and the proposed improvements and future development patterns within and adjacent to the nodes.



PUD PROCESS

A Planned Unit Development project is viewed as an integrated development concept. To that end, the provisions of this Article are not intended to be used as a device for avoiding the zoning requirements that would otherwise apply, but rather to allow flexibility and mixture of uses, and to improve the design, character and quality of new development. The use of a Planned Unit Development to permit variations from other requirements of this Ordinance shall only be approved when such approval results in improvements to the public health, safety and welfare in the area affected, and in accordance with the intent of this Article.

The approval of a Planned Unit Development (PUD) is a three-step process:

Step 1-Concept Plan: The first step shall be application for and approval of a Concept Development Plan, which requires a legislative enactment amending the zoning district map so as to reclassify the property as a Planned Unit Development. A proposed Development Agreement shall be included and incorporated with the Concept Development Plan, to be agreed upon and approved coincident with said Plan. The Concept Development Plan and Development Agreement shall be approved by the City Council following the recommendation of the Planning Commission. Such action, if and when approved, shall confer upon the applicant approval of the Concept Development Plan and shall rezone the property to PUD in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Concept Development Plan approval.

Step 2- Preliminary Development Plan Approval: The second step of the review and approval process shall be the application for and approval of a Preliminary Development Plan (preliminary site plan) for the entire project, or for any one or more phases of the project. City Council shall have the final authority to approve and grant Preliminary Development Plan approvals, following a recommendation by the Planning Commission.

Step 3- Final Development Plan Approval: The third step of the review and approval process shall be the review and approval of a Final Development Plan (final site plan) for the entire project, or for any one or more phases of the project, and the issuance of building permits. Final Development Plans for Planned Unit Developments shall be submitted to the Zoning Administrator for administrative review, and the Zoning Administrator, with the recommendation of other appropriate City Departments, shall have final authority for approval of such Final Development Plans.

The applicant is seeking a recommendation of approval for their Preliminary Development Plan.

PUD INTENT

As set forth in Section 11.01, the intent of the Planned Unit Development option is to permit flexibility in the design and use of residential and non-residential land which, through the implementation of an overall development plan, when applicable to the site, will:

- 1. Encourage developments that will result in a long-term contribution to social, environmental and economic sustainability in the City of Troy.
- 2. Permit development patterns that respond to changing public and private needs.
- 3. Encourage flexibility in design and use that will result in a higher quality of development and a better overall project than would be accomplished under conventional zoning, and which can be accommodated without sacrificing established community values.
- 4. Provide for the long-term protection and/or preservation of natural resources, natural features, and/or historic and cultural resources.
- 5. Promote the efficient use and conservation of energy.
- 6. Encourage the use, redevelopment and improvement of existing sites where current ordinances do not provide adequate protection and safeguards for the site or its surrounding areas, or where current ordinances do not provide the flexibility to consider redevelopment, replacement, or adaptive re-use of existing structures and sites.
- 7. Provide for enhanced housing, employment, recreation, and shopping opportunities for the citizens of Troy.
- 8. Ensure the compatibility of design and use between various components within the PUD and with neighboring properties and uses. 9. Ensure development that is consistent with the intent of the Master Plan.

PREVIOUS PLANNING COMMISSION REVIEW

The Planning Commission discussed the project at the January 11, 2022 meeting. There was lengthy discussion of the following:

- Public amenity; safety, liability, maintenance of sledding hill.
- Consideration to an alternate amenity, possibly all-season use.
- Regional pond/stormwater connection.
- Housing options; townhomes not preferred.
- Sustainable elements of housing material.
- Transition to adjacent industrial use.
- Apply *village* concept to the project.

Items to be addressed: Planning Commission should consider if the applicant has addressed the January 11, 2022 comments specifically public amenity, alternative housing consideration, and village concept.

CHANGES SINCE LAST PLANNING COMMISSION REVIEW





The applicant has proposed the following changes to the Site Plan since the last submittal:

- Reoriented layout of townhomes.
- Reduced the number of units from 182 to 146, consisting of 20 detached single-family home, 56 attached single-family home, and 70 attached single-family townhomes
- Reduced the unit density from 8.85 units per acre to 7.13 acres per unit, in alignment with the RT (one-family attached) zoning that applies to most of the property
- Added a central village commons park area
- Added three (3) pocket parks in the attached single-family townhome community
- Added four (4) open space areas in the attached single-family home neighborhood
- Added an emergency vehicle access connecting the detached single-family home neighborhood to the attached single-family home neighborhood
- Added two (2) additional parking spaces to the guest & park parking lot

NATURAL FEATURES

- **Topography:** A topographic survey has been provided on sheet A-1. The site is relatively flat.
- Wetlands: There are four (4) wetlands on site, one of which is likely regulated by EGLE. The largest wetland is 0.7 acres and the smallest two wetlands are each 0.06 acres. The largest wetland, which is located along the western property line is regulated by EGLE. Please note that EGLE has the final authority on the extent of regulated wetlands, lakes, and streams in the State of Michigan. Any proposed impact to the areas that ASTI has identified as regulated will require an EGLE permit. A EGLE permit is required prior to final site plan approval.
- **Floodplain:** There is no floodplain on site.
- **Woodlands:** A tree inventory and replacement plan has been provided on Sheet L1, with replacement trees shown in the landscape plan on Sheet L101. The applicant surveyed a total 632 trees. They identified a total of 10 landmark trees, but didn't include the total woodland trees removed and detailed calculations. In total the applicant notes a replacement of 552 inches of trees, but we could not confirm this based on information provided.

Items to be addressed: Provide detailed tree removal calculation details to confirm tree removal.

SITE ARRANGEMENT

The applicant is proposing to construct three (3) residential neighborhoods on site, with 146 total housing units. The three connected neighborhoods will feature 20 detached single-family home, 56 attached single-family home, and 70 attached single-family townhomes. Each housing unit will have either a 1- of 2-car garage in addition to driveway parking and street parking. Within the attached single-family home and townhome neighborhoods, multiple community open spaces will be dispersed. On the southwest corner of the property, the applicant is proposing a large community park with ten public parking spaces and a sledding hill. A pedestrian walkway to proposed to run along the southwest property line, with the intention of offering a linkage between Long Lake Road and other developments to the south and west.

The applicant has maintained the townhomes, as opposed to providing an alternative housing option as requested by the Planning Commission. The Planning Commission in their previous review requesting a "Villaging" concept, which we interpreted to mean:

- Mix of housing options (excluding townhomes) and possibly non-residential uses.
- Orientation of housing types around a common and functional open space that allows for greater resident interaction.
- Consideration to an alternate amenity possibly all-season use, more centrally located.

Planning Commission to consider if the applicant

Items to be addressed: Planning Commission to consider if the applicant has sufficiently redesigned the site and provided a "villaging" concept as requested by the Planning Commission.

AREA, WIDTH, HEIGHT, SETBACKS

Schedule of Regulations and Modifications

Detached Single Family

	Required Setback	Provided Setback	Compliance
Front	25-ft	20-ft	Deviates 5-ft
Side	5-ft least / 15-ft total	5-ft least / 13-ft total	Deviates 2-ft
Rear	35-ft	35-ft	Complies
Building Height	2.5 stories / 30-ft in height	2 stories, 30-ft in height	Complies
Minimum Lot Area	5,000 square ft	6,625 square ft	Complies
Minimum Lot Frontage	40-ft	53-ft	Complies

Attached Single Family

	Required Setback	Provided Setback	Compliance
Front	25-ft	20-ft	Deviates 5-ft
Side	5-ft least / 15-ft total	15-ft least / 30-ft total	Complies
Rear	35-ft	30-ft	Deviates 5-ft
Building Height	2.5 stories / 30-ft in height	2 stories, 30-ft in height	Complies
Minimum Lot Area	5,000 square ft	Attached	N/A
Minimum Lot Frontage	40-ft	Attached	N/A

Attached 2-car Townhome

	Required Setback	Provided Setback	Compliance
Front	25-ft	N/A	N/A

Side	5-ft least / 15-ft total	5-ft least / 15-ft total	Complies
Rear	35-ft	N/A	N/A
Building Height	2.5 stories / 30-ft in height	3 stories, 35-ft in height	Deviates 0.5 stories/5-ft
Minimum Lot Area	5,000 square ft	Attached	N/A
Minimum Lot Frontage	40-ft	Attached	N/A

Attached 1-car Townhome

	Required Setback	Provided Setback	Compliance
Front	25-ft	N/A	N/A
Side	5-ft least / 15-ft total	5-ft least / 15-ft total	Complies
Rear	35-ft	N/A	N/A
Building Height	2.5 stories / 30-ft in height	3 stories, 35-ft in height	Deviates 0.5 stories/5-ft
Minimum Lot Area	5,000 square ft	Attached	N/A
Minimum Lot Frontage	40-ft	Attached	N/A

In summary the applicant is seeking the following deviations through the Planned Unit Development process:

- 1. 25 feet required / 20-foot proposed front yard (single family)
- 2. 15 feet required /13-foot side yard (single family)
- 3. 25 feet required / 20-foot proposed front yard (attached single family)
- 4. 35-foot required / 30-foot proposed rear yard (attached single family)
- 5. 2.5 stories 30-foot height allowed / 3 stories 35 feet height proposed (2-car townhomes)
- 6. 2.5 stories 30-foot height allowed / 3 stories 35 feet height proposed (1-car townhomes)

Items to be addressed: None

PARKING

Section 13.06.G of the Zoning Ordinance requires:

Required	Provided

Residential (General):		
2 spaces per unit	146 units = 292 spaces	446 spaces
Parking at park/sledding hill	NA	11 spaces
Bicycle Parking	2	Internal to building
Total	292 spaces	457 spaces

As set forth in section 13.06, it is the intent of this Ordinance to minimize excessive areas of pavement which reduces aesthetic standards and contributes to high rates of storm water runoff. Exceeding the minimum parking space requirements by more than twenty percent (20%) shall only be allowed with approval by the City. In granting such additional space, the City shall determine that such parking will be required, based on documented evidence, to accommodate the use on a typical day.

The applicant is providing over 57% the required parking on site. The applicant shall justify the excess parking or reduce the provided amount.

Items to be Addressed: Justify the excess parking or reduce the provided amount.



Vehicle access is via Rochester Road with additional access from E Long Lake Road. There is no direct access to the attached single-family housing neighborhood from E. Long Lake Road. We anticipate a significant amount of traffic will use the drive that services the single-family homes. In mixed housing type developments, traffic is generally oriented away from the single-family portion and more towards the multiple family portion.

Items to be addressed: Consider ways to reduce traffic being directly accessed through drive that services single-family homes.

TRAFFIC

OHM reviewed the traffic impact study prepared by Fleis & VandenBrink Engineering, Inc., and it dated June 22, 2022. The proposed site includes 20 single-family residential homes, 56 twostory townhomes, and 70 three-story townhomes, for a combined total of 146 dwelling units. There are two proposed access points, one on Long Lake Road and one on Rochester Road.

OHM recommends approval of the traffic impact study and its recommendations, subject to providing additional information as noted below.

- 1. The proposed left turn lane and associated changes on Long Lake Rd must be approved by RCOC and OHM defers to RCOC for approval.
- 2. Crash Analysis: OHM recommends that the TIS should include crash analysis of Rochester at Glaser Dr and recommendations to improve safety if any are deemed appropriate.

Items to be addressed: None

LANDSCAPING

	Required:	Provided:	Compliance:
Greenbelt Planting			
Long Lake: 1 tree every 30 feet	684 / 30 = 23	10 trees	Does not comply
Rochester: 1 tree every 30 feet	80 / 30 = 3	3 trees	Complies
Street Trees			
Internal Roads: 1 tree every 50	4,901 / 50 = 98 trees	98 trees	Complies
feet			
ROW Screening			
Landscape buffering:	1 large evergreen every 10	48 trees.	Does not comply
Required buffering of	feet or 1 narrow evergreen		
alternative 2.	every 3 feet.		

	684 / 10 = 69 trees Alternative screening method may be considered by the Planning Commission.		
Parking Lot Landscaping			
1 tree per every 8 parking	81 spaces = 11 trees	11 trees	Complies
spaces			
Overall			
Site landscaping: A minimum of twenty percent (20%) of the site area shall be comprised of landscape material. Up to twenty-five percent (25%) of the required landscape area may be brink, stone, pavers, or other public plaza elements, but shall not include any parking area or required sidewalks.	20%	Applicant notes 44%	Complies

<u>Trash</u>

The applicant has not shown an exterior trash dumpster. It is assumed trash will be located in individual garages in carts and rolled out. Applicant should confirm.

Items to be Addressed: 1). Provide required screening along Long Lake; and 2). Confirm trash location.

PHOTOMETRICS

The applicant provided a lighting and photometric plan. The applicant proposes one (1) downward facing light for each multiple family units. Lighting for single-family will vary depending on homeowner's desire.

Items to be Addressed: None

FLOOR PLAN AND ELEVATIONS

The applicant has provided concept rendering, detailed elevations, detailed floor plans, and photos of each type. As described by the applicant:

• The Claremont, Hawhtorne, Norwood, Raleigh, Sheridan and Whitmore plans are for the single family lots on the west side of the development.

- The attached single family (2-story) plans to the east of those lots are referenced in the 5 unit and 6 unit elevation files, and also shown in more detail on the files called WO 1370-22 and WO 2331-21.
- The last two products are the 16' wide 1-car townhomes (HudsonTowns_16) and the 20' wide 2-car townhomes (HudsonTowns_20).

Materials include brick, board and batten and vinyl siding. The front elevation includes significant architectural detail and the inclusion of porches/outdoor overhangs. We note the rear elevation are very garage dominated.

The applicant has provide a "architectural map" that shows proposed product by location on site plan.

Items to be Addressed: Planning Commission should consider materials and architectural details.

SITE PLAN REVIEW STANDARDS

Site Plan review standards provide the Planning Commission with direction when reviewing the proposed site plan and design features of this development.

Section 8.06 outlines Site Plan Review Design Standards.

- Development shall ensure compatibility to existing commercial districts and provide a transition between land uses.
 - a. Building design shall enhance the character of the surrounding area in relation to building and parking placement, landscape and streetscape features, and architectural design.
 - *b.* Street fronts shall provide a variety of architectural expression that is appropriate in its context and prevents monotony.
 - c. Building design shall achieve a compatible transition between areas with different height, massing, scale, and architectural style.
- Development shall incorporate the recognized best architectural building design practices.
 - a. Foster a lasting impact on the community through the provision of high quality design, construction, and detailing.
 - b. Provide high quality, durable materials, such as but not limited to stone, brick, glass, and metal. E.I.F.S. or material equivalent shall only be used as an accent material.
 - c. Develop buildings with creativity that includes balanced compositions and forms.
 - d. Design roofs that are appropriate to the architectural style of the building and create an appropriate visual exterior mass of the building given the context of the site.

- e. For commercial buildings, incorporate clearly defined, highly visible customer entrances using features such as canopies, porticos, arcades, arches, wing walls, ground plane elements, and/or landscape planters.
- f. Include community amenities that add value to the development such as patio/ seating areas, water features, art work or sculpture, clock towers, pedestrian plazas with park benches or other features located in areas accessible to the public.
- Enhance the character, environment and safety for pedestrians and motorists.
 - a. Provide elements that define the street and the pedestrian realm.
 - b. Create a connection between the public right of way and ground floor activities.
 - c. Create a safe environment by employing design features to reduce vehicular and pedestrian conflict, while not sacrificing design excellence.
 - *d.* Enhance the pedestrian realm by framing the sidewalk area with trees, awnings, and other features.
 - e. Improve safety for pedestrians through site design measures.

PUD AGREEMENT

The applicant has submitted a PUD Agreement, which is being reviewed by the City Attorneys office.

PUD STANDARDS

When reviewing the PUD, the Planning Commission shall consider the following standards as set forth in Section 11.03:

- 1. A mixture of land uses that would otherwise not be permitted without the use of the PUD provided that other objectives of this Article are also met.
- 2. A public improvement or public facility (e.g. recreational, transportation, safety and security) which will enhance, add to or replace those provided by public entities, thereby furthering the public health, safety and welfare.
- 3. A recognizable and material benefit to the ultimate users of the project and to the community, where such benefit would otherwise be infeasible or unlikely to be achieved absent these regulations.
- 4. Long-term protection and preservation of natural resources, natural features, and historic and cultural resources, of a significant quantity and/or quality in need of protection or preservation, and which would otherwise be unfeasible or unlikely to be achieved absent these regulations.
- 5. A compatible mixture of open space, landscaped areas, and/or pedestrian amenities.
- 6. Appropriate land use transitions between the PUD and surrounding properties.
- 7. Design features and techniques, such as green building and low impact design, which will promote and encourage energy conservation and sustainable development.
- 8. Innovative and creative site and building designs, solutions and materials.
- 9. The desirable qualities of a dynamic urban environment that is compact, designed to human scale, and exhibits contextual integration of buildings and city spaces.

- 10. The PUD will reasonably mitigate impacts to the transportation system and enhance nonmotorized facilities and amenities.
- 11. For the appropriate assembly, use, redevelopment, replacement and/ or improvement of existing sites that are occupied by obsolete uses and/or structures.
- 12. A complementary variety of housing types that is in harmony with adjacent uses.
- 13. A reduction of the impact of a non-conformity or removal of an obsolete building or structure.
- 14. A development consistent with and meeting the intent of this Article, which will promote the intent of the Master Plan or the intent of any applicable corridor or sub-area plans. If conditions have changed since the Plan, or any applicable corridor or sub-area plans were adopted, the uses shall be consistent with recent development trends in the area.
- 15. Includes all necessary information and specifications with respect to structures, heights, setbacks, density, parking, circulation, landscaping, amenities and other design and layout features, exhibiting a due regard for the relationship of the development to the surrounding properties and uses thereon, as well as to the relationship between the various elements within the proposed Planned Unit Development. In determining whether these relationships have been appropriately addressed, consideration shall be given to the following:
 - *i.* The bulk, placement, and materials of construction of the proposed structures and other site improvements.
 - *ii.* The location and screening of vehicular circulation and parking areas in relation to surrounding properties and the other elements of the development.
 - *iii.* The location and screening of outdoor storage, loading areas, outdoor activity or work areas, and mechanical equipment.
 - *iv.* The hours of operation of the proposed uses.
 - v. The location, amount, type and intensity of landscaping, and other site amenities.
- 16. Parking shall be provided in order to properly serve the total range of uses within the Planned Unit Development. The sharing of parking among the various uses within a Planned Unit Development may be permitted. The applicant shall provide justification to the satisfaction of the City that the shared parking proposed is sufficient for the development and will not impair the functioning of the development, and will not have a negative effect on traffic flow within the development and/or on properties adjacent to the development.
- 17. Innovative methods of stormwater management that enhance water quality shall be considered in the design of the stormwater system.
- 18. The proposed Planned Unit Development shall be in compliance with all applicable Federal, State and local laws and ordinances, and shall coordinate with existing public facilities.

The Planning Commission should review the application considering the standards.

BENEFITS VS. DEVIATIONS

The applicant has noted the following benefits:

- Meeting the intent of the City's Master Plan
- Inclusion of ADA accessible sidewalks to provide for community connectivity
- Multiple Housing options for residents that are currently underserved
- Quality architecture and design that will complement and further enhance the area
- Significant open space provided throughout
- Unified community with an Association to maintain common areas
- Contribution to a regional stormwater solution
- Linkage to a pedestrian pathway system and trailhead
- Sledding hill and open space accessible to public but maintained by the established HOA

Deviations:

- Allowance of townhomes on currently zoned CB, Commercial Business district 25 feet required / 20-foot proposed front yard (single family)
- 15 feet required /13-foot side yard (single family)
- 25 feet required / 20-foot proposed front yard (attached single family)
- 35-foot required / 30-foot proposed rear yard (attached single family)
- 2.5 stories 30-foot height allowed / 3 stories 35 feet height proposed (2-car townhomes)
- 2.5 stories 30-foot height allowed / 3 stories 35 feet height proposed (1-car townhomes)

The Planning Commission should consider the noted benefits in light of the requested allowance in housing types and noted dimensional relief.

SUMMARY

As part of the deliberation, the Planning Commission should consider:

- Does the application meet the intent and standards of a Planned Unit Development?
- Difference/distinction from attached townships and attached single-family homes.
- If alternatives to townhomes were considered?
- Are the proposed benefits commensurate with the relief requested?
- Materials and architectural details.
- Has the applicant has sufficiently redesigned the site and provided a "villaging" concept as requested by the Planning Commission?

Sincerely,

R. Cali

CARLISLE/WORTMAN ASSOC., INC. Benjamin R. Carlisle, LEED AP, AICP



March 31, 2022

City of Troy Planning Department

Re: Village of Troy PUD Site Plan Submittal Vacant Property West of the SWC of Long Lake and Rochester Road Parcel Numbers 2015201046 and 2015201033 City of Troy, MI

Robertson Brothers Homes is pleased to submit a PUD preliminary site plan application for vacant properties located west of the southwest corner of Long Lake Road and Rochester Road. The 20.48-acre property consists of two parcels with three different zoning classifications of RT, R-1C and CB. The Village of Troy community proposes 146 new for-sale detached single family homes (20), attached single-family homes (56), and attached single-family townhomes (70) that will add quality housing products that are sorely lacking in the area. The project is truly a village concept that will allow for multiple price points in an integrated plan with interconnectivity throughout.

On January 11, 2022, Robertson presented a concept plan for discussion to the Planning Commission. The plan presented consisted of 182 homes (20 single family, 55 attached condos and 107 townhomes). Much of the discussion with the Commission centered on density (particularly the townhomes), and a lack of open space and connectivity throughout the three villages. Robertson has significantly updated the plan in response to these comments. The density has been revised downward to 7.13 units per acre (from 8.85 units per acre). Most of the property is currently zoned RT (One-Family Attached), which allows for up to 8.7 units per acre per the City of Troy Zoning Ordinance. The plan has been furthered revised to include significant and purposeful open space areas, including a multifunctional park area at the southwest corner of the development, a Village Commons park area as a centered gathering feature within the community, as well as three pocket parks in the attached single-family townhome neighborhood and four open spaces areas in the attached single family home neighborhood. A community path extension is proposed to connect to a future City path from the southwest corner, traversing the community as it provides for a walk-by to these



open space areas and ultimately connecting to the sidewalk on Long Lake Road. Overall, the plan has been improved to provide for significantly more open space and connectivity than the previous plan, as requested by the Planning Commission.

The vision for the Village of Troy is centered in the philosophy of integration and connection. There will be a focus on choice for homebuyers, with four distinct home options along with four distinct price points.

- A smaller, detached single-family home series will be offered, ranging in size from one-story ranches at 1,850 square feet to two-story homes ranging from 1,900 to 3,100 square feet in size;
- The mid-level option consists of an 1,850 square foot attached singlefamily home plan that provides a large open floorplan on the first floor with three bedrooms and a loft upstairs. The plan will allow for semiprivate outdoor space at the rear of the homes;
- A more attainable option will be an attached townhome, consisting of 1,600 square feet that provides for the option of 2 or 3-bedrooms and includes an attached two car garage;
- The entry price point will consist of attached townhomes ranging between 1,200 and 1,350 square feet with private attached single car garages. This home will cater to first time homebuyers that are looking for quality housing at an attainable price that is nearly non-existent in the City of Troy;

The Village of Troy is unique due to these varying options knitted together in an interconnected community.

As mentioned above, the plan will provide for an important pedestrian linkage to Long Lake Road from an envisioned trail system connecting to other developments to the south and west. The trail will allow for residents to walk their children to Leonard Elementary School, as well as providing a non-motorized connection to the Daisy Knight Dog Park. The Village of Troy will be one of the new developments that will contribute to a regional detention pond solution that is in the planning stage by the City. This regional pond is being planned to enlarge the current storm pond for the Troy DPW facility south of the project's boundary.



The construction of this regional pond will become a Troy community benefit, as it allows for one pond to maintain over individual ponds for each property, allowing for best practice design and reduction of future maintenance costs. Importantly, this new regional pond project is envisioned as a wet pond to serve as a central water feature for the pedestrian linkage between all of these properties, thereby providing a connection to Long Lake Road that would become a desirable amenity for all Troy residents. Robertson is willing to serve as the general contractor for the construction of the pond expansion in conjunction with our development, if the City desires.

The majority of the property (approximately 15 acres) is currently zoned RT (Residential Transitional), which allows 8.7 residential units per acre. The remainder of the site is zoned R1-C (the southwestern portion of the site) and C-B (the southeastern portion of the site). The site plan has been thoughtfully designed to lower in intensity starting from the industrial property and the DPW facility to the south and the commercial property to the east, as it fades to detached single family homes backing to existing single-family neighbors. Robertson has held two neighborhood meetings to discuss the property with nearby property owners. The site plan allows for plenty of parking, as each of the detached and attached single family homes include 2-car garages along with driveways and street parking for guests. The townhomes each include a private 1 or 2-car garage with plenty of parking spaces for guests.

The plan provides for the inclusion of a new scenic overlook and sledding hill and a trailhead to the proposed trail system to the south. We envision that the trailhead and sledding/overlook hill could be public amenities but maintained by our development, a concept Robertson has successfully developed in other communities recently. The sledding/overlook hill will also function to screen the City's DPW salt dome for those living in the community and the public that will utilize the trail.

While the property is zoned residential, it is challenged due to its proximity to intense commercial and industrial land uses. As such, the site has been designed to buffer appropriately and is a creative and logical land use transition from these higher intense areas to the east and south to existing residential homes to the west. We are proposing to develop the property under the City's PUD (Planned



Unit Development) Ordinance. While the overall density proposed is actually less than the RT zoning district allows, the PUD provides the flexibility required to address the screening of the industrial property to the south with a more market appropriate entry-level townhome product, and provides for slight modifications to the development standards that enable a comprehensive village concept, to provide for multiple options to homebuyers at different price points. Proposed development standards are shown below.

Schedule of Regulations and Modifications			
Ine Villag	RT Zoning District	Village of Troy Detached Single Family	Deviations
Min. Building Setbacks			
Front Setback (Bldg)	25'	20'	5'
Side Min. Principal	5' Least / 15' Total	5' Least / 13' Total	2'
Rear Setback Principal	35'	35'	In Compliance
Minimum Lot Area	5,000 Square Feet	6,625 Square Feet	In Compliance
Minimum Lot Frontage	40'	53'	In Compliance
Principal Building Height to Midpoint	2.5 Stories / 30 Feet	2 Stories / 30 Feet	In Compliance

Schedule of Regulations and Modifications The Village of Troy - Attached Single Family			
	RT Zoning District	Village of Troy Attached Single Family	Deviations
Min. Building Setbacks			
Front Setback (Bldg)	25'	20'	5'
Side Min. Principal	5' Least / 15' Total	15' Least / 30' Total	In Compliance
Rear Setback Principal	35'	30'	5'
Minimum Lot Area	5,000 Square Feet	Attached	N/A

Village of Troy Concept Plan Submittal 3.31.22



Minimum Lot Frontage	40'	Attached	N/A
Principal Building Height to Midpoint	2.5 Stories/30 Feet	2 Stories / 30 Feet	In Compliance

Schedule of Regulations and Modifications			
	RT Zoning District	Village of Troy Attached 2-Car Townhome	Deviations
Min. Building Setbacks			
Front Setback (Bldg)	25'	N/A'	N/A
Side Min. Principal	5' Least / 15' Total	5' Least / 15' Total	In compliance
Rear Setback Principal	35'	N/A	N/A
Minimum Lot Area	5,000 Square Feet	Attached	N/A
Minimum Lot Frontage	40'	Attached	N/A
Principal Building Height to Midpoint	2.5 Stories/30 Feet	3 Story/35 Feet	0.5 Stories/5 Feet

Schedule of Regulations and Modifications The Village of Troy – Attached 1-Car Townhome			
	RT Zoning District	Village of Troy Attached 1-Car Townhome	Deviations
Min. Building Setbacks			
Front Setback (Bldg)	25'	N/A'	N/A
Side Min. Principal	5' Least / 15' Total	5' Least / 15' Total	In compliance
Rear Setback Principal	35'	N/A	N/A
Minimum Lot Area	5,000 Square Feet	Attached	N/A
Minimum Lot Frontage	40'	Attached	N/A



Principal Building Height to Midpoint	2.5 Stories/30 Feet	3 Story/35 Feet	0.5 Stories/5 Feet

Section 11.03.B of the Troy Zoning Ordinance provides for standards in the justification of the use of a PUD for a given development. We believe that the Village of Troy meets these standards as described below (Robertson comments in blue).

B. The applicant shall demonstrate that through the use of the PUD option, the development will accomplish a sufficient number of the following objectives, as are reasonably applicable to the site, providing:

1. A mixture of land uses that would otherwise not be permitted without the use of the PUD provided that other objectives of this Article are also met.

The concept of the Village of Troy is to provide for varying levels of price points, such that the needs of several subsets of homebuyers can be met. In order to do this, minor flexibility is needed for a couple of development standards.

2. A public improvement or public facility (e.g. recreational, transportation, safety and security) which will enhance, add to or replace those provided by public entities, thereby furthering the public health, safety and welfare.

Several public improvements are proposed as a result of the development. The community will be a large financial contributor to the future regional detention basin project that the City is currently designing. Having one single pond to maintain is better for the environment and considerably more efficient to maintain and oversee. Additionally, the project proposes to include a very important link to the City's pedestrian trail system, which is a significant quality of life amenity for residents in the entire area. The pedestrian trail will be a focus of the development and will be completely open to the public for use through the community. Lastly, there will be passive and active



open space (including a multi-functional park) located along the path as shown on the landscape plan.

3. A recognizable and material benefit to the ultimate users of the project and to the community, where such benefit would otherwise be infeasible or unlikely to be achieved absent these regulations.

Several benefits will be realized as a result of the development, including the pathway connection, contribution to a regional detention pond, active and passive open space areas that can be accessed by the public, and housing options (including single level detached homes) for future homebuyers that have no other options to stay in the City of Troy.

4. Long-term protection and preservation of natural resources, natural features, and historic and cultural resources, of a significant quantity and/or quality in need of protection or preservation, and which would otherwise be unfeasible or unlikely to be achieved absent these regulations.

The community plan enhances the utilization of the significant wetland complex to the south and west by providing for the connection of the pedestrian pathway.

5. A compatible mixture of open space, landscaped areas, and/or pedestrian amenities.

The Village of Troy is centered around the concept of balance of both passive and active open space and pedestrian amenities, set in a mixed village concept of housing typologies. There will be 2,000 lineal feet of 8' pathway through the project (and over 6,000 lineal feet of sidewalks overall), and over 10 acres of open space provided (roughly 50% of the site).

6. Appropriate land use transitions between the PUD and surrounding properties.



The community has been thoughtfully designed to buffer the existing residential properties to the west from the more intense surrounding industrial land uses to the south and commercial land uses to the east,

7. Design features and techniques, such as green building and low impact design, which will promote and encourage energy conservation and sustainable development.

The Village of Troy will be constructed with the most modern and energy efficient materials and construction methods, with the intent to create a best-in-class energy efficient community. All Robertson Homes are built in exceedance of current building codes as verified by DR Nelson, who are independent residential energy code experts. Currently, a Robertson Brothers Home is on average 10% to 15% more energy efficient than a code compliant home. Further, each home within the community will be pre-wired for electric vehicle charging units.

8. Innovative and creative site and building designs, solutions and materials.

The site has been thoughtfully designed to maximize the importance and usability of the pedestrian pathway and open space areas. Materials used will be of high quality and high durability for easy maintenance for future home buyers.

9. The desirable qualities of a dynamic urban environment that is compact, designed to human scale, and exhibits contextual integration of buildings and city spaces.

The Village of Troy is designed around the concept of integration and appropriate scale, that provides for a dynamic mix of future residents. Additionally, the public will be encouraged to traverse the community and be part of the outdoor spaces that interconnect the development with Troy schools and parks.


10. The PUD will reasonably mitigate impacts to the transportation system and enhance non-motorized facilities and amenities.

The location of the community on an important future pedestrian and bicycle trail is a central theme for the Village of Troy. Both the residents that live within the community and those that live in the surrounding area will be encouraged to utilize the non-motorized pathway to move about the City. As mentioned above, there will be 2,000 lineal feet of 8' pathway through the project (and over 6,000 lineal feet of sidewalks overall),

11. For the appropriate assembly, use, redevelopment, replacement and/or improvement of existing sites that are occupied by obsolete uses and/or structures.

The entire property has been obsolete for decades and has been an eyesore that is severely in need of clean up. The project will provide an important redevelopment of a difficult vacant property that will provide for future tax revenues and consumers for local Troy businesses.

12. A complementary variety of housing types that is in harmony with adjacent uses.

The Village of Troy will provide four distinct housing options for home buyers that have very limited options in the local housing market. Additionally, the plan has been deliberately designed to back single family to single family, thereby complementing the adjacent residential neighborhood.

13. A reduction of the impact of a non-conformity or removal of an obsolete building or structure.

The property has served as a construction staging site for several road projects over the past decades. Development of the property will remove and redevelop an obsolete and dangerous property.



14. A development consistent with and meeting the intent of this Article, which will promote the intent of the Master Plan or the intent of any applicable corridor or sub-area plans. If conditions have changed since the Plan, or any applicable corridor or sub-area plans were adopted, the uses shall be consistent with recent development trends in the area.

Chapter 8 of Troy's Master Plan focuses on efforts to provide for a mix of housing, and the need for options for homebuyers that include "Missing Middle Housing". The Village of Troy plan specifically provides for a mix of housing, on a long-abandoned property, at a location that has been targeted for medium density residential with RT zoning. The community will provide a means of homeownership within the City that is sorely lacking in the current market environment (including attainable housing options as well as single level detached living). Simply put, people want to move to Troy and all it has to offer but cannot find adequate quality housing at a price that is attainable for their families. The Village of Troy is an appropriate use of land, that provides for connectivity with commercial and open space land uses through the development of a new trail system.

- 15. Includes all necessary information and specifications with respect to structures, heights, setbacks, density, parking, circulation, landscaping, amenities and other design and layout features, exhibiting a due regard for the relationship of the development to the surrounding properties and uses thereon, as well as to the relationship between the various elements within the proposed Planned Unit Development. In determining whether these relationships have been appropriately addressed, consideration shall be given to the following:
 - a. The bulk, placement, and materials of construction of the proposed structures and other site improvements.

The site plan has been thoughtfully designed to minimize impact to existing residential land uses, and provide for buffering within the community to external commercial and industrial land uses.



b. The location and screening of vehicular circulation and parking areas in relation to surrounding properties and the other elements of the development.

Parking areas will be screened appropriately within the development.

c. The location and screening of outdoor storage, loading areas, outdoor activity or work areas, and mechanical equipment.

No outdoor storage or loading areas are proposed. Air conditioning units will be screened appropriately from public view.

d. The hours of operation of the proposed uses.

N/A to the proposed land use.

e. The location, amount, type and intensity of landscaping, and other site amenities.

Generous landscaping and open space amenities will be provided within the community, as shown on the provided landscape plans.

16. Parking shall be provided in order to properly serve the total range of uses within the Planned Unit Development. The sharing of parking among the various uses within a Planned Unit Development may be permitted. The applicant shall provide justification to the satisfaction of the City that the shared parking proposed is sufficient for the development and will not impair the functioning of the development, and will not have a negative effect on traffic flow within the development and/or on properties adjacent to the development.

Sufficient parking will be provided within the Village of Troy development plan. The majority of the homes will include an attached



2-car garage, with all of the detached and attached single family homes providing a driveway for guest parking along with street parking. The townhomes located at the south end of the project will include either a 2-car or 1-car attached garage, along with substantial guest parking located throughout, such that 2.65 parking spaces will be provided for every townhome unit.

In addition, the current plan proposes parking spaces for the park area for use as a trailhead to be used by the public.

17. Innovative methods of stormwater management that enhance water quality shall be considered in the design of the stormwater system.

Chapter 9 of Troy's Master Plan focuses on the Rochester Road corridor to become a "regional showcase for effective stormwater management and enhancement of the natural environment, while encouraging a combination of high-quality land uses" (Page 87). Further, the plan encourages that "New construction along the corridor may include detention and retention basins that work together from site-to-site". As mentioned in the project narrative, the development will be a major contributor to the regional detention pond currently being designed by the City on the DPW yard property, which will improve the storm water management of the area.

18. The proposed Planned Unit Development shall be in compliance with all applicable Federal, State and local laws and ordinances, and shall coordinate with existing public facilities.

The Village of Troy will meet or exceed all applicable laws and ordinances.

Following are the concept plans and elevations of the proposed housing products.



Concept Plan





Product Design

The proposed elevations were designed specifically for this location to reflect a blend of traditional and contemporary design elements, that will both blend in with the urban fabric while also maintaining a fresh look that will stand the test of time. The building designs will create a complementary and cohesive village of homes.













Entrance from Long Lake Road to Proposed Community



Internal View of Proposed Community



There are several public benefits to the project overall, such as:

- Meeting the intent of the City's Master Plan
- Inclusion of ADA accessible sidewalks to provide for community connectivity
- Multiple Housing options for residents that are currently underserved
- Quality architecture and design that will complement and further enhance the area
- Significant open space provided throughout
- Unified community with an Association to maintain common areas
- Contribution to a regional stormwater solution
- Linkage to a pedestrian pathway system and trailhead
- Sledding hill and open space accessible to public but maintained by the established HOA

Robertson Brothers Homes is pleased to present the Village of Troy site plan for PUD consideration by the Planning Commission. We believe the development will ultimately become a point of pride for responsible development in a solid area and importantly will provide for housing needs in the community.

Please let me know if any additional information is required at this time.

Thank you.

Respectfully,

Tim Loughrin | Director of Land Acquisition Robertson Brothers Homes 6905 Telegraph Rd, Suite 200, Bloomfield Hills, MI 48301 Direct Dial: 248.282.1428 | Mobile: 248.752.7402 tloughrin@robertsonhomes.com

Owner / Developer

ROBERTSON BROTHERS CO. 6905 Telegraph Road Bloomfield Hills, MI 48301 CONTACT: Tim Loughrin Tel. (248) 282-1428 Fax. (248) 282-1423

Architect - Townhomes

4545 ARCHITECTURE 3011 W. Grand Blvd., Suite 400 Detroit, MI 48202 CONTACT: Tel. (313) 450-4545

Architect - Single Family Homes

TK DESIGN & ASSOCIATES 26030 Pontiac Trail South Lyon, MI 48178 CONTACT: Tel. (248) 446-1960

Civil Engineer

NOWAK & FRAUS ENGINEERS 46777 Woodward Ave. Pontiac, MI 48342-5032 CONTACT: Brad W. Brickel, P.E. Tel. (248) 332-7931 Fax. (248) 332-8257

Landscape Architect

LAND DESIGN STUDIO 750 Forest Avenue Suite 101 Birmingham, MI 48009 CONTACT: Tad Krear Tel. (248) 594-3220

City of Troy, Oakland County, Michigan PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN PACKAGE DOCUMENTS Single & Multiple Family Residential Project Prepared For Robertson Brothers Co. PART OF THE NE 1/4 OF SECTION 15, T.2N., R.11E., CITY OF TROY, OAKLAND COUNTY, MICHIGAN E. Long Lake Rd. .≤ 0 2 0 Glaser Dr. Site Bradley Dr. Eckford Dr. Shallowdale LOCATION MAP

LEGAL DESCRIPTION - AS SURVEYED (COMBINED)

PART OF THE NORTHEAST 1/4 OF SECTION 15, TOWN 2 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST, CITY OF TROY, OAKLAND COUNTY, MICHIGAN BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: COMMENCING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 15; THENCE SOUTH 00 DEGREES 36 MINUTES 30 SECONDS EAST ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID SECTION 15, 660.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 89 DEGREES 39 MINUTES 01 SECONDS WEST 43.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE SOUTH 00 DEGREES 36 MINUTES 30 SECONDS EAST, 80.01 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 89 DEGREES 37 MINUTES 30 SECONDS WEST, 332.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 00 DEGREES 36 MINUTES 30 SECONDS EAST, 100.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 89 DEGREES 37 MINUTES 30 SECONDS WEST, 75.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 00 DEGREES 36 MINUTES 30 SECONDS EAST, 80.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 89 DEGREES 37 MINUTES 30 SECONDS WEST, 870.40 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 00 DEGREES 35 MINUTES 00 SECONDS EAST, 400.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 89 DEGREES 37 MINUTES 30 SECONDS WEST, 329.61 FEET; THENCE NORTH 00 DEGREES 36 MINUTES 00 SECONDS WEST, 630.34 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF RIVER BEND OF TROY, OAKLAND COUNTY CONDOMINIUM SUBDIVISION PLAN No. 1577, ACCORDING TO THE MASTER DEED RECORDED IN LIBER 33439, PAGE 586, OAKLAND COUNTY RECORDS; THENCE ALONG THE SOUTH AND EAST LINES OF SAID RIVER BEND OF TROY THE FOLLOWING FIVE (5) COURSES: 1) NORTH 88 DEGREES 33 MINUTES 08 SECONDS EAST, 30.18 FEET AND 2) NORTH 00 DEGREES 56 MINUTES 30 SECONDS WEST, 29.66 FEET AND 3) NORTH 89 DEGREES 37 MINUTES 05 SECONDS EAST, 269.80 FEET AND 4) NORTH 00 DEGREES 11 MINUTES 35 SECONDS EAST, 29.63 FEET AND 5) NORTH 88 DEGREES 56 MINUTES 07 SECONDS EAST, 29.60 FEET; THENCE NORTH 00 DEGREES 35 MINUTES 00 SECONDS WEST ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID RIVER BEND OF TROY, IN PART, 570.00 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID RIVER BEND OF TROY; THENCE NORTH 89 DEGREES 39 MINUTES 01 SECONDS EAST ALONG THE SOUTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF E. LONG LAKE ROAD (60 FEET 1/2 WIDTH), 684.03; THENCE SOUTH 00 DEGREES 36 MINUTES 30 SECONDS EAST, 600.00 FEET; THENCE NORTH 89 DEGREES 39 MINUTES 01 SECONDS EAST, 593.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

CONTAINING 895,001.06 SQUARE FEET OR 20.55 ACRES OF LAND.

TAX ID NUMBER: 20-15-201-046 AND 20-15-201-033

ADDRESS: VACANT

Project Name

The Village of Troy



SHEET	INDEX
SP00	Cover Sheet
P01	Overall Boundary Survey
SP02	ALTA/NSPS Land Title/ Topographic/ Tree/ Wetland Survey
SP03	ALTA/NSPS Land Title/ Topographic/ Tree/ Wetland Survey
SP04	ALTA/NSPS Land Title/ Topographic/ Tree/ Wetland Survey
SP05	Tree List
SP06	Tree List
SP07	Overall Site Plan
SP08	Preliminary Site Plan (1 of 4)
SP09	Preliminary Site Plan (2 of 4)
SP10	Preliminary Site Plan (3 of 4)
SP11	Preliminary Site Plan (4 of 4)
SP12	Preliminary Paving & Grading Plan (1 of 8)
SP13	Preliminary Paving & Grading Plan (2 of 8)
SP14	Preliminary Paving & Grading Plan (3 of 8)
SP15	Preliminary Paving & Grading Plan (4 of 8)
SP16	Preliminary Paving & Grading Plan (5 of 8)
SP17	Preliminary Paving & Grading Plan (6 of 8)
SP18	Preliminary Paving & Grading Plan (7 of 8)
SP19	Preliminary Paving & Grading Plan (8 of 8)
SP20	Preliminary Utility Plan (1 of 4)
SP21	Preliminary Utility Plan (2 of 4)
SP22	Preliminary Utility Plan (3 of 4)
SP23	Preliminary Utility Plan (4 of 4)
SP24	Fire Truck Turning Plan
-1	Concept Landscape Plan - Overall
-2	Landscape Area Calculations
-3	Enlargement Plans - Single Family Lots
-4	Enlargement Plans - Townhomes North
-5	Enlargement Plans - Townhomes South
-6	Enlargement Plans - Park & East Entry
-7	Tree Preservation/ Removal Plan North
-8	Tree Preservation/ Removal Plan South
-9	Tree Survey 1 of 3
-10	Tree Survey 2 of 3
-11	Tree Survey 3 of 3 & Details
of 1	Site Photometric Plan

REVISIONS: 03-29-22 ISSUED FOR PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN REVIEW



N & F JOB #J943-01













TITLE REPORT NOTES

REFERENCE FIRST AMERICAN TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY, COMMITMENT NUMBER: 919508, DATED: FEBRUARY 2, 2021. SCHEDULE B, PART II - EXCEPTIONS:

EXCEPTIONS: 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 25 AND 27 REFER TO THE OWNERSHIP OF THE PROPERTY AND/OR ARE NOT PLOTTABLE.

2. ANY FACTS, RIGHTS, INTERESTS, OR CLAIMS THAT ARE NOT SHOWN BY THE PUBLIC RECORDS BUT THAT COULD BE ASCERTAINED BY MAKING INQUIRY OF PERSONS IN POSSESSION OF THE LAND. 3. EASEMENTS, ENCUMBRANCES, OR CLAIMS THEREOF, NOT SHOWN

BY THE PUBLIC RECORDS. 8. EASEMENT IN FAVOR OF THE COUNTY OF OAKLAND AND THE COVENANTS, CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS CONTAINED IN INSTRUMENT RECORDED IN LIBER 6171, PAGE 585 [EASEMENT IS WITHIN THE SURVEYED LAND AND ITS LOCATION IS SHOWN], LIBER 6171, PAGE 610 [EASEMENT IS WITHIN THE SURVEYED LAND AND ITS LOCATION IS SHOWN] AND IN LIBER 6187, PAGE 693 [EASEMENT IS NOT WITHIN AND DOES NOT TOUCH THE SURVEYED LAND AND ITS LOCATION IS NOT SHOWN].

9. RIGHT OF WAY IN FAVOR OF THE MICHIGAN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY AND THE COVENANTS, CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS CONTAINED IN INSTRUMENT RECORDED IN LIBER 6663, PAGE 10 [EASEMENT IS WITHIN THE SURVEYED LAND AND ITS LOCATION IS SHOWN]

10. RIGHT OF WAY IN FAVOR OF FREDERICKS DRAIN DRAINAGE DISTRICT AND THE COVENANTS, CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS CONTAINED IN INSTRUMENT RECORDED IN LIBER 10099, PAGE 36 [EASEMENT IS WITHIN THE SURVEYED LAND AND ITS LOCATION IS SHOWN

11. TERMS AND CONDITIONS CONTAINED IN ORDER DETERMINING NECESSITY AND GRANTING RIGHT OF ENTRY AS DISCLOSED BY INSTRUMENT RECORDED IN LIBER 10780, PAGE 492 [EASEMENT IS WITHIN THE SURVEYED LAND AND ITS LOCATION IS SHOWN].

2. TERMS AND CONDITIONS CONTAINED IN CROSS-ACCESS OR JOINT-DRIVE EASEMENT AS DISCLOSED BY INSTRUMENT RECORDED IN LIBER 12003, PAGE 827 [EASEMENT IS WITHIN THE SURVEYED LAND AND ITS LOCATION IS SHOWN].

13. TERMS AND CONDITIONS CONTAINED IN DECLARATION OF NON-EXCLUSIVE EASEMENT AS DISCLOSED BY INSTRUMENT RECORDED IN LIBER 13457, PAGE 106 [EASEMENT IS WITHIN THE SURVEYED LAND AND ITS LOCATION IS SHOWN].

FIRST AMENDMENT TO DECLARATION OF NON-EXCLUSIVE EASEMENT RECORDED IN LIBER 44634. PAGE 670 [EASEMENT IS WITHIN THE SURVEYED LAND AND ITS LOCATION IS SHOWN].

4. PERMANENT EASEMENT IN FAVOR OF THE CITY OF TROY AND THE COVENANTS, CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS CONTAINED IN INSTRUMENT RECORDED IN LIBER 13497, PAGE 380 [EASEMENT IS WITHIN THE SURVEYED LAND AND ITS LOCATION IS SHOWN].

15. PERMANENT EASEMENT IN FAVOR OF THE CITY OF TROY AND THE COVENANTS, CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS CONTAINED IN INSTRUMENT RECORDED IN LIBER 13497, PAGE 381 [EASEMENT IS WITHIN THE SURVEYED LAND AND ITS LOCATION IS SHOWN].

16. PERMANENT EASEMENT IN FAVOR OF THE CITY OF TROY AND THE COVENANTS, CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS CONTAINED IN INSTRUMENT RECORDED IN LIBER 13497, PAGE 382 [EASEMENT IS WITHIN THE SURVEYED LAND AND ITS LOCATION IS SHOWN]. 17. PERMANENT EASEMENT IN FAVOR OF THE CITY OF TROY AND THE COVENANTS, CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS CONTAINED IN

INSTRUMENT RECORDED IN LIBER 14012, PAGE 513 [EASEMENT IS WITHIN THE SURVEYED LAND AND ITS LOCATION IS SHOWN]. 18. TERMS AND CONDITIONS CONTAINED IN DECLARATION OF RETENTION POND EASEMENT AS DISCLOSED BY INSTRUMENT

RECORDED IN LIBER 25245, PAGE 66 [DOCUMENT DOES NO DESCRIBE ANY PLOTTABLE EASEMENTS OR PLOTTABLE RESTRICTIONS; THE SURVEYED LAND IS WITHIN THE PROPERTY DESCRIBED IN SAID DOCUMENT, APPROXIMATE LOCATION OF RETENTION POND EASEMENT IS SHOWN].

19. PERMANENT EASEMENT IN FAVOR OF THE CITY OF TROY AND THE COVENANTS, CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS CONTAINED IN INSTRUMENT RECORDED IN LIBER 31365, PAGE 817, LIBER 31365, PAGE 819, LIBER 31365, PAGE 821 AND IN LIBER 31365, PAGE 823 [EASEMENTS ARE WITHIN THE SURVEYED LAND AND ITS LOCATIONS ARE SHOWN].

20. PERMANENT EASEMENT IN FAVOR OF THE CITY OF TROY AND THE COVENANTS, CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS CONTAINED IN INSTRUMENT RECORDED IN LIBER 31365, PAGE 825 [EASEMENT IS WITHIN THE SURVEYED LAND AND ITS LOCATION IS SHOWN].

21. TERMS AND CONDITIONS CONTAINED IN SIDE AGREEMENT REGARDING DECLARATION OF NON-EXCLUSIVE EASEMENT AS DISCLOSED BY INSTRUMENT RECORDED IN LIBER 44634, PAGE 698 [EASEMENT IS WITHIN THE SURVEYED LAND AND ITS LOCATION IS SHOWN

22. MATTERS REFERENCED BY SURVEY RECORDED IN LIBER 10867, PAGE 747 AND IN LIBER 10867, PAGE 749 [DOCUMENTS DO NOT DESCRIBE ANY PLOTTABLE EASEMENTS OR PLOTTABLE RESTRICTIONS; THE SURVEYED LAND IS WITHIN THE PROPERTY DESCRIBED IN SAID DOCUMENTS]

23. ANY RIGHTS, TITLE INTEREST OR CLAIM THEREOF TO THAT PORTION OF THE LAND TAKEN, USED OR GRANTED FOR STREETS, ROADS OR HIGHWAYS.

24. RIGHTS OF OTHER RIPARIAN OWNERS AND TO THE PUBLIC TRUST IN AND TO THE WATERS OF THE DRAIN CROSSING SUBJECT PROPERTY

26. RIGHTS OF TENANTS, IF ANY, UNDER ANY UNRECORDED LEASES.

FLOOD HAZARD NOTE

THE PROPERTY DESCRIBED ON THIS SURVEY DOES NOT LIE WITHIN A SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREA AS DEFINED BY THE FEDERAL ZONE X OF THE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP IDENTIFIED AS MAP NO. 26125C0534F BEARING AN EFFECTIVE DATE OF 09-29-2006.

DTE DISCLAIMER NOTE

PLEASE NOTE THAT DTE HAS NEW REGULATIONS THAT MAY IMPACT DEVELOPMENT OUTSIDE THEIR EASEMENT OR THE PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY. CLIENT SHALL CONTACT DTE TO DETERMINE THE "NEW STRUCTURES AND POWER LINE" REQUIREMENTS AS THEY MAY APPLY TO ANY FUTURE BUILDING OR RENOVATION OF A STRUCTURE. DTE ENERGY CAN BE CONTACTED AT 800-477-4747



PROJECT Village of Troy

CLIENT

Robertson Brothers Homes 6905 Telegraph Road Bloomfield Hills, MI 48301

Contact: Tim Loughrin Phone: 248.282.1428 Email: tloughrin@robertsonhomes.com

PROJECT LOCATION Part of the NE 1/4of Section 15 T.2N., R.11E., City of Troy, Oakland County, Michigan

SHEET Overall Boundary Survey



ISSUED/REVISED DATE 04-14-21 SURVEY ISSUED 03-29-22 ISSUED FOR PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN REVIEW

DRAWN BY:			
M. Carnaghi			
DESIGNED BY:			
APPROVED BY:			
K. Navaroli			
DATE:			
April 14, 2021			
SCALE: 1" = 80'			
80 40 0	40	80	120
NFE JOB NO.	SF	IEET N	О.
J943-01	SP01		

S.00°36'30"E. 80.01'(M) 43' DEEDED T LY OF TROY (L.7091, P.790) 50' WIDE EASEMENT FOR WATER MAIN (L.6171, P.585 & L.6171, P.610)

MISS DIG / UTILITY DISCLAIMER NOTE

PUBLIC ACT 174 WAS ENTERED FOR THE SURVEYED PROPERTY. DUE TO THE EXTENDED REPORTING PERIOD FOR UNDERGROUND FACILITY OWNERS TO PROVIDE THEIR RECORDS, THE SURVEY MAY NOT REFLECT ALL THE UTILITIES AT THE TIME THE SURVEY WAS ISSUED ON APRIL 14, 2021. THE SURVEY ONLY REFLECTS THOSE UTILITIES WHICH COULD BE OBSERVED BY THE SURVEYOR IN THE FIELD OR AS DEPICTED BY THE UTILITY COMPANY RECORDS FURNISH PRIOR TO THE DATE THIS SURVEY WAS ISSUED. THE CLIENT AND/OR THEIR AUTHORIZED AGENT SHALL VERIFY WITH THE FACILITY OWNERS AND/OR THEIR AUTHORIZED AGENTS, THE COMPLETENESS AND

UTILITY LOCATIONS WERE OBTAINED FROM MUNICIPAL OFFICIALS AND

-ROBERTSON BROTHERS CO., OR ITS/THEIR NOMINEE OR ASSIGN -GOOD DEVELOPMENT HOLDINGS, LLC, A MICHIGAN LIMITED LIABILITY

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THIS MAP OR PLAT AND THE SURVEY ON WHICH IT IS BASED WERE MADE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE 2021 MINIMUM STANDARD DETAIL REQUIREMENTS FOR ALTA/NSPS LAND TITLE SURVEYS. JOINTLY ESTABLISHED AND ADOPTED BY ALTA AND NSPS, AND INCLUDE ITEMS 1, 2, 3, 4, 6(a), 6(b), 7(a), 7(b)(1), 7(c), 8, 9, 11(a), 13, 14, 16, 17, 18 AND 19 OF TABLE A THEREOF.

> 4-14-2021 DATE

IL OFFICIALS TEE CAN BE LOCATION.	AND







Tag 2975	Scientific Name	Common Name	Trunk 1	Trunk 2	Trunk 3	Condition (1)	Landmark
2976	Acer negundo	Box elder	14.1	8.8	0.0	Fair	
2977	Acer negundo	Box elder	10.6			Fair	
2978	Acer negundo	Box elder	10.5			Fair	
2979	Populus deltoides Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	27.4	13.4		Fair Fair	X
2981	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	13.2	13.4		Fair	
2982	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	17.5	12.9		Fair	
2983	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	12.4	10.1		Fair	
2984	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	6.8			Fair Fair	
2985	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	10.9			Fair	
2987	Ulmus americana	American elm	11.5			Fair	
2988	Acer negundo	Box elder	11.1			Fair	
2989	Acer negundo	Box elder	8.4			Fair	
2990	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Douglas fir	8.6	6.8		Fair	
2992	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.9			Fair	
2993	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	29.2	14.0		Fair	Х
2994	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	16.7			Fair	
2995	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	13.7	11.5		Fair	
2990	Ulmus americana	American elm	7.3			Fair	
2998	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	15.4			Fair	
2999	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	12.1			Fair	
3000	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	16.8			Fair	
3001	Gleditsia triacanthos	Honey locust	13.7	12.0		Fair	
3002	Acer negundo	Box elder	7.4			Fair	
3005	Acer negundo	Box elder	7.6			Fair	
3006	Salix amygdaloides	Peachleaf willow	7.6	6.2		Fair	
3007	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	13.7			Fair	
3008	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	15.1 18 2	12 0		Fair Fair	+
3010	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	18.1	12.0		Fair	
3011	Pyrus communis	Common pear	8.7			Fair	
3012	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	8.8			Fair	
3013	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	15.6			Fair	
3014 3015	Pyrus callervana	Collerv near	<u>13.4</u> 6.2			Fair Fair	
3016	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	14.7			Fair	
3017	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	11.4			Fair	
3018	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	12.7			Poor	-
3019	Populus deltoides Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	76			Fair Fair	
3020	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	11.0			Fair	
3022	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	14.1			Fair	
3023	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	15.9			Fair	
3024 2025	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.8			Poor Fair	
3025	Acer negundo	Box elder	8.1	6.4		Fair	
3027	Acer negundo	Box elder	8.5			Fair	
3028	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	10.3			Fair	
3029	Ulmus americana	American elm	7.3			Fair	
3030	Populus deltoides Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	97			Fair	
3032	Ulmus americana	American elm	10.0			Fair	
3033	Ulmus americana	American elm	11.4			Fair	
3034	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	17.3			Very Poor	
3035	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	21.8	70		Fair	
3030	Ulmus americana	American elm	7.1	7.0		Fair	
3038	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.7			Fair	
3039	Populus grandidentata	Big-tooth aspen	8.7			Fair	
3040	Populus grandidentata	Big-tooth aspen	10.1			Fair	
3041	Populus grandidentata	Big-tooth aspen	16.0			Fair	
3042	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	20.8			Fair	
3044	Acer negundo	Box elder	7.7			Fair	
3045	Ulmus americana	American elm	9.0			Fair	
3046	Ulmus americana	American elm	9.0	8.1		Fair	
3047 3048	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	23.6			Fair Fair	+
3049	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	19.6			Fair	
3050	Pyrus calleryana	Callery pear	6.1			Fair	
3051	Pyrus calleryana	Callery pear	6.1			Fair	
3052 3052	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	21.2			Fair Fair	+
3054	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	14.2			Fair	
3055	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	11.4			Fair	
3056	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.3			Fair	
3057 3059	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.3			Fair	
3059	Acer negundo	Box elder	8.7			Fair	
3060	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	13.5			Fair	
3061	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	14.5			Fair	
3062	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	10.7			Fair	
3064	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.7			Fair Fair	
3065	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	11.4			Fair	
3066	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.3			Fair	
3067	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	12.7			Fair	
3068	Ulmus americana	American elm	7.1			Fair	
3070	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.3 15.2			Fair Fair	
3071	Populus grandidentata	Big-tooth aspen	7.8			Fair	
3072	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.2			Fair	
3073	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	14.4			Fair	
3074 3075	Ulmus americana	American elm	7.2			Fair	
3076	Ulmus americana	American elm	9.1			Fair	
3077	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.2			Fair	
3078	Acer negundo	Box elder	7.8			Fair	
3079	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	10.2			Fair	
3080 3091	Populus grandidentata	Big-tooth aspen	12.3			Fair	
3082	Ulmus americana	American elm	12.2	6.0		Fair	
3083	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.7			Fair	
3084	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	16.6			Fair	
	I Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	26.1			Fair	X
3085		<u> </u>	40.5			- ·	

			Dia	ameter at B	Breast Heig	ght (DBH)	
Tag	Scientific Name	Common Name	Trunk 1	Trunk 2	Trunk 3	Condition (1)	Landmark (2)
3087 3088	Populus deltoides Salix amygdaloides	Cottonwood Peachleaf willow	14.1	9.8		Fair Fair	
3089	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	7.1			Fair	
3090	Acer negundo	Box elder	7.8			Fair	
3091 3092	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	15.2 8.8			Fair	
3093	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.3			Fair	
3094	Rhamnus cathartica	European buckthorn	8.1			Fair	
3095	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood Box elder	19.2 6.1			Fair	
3097	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	7.7			Fair	
3098	Acer negundo	Boxelder	8.8	7.0		Fair	
3099	Acer negundo	Box elder Box elder	8.6 6.2	7.3	6.2	Fair Fair	
3101	Acer negundo	Box elder	10.4	8.1		Fair	
3102	Acer negundo	Box elder	8.1			Fair	
3103 3104	Acer negundo	Box elder Box elder	8.6 8.3			Fair Fair	
3105	Acer negundo	Box elder	8.6			Fair	
3106	Acer negundo	Box elder	17.3			Fair	
3107	Acer negundo	Box elder Box elder	9.0	5.8		Fair	
3109	Acer negundo	Box elder	9.0			Fair	
3110	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	9.8			Fair	
3111	Robinia pseudoacacia Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	6.1 10.0			Fair	
3113	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	11.4			Fair	
3114	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	6.1			Fair	
3115 3116	Robinia pseudoacacia Ulmus americana	Black locust	9.1 7.1	8.7		Fair	
3117	Acer negundo	Box elder	14.8			Fair	
3118	Crataegus sp.	Hawthorn	6.0			Fair	
3119 3120	Acer negundo Prunus avium	Box elder Sweet cherry	/.1 6.2			Fair Fair	
3121	Acer negundo	Box elder	11.4			Fair	
3122	Acer negundo	Box elder	8.8			Fair	
3123 3124	Acer negundo	вох elder Box elder	ь.4 6.4			Fair Fair	
3125	Ulmus americana	American elm	8.4			Fair	
3126	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.0	5.5		Fair	
3127 3128	Acer negundo Pinus svlvestris	Box elder Scots pine	7.6 12.7	6.3		Fair Fair	
3129	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	12.6			Fair	
3130	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	11.4	0.1		Fair	
3131	Ulmus americana	Scots pine American elm	6.2	9.1		Fair	
3133	Populus tremuloides	Quaking aspen	7.1			Fair	
3134	Populus tremuloides	Quaking aspen	7.0			Fair	
3135	Populus tremuloides Populus tremuloides	Quaking aspen	9.3 7.4			Fair Fair	
3137	Acer negundo	Box elder	7.8			Very Poor	
3138	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	11.2			Fair	
3139	Prunus avium Pinus resinosa	Red pine	26.0			Fair	x
3141	Populus tremuloides	Quaking aspen	15.3			Fair	
3142	Acer negundo	Box elder	13.6			Fair	
3143	Acer negundo	Box elder	9.2 10.6			Fair	
3145	Populus tremuloides	Quaking aspen	8.2			Fair	
3146	Populus tremuloides	Quaking aspen	7.1			Fair	
3147	Ulmus americana	American elm	7.1			Fair	
3149	Tilia americana	Basswood	19.2	12.4	11.5	Fair	Х
3150	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	15.1			Fair	
3151	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	13.0			Fair	
3153	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	15.1			Fair	
3154	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood Box oldor	14.4 6 1			Fair	
3155	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	13.6			Fair	
3157	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	12.8			Fair	
3158	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	10.5			Fair	
3160	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	10.1			Fair	
3161	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	18.7			Fair	
3162 3162	Acer rubrum	Red maple	6.3 7 5			Fair	
3164	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.0			Fair	
3165	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	7.1			Fair	
3166 3167	Robinia pseudoacacia Populus deltoides	Black locust	12.8 13.6			Fair Fair	
3168	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	11.9			Fair	
3169	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	6.2			Fair	
3170 3171	Quercus alba	White oak	12.3 18 5	10.0		Fair Fair	x
3172	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.8			Fair	
3173	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	10.2			Fair	
3174 3175	Acer saccharinum Populus deltoides	Silver maple Cottonwood	7.5 13.1			Fair Fair	
3176	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.7			Fair	
3177	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	0.0	6.7		Fair	
3178 3179	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood Cottonwood	9.0 8.4			Fair Fair	
3180	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	14.7			Fair	
3181	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.7			Fair	
3182 3182	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood Cottonwood	9.2 6.3			Fair Fair	
3183	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.3			Fair	
3184	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	8.4			Fair	
3186 3187	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.4 6.4			Fair Fair	
3188	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	12.3	8.9		Fair	
3189	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	8.1			Fair	
3190 3191	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.1 14 0			Fair Fair	
3192	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	13.1			Fair	
3193	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	8.6			Fair	
3194 3195	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	11.2 6.0	10.4		Fair Fair	
3196	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.0			Fair	
3197	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	8.4			Fair	

			Di	ameter at E	Breast Hei	ght (DBH)	
Tag 3198	Scientific Name Populus deltoides	Common Name Cottonwood	Trunk 1 7.6	Trunk 2	Trunk 3	Condition (1) Fair	Landmark (2)
3199	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.3			Fair	
3200 3201	Populus deltoides Acer negundo	Cottonwood Box elder	10.8 6.8			Fair Fair	
3201	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	22.1			Fair	
3203 3204	Fraxinus americana	White ash Black pine	6.9 11.8	6.6	6.6	Very Poor Fair	
3204	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	11.8			Fair	
3206	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	14.5			Fair	
3207	Populus deitoides Pinus nigra	Black pine	11.6			Fair	
3209	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.3			Fair	
3210 3211	Pinus nigra Rhamnus cathartica	Black pine European buckthorn	11.6 6.1			Fair Fair	
3212	Pinus nigra	Black pine	9.2	8.5		Fair	
3213 3214	Ulmus americana Populus deltoides	American elm Cottonwood	10.3 7.3			Fair Fair	
3215	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	13.7	13.6	12.5	Fair	
3216 3217	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	9.2	7.0	63	Fair Fair	
3217	Pinus nigra	Black pine	14.9	7.0	0.5	Fair	
3219	Ulmus americana	American elm	8.8 8.5			Fair	
3220	Ulmus americana	American elm	7.0			Fair	
3222	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	18.6			Fair	
3223	Ulmus americana Populus deltoides	American elm Cottonwood	6.2 13.6			Fair Fair	
3225	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.2			Fair	
3226 3227	Ulmus americana Populus deltoides	American elm Cottonwood	6.5 14.8			Fair Fair	
3228	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	14.3			Fair	
3229	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	17.2			Fair	
3230	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	13.8			Fair Fair	
3232	Acer negundo	Box elder	8.2	7.3		Fair	
3233 3234	Pinus sylvestris Acer negundo	Scots pine Box elder	13.7 7.4			Fair Fair	
3235	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	28.7	8.0		Fair	X
3236 3227	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	14.7			Fair	
3237	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	16.6			Fair	
3239	Ulmus americana	American elm	7.6			Fair	
3240 3241	Populus deltoides	American elm Cottonwood	8.0			Fair Fair	
3242	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.3			Fair	
3243 3244	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	10.5 14 1			Fair Fair	
3245	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	8.9			Fair	
3246	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	12.2			Fair	
3247	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.6			Fair	
3249	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.7			Fair	
3250 3251	Acer negundo Tsuga canadensis	Box elder Eastern hemlock	6.1 8.0			Fair Fair	
3252	Tsuga canadensis	Eastern hemlock	9.0			Poor	
3253 3254	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	9.1 8.5	7.5		Fair Fair	
3255	Acer negundo	Box elder	7.5			Fair	
3256	Acer negundo	Box elder	10.3	9.6		Fair	
3257	Acer negundo	Box elder Box elder	7.7			Fair	
3259	Acer negundo	Box elder	10.9			Fair	
3260 3261	Acer negundo Acer negundo	Box elder Box elder	8.0 10.7			Fair Fair	
3262	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	16.5			Fair	
3263	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine Reachleaf willow	17.6			Fair	
3265	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	15.9			Fair	
3266	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	7.7			Fair	
3267 3268	Pinus sylvestris Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine Scots pine	9.4 8.0			Fair Fair	
3269	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	9.5			Fair	
3270 3271	Pinus sylvestris Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine Scots pine	9.3 8.8			Fair Fair	
3272	Tilia americana	Basswood	11.1			Fair	
3273 3274	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	13.3 14 1			Fair Fair	
3275	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	16.1			Fair	
3276 דדרב	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	18.0 フェ			Fair	X
3278	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	6.5			Fair	
3279	Ulmus americana	American elm	11.3			Fair	
3280 3281	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple Silver maple	7.0 6.5			Fair Fair	
3282	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.0			Fair	
3283 3284	Populus tremuloides	Quaking aspen	6.8 8 2			Fair Fair	
3285	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	6.8			Fair	
3286	Populus tremuloides	Quaking aspen	7.2			Fair	
3287	Populus tremuloides	Quaking aspen	7.4			Fair Fair	
3289	Malus pumila	Common apple	7.7			Fair	
3290 3291	Populus tremuloides Ulmus americana	Quaking aspen American elm	8.7 8.3			Fair Fair	
3292	Acer negundo	Box elder	12.7			Fair	
3293 3294	Pinus nigra	Black pine	21.1 14 <i>A</i>			Fair Fair	X
3295	Pinus nigra	Black pine	17.5	16.9	6.5	Fair	
3296	Ulmus americana	American elm	7.1			Fair	
3297	Pinus nigra	Black pine	20.7			Fair	X
3299	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	21.4			Fair	X
3300 3301	IIIIa americana Populus deltoides	Basswood Cottonwood	12.9 11.4			Fair Fair	
3302	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.4			Fair	
3303	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.8			Fair	
3305	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	0.9 10.1			Fair	
3306	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.3	6.5		Fair	
3307 3308	Populus deltoides Populus deltoides	Cottonwood Cottonwood	6.1 6.4			Fair Fair	



NOWAK & FRAUS ENGINEERS 46777 WOODWARD AVE. Pontiac, mi 48342-5032 Tel. (248) 332-7931 Fax. (248) 332-8257 WWW.Nowakfraus.com

SEAL

PROJECT Village of Troy

CLIENT

Robertson Brothers Homes 6905 Telegraph Road Bloomfield Hills, MI 48301

Contact: Tim Loughrin Phone: 248.282.1428 Email: tloughrin@robertsonhomes.com

PROJECT LOCATION

Part of the NE 1/4 of Section 15 T.2N., R.11E., City of Troy, Oakland County, Michigan

SHEET Tree List



DATE ISSUED/REVISED 04-14-21 SURVEY ISSUED 03-29-22 ISSUED FOR PRELIMINARY SITE Plan Review

40 20 0 NFE JOB NO.	20 	40 HEET N	6 0.
DATE: <u>April 14, 2021</u> SCALE: $1'' = 40'$			
APPROVED BY: K. Navaroli			
DESIGNED BY:			
DRAWN BY: M. Carnaghi			
DRAWN BY: M. Carnaghi			

-			Dia	ameterat E	Breast Hei	ght (DBH)	
Tag 3309	Scientific Name Populus deltoides	Common Name Cottonwood	Trunk 1 9.1	Trunk 2	Trunk 3	Fair	Landmark
3310	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	8.3			Fair	
3311	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.1			Fair	
3312	Populus deltoides Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.4 6.8			Fair	
3314	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.2			Fair	
3315	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.3			Fair	
3316	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	12.3			Fair	
3317	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	18.1			Fair	
3319	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	8.4			Fair	
3320	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	14.8	6.2		Fair	
3321	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	11.2			Fair	
3322	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	18.9			Fair	
3323	Populus deitoides Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.9 21.3			Fair	
3325	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	11.3			Fair	
3326	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	15.4			Fair	
3327	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.1			Fair	
3328	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	8.2	11.0		Fair	
3330	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.2	11.0		Fair	
3331	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	11.8			Fair	
3332	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.3			Fair	
3333	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	17.0			Fair	
3334	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.2			Fair	
3336	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	8.6			Fair	
3337	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	12.9			Fair	
3338	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	8.8	6.7		Fair	
3339	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.2			Fair	
3340 3341	Acer saccharinum Populus deltoides	Silver maple	9./ 8./	6.2		Fair Fair	
3342	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	8.1			Fair	
3343	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.4			Fair	
3344	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.6			Fair	
3345	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	12.1			Fair	
3340 3347	Populus deitoides	Cottonwood	о./ 6.7			Fair Fair	
3348	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	8.0			Fair	
3349	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.6			Fair	
3350	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	11.1			Fair	
3351	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.7			Fair	
3353	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	10.1			Fair	
3354	Quercus macrocarpa	Bur oak	8.5			Fair	
3355	Juglans nigra	Black walnut	7.8			Fair	
3356	Juglans nigra	Black walnut	7.2			Fair	
3357	Maius pumila	American elm	10.7			Very Poor Fair	
3359	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	14.4	11.0		Fair	
3360	Ulmus americana	American elm	14.0			Fair	
3361	Ulmus americana	American elm	10.3			Fair	
3362	Acer negundo	Box elder	8.4			Fair	
3363	Ulmus americana	American elm	9.4			Fair	
3365	Ulmus americana	American elm	10.4			Fair	
3366	Ulmus americana	American elm	7.4			Fair	
3367	Ulmus americana	American elm	7.6			Fair	
3368	Ulmus americana	American elm	8.3			Fair	
3370	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	8.0			Fair	
3371	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.6			Fair	
3372	Ulmus americana	American elm	9.2	9.0	6.0	Fair	
3373	Ulmus americana	American elm	7.7			Fair	
3374 2275	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	15.4			Fair	
3376	Acer negundo	Box elder	13.5			Fair	
3377	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	11.1			Fair	
3378	Acer negundo	Box elder	7.8			Fair	
3379	Ulmus americana	American elm	7.4			Fair	
538U 3381	Ulmus americana	American elm	11.3 6.2			Fair Fair	
3382	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	8.0			Fair	
3383	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.0			Fair	
3384	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.8			Fair	
3385	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	15.1	6.0		Fair	
3385 3387	Kupinia pseudoacacia	Box elder	6.2	6.0		Poor	
3388	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	11.4			Fair	
3389	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.3			Fair	
3390	Ulmus americana	American elm	10.5	9.7		Fair	
3391 3307	Ulmus americana	American elm	12.6	11.5 		Fair	
3393	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.8			Fair	
3394	Ulmus americana	American elm	10.9			Fair	
3395	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.2			Fair	
3396	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.6			Fair	
3397 3302	UIMUS americana	American elm	8.4 1/1 2			Fair Fair	
3399	Salix alba	White willow	45.0			Verv Poor	
3400	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.7			Fair	
3401	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	9.4			Fair	
3402	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	8.1			Fair	
3403 3404	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	10.9 7 2			Fair Fair	
3405	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.5			Fair Fair	
3406	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	12.1			Fair	
3407	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	18.4			Fair	
3408	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	36.0			Fair	Х
3409	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	27.6			Fair	X
3410 3411	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	33.7			Very Poor	
3412	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.5			Fair	^
3413	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.9			Fair	
3414	Picea pungens	Blue spruce	17.0			Fair	
3415	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	22.5			Very Poor	
3416 3417	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	19.6 8.6			Fair Fair	×
<u>3418</u>	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	10.9			Fair	
3419	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.9	7.4		Fair	
				-	_		

			Dia	ameter at E	Breast Height (DBH)	
Tag	Scientific Name	Common Name	Trunk 1	Trunk 2	Trunk 3 Condition (1)	Landmark (2)
3420	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.1		Fair	
3421	Populus deltoides Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	8.5		Fair	
3423	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.7		Fair	
3424	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	8.2		Fair	
3425	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.1		Fair Fair	
3420	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.1		Fair	
3428	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.5		Fair	
3429	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	13.6		Fair	
3430	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	20.6		Fair	
3432	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	11.7		Fair	_
3433	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.2		Fair	
3434	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.6		Fair	
3435	Populus deitoides	Scots pine	12.4		Good	
3437	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	7.0		Fair	
3438	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	12.5		Fair	
3439	Acer negundo	Box elder	9.0		Poor	
3441	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	25.0		Good	X
3442	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	9.4		Fair	
3443	Ulmus americana	American elm	8.7		Fair	
3444 3445	Acer saccharinum	Box elder	9.9	6.0	Fair	
3446	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	7.5	0.0	Fair	
3447	Ulmus americana	American elm	11.5		Fair	
3448	Ulmus americana	American elm	8.9		Fair	
3450	Ulmus americana	American elm	<u> </u>		Fair Fair	
3451	Pyrus communis	Common pear	8.8		Fair	
3452	Ulmus americana	American elm	8.3		Fair	
3453 २//⊑/	Ulmus americana	American elm	12.5 <u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u>		Fair	
3455	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	18.4		Fair	
3456	Acer negundo	Box elder	11.0		Fair	
3457	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.3		Fair	
3458 3459	Populus deltoides	<u>Cottonwood</u>	21.0 7 २		Fair Fair	
3460	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	13.0		Fair	
3461	Salix amygdaloides	Peachleaf willow	13.8		Fair	
3462	Quercus macrocarpa	Buroak	19.1	1 1 1	Fair	X
3463	Populus deltoides Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	17.3	15.1	Fair	
3465	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	20.1		Fair	
3466	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.7		Fair	
3467	Ulmus americana	American elm	7.1		Fair	
3469	Ulmus americana	American elm	7.9		Fair	
3470	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	11.4		Fair	
3471	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.1		Fair	
3472	Populus deltoides Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.1		Fair	
3474	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.2		Fair	
3501	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	15.3		Fair	
3502	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.3	0.4	Fair	
3503	Fraxinus americana	White ash	7.2	9.4	Very Poor	
3505	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	6.0		Fair	
3506	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	7.4		Fair	
3507	Acer saccharum	Basswood Sugar manle	7.9 18 3		Fair	x
3509	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.8		Fair	
3510	Ulmus americana	American elm	17.2		Fair	
3511	Ulmus americana	American elm	14.5		Fair	
3512	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	10.0	9.6	Fair	
3514	Ulmus americana	American elm	9.0		Fair	
3515	Acer negundo	Box elder	7.2		Fair	
3516 2517	Acer negundo	Box elder	9.2	6.3	Fair	
3518	Malus pumila	Common apple	7.1		Fair Fair	
3519	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	15.2		Fair	
3520	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.3		Fair	
3521 3527	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	11.0 8 /		Fair Fair	
3523	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	7.5		Fair	
3524	Ulmus americana	American elm	18.0		Fair	
3525 2526	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	9.0		Fair	
3527	Populus tremuloides	Quaking aspen	6.0		Fair	1
3528	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	8.1	7.2	Fair	
3529	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	9.0		Fair	
3530 3531	Populus tremuloides	Quaking aspen	6.1		Fair Fair	
3532	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	6.4		Fair	
3533	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	7.1	6.8	Fair	
3534 २ ५ २५	Acer negundo Populus deltoides	Box elder	6.5 8 /		Fair Fair	
3536	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	15.8		Fair	
3537	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.6	7.4	Fair	
3538	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.0		Fair	
3540	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	8.6		Fair Fair	
3541	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	9.0	8.2	Fair	
3542	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	6.8		Fair	
3543 २ <i>६</i> गл	коріпіа pseudoacacia Populus deltoidos	Black locust	8.0		Fair	
3545	Ulmus americana	American elm	9.8		Fair	
3546	Acer negundo	Box elder	8.6		Fair	
3547	Prunus avium	Sweet cherry	7.2		Fair	
3548 3549	Populus deltoides	Box eider Cottonwood	9.4 24 २		Fair Fair	x
3550	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.7	6.4	Fair	
3551	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	15.0		Fair	
3552	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	10.2		Fair	
3554	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	16.4		Fair	
3555	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	13.0		Fair	
3556	Ulmus rubra	Slippery elm	6.8		Fair	

lag	Scientific Name	Common Name	<u>12.4</u>	<u>10.4</u>	Trunk 3	Condition (1)	Landmari
3557 2550	Populus deitoides	Cottonwood	13.4	10.4		Fair	
2550 3550	Ulmus americana	American elm	0.4 9.2			Fair	
3560	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.0			Fair	1
3561	Ulmus americana	American elm	8.4			Fair	
3562	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	11.0			Fair	
3563	Acer negundo	Box elder	8.0			Fair	
3564	Acer negundo	Box elder	8.0			Fair	
3565	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.0			Fair	
3566	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.0			Fair	
3567	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.0			Fair	
3568	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.1			Fair	
3569	Acer negundo	Box elder	8.1			Fair	
3570 3571	Acer negundo	Box elder	7 1			Fair	
3572	Acer negundo	Box elder	15.5	14.4		Fair	
3573	Acer negundo	Box elder	7.6	7.1		Fair	
3574	Acer negundo	Box elder	13.6	7.5		Fair	
3575	Acer negundo	Box elder	17.0			Fair	
3576	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	8.5			Fair	
3577	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.5			Fair	
3578	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.0	5.8		Fair	
3579	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.9			Fair	
3580	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.4 10.2			Fair	
2202		Box older	19.2			Fair	
3582	Prunus avium	Sweet cherny	9.4 8.1			Fair	
3584	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	18.6			Fair	
3586	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	8.4	8.0		Fair	
3587	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	13.2			Fair	
3588	Acer negundo	Box elder	9.2			Fair	
3589	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.2			Fair	
3590	Acer negundo	Box elder	7.1			Fair	
3591	Acer negundo	Box elder	9.3	6.7		Fair	
3592	Acer negundo	Box elder	8.3			Fair	
3593 3504	Acer negundo	Box elder	1.7			Fair	
3594 3595	Acer negundo	Box elder	9.0	65	50	Fair	
3596	Ulmus americana	American elm	9.6	0.0	0.0	Fair	1
3597	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	12.0			Fair	
3598	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	17.2			Fair	
3599	Acer negundo	Box elder	9.7			Fair	
3600	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.7			Fair	
3601	Acer negundo	Box elder	7.4			Fair	
3602	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.5			Fair	
3603	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	6.8			Fair	
3604	Kobinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	7.5	7.1		Fair	
3005	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.8	14.0		Fair	
3607	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	14.5 13 2	14.U 11.2		Fair	
3608	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	8.6	<u> </u>		Fair	
3609	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.4			Fair	
3610	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	13.2	10.1		Fair	1
3611	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.2			Fair	
3612	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.0			Fair	
3613	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	16.8	12.4	8.8	Fair	
3614	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.6			Fair	
3615	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	10.4			Fair	
3617	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.4 6.4			Fair	
3618	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.2			Fair	
3619	Ulmus americana	American elm	7.0			Fair	
3620	Salix matsudana	Corkscrew willow	13.0			Fair	
3621	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	11.3			Fair	
3622	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	7.2			Fair	
3623	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	7.7			Fair	
3624	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.7			Fair	
3625	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	10.4			Fair	
3626	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.6			Fair	
302/ 3670	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	1.4			Fair	
3679 3679	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.4 11 2			Fair	
3630	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.7			Fair	1
3631	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	8.7	6.2	5.7	Fair	
3632	Acer rubrum	Red maple	6.7			Fair	
3633	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.8			Fair	
3634	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.5			Fair	
3635	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.3			Fair	
3636	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.3			Fair	
3637	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	10.0			Fair	
2620	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	17.2			Fair	+
3640	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	17.3 86			Fair	
3641	Acer negundo	Box elder	0.0			Fair	
3642	Acer negundo	Box elder	7.3	7.0		Fair	
3643	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.5			Fair	
3644	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	6.1			Fair	
3645	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	9.4			Fair	
3646	Acer rubrum	Red maple	20.0	7.4		Fair	X
3647	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	6.0			Fair	
3648	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	11.4			Fair	
3649	Acer rubrum	Red maple	13.3	11.2		Fair	
3050 2651	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	13.6			Fair	
3652	Robinia pseudoacesia	Black locust	0./ 20			Fair	
3653	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	0.0 14 6			Fair	
3654	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	9.1	7.0		Fair	1
3655	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	8.0			Fair	
3656	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	6.7	6.0	5.8	Fair	
3657	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	7.0			Fair	
3658	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	6.3			Fair	
	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	6.0			Fair	
3659	. Т	Chinasa alm	61			Fair	
3659 3660	Ulmus parvifolia	Chinese eim	0.1	-			



NOWAK & FRAUS ENGINEERS 46777 WOODWARD AVE. Pontiac, mi 48342-5032 Tel. (248) 332-7931 Fax. (248) 332-8257 WWW.Nowakfraus.com

SEAL

PROJECT Village of Troy

CLIENT

Robertson Brothers Homes 6905 Telegraph Road Bloomfield Hills, MI 48301

Contact: Tim Loughrin Phone: 248.282.1428 Email:

tloughrin@robertsonhomes.com

PROJECT LOCATION

Part of the NE 1/4 of Section 15 T.2N., R.11E., City of Troy, Oakland County, Michigan

SHEET Tree List



DATE ISSUED/REVISED 04-14-21 SURVEY ISSUED 03-29-22 ISSUED FOR PRELIMINARY SITE Plan Review

NFE JOB NO. J943-01	SHEET NO. SP06			
40 20 0	20	40	60	
Scale: $1'' = 40'$				
April 14, 2021				
DATE:				
K. Navaroli				
APPROVED BY:				
DESIGNED BY:				
M. Carnaghi				
DRAWN BY:				
DRAWN BY:				





MATCH LINE SEE SHEET SP10





LAND SURVEYORS LAND PLANNERS NOWAK & FRAUS ENGINEERS 46777 WOODWARD AVE. PONTIAC, MI 48342-5032 TEL. (248) 332-7931 FAX. (248) 332-8257 WWW.NOWAKFRAUS.COM

ENGINEERS

CIVIL ENGINEERS

PROJECT The Village of Troy

CLIENT

Robertson Brothers Homes 6905 Telegraph Road Bloomfield Hills, MI 48301

Contact: Tim Loughrin Phone: 248.282.1428 Email: tloughrin@robertsonhomes.com

PROJECT LOCATION Part of the NE 1/4 of Section 15 T.2N., R.11E., City of Troy, Oakland County, Michigan

SHEET Preliminary Site Plan (3 of 4)

		DATE	ISSUED/REVISED
PAVING LEGEND		04-14-21 SURV	/EY ISSUED
PRO	POSED CONCRETE PAVEMENT	03-29-22 ISSUI Plan Review	ED FOR PRELIMINARY SITE
PRO	DPOSED ASPHALT PAVEMENT		
LEGEND]	
HYDRANT	– EXISTING SANITARY SEWER – SAN. CLEAN OUT		
	- EXISTING WATERMAIN		
	- EXISTING STORM SEWER	DRAWN B	Y:
X	EX. R. Y. CATCH BASIN	J. Lawre	ey
UTILITY POLE GUY POLE	- EXISTING BURIED CABLES	DESIGNEI	O BY:
	OVERHEAD LINES	B. Brick	tel
<i>禁</i>	LIGHT POLE	APPROVEI) BY:
Ц	SIGN	B. Brick	el
	- EXISTING GAS MAIN	DATE:	
	- PR. SANITARY SEWER	April 14	, 2021
	PR. WATER MAIN		" – 20'
	- PR. STORM SEWER	30 15	-30
*	- PR. R. Y. CATCH BASIN		
茶	PROPOSED LIGHT POLE	NFE JOB N	JO. SHEET NO.

J943-01

SP10

4755 ROCHESTER RD 20-15-201-047 ZONED: IB OWNER: GOOD DEVELOPMENT HOLDINGS LLC

SEAL BRAD W. BRICKEL ENGINEER NO. 54071

CIVIL ENGINEERS

LAND SURVEYORS

LAND PLANNERS

NOWAK & FRAUS ENGINEERS

46777 WOODWARD AVE.

PONTIAC, MI 48342-5032

TEL. (248) 332-7931

FAX. (248) 332-8257

WWW.NOWAKFRAUS.COM

PROJECT The Village of Troy

CLIENT

Robertson Brothers Homes 6905 Telegraph Road Bloomfield Hills, MI 48301

Contact: Tim Loughrin Phone: 248.282.1428 Email: tloughrin@robertsonhomes.com

PROJECT LOCATION Part of the NE 1/4 of Section 15 T.2N., R.11E., City of Troy, Oakland County, Michigan

SHEET Preliminary Site Plan (4 of 4)

DATE ISSUED/REVISED 04-14-21 SURVEY ISSUED 03-29-22 ISSUED FOR PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN REVIEW PROPOSED CONCRETE PAVEMENT PROPOSED ASPHALT PAVEMENT ------ EXISTING SANITARY SEWER - SAN. CLEAN OUT - EXISTING WATERMAIN - EXISTING STORM SEWER DRAWN BY: - EX. R. Y. CATCH BASIN J. Lawrey - EXISTING BURIED CABLES **DESIGNED BY:** < overhead lines B. Brickel LIGHT POLE APPROVED BY: B. Brickel SIGN ----- · · · ----- EXISTING GAS MAIN DATE: HYDRANT GATE VALVE PR. SANITARY SEV April 14, 2021 ----- PR. SANITARY SEWER SCALE: 1'' = 30'PR. STORM SEWER

30 15 0

NFE JOB NO.

J943-01

15 30

SHEET NO.

SP11

PAVING LEGEND LEGEND MANHOLE ______S____ HYDRANT GATE_VALVE MANHOLE CATCH BASIN ____D____ _____X____ UTILITY POLE GUY POLE ÷.

MANHOLE

<u>اللہ</u>

C.B. MANHOLE

PR. R. Y. CATCH BASIN

PROPOSED LIGHT POLE

C.O.

INI FT

GU 600.00

TW 600.00

TP 600.00

FG 600.00

PR. GUTTER ELEVATION

PR. TOP OF WALK ELEVATION

PR. TOP OF PVMT. ELEVATION

FINISH GRADE ELEVATION

SCALE: 1'' = 20'

20 10 0

NFE JOB NO.

J943-01

10 20

SHEET NO.

SP12

PROJECT The Village of Troy

CLIENT

Robertson Brothers Homes 6905 Telegraph Road Bloomfield Hills, MI 48301

Contact: Tim Loughrin Phone: 248.282.1428 Email: tloughrin@robertsonhomes.com

PROJECT LOCATION Part of the NE 1/4 of Section 15 T.2N., R.11E., City of Troy, Oakland County, Michigan

SHEET Preliminary Paving & Grading Plan (6 of 8)

DATE ISSUED/REVISED 04-14-21 SURVEY ISSUED 03-29-22 ISSUED FOR PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN REVIEW

nfe job no. J943-01	S	heet N SP17	0.
J. Lawrey J. Lawrey DESIGNED BY: B. Brickel APPROVED BY: B. Brickel DATE: April 14, 2021 SCALE: $1'' = 20'$ 20 10 0	10	20	

PROPOSED CONCRETE PAVEMENT PROPOSED ASPHALT PAVEMENT PROPOSED ASPHALT PAVEMENT	PAVING LEGEND		
PROPOSED ASPHALT PAVEMENT LEGEND MANHOLE SAN. CLEAN OUT HYDRANT GATE VALVE MANHOLE CATCH BASIN EXISTING STORM SEWER EXISTING BURIED CABLES UTILITY POLE GUY POLE GUY WIRE UTILITY POLE GUY POLE OVERHEAD LINES LIGHT POLE GUY WIRE LIGHT POLE OVERHEAD LINES LIGHT POLE GUY WIRE VILLITY POLE GUY POLE OVERHEAD LINES LIGHT POLE HYDRANT GATE VALVE PR. SANITARY SEWER PR. NATER MAIN PR. R. Y. CATCH BASIN PROPOSED LIGHT POLE TC 600.00 PR. TOP OF CURB ELEVATION FW 600.00 PR. TOP OF WALK ELEVATION	PROPOSED CONCRETE PAVEMENT		
LEGEND MANHOLE EXISTING SANITARY SEWER HYDRANT GATE_VALVE MANHOLE CATCH BASIN EXISTING STORM SEWER MANHOLE CATCH BASIN EXISTING BURIED CABLES UTILITY POLE GUY POLE GUY OVERHEAD LINES LIGHT POLE SIGN EXISTING GAS MAIN MANHOLE PR. SANITARY SEWER PR. STORM SEWER PR. STORM SEWER PR. STORM SEWER PR. N. Y. CATCH BASIN EXISTING GAS MAIN PR. STORM SEWER PR. STORM SEWER PR. N. Y. CATCH BASIN EXISTING GAS MAIN PR. TOP OF CURB ELEVATION WATER MAIN PR. TOP OF CURB ELEVATION FW 600.00 PR. TOP OF WALK ELEVATION TW 600.00 PR. TOP OF WALK ELEVATION	PRO	POSED ASPHALT PAVEMENT	
MANHOLE EXISTING SANITARY SEWER HYDRANT GATE VALVE SAN. CLEAN OUT MANHOLE CATCH BASIN EXISTING WATERMAIN MANHOLE CATCH BASIN EXISTING STORM SEWER WANHOLE CATCH BASIN EXISTING BURIED CABLES UTILITY POLE GUY POLE OVERHEAD LINES UTILITY POLE GUY MIRE OVERHEAD LINES UTILITY POLE GUY MIRE DVERHEAD LINES UTILITY POLE FR. SANITARY SEWER PR. SANITARY SEWER HYDRANT GATE VALVE PR. WATER MAIN NLET C.B. MANHOLE PR. STORM SEWER PR. R. Y. CATCH BASIN PR. R. Y. CATCH BASIN INLET C.B. MANHOLE PR. TOP OF CURB ELEVATION <t< th=""><th>LEGEND</th><th></th></t<>	LEGEND		
Image: Sign Sign C.O. MANHOLE HYDRANT GATE VALVE INLET C.B. MANHOLE PR. SANITARY SEWER PR. WATER MAIN INLET C.B. MANHOLE PR. STORM SEWER PR. R. Y. CATCH BASIN PROPOSED LIGHT POLE TC 600.00 PR. TOP OF CURB ELEVATION GU 600.00 PR. TOP OF WALK ELEVATION TW 600.00 PR. TOP OF WALK ELEVATION TP 600.00 PR. TOP OF PVMT. ELEVATION	MANHOLE S HYDRANT GATE VALVE MANHOLE CATCH BASIN UTILITY POLE GUY POLE GUY WIRE GUY WIRE	EXISTING SANITARY SEWER SAN. CLEAN OUT EXISTING WATERMAIN EXISTING STORM SEWER EX. R. Y. CATCH BASIN EXISTING BURIED CABLES OVERHEAD LINES LIGHT POLE	
PROPOSED LIGHT POLE TC 600.00 PR. TOP OF CURB ELEVATION GU 600.00 PR. GUTTER ELEVATION TW 600.00 PR. TOP OF WALK ELEVATION TP 600.00 PR. TOP OF PVMT. ELEVATION	MANHOLE C.O. HYDRANT GATE VALVE INLET C.B. MANHOLE MANHOLE C.B. MANHOLE	SIGN EXISTING GAS MAIN PR. SANITARY SEWER PR. WATER MAIN PR. STORM SEWER PR. R. Y. CATCH BASIN	
	TC 600.00 GU 600.00 TW 600.00 TP 600.00	PROPOSED LIGHT POLE PR. TOP OF CURB ELEVATION PR. GUTTER ELEVATION PR. TOP OF WALK ELEVATION PR. TOP OF PVMT. ELEVATION	

MATCH LINE SEE SHEET SP22	$ \begin{pmatrix} 3632 & 3633 & 3639 \\ 3631 & X & X & B25 \\ & & & & & X & B25 \\ & & & & & & X & B25 \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & &$
	B27 B26 3646
	12" 12" SANITA (PER R
	S F 1 1 1 R IM R IM
	O.C.C.P. NO
	RIVER BEND (
	ST RII 24 24 36

PROJECT The Village of Troy

CLIENT

Robertson Brothers Homes 6905 Telegraph Road Bloomfield Hills, MI 48301

Contact: Tim Loughrin Phone: 248.282.1428 Email: tloughrin@robertsonhomes.com

PROJECT LOCATION Part of the NE 1/4 of Section 15 T.2N., R.11E., City of Troy, Oakland County, Michigan

SHEET Preliminary Utility Plan (3 of 4)

DATE ISSUED/REVISED 04-14-21 SURVEY ISSUED 03-29-22 ISSUED FOR PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN REVIEW

MANHOLE EXISTING SANITARY SEWER SAN. CLEAN OUT GATE_VALVE EXISTING WATER MAIN MANHOLE CATCH BASIN EXISTING STORM SEWER DRAWN BY: EX. R. Y. CATCH BASIN J. Lawrey EXISTING BURIED CABLES UTILITY POLE GUY POLE **DESIGNED BY:** OVERHEAD LINES B. Brickel LIGHT POLE APPROVED BY: SIGN B. Brickel EXISTING GAS MAIN MANHOLE PR. SANITARY SEWER DATE: April 14, 2021 GATE VALVE PR. WATER MAIN MANHOLE SCALE: 1'' = 30'PR. STORM SEWER 30 15 0 15 30 PR. R. Y. CATCH BASIN SAND BACKFILL (95 % DENSITY) SHEET NO. NFE JOB NO. ÷ PROPOSED LIGHT POLE **J943-01 SP22**

LEGEND

HYDRANT

C.O.

INI FT

HYDRANT

-05-

C.B.

20-15-201-047 ZONED: IB OWNER: GOOD DEVELOPMENT HOLDINGS LLC

E SEE SHEET SP22 MATCH LINE

ENGINEERS

PROJECT The Village of Troy

CLIENT

Robertson Brothers Homes 6905 Telegraph Road Bloomfield Hills, MI 48301

Contact: Tim Loughrin Phone: 248.282.1428 Email: tloughrin@robertsonhomes.com

PROJECT LOCATION Part of the NE 1/4 of Section 15 T.2N., R.11E., City of Troy, Oakland County, Michigan

SHEET Preliminary Utility Plan (4 of 4)

DATE ISSUED/REVISED 04-14-21 SURVEY ISSUED 03-29-22 ISSUED FOR PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN REVIEW

LEGEND			
MANHOLE MANHOLE MYDRANT GATE VALVE MANHOLE CATCH BASIN	EXISTING SANITARY SEWER SAN. CLEAN OUT EXISTING WATER MAIN EXISTING STORM SEWER	DRAWN BY:	
	EX. R. Y. CATCH BASIN EXISTING BURIED CABLES OVERHEAD LINES LIGHT POLE SIGN	J. Lawrey DESIGNED BY: B. Brickel APPROVED BY: B. Brickel	
C.O. MANHOLE HYDRANT GATE VALVE	PR. SANITARY SEWER PR. WATER MAIN PR. STORM SEWER PR. R. Y. CATCH BASIN	DATE: April 14, 2021 SCALE: $1'' = 30'$ 30 15 0	15 30 4
	SAND BACKFILL (95 % DENSITY) PROPOSED LIGHT POLE	NFE JOB NO. J943-01	SHEET NO. SP23

1	45.50	1
6.75	20.00	
Fina	Touck	

	feet
Width	: 8.5
Track	: 8.5
Lock to Lock Time	: 6.0
Steering Angle	: 33.




750 Forest Ave. Suite 101 Birmingham, MI 48009 T:: 248.594.3220

cape Su	mmary
<u>se of Native Plant</u> equired: 50% of th oposed: Final per approval	Material ne total trees and shrubs planted are native centage to be determined during final site plan
equired: Per Table Ordinanc Resident and the s See Plar	<u>ng Uses</u> e 13.02-B in Article 13 of the Troy Zoning e, no screening is required between Use Group 1: ial Uses, Use Group 2: Residential/Lodging Uses, surrounding land uses in these specific situations. of for Zoning relationships
arking Lot Landsca	аре
equired:	1 Tree / 8 Parking Spaces
oposed:	81 spaces
equired:	11 Trees
ovided:	11 Trees
reenbelts along Pu	ublic Streets
equired:	10' Greenbelt along public street right-of-ways
•	1 Tree / 30 LF
Long Lake Road	
ength of Frontage:	684.03 LF
equired:	10' Greenbelt & 23 Trees
ovided:	10' Greenbelt & 10 Trees
ochester Road	
ength of Frontage:	80.00 LF
equired:	10' Greenbelt & 3 Trees
ovided:	10' Greenbelt & 3 Trees
ternal Street Trees	5
equired:	1 Tree / 50 LF of internal public & private streets
bad Length:	4.901.44 LF
equired:	98 Trees
oposed:	98 Trees
OW Sorooning	
O.W. Screening	Screening Alternative 2 when site shute a D O W
equired:	Screening Alternative 2 when site abuts a R.U.W. of 120° or 150°
auirad	UI 120 UI 130 1 Lorgo Evergroon / 10 LE of frontago
equired:	I Large Evergreen / TU LF OT Trontage
equirea:	
oviaea:	4ö Irees

Tree Replacement Summary

otal Trees Surveyed:	658
- Total Trees Offsite:	26
otal Onsite Trees:	632
- Total Landmark Trees:	10

Total Trees Saved: 8 - Total Landmark Trees: 0

Total Trees Removed: 624

Exempt Trees: 560 (These trees include prohibited species & Poor Quality)
Total Woodland DBH Removed: 640.60"
Total Landmark DBH Removed: 232.30"

Replacement Requirements: Required: 100% Landmark DBH + 50% Woodland DBH

Required Replacement DBH Total: 552.60" (185 - 3" trees or equivalent) Proposed Replacement DBH Total: 555.00" (185 - 3" trees or equivalent)

0



sheet title: Concept Landscape Plan - Overall

project title:

Village of Troy

City of Troy, Michigan

prepared for:

Robertson Brothers Homes 6905 Telegraph Rd. - Suite 200 Bloomfield Hills, MI 48301

Phone: 248.657.4968

■ job number:	■ date:
19017	03.29.2022
■ drawn by:	■ checked by:
EMJ	WTK



revisions:









L-'



Landscape Area Summary



750 Forest Ave. Suite 101 Birmingham, MI 48009 T:: 248.594.3220

<u>Site Landscaping</u> Required: Site Area: Required: Provided:

Min. 20% of site area shall be landscape material 20.49 Ac. 4.01 Ac. 9.21 Ac. (44.95%)



sheet title: Landscape Area Calculations

project title:

Village of Troy

City of Troy, Michigan

prepared for:

Robertson Brothers Homes 6905 Telegraph Rd. - Suite 200 Bloomfield Hills, MI 48301

Phone: 248.657.4968

∎ job number:	■ date:
19017	03.29.2022
■ drawn by:	■ checked by:
EMJ	WTK



revisions:









These drawings as instruments of service, remain the property of LAND Design Studio, PLLC. Any changes, publications or unauthorized use is prohibited unless expressly approved. © LAND Design Studio, PLLC



Enlargement Plans -Single Family Lots

∎ job number:	■ date:
19017	03.29.2022
■ drawn by:	■ checked by:
EMJ	WTK





L-3



These drawings as instruments of service, remain the property of LAND Design Studio, PLLC. Any changes, publications or unauthorized use is prohibited unless expressly approved. © LAND Design Studio, PLLC

Landscape Legend



750 Forest Ave. Suite 101 Birmingham, MI 48009 T:: 248.594.3220



sheet title: Enlargement Plans -**Townhomes North**

project title:

Village of Troy

City of Troy, Michigan

prepared for:

Robertson Brothers Homes 6905 Telegraph Rd. - Suite 200 Bloomfield Hills, MI 48301

Phone: 248.657.4968

∎ job number:	■ date:
19017	03.29.2022
■ drawn by:	■ checked by:
EMJ	WTK



revisions:





-4





750 Forest Ave. Suite 101 Birmingham, MI 48009 T:: 248.594.3220

Landscape Legend





sheet title: Enlargement Plans -**Townhomes South**

■ project title:

Village of Troy

City of Troy, Michigan

prepared for:

Robertson Brothers Homes 6905 Telegraph Rd. - Suite 200 Bloomfield Hills, MI 48301

Phone: 248.657.4968

■ job number:	■ date:
19017	03.29.2022
■ drawn by:	■ checked by:
EMJ	WTK



revisions:





sheet no.



North









Rochester Road Community Monument Sign Concept

Note: This Sign Detail is to be considered conceptual. Final sign details shall be developed for Sign Permit application.

 Landscape Legend

 +

 = Parking Lot Landscape Tree

——Matchline L-3



Scale: 1" = 40'

Scale: ¹/₂" = 1'



750 Forest Ave. Suite 101 Birmingham, MI 48009 T:: 248.594.3220



sheet title: Enlargement Plans Park & East Entry

project title:

Village of Troy

City of Troy, Michigan

prepared for:

Robertson Brothers Homes 6905 Telegraph Rd. - Suite 200 Bloomfield Hills, MI 48301

Phone: 248.657.4968

■ job number:	■ date:
19017	03.29.2022
■ drawn by:	■ checked by:
EMJ	WTK

revisions:













Ŋ

Tree Protection Notes

- placing solvents, building materials, construction equipment, etc.
- 3. Grade changes may not occur within the drip line of protected trees. 4. During construction, no person shall attach any device or wire to any remaining tree.
- the protective fencing. 6. Swales shall be routed to avoid the area within the drip lines of protected trees.
- Swales shall be routed to avoid the area within the dip lines of protected trees.
 Trees located on adjacent properties that may be affected by construction activities must be protected.
 Trees to be removed shall be flagged by the Owner Representative prior to site grading.
 Root zones of protected trees should be well marked with bright colors and surrounded with rigidly staked fencing.
 The parking of idle and running equipment shall be prohibited under the drip line of protected trees.
- 11. The stripping of topsoil from around protected trees shall be prohibited.
- 12. Trees to be removed shall be fell away from trees to be saved.
- with a hydro-axe. 14. The Landscape Architect shall be notified immediately if any protected tree is damaged or removed.

5/8" X 6'8" RE-ROD, OR EQUAL, SUPPORT POSTS EVERY 10' O.C. INSTALL POSTS A MIN. 24" INTO GROUND, TYPICAL

4' HIGH FENCING TO BE PLACED AT DRIP LINE OR LIMITS OF GRADING, AS INDICATED ON PLAN, TYPICAL

NOTE: PROTECTION FENCING TO BE MAINTAINED THROUGHOUT THE CONSTRUCTION PERIOD

Scale: NTS



- 4' High Fence to be placed at drip line or limits of grading, as indicated on plan, typical

Tree Protection Detail - Section

Scale: NTS

1. Approved tree protection shall be erected prior to the start of construction activities, and shall remain in place until construction is complete. 2. No person may conduct any activity within the drip line, or protected area, of any designated tree to remain, including, but not limited to,

5. All utility service requests must include notification to the installer that protected trees must be avoided. All trenching shall occur outside of

13. Grubbing of understory vegetation in construction areas should be cleared by cutting vegetation at ground level with a chain saw or minimally



750 Forest Ave. Suite 101 Birmingham, MI 48009 T:: 248.594.3220

LEGEND



× ####

Approx. Location of Tree Protection Fence

= Existing Tree To Remain

= Existing Tree To Be Removed



sheet title:

Tree Preservation / Removal Plan North

project title:

Village of Troy

City of Troy, Michigan

prepared for:

Robertson Brothers Homes 6905 Telegraph Rd. - Suite 200 Bloomfield Hills, MI 48301

Phone: 248.657.4968

∎ job number:	■ date:
19017	03.29.2022
■ drawn by:	■ checked by:
EMJ	WTK



revisions:

Know what's **below. Call** before you dig.









0

180'

240'

North







750 Forest Ave. Suite 101 Birmingham, MI 48009 T:: 248.594.3220

LEGEND

× ####



= Existing Tree To Remain

= Existing Tree To Be Removed



sheet title: Tree Preservation / **Removal Plan South**

project title:

Village of Troy

City of Troy, Michigan

prepared for:

Robertson Brothers Homes 6905 Telegraph Rd. - Suite 200 Bloomfield Hills, MI 48301

Phone: 248.657.4968

∎ job number:	■ date:
19017	03.29.2022
■ drawn by:	■ checked by:
EMJ	WTK



revisions:





			Dia	ameter at E	Breast Heig	ht (DBH)		
Tag	Scientific Name	Common Name	Trunk 1	Trunk 2	Trunk 3	Condition (1)	Landmark (2) Exempt	Save/Remove
2975	Acer negundo	Box elder	14.1	7.1	6.6	Fair	X	Remove
2977	Acer negundo	Box elder	10.6	0.0		Fair	X	Remove
2978	Acernegundo	Box elder	10.5			Fair	Х	Remove
2979	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	27.4			Fair	X	Remove
2980	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	15.8	13.4		Fair	X	Remove
2981	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	17.5	12.9		Fair	X	Remove
2983	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	12.4	10.1		Fair	X	Remove
2984	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	6.8			Fair	Х	Remove
2985	Ulmus americana	American elm	7.2			Fair	Х	Remove
2986	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	10.9			Fair	X	Remove
2987	Ulmus americana	American elm Box elder	11.5			Fair	X	Remove
2988	Acer negundo	Boxelder	84			Fair	X	Remove
2990	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Douglas fir	8.6	6.8		Fair		Remove
2991	Ulmus americana	American elm	11.0			Fair	Х	Remove
2992	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.9			Fair	Х	Remove
2993	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	29.2	14.0		Fair	X	Remove
2994	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	16.7	11 5		Fair	X	Remove
2995	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	10.1	11.5		Fair	X	Remove
2997	Ulmus americana	American elm	7.3			Fair	X	Remove
2998	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	15.4			Fair	X	Remove
2999	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	12.1			Fair	Х	Remove
3000	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	16.8			Fair	Х	Remove
3001	Gleditsia triacanthos	Honey locust	13.7	12.0		Fair		Offsite
3002	Acer negundo	Box elder	11.4			Fair	X	Remove
3004	Acer negundo	Box elder	7.4			Fair	X Y	Remove
3006	Salix amygdaloides	Peachleaf willow	7.6	6.2		Fair	x	Remove
3007	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	13.7			Fair	X	Remove
3008	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	15.1			Fair	X	Remove
3009	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	18.2	12.0		Fair	X	Remove
3010	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	18.1			Fair	X	Remove
3011	Pyrus communis	Cottopwood	8.7 0 0			Fair		Remove
3012	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	15.6			Fair	X X	Remove
3014	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	13.4			Fair	x	Remove
3015	Pyrus calleryana	Callery pear	6.2			Fair		Remove
3016	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	14.7			Fair	Х	Remove
3017	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	11.4			Fair	X	Remove
3018	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	12.7			Poor	X	Remove
3020	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.6			Fair	X	Remove
3021	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	11.0			Fair	X	Remove
3022	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	14.1			Fair	Х	Remove
3023	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	15.9			Fair	Х	Remove
3024	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.8			Poor	X	Remove
3025	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	13.1	6.1		Fair	X	Remove
3026	Acer negundo	Boxelder	8.1	0.4		Fair	X	Remove
3028	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	10.3			Fair	X	Remove
3029	Ulmus americana	American elm	7.3			Fair	Х	Remove
3030	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	13.1			Fair	X	Remove
3031	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.7			Fair	X	Remove
3032	Ulmus americana	American elm	10.0			Fair	X	Remove
3034	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	17.3			Very Poor	X	Remove
3035	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	21.8			Fair	X	Remove
3036	Ulmus americana	American elm	9.2	7.8		Fair	Х	Remove
3037	Ulmus americana	American elm	7.1			Fair	Х	Remove
3038	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.7			Fair	X	Remove
3039	Populus grandidentata	Big-tooth aspen	8.7			Fair	X	Remove
3040	Populus grandidentata	Big-tooth aspen	16.0			Fair	X	Remove
3042	Populus grandidentata	Big-tooth aspen	11.2			Fair	x	Remove
3043	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	20.8			Fair	X	Remove
3044	Acer negundo	Box elder	7.7			Fair	X	Remove
3045	Ulmus americana	American elm	9.0			Fair	X	Remove
3046	Ulmus americana	American elm	9.0	8.1		Fair	X	Remove
3047	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	23.0			Fair	X X	Remove
3049	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	19.6	L		Fair		Remove
3050	Pyrus calleryana	Callery pear	6.1			Fair		Remove
3051	Pyrus calleryana	Callery pear	6.1			Fair		Remove
3052	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	21.2			Fair	X	Remove
3053	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	16.0			Fair	X	Remove
3055	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	11.4			Fair	x	Remove
3056	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.3			Fair	x	Remove
3057	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.3			Fair	X	Remove
3058	Ulmus americana	American elm	8.4			Fair	X	Remove
3059	Acer negundo	Box elder	8.7			Fair	X	Remove
3060	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	13.5			Fair	X	Remove
3062	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	10.7			Fair	X X	Remove
3063	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.7			Fair	x	Remove
3064	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	8.2			Fair	X	Remove
3065	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	11.4			Fair	X	Remove
3066	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.3			Fair	X	Remove
3067	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	12.7			Fair	X	Remove
3060	Ulmus americana	American elm	7.1 9.2			Fair	X	Remove
3070	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	15.2			Fair	x	Remove
3071	Populus grandidentata	Big-tooth aspen	7.8			Fair	x	Remove
3072	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.2			Fair	Х	Remove
3073	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	14.4			Fair	X	Remove
3074	Ulmus americana	American elm	7.2			Fair	X	Remove
3075	Populus deltoides	Lottonwood	11.0			Fair	X	Remove
3077	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.2			Fair	× ×	Remove

These drawings as instruments of service, remain the property of LAND Design Studio, PLLC. Any changes, publications or unauthorized use is prohibited unless expressly approved. © LAND Design Studio, PLLC

			Dia	ameter at E	Breast Heig	ht (DBH)			
Tag	Scientific Name	Common Name	Trunk 1	Trunk 2	Trunk 3	Condition (1)	Landmark (2)	Exempt	Save/Remove
3078	Acer negundo	Box elder	7.8			Fair		Х	Remove
3079	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	10.2			Fair		X	Remove
3080	Populus grandidentata	Big-tooth aspen	12.3			Fair		X	Remove
3081	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	8.7	6.0		Fair		X	Remove
3083	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.7	0.0		Fair		×	Remove
3084	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	16.6			Fair		X	Remove
3085	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	26.1			Fair		X	Remove
3086	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	12.2			Fair		Х	Remove
3087	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	14.1			Fair		Х	Remove
3088	Salix amygdaloides	Peachleaf willow	10.1	9.8		Fair		Х	Remove
3089	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	7.1			Fair		Х	Remove
3090	Acer negundo	Box elder	7.8			Fair		Х	Remove
3091	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	15.2			Fair		Х	Remove
3092	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	8.8			Fair		Х	Remove
3093	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.3			Fair		X	Remove
3094	Rhamnus cathartica	European buckthorn	8.1			Fair		X	Remove
3095	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	19.2			Fair		X	Remove
2007	Acer negundo	Box erder	0.1			Fair		×	Remove
3097	Acer negundo	Boxelder	2.2			Fair		X	Remove
3099	Acer negundo	Boxelder	8.6	7.3	6.2	Fair		X	Remove
3100	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.2	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0.12	Fair		X	Remove
3101	Acer negundo	Box elder	10.4	8.1		Fair		X	Remove
3102	Acernegundo	Box elder	8.1			Fair		Х	Remove
3103	Acer negundo	Box elder	<mark>8.6</mark>			Fair		х	Remove
3104	Acer negundo	Box elder	8.3			Fair		X	Remove
3105	Acer negundo	Box elder	8.6			Fair		Х	Remove
3106	Acernegundo	Box elder	17.3			Fair		Х	Remove
3107	Acernegundo	Box elder	12.4			Fair		Х	Remove
3108	Acer negundo	Box elder	9.0	5.8		Fair		Х	Remove
3109	Acer negundo	Box elder	9.0			Fair		Х	Remove
3110	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	9.8		ļ	Fair		Х	Remove
3111	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	6.1		ļ	Fair		X	Remove
3112	Kobinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	10.0			Fair		X	Remove
3113	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	11.4			Fair		X	Remove
3114 2115	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	6.1	07		Fair		X	Remove
3116	Illmus americana	American elm	5.1 7 1	0.7		Fair		A Y	Remove
3117	Acer negundo	Boxelder	14.8			Fair		X	Remove
3118	Crataegus sp.	Hawthorn	6.0			Fair		Λ	Remove
3119	Acer negundo	Boxelder	7.1			Fair		х	Remove
3120	Prunus avium	Sweet cherry	6.2			Fair			Remove
3121	Acernegundo	Box elder	11.4			Fair		Х	Remove
3122	Acer negundo	Box elder	8.8			Fair		Х	Remove
3123	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.4			Fair		Х	Remove
3124	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.4			Fair		Х	Remove
3125	Ulmus americana	American elm	8.4			Fair		Х	Remove
3126	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.0	5.5		Fair		Х	Remove
3127	Acer negundo	Box elder	7.6	6.3		Fair		X	Remove
3128	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	12.7			Fair			Remove
3129	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	12.6			Fair			Remove
3130	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	11.4	0.1		Fair			Remove
3131	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	12.2	9.1		Fair		V	Remove
3132	Populus tremuloides	American eim Quaking aspen	7.1			Fair		×	Remove
212/	Populus tremuloides	Quaking aspen	7.1			Fair		×	Remove
3135	Populus tremuloides	Quaking aspen	93			Fair		X	Remove
3136	Populus tremuloides	Quaking aspen	7.4			Fair		X	Remove
3137	Acer negundo	Box elder	7.8			Very Poor		X	Remove
3138	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	11.2			Fair			Remove
3139	Prunus avium	Sweet cherry	6.0			Fair			Remove
3140	Pinus resinosa	Red pine	26.0			Fair	Х		Remove
3141	Populus tremuloides	Quaking aspen	15.3			Fair		X	Remove
3142	Acer negundo	Box elder	13.6			Fair		Х	Remove
3143	Tilia americana	Basswood	9.2		ļ	Fair			Remove
3144	Acernegundo	Box elder	10.6			Fair		Х	Remove
3145	Populus tremuloides	Quaking aspen	8.2		ļ	Fair		X	Remove
3146	Populus tremuloides	Quaking aspen	7.1			Fair		X	Remove
3147	Populus deltoides	Lottonwood	11.1			Fair		X	Remove
3140	Tilia americana	American elm Basswood	/.L 10.2	12.4	11 ⊑	Fair	v	۸	Remove
3149	Δcer saccharinum	Silvermanle	15.2	12.4	11'2	Fair	^	¥	Remove
3151	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	8.2			Fair		X	Remove
3152	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	13.0			Fair		X	Remove
3153	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	15.1	1		Fair		Х	Remove
3154	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	14.4			Fair		Х	Remove
3155	Acernegundo	Box elder	6.1			Fair		Х	Remove
3156	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	13.6			Fair		Х	Remove
3157	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	12.8			Fair		Х	Remove
3158	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	10.5			Fair		Х	Remove
3159	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.0			Fair		Х	Remove
3160	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	10.1		ļ	Fair		Х	Remove
3161	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	18.7			Fair		Х	Remove
3162	Acer rubrum	Red maple	6.3 7 F			Fair		V	Remove
3164	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	1.5			Fair		X	Remove
3165	Δcer saccharinum	Silvermanla	5.0 7 1			Fair		∧ ¥	Remove
3166	Robinia nseudoacacia	Black locust	12.8			Fair		X	Remove
3167	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	13.6			Fair		X	Remove
3168	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	11.9	1		Fair		X	Remove
3169	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	6.2			Fair		X	Remove
3170	Quercus alba	White oak	12.3	10.0		Fair			Remove
3171	Quercus macrocarpa	Bur oak	18.5			Fair	X		Offsite
3172	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.8			Fair		X	Remove
3173	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	10.2			Fair		Х	Offsite
3174	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	7.5			Fair		Х	Offsite
3175	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	13.1		ļ	Fair		Х	Remove
3176	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.7		ļ	Fair		Х	Remove
3177	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	0.0	6.7		Fair		X	Remove
31/8	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.0			Fair		X	Remove
2170			× /I			Eatr			- umovo

			Dia	meter at E	Breast Heig	ht (DBH)			
Tag 3180	Scientific Name Populus deltoides	Common Name	Trunk 1 14.7	Trunk 2	Trunk 3	Condition (1)	Landmark (2)	Exempt X	Save/Remove
3181	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.7			Fair		X	Remove
3182	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.2			Fair		Х	Remove
3182	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.3			Fair		X	Remove
3183	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.3			Fair		X	Remove
3186	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.4			Fair		X	Remove
3187	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.4			Fair		Х	Remove
3188	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	12.3	8.9		Fair		Х	Remove
3189	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	8.1			Fair		X	Remove
3190	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.1			Fair		X	Remove
3192	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	14.0			Fair		X	Remove
3193	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	8.6			Fair		X	Remove
3194	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	11.2	10.4		Fair		Х	Remove
3195	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.0			Fair		Х	Remove
3196	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.0			Fair		X	Remove
3197	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	0.4 7.6			Fair		X	Remove
3199	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.3			Fair		X	Remove
3200	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	10.8			Fair		Х	Remove
3201	Acernegundo	Box elder	6.8			Fair		Х	Remove
3202	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	22.1	6.6	6.6	Fair		X	Remove
3203	Pinus nigra	White ash Black nine	6.9 11.8	6.6	6.6	Very Poor		X	Remove
3204	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	14.3			Fair			Remove
3206	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	14.5			Fair			Remove
3207	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	21.5			Fair		х	Remove
3208	Pinus nigra	Black pine	11.6			Fair			Remove
3209	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.3			Fair		X	Remove
3210 3211	Rhampus cathartica	Black pine	11.6 6 1			Fair Fair		x	Remove
3212	Pinus nigra	Black pine	9.2	8.5		Fair		^	Remove
3213	Ulmus americana	American elm	10.3			Fair		X	Remove
3214	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.3			Fair		Х	Remove
3215	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	13.7	13.6	12.5	Fair		X	Remove
3216	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	11.1	7.0	6.2	Fair			Remove
321/	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple Black nine	9.2	7.0	b.3	Fair			Remove
3219	Ulmus americana	American elm	8.8			Fair		Х	Remove
3220	Ulmus americana	American elm	8.5			Fair		Х	Remove
3221	Ulmus americana	American elm	7.0			Fair		Х	Remove
3222	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	18.6			Fair		Х	Remove
3223	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.2			Fair		X	Remove
3224	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.2	ļ		Fair		X	Remove
3226	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.5			Fair		X	Remove
3227	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	14.8			Fair		Х	Remove
3228	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	14.3			Fair		Х	Remove
3229	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	17.2		ļ	Fair		Х	Remove
3230	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	13.0 12.0		ļ	Fair			Remove
3231	Acer negundo	Box elder	8.2	7.3		Fair		x	Remove
3233	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	13.7	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		Fair			Remove
3234	Acernegundo	Box elder	7.4			Fair		Х	Offsite
3235	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	28.7	<mark>8.0</mark>		Fair		X	Remove
3236	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	14.7			Fair			Remove
3237	Pinus sylvestris Populus deltoides	Scots pine	12.7			Fair		X	Remove
3239	Ulmus americana	American elm	7.6			Fair		x	Remove
3240	Ulmus americana	American elm	8.0			Fair		X	Remove
3241	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	11.5			Fair		х	Remove
3242	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.3			Fair		X	Remove
3243	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	10.5			Fair		X	Remove
3244	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	14.1 8 9			Fair Fair		X	Remove
3246	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	12.2			Fair		X	Remove
3247	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.6			Fair		X	Remove
3248	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	11.8			Fair		Х	Remove
3249	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.7			Fair		X	Remove
3250	Acer negundo	BOX elder	6.1 8.0			Fair		X	Remove
3252	Tsuga canadensis	Eastern hemlock	9.0	ļ		Poor		Х	Remove
3253	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	9.1	7.5		Fair		X	Remove
3254	Tsuga canadensis	Eastern hemlock	8.5			Fair			Remove
3255	Acernegundo	Box elder	7.5			Fair		X	Remove
3256	Acernegundo	Box elder	10.3	9.6		Fair		X	Remove
3257	Acer negundo	Box elder	7.1	l		Fair		X	Remove
3259	Acernegundo	Box elder	10.9			Fair		X	Remove
3260	Acernegundo	Box elder	8.0			Fair		Х	Remove
3261	Acernegundo	Box elder	10.7			Fair		Х	Remove
3262	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	16.5			Fair			Remove
3264	Salix amvødaloides	Peachleaf willow	10.4			Fair		X	Offsite
3265	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	15.9			Fair		~	Remove
3266	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	7.7			Fair			Offsite
3267	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	9.4			Fair			Offsite
3268	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	8.0			Fair			Offsite
3269	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	9.5			Fair			Offsite
3270	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	9.3 8.8			Fair			Offsite
3272	Tilia americana	Basswood	11.1			Fair			Offsite
3273	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	13.3			Fair			Offsite
		Scots pine	14.1			Fair			Offsite
3274	Pinus sylvestris				1	Fair			Offsite
3274 3275	Pinus sylvestris Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	16.1			F	v		Derri
3274 3275 3276 3277	Pinus sylvestris Pinus sylvestris Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine Scots pine Black charpe	16.1 18.0 7 5			Fair	х		Remove
3274 3275 3276 3277 3278	Pinus sylvestris Pinus sylvestris Pinus sylvestris Prunus serotina Acer saccharinum	Scots pine Scots pine Black cherry Silver maple	16.1 18.0 7.5 6.5			Fair Fair Fair	Х	X	Remove Remove Remove
3274 3275 3276 3277 3278 3279	Pinus sylvestris Pinus sylvestris Pinus sylvestris Prunus serotina Acer saccharinum Ulmus americana	Scots pine Scots pine Black cherry Silver maple American elm	16.1 18.0 7.5 6.5 11.3			Fair Fair Fair Fair Fair	X	X X	Remove Remove Remove Remove
3274 3275 3276 3277 3278 3279 3280	Pinus sylvestris Pinus sylvestris Pinus sylvestris Prunus serotina Acer saccharinum Ulmus americana Acer saccharinum	Scots pine Scots pine Black cherry Silver maple American elm Silver maple	16.1 18.0 7.5 6.5 11.3 7.0			Fair Fair Fair Fair Fair Fair	X	X X X X	Remove Remove Remove Remove Remove



750 Forest Ave. Suite 101 Birmingham, MI 48009 T:: 248.594.3220

Notes

Tree Survey prepared by Barr Engineering Company on March 26, 2021

(1) Condition as per ISA Health Ratings, 9th Edition. (2) Landmark as per City of Troy Zoning Ordinance, Section 13.07 Woodland Protection (C)(1)



sheet title:

Tree Survey 1 of 3

project title:

Village of Troy

City of Troy, Michigan

prepared for:

Robertson Brothers Homes 6905 Telegraph Rd. - Suite 200 Bloomfield Hills, MI 48301

Phone: 248.657.4968

∎ job number:	■ date:
19017	03.29.2022
■ drawn by:	■ checked by:

drawn by: EMJ

WTK



Know what's **below. Call** before you dig.

revisions:

sheet no.



Ter		Common Nomo	Dia Truck 1	meter at E	Breast Heig	ght (DBH)	Londonauls (2)	Eve much	Cause /Damagua
1ag	Scientific Name	American elm	frunk 1	Trunk 2	Trunk 3	Condition (1)	Landmark (2)	Exempt	Save/Remove
3283	Populus tremuloides	Ouaking aspen	6.8			Fair		X	Remove
3284	Populus tremuloides	Quaking aspen	8.3			Fair		X	Remove
3285	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	6.8			Fair		х	Remove
3286	Populus tremuloides	Quaking aspen	7.2			Fair		Х	Remove
3287	Populus tremuloides	Quaking aspen	7.4			Fair		Х	Remove
3288	Populus tremuloides	Quaking aspen	7.8			Fair		Х	Remove
3289	Malus pumila	Common apple	/./			Fair		×	Remove
3290	Illmus americana		83			Fair		×	Remove
3292	Acer negundo	Box elder	12.7			Fair		X	Remove
3293	Pinus nigra	Black pine	21.1			Fair	х		Remove
3294	Pinus nigra	Black pine	14.4			Fair			Remove
3295	Pinus nigra	Black pine	17.5	16.9	6.5	Fair			Remove
3296	Ulmus americana	American elm	7.1			Fair		Х	Remove
3297	Pinus nigra	Black pine	16.3			Fair			Remove
3298	Pinus nigra	Black pine	20.7			Fair	X		Remove
3299	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	21.4			Fair	X		Remove
3301	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	12.9			Fair		x	Remove
3302	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.4			Fair		X	Remove
3303	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.8			Fair		Х	Remove
3304	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	8.9			Fair		Х	Remove
3305	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	10.1			Fair		Х	Remove
3306	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.3	6.5		Fair		X	Remove
3307	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.1			Fair		X	Remove
3308	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.4			Fair		X	Remove
3309	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.1			Fair		X	Remove
3311	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	0.5 7.1	<u> </u>		Fair		X	Remove
3312	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.4			Fair		X	Remove
3313	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.8			Fair		X	Remove
3314	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.2			Fair		Х	Remove
3315	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.3			Fair		X	Remove
3316	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	12.3			Fair		Х	Remove
3317	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	18.1			Fair		X	Remove
3318	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	22.0			Fair		X	Remove
3319	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	8.4	62		Fair		X	Remove
3320	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	14.8	0.2		Fair		x	Remove
3322	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	18.9			Fair		X	Remove
3323	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.9			Fair		X	Remove
3324	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	21.3			Fair		Х	Remove
3325	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	11.3			Fair		Х	Remove
3326	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	15.4			Fair		X	Remove
3327	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.1			Fair		X	Remove
3328	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	8.2	11.0		Fair		X	Remove
3329	Populus deitoides	American elm	62	11.8		Fair		X	Remove
3331	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	11.8			Fair		X	Remove
3332	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.3			Fair		Х	Remove
3333	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	17.0			Fair		Х	Remove
3334	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.2			Fair		Х	Remove
3335	Ulmus americana	American elm	7.1			Fair		Х	Remove
3336	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	8.6			Fair		X	Remove
3337	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	12.9	67		Fair		X	Remove
3338	Acer saccharinum	American elm	6.8	0.7		Fair		×	Remove
3340	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	9.7	6.2		Fair		X	Remove
3341	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	8.4			Fair		Х	Remove
3342	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	8.1			Fair		Х	Remove
3343	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.4			Fair		Х	Remove
3344	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.6			Fair		X	Remove
3345	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	12.1			Fair		X	Remove
3346	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.7			Fair		X	Remove
334/	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	0.7 8.0			Fair		X	Remove
3349	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.6			Fair		X	Remove
3350	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	11.1			Fair		X	Remove
3351	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.7			Fair		Х	Remove
3352	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	6.3			Fair		Х	Remove
3353	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	10.1			Fair		X	Remove
3354	Quercus macrocarpa	Bur oak	8.5			Fair			Remove
3355	Jugians nigra	Black walnut	7.8			Fair			Remove
3357	Malus numila		10.7	<u> </u>		Very Poor		X	Remove
3358	Ulmus americana	American elm	10.8			Fair		X	Remove
3359	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	14.4	11.0		Fair		X	Remove
3360	Ulmus americana	American elm	14.0			Fair		Х	Remove
3361	Ulmus americana	American elm	10.3			Fair		Х	Remove
3362	Acer negundo	Box elder	8.4			Fair		X	Remove
3363	Ulmus americana	American elm	9.4			Fair		X	Remove
3365	Ulmus americana	American elm	9.3 10.4			Fair		X	Remove
3366	Ulmus americana	American elm	7.4	<u> </u>		Fair		X	Remove
3367	Ulmus americana	American elm	7.6			Fair		Х	Remove
3368	Ulmus americana	American elm	8.3			Fair		Х	Remove
3369	Ulmus americana	American elm	8.0			Fair		Х	Remove
3370	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	8.0			Fair		Х	Remove
3371	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.6	0.0		Fair		X	Remove
3372	Ulmus americana	American elm	9.2	9.0	6.0	Fair		X	Remove
2373	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	15 /			Fair		X	Remove
3375	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	10.5			Fair		X	Remove
3376	Acer negundo	Box elder	13.5			Fair		X	Remove
3377	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	11.1			Fair		Х	Remove
3378	Acer negundo	Box elder	7.8			Fair		Х	Remove
3379	Ulmus americana	American elm	7.4			Fair		Х	Remove
3380	Ulmus americana	American elm	11.3			Fair		X	Remove
3381	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.2			Fair		X	Remove
3382	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	8.0 9.0			Fair Fair		X X	Remove

These drawings as instruments of service, remain the property of LAND Design Studio, PLLC. Any changes, publications or unauthorized use is prohibited unless expressly approved. © LAND Design Studio, PLLC

Ter		Common Nomo	Dia Trank 1	meter at E	Breast Heig	ht (DBH)	Landara da (2)	Freedom	C
3384	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.8	Trunk 2	Trunk 3	Fair	Landmark (2)	X	Remove
3385	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	15.1			Fair		Х	Remove
3386 3387	Robinia pseudoacacia Acer negundo	Black locust Box elder	6.2 6.3	6.0		Poor Poor		X X	Remove Remove
3388	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	11.4			Fair		X	Remove
3389 3390	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.3 10.5	97		Fair		X	Remove
3391	Ulmus americana	American elm	12.6	11.5		Fair		X	Remove
3392	Ulmus americana	American elm	9.9			Fair		X	Remove
3393 3394	Ulmus americana Ulmus americana	American elm American elm	6.8 10.9			Fair Fair		X X	Remove
3395	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.2			Fair		X	Remove
3396	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.6			Fair		X	Remove
3397	Ulmus americana Populus deltoides	American elm Cottonwood	8.4 14.3			Fair		X X	Remove
3399	Salix alba	White willow	45.0			Very Poor		X	Remove
3400	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.7			Fair		X	Remove
3401 3402	Robinia pseudoacacia Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust Black locust	9.4 8.1			Fair Fair		X	Remove
3403	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	10.9			Fair		X	Remove
3404	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.2			Fair		X	Remove
3405	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	12.1			Fair		X	Remove
3407	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	18.4			Fair		Х	Remove
3408	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	36.0			Fair		X	Remove
3409	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	33.7			Very Poor		X	Remove
3411	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	36.2			Fair		Х	Remove
3412	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.5			Fair		X	Remove
3413	Picea pungens	Blue spruce	17.0			Fair		~	Remove
3415	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	22.5			Very Poor		Х	Remove
3416 3417	Pinus sylvestris Populus deltoides	Scots pine	19.6 8.6			Fair	Х	x	Remove
3417	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	10.9			Fair		X	Remove
3419	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.9	7.4		Fair		Х	Remove
3420 3421	Populus deltoides Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.1			Fair		X	Remove
3422	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	10.8			Fair		X	Remove
3423	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.7			Fair		Х	Remove
3424 3425	Populus deltoides Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	8.2 6.1			Fair Fair		X X	Remove
3426	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.1			Fair		X	Remove
3427	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.1			Fair		X	Remove
3428 3429	Populus deltoides Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.5 13.6			Fair Fair		X	Remove
3430	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	20.6			Fair		Х	Remove
3431	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	17.3			Fair		X	Remove
3432	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.2			Fair		X	Remove
3434	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.6			Fair		Х	Remove
3435	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	12.4			Fair		Х	Remove
3437	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	7.0			Fair			Remove
3438	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	12.5			Fair			Remove
3439 3440	Acer negundo	Box elder	9.0			Poor Fair		X	Remove
3441	Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	25.0			Good	Х	Λ	Remove
3442	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	9.4			Fair		X	Remove
3443 3444	Ulmus americana Acer saccharinum	American elm Silver maple	8.7 9.9			Fair Fair		X X	Remove
3445	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.5	6.0		Fair		X	Remove
3446	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	7.5			Fair		X	Remove
3447	Ulmus americana	American elm	8.9			Fair		X	Remove
3449	Ulmus americana	American elm	8.3			Fair		Х	Remove
3450	Ulmus americana	American elm	10.1			Fair		X	Remove
3451	Ulmus americana	American elm	8.3			Fair		Х	Remove
3453	Ulmus americana	American elm	12.5			Fair		X	Remove
3454	Ulmus americana Populus deltoides	American elm	8.1 18.4			Fair		X	Remove
3456	Acer negundo	Box elder	11.0			Fair		X	Remove
3457	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.3			Fair		X	Remove
3458 3459	Populus deltoides Acer saccharinum	Cottonwood Silver maple	21.0 7.3	<u> </u>		Fair Fair		X X	Remove
3460	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	13.0			Fair		Х	Remove
3461	Salix amygdaloides	Peachleaf willow	13.8			Fair	X	Х	Remove
3462	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	19.1	15.1		Fair	X	Х	Remove
3464	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	13.2			Fair		Х	Remove
3465	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	20.1			Fair		X	Offsite
3467	Ulmus americana	American elm	7.1			Fair		X	Remove
3468	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.4			Fair		Х	Remove
3469 3470	Ulmus americana Robinia pseudoacecia	American elm Black locust	7.9			Fair		X	Remove
3471	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.1			Fair		X	Remove
3472	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.1			Fair		X	Remove
3473 3474	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.1			Fair Fair		X X	Remove
3501	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	15.3			Fair		X	Remove
3502	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.3	<u>.</u>		Fair		X	Remove
3503 3504	Fraxinus americana	Cottonwood White ash	10.4 7.2	9.4		Fair Verv Poor		X X	Remove
3505	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	6.0			Fair		Х	Remove
3506	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	7.4			Fair		Х	Remove
3507	Acer saccharum	Sugar maple	18.3			Fair	Х		Remove
3509	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.8			Fair		Х	Remove
3510 3511	Ulmus americana Ulmus americana	American elm American elm	17.2 14.5			Fair Fair		X X	Remove Remove

Тад	Scientific Name	Common Name	Dia Trunk 1	ameter at E	Breast Heig	ht (DBH) Condition (1)	Landmark (2) Even	nt Save /R	emove
3512	Ulmus americana	American elm	10.0		Trank 5	Fair		Rem	nove
3513	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	10.0	9.6		Fair	x	Rem	nove
3514	Acer negundo	Box elder	7.2			Fair	X	Rem	nove
3516	Acer negundo	Box elder	9.2	6.3		Fair	X	Rem	nove
3517 3518	Prunus serotina Malus pumila	Black cherry	8.1			Fair Fair		Rem	nove
3519	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	15.2			Fair	Х	Rem	nove
3520	Ulmus americana	American elm	6.3			Fair	X	Rem	nove
3521	Populus deitoides Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	8.4			Fair Fair	x x	Rem	nove nove
3523	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	7.5			Fair	X	Rem	nove
3524	Ulmus americana	American elm	18.0			Fair	X	Rem	nove
3525	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	7.4			Fair	x	Rem	nove
3527	Populus tremuloides	Quaking aspen	6.0			Fair	X	Rem	nove
3528	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	8.1	7.2		Fair	X	Rem	nove
3530	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	6.5			Fair	x	Rem	nove
3531	Populus tremuloides	Quaking aspen	6.1			Fair	X	Rem	nove
3532	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	6.4	6.8		Fair	X	Rem	nove
3534	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.5	0.0		Fair	x	Rem	nove
3535	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	8.4			Fair	X	Rem	nove
3536	Populus deltoides Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	15.8 9.6	74		Fair Fair	X	Rem	nove
3538	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.0	,		Fair	X	Rem	nove
3539	Juglans nigra	Black walnut	6.2			Fair		Rem	nove
3540 3541	Robinia pseudoacacia Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	8.6 9.0	8.2		Fair Fair	X	Rem	nove
3542	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	6.8			Fair	x	Rem	nove
3543	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	8.0			Fair	X	Rem	nove
3544 3545	Ulmus americana	American elm	9.8			Very Poor Fair	X	Rem	nove
3546	Acer negundo	Box elder	8.6			Fair	X	Off	site
3547	Prunus avium	Sweet cherry	7.2			Fair Fair	v	Rem	nove
3548	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	24.3			Fair	X	Rem	nove
3550	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.7	6.4		Fair	X	Rem	nove
3551	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	15.0			Fair	X	Rem	nove
3553	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	10.2			Fair	X	Rem	nove
3554	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	16.4			Fair	Х	Rem	nove
3555	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood Slipperv elm	13.0 6.8			Fair Fair	X	Rem	nove
3557	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	13.4	10.4		Fair	x	Rem	nove
3558	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.4			Fair	X	Rem	nove
3559	Ulmus americana	American elm	9.8			Fair Fair	X	Rem	nove
3561	Ulmus americana	American elm	8.4			Fair	X	Rem	nove
3562	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	11.0			Fair	X	Rem	nove
3563	Acer negundo Acer negundo	Box elder Box elder	8.0 8.0			Fair Fair	X	Rem	nove
3565	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.0			Fair	X	Rem	nove
3566	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.0			Fair	X	Rem	nove
3567	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.0			Fair	X	Rem	nove
3569	Acer negundo	Box elder	8.1			Fair	X	Rem	nove
3570	Acer negundo	Box elder	10.0			Fair	X	Rem	nove
3571	Acer negundo	Box elder	15.5	14.4		Fair	X	Rem	nove
3573	Acer negundo	Box elder	7.6	7.1		Fair	X	Rem	nove
3574	Acer negundo	Box elder	13.6 17.0	7.5		Fair	X	Rem	nove
3576	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	8.5			Fair	X	Rem	nove
3577	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.5			Fair	X	Rem	nove
3578	Acer negundo Populus deltoides	Box elder Cottonwood	6.0 9.9	5.8		Fair Fair	X	Rem	nove
3580	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.4			Fair	X	Rem	nove
3581	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	19.2			Fair	X	Rem	nove
3582	Prunus avium	Sweet cherry	9.4 8.1			Fair	X	Rem	nove
3584	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	18.6			Fair	X	Rem	nove
3586	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	8.4	8.0		Fair	X	Rem	nove
3588	Acer negundo	Box elder	9.2			Fair	X	Rem	nove
3589	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.2			Fair	Х	Rem	nove
3590	Acer negundo	Box elder	7.1 9 3	67		Fair Fair	X	Rem	nove
3592	Acer negundo	Box elder	8.3	5.7		Fair	x	Rem	nove
3593	Acer negundo	Box elder	7.7			Fair	X	Rem	nove
3594	Acer negundo Acer negundo	Box elder	9.0 7.4	6.5	5.8	Fair Fair	X	Rem	nove
3596	Ulmus americana	American elm	9.6			Fair	x	Off	site
3597	Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	12.0			Fair	X	Off	site
3599	Acer negundo	Box elder	9.7			Fair	x x	Rem	nove
3600	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.7			Fair	X	Rem	nove
3601	Acer negundo	Box elder	7.4			Fair Fair	X	Rem	nove
3603	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	6.8			Fair	×	Rem	nove
3604	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	7.5	7.1		Fair	X	Rem	nove
3605	Ulmus americana Populus deltoides	American elm Cottonwood	6.8 14 5	14 0		Fair Fair	X	Rem	nove
3607	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	13.3	11.2		Fair	x	Rem	nove
3608	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	8.6			Fair	X	Rem	nove
3610	oimus americana Robinia pseudoacacia	American elm Black locust	6.4 13.2	10.1		⊦aır Fair	X	Rem	nove
3611	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.2			Fair	x	Rem	nove
3612	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.0	12 4	0.0	Fair	X	Rem	nove
3613	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.6	12.4	0.0	Fair	x x	Rem	nove



750 Forest Ave. Suite 101 Birmingham, MI 48009 T:: 248.594.3220

Notes

Tree Survey prepared by Barr Engineering Company on March 26, 2021

(1) Condition as per ISA Health Ratings, 9th Edition.
(2) Landmark as per City of Troy Zoning Ordinance, Section 13.07 Woodland Protection (C)(1)



sheet title: Tree Survey

2 of 3

project title:

Village of Troy

City of Troy, Michigan

prepared for:

Robertson Brothers Homes 6905 Telegraph Rd. - Suite 200 Bloomfield Hills, MI 48301

Phone: 248.657.4968

∎ job number:	■ date:	
19017	03.29.2022	
■ drawn by:	■ checked by:	

drawn by: EMJ

WTK



Know what's **below. Call** before you dig.

revisions:

sheet no. L-10

		Diameter at Breast Height (DBH)							
Tag	Scientific Name	Common Name	Trunk 1	Trunk 2	Trunk 3	Condition (1)	Landmark (2)	Exempt	Save/Remove
3615	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	10.4			Fair		Х	Remove
3616	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.4			Fair		Х	Remove
3617	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.4			Fair		Х	Remove
3618	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.2			Fair		Х	Remove
3619	Ulmus americana	American elm	7.0			Fair		Х	Remove
3620	Salix matsudana	Corkscrew willow	13.0			Fair		Х	Remove
3621	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	11.3			Fair		Х	Remove
3622	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	7.2			Fair		Х	Remove
3623	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	7.7			Fair		Х	Remove
3624	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.7			Fair		Х	Remove
3625	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	10.4			Fair		Х	Remove
3626	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.6			Fair		Х	Remove
3627	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.4			Fair		X	Remove
3628	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	9.4			Fair		X	Remove
3629	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	11.3			Fair		Х	Remove
3630	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.7			Fair		X	Save
3631	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	8.7	6.2	5.7	Fair		X	Save
3632	Acer rubrum	Red maple	6.7			Fair			Save
3633	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.8			Fair		Х	Save
3634	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.5			Fair		Х	Save
3635	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.3			Fair		Х	Save
3636	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	7.3			Fair		X	Remove
3637	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	10.0			Fair		Х	Remove
638	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	12.4			Fair		Х	Remove
3639	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	17.3			Fair		Х	Remove
640	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	8.6			Fair		Х	Remove
8641	Acer negundo	Box elder	0.0			Fair		Х	Remove
3642	Acer negundo	Box elder	7.3	7.0		Fair		Х	Remove
3643	Acer negundo	Box elder	6.5			Fair		Х	Remove
3644	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	6.1			Fair		X	Remove
3645	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	9.4			Fair		X	Offsite
3646	Acer rubrum	Red maple	20.0	7.4		Fair	х		Offsite
3647	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	6.0			Fair		X	Offsite
3648	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	11.4			Fair		X	Offsite
3649	Acer rubrum	Red maple	13.3	11.2		Fair			Offsite
3650	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	13.6			Fair		X	Save
3651	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	6.7			Fair		X	Save
3652	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	8.0			Fair		Х	Remove
3653	Populus deltoides	Cottonwood	14.6			Fair		Х	Remove
3654	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	9.1	7.0		Fair		Х	Remove
3655	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	8.0			Fair		Х	Remove
3656	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	6.7	6.0	5.8	Fair		Х	Remove
3657	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	7.0			Fair		Х	Remove
3658	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	6.3			Fair		Х	Remove
3659	Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	6.0			Fair		Х	Remove
200	Illmus parvifolia	Chinese elm	6.1			Fair		Х	Remove

Notes

Tree Survey prepared by Barr Engineering Company on March 26, 2021

(1) Condition as per ISA Health Ratings, 9th Edition. (2) Landmark as per City of Troy Zoning Ordinance, Section 13.07 Woodland Protection (C)(1)



- 1 DIG PLANT POCKET 12" WIDER THAN EDGE OF
- (2) THOROUGHLY COMPACT BOTTOM OF PLANT POCKET. 3 REMOVE ALL TWINE FROM TOP OF ROOTBALL. EXAMINE TRUNK COLLAR & REMOVE EXCESS SOIL FROM TOP OF
- ROOTBALL DOWN TO THE UPPER LEVEL OF THE ROOT SYSTEM. SET ROOTBALL WITH TOP 1/8 OF BALL ABOVE FINISH GRADE (4) PLACE BACKFILL UNDER & ALONGSIDE BASE OF BALL
- TO STRAIGHTEN TREE. THOROUGHLY COMPACT TO FILL ALL VOIDS. 5 BACKFILL PLANT POCKET 1/3 WITH PLANTING MIX CONSISTING OF 50 % TOPSOIL & 50 % NATIVE SOIL &
- COMPACT THOROUGHLY, ASSURING TREE IS STILL
- 6 BEFORE CONTINUING WITH BACKFILL, REMOVE TOP WIRE LOOPS, OR BEND DOWN UNTIL THEY TOUCH SIDE OF BALL. REMOVE EXCESS BURLAP. (7) BACKFILL PLANT POCKET SECOND 1/3 WITH PLANTING
- MIX & COMPACT THOROUGHLY, ASSURING TREE IS STILL (8) BACKFILL PLANT POCKET LAST 1/3 WITH PLANTING MIX &
- COMPACT THOROUGHLY, ASSURING TREE IS STILL RAIGHT. SLOPE GRADE AWAY FROM TREE
- (9) IF PLANTED IN NON-IRRIGATED AREAS, FORM A SAUCER WITH SOIL AT OUTSIDE EDGE OF ROOTBALL. (1) SHREDDED BARK MULCH, 3" DEPTH. MULCH TO BE
- TURAL IN COLOR. LEAVE 2-3" RING EXPOSED AT BASE OF TRUNK. MULCH RINGS TO BE CONSISTENT WITH PLANT TYPE/SIZE
- ROUGHOUT PROJECT & SHOULD NOT EXTEND BEYOND PLANT POCKET. (12) MINIMUM 2"x2"x60" HARDWOOD STAKES TO EXTEND INTO
- DISTURBED SOIL UNDER PLANT POCKET. STAKE OCATIONS PER TREE TO BE CONSISTENT THROUGHOUT 1 WIDE BELT LIKE NYLON, PLASTIC, OR OTHER
- ACCEPTABLE MATERIAL, NO WIRE OR HOSE TO BE USE TO GUY TREES. TWO (2) GUYS PER TREE.

Deciduous Tree Planting Detail - 4" Cal. and Under



Optional Transformer Screening Detail





© 2002 LANDSCAPE MANAGEMEN SOLUTIONS



Shrub Planting Detail - Container ©2002 LANDSCAPE MANAGEMENT SOLUTIONS

These drawings as instruments of service, remain the property of LAND Design Studio, PLLC. Any changes, publications or unauthorized use is prohibited unless expressly approved. © LAND Design Studio, PLLC

Landscape Notes

- 1. All plant material shall be true to name and free from physical damage and wind burn. 2. Plants shall be full, well-branched, and in a healthy, vigorous growing
- condition. Plants shall be watered before and after planting is complete.
- 4. All trees must be staked, fertilized, and mulched and shall be guaranteed to exhibit a normal growth cycle for at least one (1) full year following planting.
- All material shall conform to the guidelines established in the most recent edition of the American Standard for Nursery Stock. 6. Provide clean backfill soil, using material stockpiled on site. Soil shall
- be screened and free of any debris, foreign material, or stone. 7. "Agriform" tabs or similar slow-release fertilizer shall be added to the
- planting pits before being backfilled. Amended planting mix shall consist of 1/3 screened topsoil, 1/3 sand,
- and 1/3 peat. All plantings shall be mulched with shredded hardwood bark, spread to a minimum depth of 3". Mulch is to be free from debris and foreign material and shall contain no pieces of inconsistent size.
- 10. The Landscape Contractor shall be responsible for all work shown on the landscape drawings and specifications.
- 11. No substitutions or changes of location, or plant types shall be made without the approval of the Landscape Architect or Owner's representative.
- The Landscape Architect shall be notified of any discrepancies between the plans and field conditions prior to installation The Landscape Contractor shall be responsible for maintaining all plant
- material in a vertical condition throughout the guaranteed period. 14. The Landscape Architect shall have the right at any stage of the
- installation to reject any work or material that does not meet the requirements of the plane and specifications, if requested by the owner. 15. The Contractor shall be responsible for checking plant quantities to
- ensure quantities on drawings and plant list are the same. In the event of a discrepancy, the quantities on the plans shall prevail. 16. The Landscape Contractor shall seed and mulch or sod (as indicated on plans) all areas disturbed during construction, throughout the contract
- 17. A pre-emergent weed control agent, "Preen" or equal, shall be applied uniformly to all planting beds prior to mulching.
- All lawn areas to be irrigated
- 19. The Developer and Landscape Architect reserve the right to change location of plant material and alter plant species/variety at the time of installation based upon availability and quantity of material as well as site conditions. Materials will be of similar size, appearance and growth habit.
- 20. All Lawn areas shall be Seeded or Sodded
- All Landscape Areas shall be irrigated by an automatic irrigation system with separate zones for Lawn and Plants.
 - (1) DIG PLANT POCKET 12" WIDER THAN EDGE OF

INSTALLATION NOTES:

- (2) THOROUGHLY COMPACT BOTTOM OF PLANT POCKET.
- 3 REMOVE ALL TWINE FROM TOP OF ROOTBALL. EXAMINI TRUNK COLLAR & REMOVE EXCESS SOIL FROM TOP OF ROOTBALL DOWN TO THE UPPER LEVEL OF THE ROOT SYSTEM. SET ROOTBALL WITH TOP 1/8 OF BALL ABOVE
- (4) PLACE BACKFILL UNDER & ALONGSIDE BASE OF BALL STRAIGHTEN TREE. THOROUGHLY COMPACT TO FILL ALL VOIDS.
- 5 BACKFILL PLANT POCKET 1/3 WITH PLANTING MIX CONSISTING OF 50 % TOPSOIL & 50 % NATIVE SOIL & COMPACT THOROUGHLY, ASS
- 6 BEFORE CONTINUING WITH BACKFILL, REMOVE TOP WIRE LOOPS, OR BEND DOWN UNTIL THEY TOUCH SIDE OF
- (8) BACKFILL PLANT POCKET LAST 1/3 WITH PLANTING MIX & OMPACT THOROUGHLY, ASSURING TREE IS STILL STRAIGHT. SLOPE GRADE AWAY FROM TREE.
- (9) IF PLANTED IN NON-IRRIGATED AREAS, FORM A SAUCER WITH SOIL AT OUTSIDE EDGE OF ROOTBALL.
- SHREDDED BARK MULCH, 3" DEPTH. MULCH TO BE NATURAL IN COLOR. LEAVE 2-3" RING EXPOSED AT BASE OF TRUNK.
- MULCH RINGS TO BE CONSISTENT WITH PLANT TYPE/SIZE THROUGHOUT PROJECT & SHOULD EXTEND 6" BEYOND LOWEST BRANCH OF EVERGREEN TREES.
- (13) 1" WIDE BELT LIKE NYLON, PLASTIC, OR OTHER

BALL. REMOVE EXCESS BURLAP. (7) BACKFILL PLANT POCKET SECOND 1/3 WITH PLANTING IIX & COMPACT THOROUGHLY, ASSURING TREE IS STILL

- (12) MINIMUM 2"x2"x60" HARDWOOD STAKES TO EXTEND INTO UNDISTURBED SOIL UNDER PLANT POCKET. STAKE LOCATIONS PER TREE TO BE CONSISTENT THROUGHOUT
- ACCEPTABLE MATERIAL, NO WIRE OR HOSE TO BE USE TO GUY TREES. TWO (2) GUYS PER TREE.

Landscape Maintenance Notes

All Landscape Maintenance shall be performed by Owner or Owner's desired Landscape Contractor or Landscape Maintenance Company.

Overall

• All diseased, damaged, or dead materials shall be replaced in accordance with the standards of the City of Troy Zoning Ordinance.

Lawn & Turf

- Lawn shall not be irrigated the prior to scheduled mowing
- Maintain a lawn height or 2-1/2" to 3-1/2" Lawn shall be mowed with a mulching mower or mower affixed with a mulching blade. Grass clippings shall be left on the lawn to
- decompose and release nutrients back into the soil • Inspect Irrigation system after mowing to ensure no damage has been done to the components
- Maintenance Contractor shall maintain clean equipment to prevent potential spread of unwanted seeds, pests, and pathogens

Shrubs

- Prune shrubs on an as-needed basis and only to maintain the plant's natural appearance
- Allow shrubs to mature and fill planting areas as designed Allow designed hedges to grow together prior to pruning into a uniform shape

Groundcovers

- Keep free of weeds, grass, and refuse
- Prune lightly to maintain natural appearance Allow groundcovers to fill the intended planting area

Perennials

- Prune dead flower stalks that emerge during the summer to encourage foliage growth
- Perform seasonal pruning, weeding, and dead-heading as necessary to maintain a neat appearance and encourage flowering

Trees

- Remove weeds and suckers from around the base of trees
- Prune trees for safety, health, or structural clearance. Remove crossing and damaged branches
- Do not top trees for any reason
- Check on tree staking on a regular basis to ensure that ties and stakes are not damaging the trees. Maintain tree stakes until the tree can stand on its own. Upon reaching this point, remove and properly dispose of all tree stakes, ties, and wiring

Mulch

- Maintain hardwood mulch at a 3" depth and replenish as needed
- Keep mulch at least 3" away from plant stems and tree trunks Maintain clean-cut mulch edges and tree rings that match the designed edges

Weed Management

 Remove and properly dispose of any weeds and tree suckers that appear in planting beds. Use the least destructive methods possible

Fertilization

Pest Control

When fertilizing, use organic or non-chemical alternatives whenever possible to reduce the runoff into the Paint Creek

• When using pesticides, use organic or non-chemical alternatives

whenever possible to reduce the runoff into the Paint Creek

Bed Edging

- Maintain Spade Cut Edges as designed, as necessary
- Evergreen Tree Planting Detail 10' Ht. and Under Scale: NTS



design studio

Schedule										
Symbol	Label	Quantity	Manufacturer	Catalog Number	Description					
	Α	198	Generation Lighting	8338701-12	Small one light downlight outdoor wall lantern					

Statistics

Description	Symbol	Avg	Max	Min	Av
Grade @ 0'	+	0.0 fc	1.0 fc	0.0 fc	

General Note

1. LUMINAIRE MOUNTING HEIGHT 8' - 0" 2. CALCULATIONS ARE SHOWN IN FOOTCANDLES AT: 0' - 0"

3. LIGHTING ALTERNATES REQUIRE NEW PHOTOMETRIC CALCULATION AND RESUBMISSION TO CITY FOR APPROVAL.

THE ENGINEER AND/OR ARCHITECT MUST DETERMINE APPLICABILITY OF THE LAYOUT TO EXISTING / FUTURE FIELD CONDITIONS. THIS LIGHTING LAYOUT REPRESENTS ILLUMINATION LEVELS CALCULATED FROM LABORATORY DATA TAKEN UNDER CONTROLLED CONDITIONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH ILLUMINATING ENGINEERING SOCIETY APPROVED METHODS. ACTUAL PERFORMANCE OF ANY MANUFACTURER'S LUMINAIRE MAY VARY DUE TO VARIATION IN ELECTRICAL VOLTAGE, TOLERANCE IN LAMPS, AND OTHER VARIABLE FIELD CONDITIONS. MOUNTING HEIGHTS INDICATED ARE FROM GRADE AND/OR FLOOR UP.

THESE LIGHTING CALCULATIONS ARE NOT A SUBSTITUTE FOR INDEPENDENT ENGINEERING ANALYSIS OF LIGHTING SYSTEM SUITABILITY AND SAFETY. THE ENGINEER AND/OR ARCHITECT IS RESPONSIBLE TO REVIEW FOR MICHIGAN ENERGY CODE AND LIGHTING QUALITY COMPLIANCE.

UNLESS EXEMPT, PROJECT MUST COMPLY WITH LIGHTING CONTROLS REQUIRMENTS DEFINED IN ASHRAE 90.1 2013. FOR SPECIFIC INFORMATION CONTACT GBA CONTROLS GROUP AT ASG@GASSERBUSH.COM OR 734-266-6705.

FOR ORDERING INQUIRIES CONTACT GASSER BUSH AT QUOTES@GASSERBUSH.COM OR 734-266-6705.

THIS DRAWING WAS GENERATED FROM AN ELECTRONIC IMAGE FOR ESTIMATION PURPOSE ONLY. LAYOUT TO BE VERIFIED IN FIELD BY OTHERS.

MOUNTING HEIGHT IS MEASURED FROM GRADE TO FACE OF FIXTURE. POLE HEIGHT SHOULD BE CALCULATED AS THE MOUNTING HEIGHT LESS BASE HEIGHT.







ELEVATIONS







MAIN LEVEL

2,948 sqft.







SECOND LEVEL







OPTIONS

OPTIONAL LINEAR FIREFLACE





OPT. FAMILY ENTRY



OPT. GUEST RM.





ELEVATIONS





RADITIONAL

Artistic conceptual rendering should be used as a reference only and not be relied upon as the final details of the residences. Images featured are samples and may not reflect your home site's garage handing, elevation conditions or color package materials. Physical material board signed off will be required during your purchase process. Any specifications in this depiction may change at developer's sole discretion without notice. All information herein was accurate at the time of publication. We reserve the right to make changes in specification, or materials, or to change or discontinue models without notice or obligation.



ARMHOUSE

MAIN LEVEL

2,632 sqft.







SECOND LEVEL







OPTIONS







ELEVATIONS











MAIN LEVEL







OPTIONS





Artistic conceptual rendering should be used as a reference only and not be relied upon as the final details of the residences. Images featured are samples and may not reflect your home site's garage handing, elevation conditions or color package materials. Physical material board signed off will be required during your purchase process. Any specifications in this depiction may change at developer's sole discretion without notice. All information herein was accurate at the time of publication. We reserve the right to make changes in specification, or materials, or to change or discontinue models without notice or obligation.



MASTER BDRM.

LOWER LEVEL OPTION 1 936 SQFT







ELEVATIONS







MAIN LEVEL

3,110 sqft.







SECOND LEVEL







OPTIONS





OPT. GUEST SUITE



OPT. OWNER'S BATH







ELEVATIONS







MAIN LEVEL

1,939 sqft.







SECOND LEVEL







OPTIONS







HAWTHORNE

ELEVATIONS







HAWTHORNE

MAIN LEVEL

2,336 sqft.







HAWTHORNE

SECOND LEVEL






HAWTHORNE

OPTIONS







Artistic conceptual rendering should be used as a reference only and not be relied upon as the final details of the residences. Images featured are samples and may not reflect your home site's garage handing, elevation conditions or color package materials. Physical material board signed off will be required during your purchase process. Any specifications in this depiction may change at developer's sole discretion without notice. All information herein was accurate at the time of publication. We reserve the right to make changes in specification, or materials, or to change or discontinue models without notice or obligation.



5 UNIT BUILDING ELEVATION

.



"B"

"८"

"D"

"E"





"B"

"**८**"

"D"

"**८**"

6 UNIT BUILDING ELEVATION



"E"

"₽"



TROY GOODMAN

5 UNIT TOWNHOME

PLAN DRAWING INDEX

REY. DATE	CIVIL	
		SITE PLAN
	ARCHIT	ECTURAL BUILDING COMPOSITION
	GNI	GENERAL NOTES & DETAILS
	GN21	GENERAL NOTES & DETAILS
	Al	FOUNDATION PLAN
	A2	FIRST FLOOR PLAN
	А3	SECOND FLOOR PLAN
	Д4	FRONT & LEFT ELEVATION
	Д5	RIGHT & REAR ELEVATION / ROOF PLAN
	Д6	BUILDING SECTIONS
	A٦	BUILDING SECTIONS
	A8	WALL SECTIONS
	A9	DETAILS
	OPT 1	OPT, BASEMENT FOUNDATION PLAN
	0PT 1.1	OPT BASEMENT FIRST FLOOR PLAN
	OPT 2	OPT OUTDOOR LIVING PATIO ON SLAB FND.
	OPT 3	OPT, RAISED SLAB ON BASEMENT FND.
	SI	FOUNDATION STRUCTURE PLAN
	52	FIRST FLOOR STRUCTURE PLAN
	63	SECOND FLOOR STRUCTURE PLAN

CODES	
MICHIGAN RESIDENTIAL CODE (MRC) - 2015 MICHIGAN MECHANICAL CODE (MMC) - 2015 MICHIGAN PLUMBING CODE (MPC) - 2015 NPFA 70 NATIONAL ELECTRICAL CODE - 2017	
IRC BUILDING CODE DATA	
ZONING:	
TYPE OF CONSTRUCTION: NUMBER OF STORIES:	WOOD FRAME ON POURED FND.
BUILDING HEIGHT:	23'-11 5/8" (GRADE TO MEDIAN)
FIRE RATING:	WALLS & PARTITIONS:
SEPARATION WALL (PER R302.2):	
EXTERIOR WALL @ SEPARATION WALL	
SPRINKLER SYSTEM:	NOT SPRINKLED
I, REFER TO GENERAL SHEETS FOR FIRE RATED U	JALL DETAILS

		STAND	ARD FIXTURES	
UNIT IDENTITY	BATHROOMS	KITCHEN SINK	DISHWASHER	MOP SINK
UNIT D	2.5	1	1	0
UNIT E	2.5	1	1	0
UNIT B	2.5	1	1	0
UNIT C	2.5	1	1	0
UNIT F	2.5	1	1	0
BLDG TOTAL =	12.5	5	5	0

	SQUAF	RE FOOTAGE	TOTAL		
UNIT IDENTITY	FIRST FLOOR	SECOND FLOOR	HEATED S.F.	GARAGE	OUTDOOR LIVING
UNIT D	802	1063	1865	389	31
JNIT E	795	1041	1836	389	36
UNIT B	793	1042	1835	406	36
UNIT C	793	1030	1823	386	36
UNIT F	802	1063	1865	389	37
BLDG TOTAL SF. F	;† =		9,224	1,959	182

	ESIGN BEATIVE COLLABORATIVE
WW S H H	W.TKHOMEDESIGN.COM 26030 PONTIAC TRAIL OUTH LYON, MI 48178 PHONE: (248)-446-1960 FAX: (248)-446-1961
COPYRIGI -DO NOT S -CONTRAC CONSTRUC REPORTED -CALL MIS -CONSTRU	HT 2021 TK DESIGN AND ASSOCIATES SCALE DRAWINGS, USE CALCULATED DIMENSIONS ONLY CTOR TO FIELD VERIFY ALL DRAWING ASPECTS BEFORE CTION, DISCREPANCIES AND DESIGN CHANGES SHALL BE TO THE DESIGNER IN WRITTEN FORM IMMEDIATELY S DIG AT 680-482-727 I 3 DAYS PRIOR TO ANY EXCAVATION ICTION IS THE SOLE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE PERMIT HOLDER
CLIENT / PROJECT	ROBERTSON BROTHERS HOMES TROY GOODMAN MULTI-FAMILY 5 UNIT
JOE DR. CHI RE FIN	3 No. WO 2331-21 AWN: AG ECKED: BF VIEW 11-1-21 AL: 12-6-21 R VALUE REV. 1-12-22
	SCALE: PER PLAN
	SHEET #



	COVERGENT 2021 TK DESIGN AND ASSOCIATES LOPYRIGHT 2021 TK DESIGN AND ASSOCIATES COPYRIGHT 2021 TK DESIGN AND ASSOCIATES LOPYRIGHT 2021 TK DESIGN RIN WARTEN FORM IMMEDIATELY -CONTRACTOR TO FIELD VERIFY ALL DRAWING ASPECTS BEFORE CONTRACTOR TO FIELD VERIFY AND PSIGN FOR MIAMEDIATELY -CALL MISS DIG AT 680-4827-271 TJ DAYS PRIOR TO ANY EXCAVATION
C. SLAB W/ 6X6 1 ON 4" COMP LAR FILL CONC. TRENCH NN. 42" BELOW CADE BRG. ON JRBED SOIL	CLIENT / PROJECT CLIENT / PROJECT CLIENT / PROJECT CLIENT / PROJECT BROTHERS HOMES BROTHERS HOMES MULTI-FAMILY 5 UNIT 5 UNIT
	JOB No. WO 2331-21 DRAWN: AG CHECKED: BF REVIEW 11-1-21 FINAL: 12-6-21 R VALUE REV. H2-22 SCALE: PER PLAN SHEET # A-1









		ESIGN EATIVE COLLABORATIVE
	COPYRIGI -DO NOT 3 -CONTRAC CONSTRUC REPORTED -CALL INF	W.TKHOMEDESIGN.COM 26030 PONTIAC TRAIL OUTH LYON, MI 48178 PHONE: (248)-446-1960 FAX: (248)-446-1961 47 2021 TK DESIGN AND ASSOCIATES SCALE DRAWINGS, USE CALCULATED DIMENSIONS ONLY CTOR TO FILD VERIFY ALL DRAWING ASPECTS BEFORE CTION, DISCREPANCIES AND DESIGN CHANGES SHALL BE TO THE DESIGNER IN WRITTEN FORM IMMEDIATELY SDIG ALT 6048/27271 30475 PEDD AND TS CALVEROUS
OND FLOOR ACE	CLIENT / PROJECT	ROBERTSON ROBERTSON BROTHERS HOMES ICTION IS THE SOLE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE PERMIT HOLDER MULTI-FFAMILY 5 UNIT 5 UNIT
	JOE DR. CHI RE ^V FIN	B No. WO 2331-21 AWN: AG ECKED: BF VIEW 11-1-21 TAL: 12-6-21 R VALUE REY. H2-22
		scale: per plan Sheet # A-3









YPICAL V Esigna	WII TIC	NDOW DN
TE: NERAL REFERENCE FOR DUGH OPENING SIZES ILY. CONSULT WITH IDOW MANUFACTURER R EXACT WINDOW SIZES REQUIREMENTS,		3'-0" 30¢0 \$.H.
TE: CASEMENT VENTING TO VERIFIED W/ BUILDER/ MEOWNER PRIOR TO RDERING WINDOWS TE: IDOW MANUFACTURER TO	VERIFY	
TTERNS WITH HOME OWNE	R.	
W SILLS OVER 6'-0" ABO BELOW TO BE MINIMUM 24" BASH LIMITERS PER CODE	/E EXTE ABOVE E REQUI	ERIOR GRADE OR E FINISHED FLOOR RMENTS
		·
ATTIC VENTILATIC	N C4	ALCULATIONS:
AREA OF ATTIC OVER HE 5247/150 = 34.9 (5Q, FT, R 34.9' × 144" = 5026" (5Q, RIDGE VENTING: 5026" × 0.45 = 2262" (5Q, 2262" / 18 = 126' (LINEAR F EAVE OR CORNICE VENTI 5026" × 0.55 = 2164" (5Q)	ATED S EQ'D) INCH CC INCHES T. OF RI NG: . INCHES	PACE = 5247 SQ, FT, DNVERSION) B REQ'D) IDGE VENT REQ'D) B REQ'D)
UNVENTABLE RIDGE: 126' - 87' (AVAIL, RIDGE) : 39' X 18 = 702" (REMAINING 102" / 50 = 14 (ROOF VEN	: 39' (UN G 6Q, IN T6 REQ	NVENTABLE RIDGE) NCHE6) I'D.)
	D	ESIGN EATIVE COLLABORATIVE
	WW 2 St P F	W.TKHOMEDESIGN.COM 26030 PONTIAC TRAIL OUTH LYON, MI 48178 2HONE: (248)-446-1960 2AX: (248)-446-1961
=ASCIA 	COPYRIGH -DO NOT S -CONTRAC CONSTRUC REPORTED -CALL MISS -CONSTRUC	IT 2021 TK DESIGN AND ASSOCIATES CALE DRAWINGS, USE CALCULATED DIMENSIONS ONLY CTOR TO FIELD VERIFY ALL DRAWING ASPECTS BEFORE CTION, DISCREPANCIES AND DESIGN CHANGES SHALL BE TO THE DESIGNER IN WRITTEN FORM IMMEDIATELY S DIG AT 680-482-7271 3 DAYS PRIOR TO ANY EXCAVATION CTION IS THE SOLE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE PERMIT HOLDER
< 60LDIER CR6. J. ")	ECT	N MES IAN LY
K ROWLOCK	PROJ	RTSO 2S HO 2ODN FAMI NIT
(SOLDIER CRS. . ") 	ENT /	ROBE DTHEF OY G(ULTI- 5 U
	CLI	BRC TR M
K ROWLOCK	JOB DR/ CHI	No. WO 2331-21 AWN: AG ECKED: BF
	REV FIN	VIEW 11-1-21 AL: 12-6-21 R VALUE REV. 1-12-22
		SCALE:
		PER PLAN SHEET #
		A-5
	L	







DEDIGN

CREATIVE COLLABORATIVE

WWW.TKHOMEDESIGN.COM

26030 PONTIAC TRAIL SOUTH LYON, MI 48178

PHONE: (248)-446-1960

FAX: (248)-446-1961

D NOT SCALE DRAWINGS, USE CALCULATED DIMENSIONS ONLY DNTRACTOR TO FIELD VERIFY ALL DRAWING ASPECTS BEFORE INSTRUCTION, DISCREPANCIES AND DESIGN CHANGES SHALL BU ORTED TO THE DESIGNER IN WRITTEN FORM IMMEDIATELY ALL MISS DIG AT 680-482-7271 3 DAYS PRIOR TO ANY EXCAVATION DNSTRUCTION IS THE SOLE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE PERMIT HOLDE

ROBERTSON BROTHERS HOMES TROY GOODMAN MULTI-FAMILY 6 UNIT

JOB No. WO 1370-22

REVIEW 3-18-22

SCALE: PER PLAN

SHEET #

A-1

DRAWN: AG CHECKED: -

FINAL:

right 2021 TK design and associates



LOCATION,

MOISTURE,



FIRST FLOOR PLAN NOTE: SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

DROP CLG, TO 8'-0" IN FAMILY ENTRY & MECH, RM, (STAIRS ABOYE)





MOISTURE,



SECOND FLOOR PLAN SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"









SHEET #

A-4







FOUNDATION PLAN

SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

STRUCTURAL NOTES: (2) 2×8 HEADERS TO BEAR ON (1) ONE JACK STUD UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. (2) 2×10 & LARGER HEADERS TO BEAR ON (2) TWO JACK STUDS UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. ALL PRE-ENGINEERED HEADERS FRAMED PERPENDICULAR TO WALL LINE SHALL BEAR ON REQUIRED NUMBER OF STUDS TO MATCH WIDTH OF HEADER MATERIAL. ALL PRE-ENGINEERED HEADERS FRAMED PARALLEL TO WALL LINE SHALL BEAR ON A MINIMUM (2) TWO JACK STUDS UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. ALL PRE-ENGINEERED LUMBER HEADERS SHALL BE BUILT-UP FROM THE NUMBER OF HEADERS INDICATED ON DRAWINGS. ALL MEMBERS SHALL BE SECURED WITH NAILS OR BOLTS AS SPECIFIED BY THE MANUFACTURER FOR SIZES INDICATED. ALL GIRDER TRUSSES TO BEAR ON (2) TWO STUDS MINIMUM OR AS REQUIRED TO MATCH NUMBER OF TRUSS PLYS, WHICH EVER IS GREATER. TRUSS FABRICATOR/CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE ALL HANGERS W/ MODEL No. CLEARLY STAMPED & LAYOUT DRAWINGS CLEARLY INDICATING LOCATION OF VARIOUS HANGERS REQUIRED. CARPENTER CONTRACTOR TO INSTALL NAIL SIZES & NUMBER REQ'D. AS SPECIFIED FOR EACH TYPE OF HANGER. LVL DESIGN VALUES FOR MODULUS OF ELASTICITY (E) SHALL BE 2,000,000 PSI (2.0 E)



S ч. С. В. RIO RIO TU 1⊺E 203 NEEPER ARCHITECTI G N - PLANNING - INT 630 North Old Woodward, Suite 203 BIRMINGHAM, MICHIGAN BRIANNEEPER.COM 2 4 8. 2 5 9. 1 7 8 4 C **BRIAN** DESIG







STRUCTURAL NOTES:

(2) 2×8 HEADERS TO BEAR ON (I) ONE JACK STUD UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. (2) 2×10 \$ LARGER HEADERS TO BEAR ON (2) TWO JACK STUDS UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. ALL PRE-ENGINEERED HEADERS FRAMED PERPENDICULAR TO WALL LINE SHALL BEAR ON REQUIRED NUMBER OF STUDS TO MATCH WIDTH OF HEADER MATERIAL.

ALL PRE-ENGINEERED HEADERS FRAMED PARALLEL TO WALL LINE SHALL BEAR ON A MINIMUM (2) TWO JACK STUDS UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

ALL PRE-ENGINEERED LUMBER HEADERS SHALL BE BUILT-UP FROM THE NUMBER OF HEADERS INDICATED ON DRAWINGS. ALL MEMBERS SHALL BE SECURED WITH NAILS OR BOLTS AS SPECIFIED BY THE MANUFACTURER FOR SIZES INDICATED. ALL GIRDER TRUSSES TO BEAR ON (2) TWO STUDS MINIMUM OR AS REQUIRED TO MATCH NUMBER OF TRUSS PLYS, WHICH EVER IS GREATER. TRUSS FABRICATOR/CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE ALL HANGERS W/ MODEL No. CLEARLY STAMPED & LAYOUT DRAWINGS CLEARLY INDICATING LOCATION OF VARIOUS HANGERS REQUIRED.

CARPENTER CONTRACTOR TO INSTALL NAIL SIZES & NUMBER REQ'D. AS SPECIFIED FOR EACH TYPE OF HANGER. LVL DESIGN VALUES FOR MODULUS OF ELASTICITY (E) SHALL BE 2,000,000 PSI (2.0 E)



SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

SQUARE FOOT,	AGE
LOWER LEVEL	35 SQ FT
IST FLOOR	548 SQ FT
2ND FLOOR	601 SQ FT
TOTAL	1,184 SQ FT
OPT, FLEX RM	147 SQ FT
TOTAL W/ OPT.	1,331 SQ FT





WINDOW SILLS	ТҮІ	Ρ. ι	WIND		ESI	GNATI	ON
IN DWELLING UNITS, WHERE THE OPENING OF AN OPERABLE WINDOW IS LOCATED MORE THAN 12" ABOVE FINISHED GRADE OR SURFACE BELOW, THE LOWEST PART OF THE CLEAR OPENING OF THE WINDOW SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 24 INCHES ABOVE THE FINISHED FLOOR OF THE ROOM IN WHICH THE WINDOW IS LOCATED. GLAZING BETWEEN THE FLOOR AND 24" SHALL BE FIXED OR HAVE OPENINGS THROUGH WHICH A 4 INCH DIAMETER SPHERE CANNOT PASS. EXCEPTIONS: 1. WINDOWS WHOSE OPENINGS WILL NOT ALLOW A 4" DIA. SPHERE TO PASS THROUGH THE OPENING WHERE THE OPENING IS IN ITS LARGEST OPENED POSITION.	GENER ROUGH CONSU MANUF WINDOU	AL RE OPEN LT WIT ACTUR U SIZE:	FERENCE VING SIZES H WINDOW RER FOR E S & REQUI	FOR 5 ONLY. EXACT REMENTS.	≥i-0"	3'-0"	
2. OPENINGS THAT ARE PROVIDED WITH WINDOW GUARDS THAT COMPLY WITH ASTM F 2006 OR F 2090.							
	EG	RES	ຣຣ ຟ	INDO	W		
OVERHANGS & DRAINAGE	EVERY	SLEE	PING ROO JINDOW OR	M SHALL I	HAVE A1 R DOOR	LEAST ONE APPROVED	FOR
PROVIDE GUTTERS & DOWNSPOUTS FOR DRAINAGE OF ROOF WATER. DOWNSPOUTS ARE TO BE LOCATED SO THAT THE DISCHARGE IS CONNECTED TO AN UDERGROUND STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM PER SITE ENGINEER.	EMERG OPERA WITHOU ARE PI THEY S INCHES	ENCY BLE F IT THE ROVIDI SHALL ABO	EGRESS ROM THE USE OF ED AS A HAVE SIL VE THE F	OR RESCU INSIDE TO SEPARATE MEANS OF L HEIGHT LOOR. AL	E. THE A FULL E TOOLS EGRESS OF NOT L EGRESS	UNIT(S) MUST CLEAR OPE . WHERE WIN S. OR RESCU MORE THAN SS OR RESCI	BE NING DOWS E. 44 JE
UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE OVERHANG DIMENSIONS ARE 12" FROM FRAME. RAKE DIMENSIONS ARE 4" AT BRICK AND 6" AT SIDING.	NET CI	LEAR (AND	OPENING I WIDTH OF	HEIGHT DI	MENSION S.	SHALL BE 2	4 4
STEEL LINTEL SCHEDULE							
LOOSE STEEL LINTELS FOR MASONRY - EXTERIOR ANGLES FOR BRICK OR STONE (NO FLOOR LOAD)							
MAX. CLEAR LINTEL SIZE SPAN							
5'-O" OR LESS 3 1/2" x 3 1/2" x 5/16"							
7'-0" OR LESS 4" x 3 1/2" x 5/16"							
8'-0" OR LESS 5" x 3 1/2" x 5/16"							
9'-0" OR LESS 5" x 3 1/2" x 3/8"							
OR LESS 6" x 3 1/2" x 3/8"							
NOTE: THIS SCHEDULE APPLIES UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE ON THE PLANS AND/OR ELEVATIONS.							

NOTE: STEEL ANGLE LINTELS REQUIRE A SHOP COAT OF RUST-INHIBITIVE PAINT EXCEPT FOR LINTELS MADE OF CORROSION-RESISTANT STEEL.









C ٦ ш 2 С к ⊃ш

WINDOW SILLS	TYP. WINDOW DESIGNATION
IN DWELLING UNITS, WHERE THE OPENING OF AN OPERABLE WINDOW IS LOCATED MORE THAN 12" ABOVE FINISHED GRADE OR SURFACE BELOW, THE LOWEST PART OF THE CLEAR OPENING OF THE WINDOW SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 24 INCHES ABOVE THE FINISHED FLOOR OF THE ROOM IN WHICH THE WINDOW IS LOCATED. GLAZING BETWEEN THE FLOOR AND 24" SHALL BE FIXED OR HAVE OPENINGS THROUGH WHICH A 4 INCH DIAMETER SPHERE CANNOT PASS. EXCEPTIONS:	GENERAL REFERENCE FOR ROUGH OPENING SIZES ONLY. CONSULT WITH WINDOW MANUFACTURER FOR EXACT WINDOW SIZES & REQUIREMENTS.
1. WINDOWS WHOSE OPENINGS WILL NOT ALLOW A 4" DIA. SPHERE TO PASS THROUGH THE OPENING WHERE THE OPENING IS IN ITS LARGEST OPENED POSITION. 2. OPENINGS THAT ARE PROVIDED WITH WINDOW CURPES THAT COMPLY WITH ASTME 2000 OPE 2000	
GUARDS THAT COMPLET WITH ASTITT 2006 OK T 2010.	
OVERHANGS & DRAINAGE	EVERY SLEEPING ROOM SHALL HAVE AT LEAST ONE
PROVIDE GUTTERS & DOWNSPOUTS FOR DRAINAGE OF ROOF WATER. DOWNSPOUTS ARE TO BE LOCATED SO THAT THE DISCHARGE IS CONNECTED TO AN UDERGROUND STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM PER SITE ENGINEER.	EMERGENCY EGRESS OR RESCUE. THE UNIT(S) MUST BE OPERABLE FROM THE INSIDE TO A FULL CLEAR OPENING WITHOUT THE USE OF SEPARATE TOOLS. WHERE WINDOWS ARE PROVIDED AS A MEANS OF EGRESS, OR RESCUE, THEY SHALL HAVE SILL HEIGHT OF NOT MORE THAN 44 INCLES ABOVE THE FLOOP ALL ECRESS OF RESCUE
UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE OVERHANG DIMENSIONS ARE 12" FROM FRAME. RAKE DIMENSIONS ARE 4" AT BRICK AND 4" AT SIDING.	WINDOWS FROM SLEEPING ROOMS MUST HAVE A MINIMUM NET CLEAR OPENING HEIGHT DIMENSION SHALL BE 24 INCHES AND WIDTH OF 20 INCHES.
STEEL LINTEL SCHEDULE	
LOOSE STEEL LINTELS FOR MASONRY - EXTERIOR ANGLES FOR BRICK OR STONE (NO FLOOR LOAD)	

MAX. CLEAR SPAN

LINTEL SIZE

5'-O" OR LESS 3 1/2" x 3 1/2" x 5/16"

1'-0" OR LESS 4" x 3 1/2" x 5/16"

8'-0" OR LESS 5" x 3 1/2" x 5/16" 9'-O" OR LESS 5" x 3 1/2" x 3/8"

10'-0" OR LESS 6" x 3 1/2" x 3/8"

NOTE: THIS SCHEDULE APPLIES UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE ON THE PLANS AND/OR ELEVATIONS.

NOTE: STEEL ANGLE LINTELS REQUIRE A SHOP COAT OF RUST-INHIBITIVE PAINT EXCEPT FOR LINTELS MADE OF CORROSION-RESISTANT STEEL.





9⁰ × 7⁰ 0. H. DOOR

NOTE: ALL WOOD TRIM IS TO BE WRAPPED IN ALUMINUM.

REAR ELEVATION

SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

· _____ · ____

COPYRIGHT 2021 BRIAN NEEPER ARCHITECTURE P.C.

21038

BN / RR

JOB NUMBER

DRAWN BY

CHECKED BY

SHEET NUMBER

A-4

















5 UNIT BUILDING

SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"

SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"

CLIENT / PROJECTCLIENT / PROJECTCLIENT / PROJECTRELINITEROBERTSON HOMESFRELIMINARYBRIAN NEEPER ARCHITECTURE P.CBRIAN NEEPER ARCHITECTURE P.CBRIANNEGMAN, MICHIGANSOUTH LYON, MICHIGANSOUTH LYON, MICHIGANCLIENT / RELIMINARYCLIENT / RELIMINARYCLI	CLIENT / PROJECT CLIENT / PROJECT CLIENT / PROJECT CLIENT / PROJECT RELINIC RELINIC PRELIMINARY CLIENT / PROJECT					ARCHITECTUR
CLENT / PRDERTSON HOMESSHEET TILEROBERTSON HOMESSUIT BUILDINGHUDSON TOWNSBUILDING PLANSLL' TOWNHOME UNITSBUILDING PLANSSOUTH LYON, MICHIGANPRELIMINARY	CLIENT / PROJECT CLIENT / PROJECT ROBERTSON HOMES RUILDING PLANS Lubson Towns Low Hudson Towns Building Plans PRELIMINARY		BRIAN NEEPER ARCHITECTURE P.C	DESIGN - PLANNING - INTERIORS	BIRMINGHAM, MICHIGAN	BRIANNEEPER.COM 248.259.1784
CLIENT / PROJECT ROBERTSON HOMES HUDSON TOWNS 16' TOWNHOME UNITS SOUTH LYON, MICHIGAN	CLENT / PROLECT ROBERTSON HOMES HUDSON TOWNS IL' TOWNHOME UNITS SOUTH LYON, MICHIGAN SOUTH LYON, MICHIGAN	SHEET TITLE	5 UNIT BUILDING	BUILDING PLANS		PRELIMINARY
	PRELIMINARY 6-18-20	CLIENT / PROJECT	ROBERTSON HOMES	HUDSON TOWNS	16' TOWNHOME UNITS	SOUTH LYON, MICHIGAN
BIDS			FINAL			
BIDS PERMITS FINAL	FINAL		COPYRI BRIAN N ARCHITE JOB NU/ DRAWN	GHT : EEPEF CTUR MBER BY	2021 E P.C 2103	5.
BIDS PERMITS FINAL REVISIONS REVISIONS COPYRIGHT 2021 BRIAN NEEPER ARCHITECTURE P.C. JOB NUMBER 21038	FINAL REVISIONS COPYRIGHT 2021 BRIAN NEEPER ARCHITECTURE P.C. JOB NUMBER 21038		DRAWN	BY	BN /	RR
BIDS PERMITS FINAL REVISIONS REVISIONS COPYRIGHT 2021 BRIAN NEEPER ARCHITECTURE P.C. JOB NUMBER 21038 DRAWN BY BN / RR CHECKED BY	FINAL REVISIONS COPYRIGHT 2021 BRIAN NEEPER ARCHITECTURE P.C. JOB NUMBER 21038 DRAWN BY BN / RR CHECKED BY		CHECKE	D BY		

ш

A-6





URE Ferio I NEEPER ARCHITECT IGN - PLANNING - INT 630 North Old Woodward, Suite 203 BIRMINGHAM, MICHIGAN \vdash BRIANNEEPER.COM 248.259.178 G BRIAN 5 UNIT BUILDING BUILDING ELEVATIONS PRELIMINARY ROBERTSON HOMES HUDSON TOWNS S' TOWNHOME UNITS SOUTH LYON, MICHIGAN 0∠| <u>±</u> <u>∍</u> PRELIMINARY 6-18-20 BIDS PERMITS FINAL REVISIONS COPYRIGHT 2021 BRIAN NEEPER ARCHITECTURE P.C. JOB NUMBER 21038 DRAWN BY BN / RR CHECKED BY SHEET NUMBER A-7

C ٩





SECOND FLOOR PLAN 6 UNIT BUILDING

** COORDINATE SECOND FLOOR UNIT LAYOUT OPTIONS WITH DEVELOPER











SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"





A-8



	BRIAN NEEPER ARCHITECTURE P.C.	DESIGN - PLANNING - INTERIORS	630 North Old Woodward, Suite 203 BIRMINGHAM, MICHIGAN	BRIANNEEPER.COM	248.259.1/84
SHEET TITLE	P NIL BUILDING	ALLONG ELEVATIONS		PRE IMINARY	
CLIENT / PROJECT	ROBERTSON HOMES				
	BIDS PERMITS FINAL REVISIO	ŝ			



FOUNDATION NOTES:

FOOTING SIZES ARE TO MEET THE MINIMUM SIZES AS PRESCRIBED IN THE 2015 MICHIGAN RESIDENTIAL CODE SECTION R403. PER TABLES R403.I(I) AND R403.I(2) 3 STORY LIGHT FRAME BUILDING WITH OR WITHOUT MASONRY VENEER WITH A SLAB ON GRADE WILL ALLOW FOR A MINIMUM 12" WIDE FOOTING. ALL NATURAL AND ENGINEERED SOILS ARE TO BE A MINIMUM OF 3000 PSF





WALL DIMENSION NOTE:

ALL WALL DIMENSIONS ARE TO THE ROUGH. INTERIOR PARTITIONS ARE 3 1/2" (2x4) UNLESS NOTED OR DIMENSIONED OTHERWISE. EXTERIOR FRAME WALLS INCLUDE 1/2" NOMINAL DIMENSION FOR EXTERIOR SHEATHING. EXTERIOR FRAME WALLS ARE 6" (2x6) OR 4" (2x4) UNLESS NOTED OR DIMENSIONED OTHERWISE. "BRICK LEDGE" BRICK OR STONE EXTERIOR WITH AIR SPACE IS 4 1/2" UNLESS NOTED OR DIMENSIONED OTHERWISE.

SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"







SECOND FLOOR PLAN SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

2 BEDROOM/DEN



SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

SECOND FLOOR PLAN 2 BEDROOM



SQUARE FOOT	AGE
LOWER LEVEL	237 SQ FT
IST FLOOR	668 SQ FT
2ND FLOOR	101 SQ FT
TOTAL	1,612 SQ FT

ር ድ шΟ Ск ⊃ш LNT INT HITE G - I ။ ကျ Z N ר _≺ ш ር 0 ш ш רי

> S ш <u>ہ</u> ۵

В



	S			
	HOME		Π	HIGAN
OJECT	RTSON	TOWNS	NHOUSE UN	LYON, MIC
ENT / PR	OBE	DSON	TOW	HTH



WALL BRACING METHOD: WSP (WOOD STRUCTURAL PANEL) AT ALL EXTERIOR WALLS UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE





WINDOW SILLS						
IN DWELLING UNITS, WHERE THE OPENING OF AN OPERABLE WINDOW IS LOCATED MORE THAN 12" ABOVE FINISHED GRADE OR SURFACE BELOW, THE LOWEST PART OF THE CLEAR OPENING OF THE WINDOW SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 24 INCHES ABOVE THE FINISHED FLOOR OF THE ROOM IN WHICH THE WINDOW IS LOCATED. GLAZING BETWEEN THE FLOOR AND 24" SHALL BE FIXED OR HAVE OPENINGS THROUGH WHICH A 4 INCH DIAMETER SPHERE CANNOT PASS. EXCEPTIONS:						
I. WINDOWS WHOSE OPENINGS WILL NOT ALLOW A 4" DIA. SPHERE TO PASS THROUGH THE OPENING WHERE THE OPENING IS IN ITS LARGEST OPENED POSITION. 2. OPENINGS THAT ARE PROVIDED WITH WINDOW GUARDS THAT COMPLY WITH ASTM F 2006 OR F 2090.						
OVERHANGS & DRAINAGE						
PROVIDE GUTTERS & DOWNSPOUTS FOR DRAINAGE OF ROOF WATER. DOWNSPOUTS ARE TO BE LOCATED SO THAT THE DISCHARGE WILL NOT SPILL ON OR FLOW ACROSS ANY PORCHES, WALKS OR DRIVES.						

UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE OVERHANG DIMENSIONS ARE 12" FROM FRAME. RAKE DIMENSIONS ARE 4" AT BRICK AND 6" AT SIDING.

STEE	EL LINTEL SCHEDULE
LOOSE ST ANGLES F	EEL LINTELS FOR MASONRY - EXTERIOR OR BRICK OR STONE (NO FLOOR LOAD)
MAX. CLEAR SPAN	LINTEL SIZE
5'-O" OR LESS	3 1/2" × 3 1/2" × 5/16"
7'-O" OR LESS	4" × 3 1/2" × 5/16"
8'-O" OR LESS	5" × 3 1/2" × 5/16"
9'-0" OR LESS	5" × 3 1/2" × 3/8"
IO'-O" OR LESS	6" × 3 1/2" × 3/8"
NOTE: THI OTHERWIS	S SCHEDULE APPLIES UNLESS NOTED E ON THE PLANS AND/OR ELEVATIONS.

NOTE: STEEL ANGLE LINTELS REQUIRE A SHOP COAT OF RUST-INHIBITIVE PAINT EXCEPT FOR LINTELS MADE OF CORROSION-RESISTANT STEEL.







ALT. REAR ELEVATION

REAR ELEVATION

SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

					A R C H I T E C
	BRIAN NEEPER ARCHITECTURE P.C.	DESIGN - PLANNING - INTERIORS	BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MICHIGAN	BRIANNEEPER.COM	248.259.1784
SHEET TITLE	ELEVATIONS	WALL SECTION			PRELIMINARY
CLIENT / PROJECT	ROBERTSON HOMES	HUDSON TOWNS	20' TOWNHOUSE UNIT		SOUTH LYON, MICHIGAN
	PRELIMI BIDS	NARY 6-18	8-21		
	PERMITS FINAL	;			
	COPYRI BRIAN N ARCHITI JOB NU	GHT : IEEPEF ECTUR MBER	2021 ? E P.C	×	
			2103	88	

2020 F.I.G. S.H. EGRESS	3050 F.I.G.
	3060 F.I.G. B.H. WRAP VINYL HORIZ. SIDING
	The second secon

SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"















LOWER LEVEL PLAN **3 UNIT BUILDING**

SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"



21038 DRAWN BY BN / RR CHECKED BY SHEET NUMBER A-6



REAR ELEVATION 3 UNIT BUILDING



LEFT SIDE ELEVATION SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"



3 UNIT BUILDING



SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"





SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"







LOWER LEVEL PLAN 4 UNIT BUILDING



FIRST FLOOR PLAN 4 UNIT BUILDING



FOUNDATION PLAN 4 UNIT BUILDING





REAR ELEVATION 4 UNIT BUILDING



LEFT SIDE ELEVATION



4 UNIT BUILDING

SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"

RIGHT SIDE ELEVATION

ΰz ARCHITE 9. 2 S \sim Ƙ < Βku 4 8. NEEPEI SN - PL N വ Z S BRIAI 4 UNIT BUILDING BUILDING ELEVATIONS PRELIMINARY ທ ERTSON HOMES N TOWNS WNHOUSE UNIT ROBER HUDSON TC 20' TOWNH SOUTH LY PRELIMINARY 6-18-21 BIDS PERMITS FINAL REVISIONS

> COPYRIGHT 2021 BRIAN NEEPER ARCHITECTURE P.C.

> > 21038

BN / RR

JOB NUMBER

RAWN BY

CHECKED BY

SHEET NUMBER

A-9

SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"



SECOND FLOOR PLAN



LOWER LEVEL PLAN 5 UNIT BUILDING



ROOF PLAN



FIRST FLOOR PLAN 5 UNIT BUILDING



FOUNDATION PLAN 5 UNIT BUILDING

SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"







O ቢ 0 ш Кч ⊃ш RARCHITECTU Anning - inte 2 5 9. NEEPER BRI/ 4 8. N G Ζ -S BRIAI 5 UNIT BUILDING BUILDING ELEVATIONS PRELIMINARY ທ ERTSON HOMES N TOWNS WNHOUSE UNIT ROBER HUDSON TC 20' TOWNH PRELIMINARY 6-18-21 BIDS PERMITS FINAL REVISIONS







LOWER LEVEL PLAN **6 UNIT BUILDING**







FOUNDATION PLAN **6 UNIT BUILDING**

SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"



BRIAN 6 UNIT BUILDING BUILDING ELEVATIONS PRELIMINARY ທ ERTSON HOMES N TOWNS WNHOUSE UNIT LYON, MICHIGAN ROBER HUDSON TC 20' TOWNH PRELIMINARY 6-18-21 BIDS PERMITS FINAL REVISIONS

COPYRIGHT 2021 BRIAN NEEPER ARCHITECTURE P.C.

21038

BN / RR

JOB NUMBER

RAWN BY

CHECKED BY

SHEET NUMBER

A-13

C

ш 🕑 С ч

I NEEPER ARCHITECTUR GN - PLANNING - INTER BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MICHIGAN

G

..com 1 7 8

LD HILLS, NNEEPER. 2 5 9. 1

BRIAN 4 8.

 \sim

S ር 🖉

Summary of Comparable Listings

This page summarizes the comparable listings contained in this market analysis.

Active Listings

Address	Price	Bds	Baths	Sqft	Lot Sz	Status Date	\$/Sqft	CDOM	ADOM
957 Cottage Lane	\$552 780	з	0. 3.0	1 935		03/18/2022	\$285.67	6	6
Averages:	\$552.780	3.0	3.0	1.935		00/10/2022	\$285.67	6	6
Pending Listings	··-,· ··			.,				-	-
Address	Price	Bds	Baths	Sqft	Lot Sz	Status Date	\$/Sqft	CDOM	ADOM
			0.						
860 SYLVANWOOD Drive	\$299,900	3	2.0	1,465	0.55	03/16/2022	\$204.71	21	21
4585 BRADLEY Circle	\$329,900	2	2.1	1,541		03/22/2022	\$214.08	3	3
2925 Zana	\$349,000	3	2.1	1,650		03/22/2022	\$211.52		0
5565 FALMOUTH Drive	\$399,900	3	2.1	1,684	0.33	03/24/2022	\$237.47	15	15
4410 NAVIN FIELD LANE	\$399,900	3	2.1	1,849		03/18/2022	\$216.28	24	24
937 SYLVANWOOD Drive	\$419,900	3	2.0	1,756	0.44	03/17/2022	\$239.12	14	14
1143 SHADOW Drive	\$439,900	4	2.1	2,329	0.19	02/28/2022	\$188.88	3	3
322 ECKFORD	\$440,000	3	3.0	2,094	0.48	11/02/2021	\$210.12		11
961 COTTAGE LANE #9	\$511,557	2	2.0	1,485		11/10/2021	\$344.48		
965 Cottage Lane	\$534,894	3	3.0	1,935		03/03/2022	\$276.43		0
953 COTTAGE LANE #7	\$548,440	2	2.0	1,485		11/10/2021	\$369.32		
Averages:	\$424,845	2.8	2.2	1,752	0.40		\$246.58	10	10
Sold Listings									
Address	Price	Bds	Baths	Sqft	Lot Sz	Status Date	S\$/Sqft	CDOM	ADOM
			0.						
860 SYLVANWOOD Drive	\$212,000	3	2.0	1,465	0.61	09/29/2021	\$144.71	19	19
5283 Shrewsbury Drive	\$261,000	4	2.1	1,755	0.36	09/29/2021	\$148.72	7	7
1105 GLASER Drive	\$309,100	3	1.0	1,572	0.69	01/19/2022	\$196.63	3	3
821 BARCLAY Drive	\$310,000	4	1.1	1,671	0.22	11/01/2021	\$185.52	11	11
1271 E LONG LAKE Road	\$311,000	3	2.1	1,548	0.26	01/06/2022	\$200.90	16	16
5208 BABBIT	\$320,000	3	1.1	1,919	0.27	11/10/2021	\$166.75	42	42
4691 CHAPEL Drive	\$321,000	3	2.1	1,700	0.33	10/19/2021	\$188.82	56	56
1098 ASHLEY Drive	\$325,000	3	2.1	2,103	0.22	11/16/2021	\$154.54	6	6
4584 BRADLEY Circle	\$325,000	3	2.1	1,541		12/02/2021	\$210.90	59	45
1564 WELLING Drive	\$325,000	4	1.1	1,844	0.30	10/20/2021	\$176.25	37	37
115 WILTON Drive	\$330,000	3	1.1	1,534	0.76	03/04/2022	\$215.12	5	5
4886 HUBBARD Drive	\$330.000	4	1.1	2.081	0.28	01/07/2022	\$158.58	7	7
194 E LONG LAKE Road	\$345.000	3	2.1	1.711	0.41	10/08/2021	\$201.64	13	13
1124 MAYBERRY Drive	\$347.500	3	1.1	1.988	0.22	01/25/2022	\$174.80	14	14
453 Eckford	\$350,000	3	2.0	1.687	0.25	10/05/2021	\$207.47	3	3
4326 Tallman Drive	\$351,000	3	2.1	1,955	0.22	10/13/2021	\$179.54	3	3
1517 HAMMAN Drive	\$352,500	3	2.0	1.674	0.23	10/13/2021	\$210.57	10	10
357 Eckford Drive	\$385,000	3	2.1	2,192	0.29	01/24/2022	\$175.64	46	20
4425 CLARKE Drive	\$386,000	4	21	2 647	0.21	09/27/2021	\$145.83	30	30
1026 LORENZO Court	\$390,000	3	4 1	2 438	0.21	11/11/2021	\$159.97	2	2
1146 PLAYER Drive	\$396,000	4	21	2 168	0.25	10/27/2021	\$182.66	4	4
5207 FALMOUTH DR	\$400.000	4	21	1 632	0.20	12/15/2021	\$245.00	10	10
	\$400,000	<u>ч</u>	2.1	2 056	0.37	11/18/2021	\$101 55	Δ1	⊿1
	\$405,000	3	2.1	1 882	0.07	03/02/2021	\$215.20		
	\$405,000	⊿	2.1	2 355	0.23	10/25/2022	\$171 07	1	1
	$\psi_{-00,000}$		<u> </u>	2,000	0.41	10/20/2021	ψι/Ι.ϑ/	-	-+



Summary of Comparable Listings

This page summarizes the comparable listings contained in this market analysis.

Adjusted Comparable	e Price	\$2	12,000		\$399,900	\$408,821	\$1,035,000		49	
Comparable Price		\$2	12,000		\$399,900	\$408,821	\$1,035,000		49	
			Low		Median	Average	High	C	ount	
	Averages:	\$400,166	3.3	2.1	2,044	0.37		\$198.77	23	22
524 TRINWAY Drive		\$1,035,000	4	4.2	4,975	1.00	10/18/2021	\$208.04	7	7
795 E LONG LAKE N		\$562,000	5	4.1	3,100	0.59	10/01/2021	\$181.29	8	8
982 Cottage Lane		\$515,205	2	2.0	1,485		11/10/2021	\$346.94	169	169
968 Cottage Lane		\$504,637	3	3.0	1,935		11/10/2021	\$260.79	1	1
224 ECKFORD Drive		\$500,000	3	2.1	2,334	0.57	12/08/2021	\$214.22		0
978 Cottage Lane		\$493,686	3	3.0	1,935		12/07/2021	\$255.13	69	69
972 Cottage Lane		\$460,506	2	2.0	1,485		11/18/2021	\$310.11	116	116
5093 PRENTIS Drive		\$440,000	4	2.1	2,604	0.19	10/28/2021	\$168.97	19	19
5165 FOLKSTONE Drive	9	\$432,000	3	2.1	2,028	0.43	11/30/2021	\$213.02	8	8
1171 SHADOW Drive		\$431,000	4	3.1	2,306	0.19	10/19/2021	\$186.90	4	4
1025 Lorenzo Court		\$420,000	3	3.1	2,438		03/08/2022	\$172.27	5	5
5311 ABINGTON Drive		\$420,000	4	2.1	1,872	0.24	03/11/2022	\$224.36	3	3

On Average, the 'Sold' status comparable listings sold in 22 days for \$400,166
Summary of Comparable Listings

This page summarizes the comparable listings contained in this market analysis.

Summary

Status	Total	Avg Price	Avg \$ Per SqFt	Median	Low	High	Avg CDOM
ACTIVE	1	\$552,780	\$285.67	\$552,780	\$552,780	\$552,780	6
Coming Soon							
Contingent-CCS							
PENDING	11	\$424,845	\$246.58	\$419,900	\$299,900	\$548,440	10
SOLD	37	\$400,166	\$198.77	\$386,000	\$212,000	\$1,035,000	23
EXPIRED							
Cond WTHDRWN							
Uncd WTHDRWN							
Accepting BO							
Total	49	\$408,821	\$211.28	\$399,900	\$212,000	\$1,035,000	21



CMA Price Adjustments

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables properties.



Subject Property

MLS#		58050
Status		Active
List Price		\$552,
List Date		03/18
Sold Price	\$0	
Sold Date		
City		Troy
County		Oakla
Subdiv		Midto
ADOM		6
CDOM		6
Arch Level		1 1/2
Beds		3
Baths (F/H)		
Style		Loft
Fireplace		No
Garage		Yes
Garage Size		2 Car
Heating		Force
Cooling		Centr
Basement		Yes
Bsmt Type		
Sqft		1,935
\$LP/Sqft		\$285.
Acreage		
Lot Features		
Finish LLSF		0
Year Built		2022
Tax Amount	(W)	\$0 (S



 Details

 957 Cottage Lane

 58050068353

 Active

 \$552,780

 03/18/2022

Troy
Oakland
Midtown Crossing
6
6
1 1/2 Story
3
Loft
No
Yes
2 Car
Forced Air
Central Air
Yes
1,935
\$285.67
0
2022
\$0 (S)/\$0 (W)



 Details
 Adjust

 860 SYLVANWOOD Drive
 2220010461

 Pending
 \$299,900

 02/23/2022
 22202

0	Troy	0
0	Oakland	0
0	SYLVANWOOD GARDENS	0
	21	
	21	
0	1 Story	0
0	3	0
0/0	2/0	0 / 0
0	Ranch	0
0	Yes	0
0	Yes	0
0	1.5 Car	0
0	Forced Air	0
0	Central Air	0
0	No	0
0		0
0	1,465	0
0	\$204.71	0
0	0.55	0
0		0
0		0
0	1957	0
0/0	\$2,976 (S)/\$1,085 (W)	0/0

Price	\$552,780	\$299,900
Total Adjustments	\$0	\$0
Adjusted Price	\$552,780	\$299,900

CMA Price Adjustments

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables properties.



\$0

Subject Property

MLS#

Status

List Price

List Date

-

Details 4585 BRADLEY Circle 2220017845 Pending \$329,900 03/19/2022



Adjust Details <u>Adjust</u> 2925 Zana 2220019475 Pending \$349,000 03/22/2022

Sold Price	\$0					
Sold Date						
City		Troy	0	Troy	0	
County		Oakland	0	Oakland	0	
Subdiv		BRADLEY SQUARE OCCF	0		0	
ADOM		3		0		
CDOM		3		0		
Arch Level		3 Story	0	3 Story	0	
Beds		2	0	3	0	
Baths (F/H)		2/1	0/0	2 / 1	0/0	
Style		End Unit, Townhouse	0	Townhouse	0	
Fireplace		No	0	No	0	
Garage		Yes	0	Yes	0	
Garage Size		2 Car	0	2 Car	0	
Heating		Forced Air	0	Forced Air	0	
Cooling		Central Air	0		0	
Basement		No	0	No	0	
Bsmt Type			0		0	
Sqft		1,541	0	1,650	0	
\$LP/Sqft		\$214.08	0	\$211.52	0	
Acreage			0		0	
Lot Features			0		0	
Finish LLSF			0		0	
Year Built		2018	0	2022	0	
Tax Amount	(W)	\$4,324 (S)/\$982 (W)	0/0	\$1,200 (S)/\$500 (W)	0/0	

Price	\$329,900	\$349,000
Total Adjustments	\$0	\$0
Adjusted Price	\$329,900	\$349,000





CMA Price Adjustments

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables properties.



Subject Property

MI 64	2220014482
	ZZZ001440Z Donding
Status	Fending ¢200.000
List Price	\$399,900
List Date	03/09/2022
Sold Price \$0	
Sold Date	
City	Troy
County	Oakland
Subdiv	SYLVAN GLEN SU
ADOM	15
CDOM	15
Arch Level	1 Story
Beds	3
Baths (F/H)	2 / 1
Style	Ranch
Fireplace	Yes
Garage	Yes
Garage Size	2 Car
Heating	Forced Air
Cooling	Ceiling Fan(s), Cer
Basement	Yes
Bsmt Type	Finished
Saft	1,684
\$LP/Saft	\$237.47
Acreage	0.33
Lot Features	
Finish LLSF	1,000
Year Built	1973
Tax Amount (W)	\$3,551 (S)/\$666 (V



<u>Details</u> 5565 FALMOUTH Drive

roy	
Dakland	
SYLVAN GLEN SUB	
5	
5	
Story	
i	
2/1	0
Ranch	
/es	
/es	
Car	
Forced Air	
Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air	
⁄es	
inished	
,684	
237.47	
.33	
,000	
973	
3,551 (S)/\$666 (W)	



Details <u>Adjust</u> 4410 NAVIN FIELD LANE 2220006238 Pending \$399,900 01/30/2022

0	Troy	0	
0	Oakland	0	
0	BRIGGS PARK CONDO O	0	
	24		
	24		
0	2 Story	0	
0	3	0	
0/0	2/1	0 / 0	
0	Townhouse	0	
0	Yes	0	
0	Yes	0	
0	2 Car	0	
0	Forced Air	0	
0	Central Air	0	
0	Yes	0	
0	Finished	0	
0	1,849	0	
0	\$216.28	0	
0		0	
0		0	
0	947	0	
0	2006	0	
0/0	\$3,800 (S)/\$855 (W)	0/0	

Price	\$399,900	\$399,900
Total Adjustments	\$0	\$0
Adjusted Price	\$399,900	\$399,900



CMA Price Adjustments

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables properties.



\$0

Subject Property

MLS#

Status

List Price

List Date

Sold Price

	the state		M
	All and		P
TOT	1.2.1		
	8		
Real Estate One Details	and and	2	Adiust

Details 937 SYLVANWOOD Drive 2220014301 Pending \$419,900 03/03/2022



 Details
 Adjust

 1143 SHADOW Drive
 2220012721

 Pending
 \$439,900

 02/25/2022
 222022

Sold Date						
City		Troy	0	Troy	0	
County		Oakland	0	Oakland	0	
Subdiv		SYLVANWOOD GARDENS	0	SHALLOWBROOK SUB	0	
ADOM		14		3		
CDOM		14		3		
Arch Level		1 Story	0	2 Story	0	
Beds		3	0	4	0	
Baths (F/H)		2 / 0	0/0	2 / 1	0/0	
Style		Ranch	0	Colonial	0	
Fireplace		Yes	0	Yes	0	
Garage		Yes	0	Yes	0	
Garage Size		2 Car	0	2 Car	0	
Heating		Forced Air	0	Forced Air	0	
Cooling		Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air	0		0	
Basement		Yes	0	Yes	0	
Bsmt Type		Unfinished	0	Finished	0	
Sqft		1,756	0	2,329	0	
\$LP/Sqft		\$239.12	0	\$188.88	0	
Acreage		0.44	0	0.19	0	
Lot Features			0		0	
Finish LLSF			0	800	0	
Year Built		1994	0	1975	0	
Tax Amount	(W)	\$3,318 (S)/\$622 (W)	0/0	\$2,964 (S)/\$556 (W)	0/0	

Price	\$419,900	\$439,900
Total Adjustments	\$0	\$0
Adjusted Price	\$419,900	\$439,900



CMA Price Adjustments

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables properties.



		anne
and the second	and the second	



Subject Prope	erty	Details	Adjust	Details	Adjust
		322 ECKFORD		961 COTTAGE LANE #9	
MLS#		58050058818		58050060440	
Status		Pending		Pending	
List Price		\$440,000		\$511,557	
List Date		10/22/2021		11/10/2021	
Sold Price	\$0				
Sold Date					
City		TROY	0	TROY	0
County		Oakland	0	Oakland	0
Subdiv		STREAMVIEW	0	MIDTOWN CROSSING	0
ADOM		11			
CDOM					
Arch Level		1 Story	0	1 Story	0
Beds		3	0	2	0
Baths (F/H)		3/0	0/0	2/0	0 / 0
Style		Ranch	0	Ranch	0
Fireplace		Yes	0		0
Garage		Yes	0	Yes	0
Garage Size		2 Car	0	2 Car	0
Heating		Forced Air	0	Forced Air	0
Cooling		Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air	0	Central Air	0
Basement		Yes	0	Yes	0
Bsmt Type		Finished, Walkout Access	0		0
Saft		2,094	0	1,485	0
\$LP/Saft		\$210.12	0	\$344.48	0
Acreage		0.48	0		0
Lot Features			0		0
Finish LLSF		1,000	0	0	0
Year Built		1980	0	2021	0
Tax Amount	(W)	\$825 (S)/\$3,630 (W)	0/0	\$0 (S)/\$0 (W)	0/0
	· ·				

Price	\$440,000	\$511,557
Total Adjustments	\$0	\$0
Adjusted Price	\$440,000	\$511,557



CMA Price Adjustments This page outlines the subject property versus comparables properties.



No Pic	ture Available				
Subject Prop	erty	Details 965 Cottage Lane	Adjust	Details 953 COTTAGE LANE #7	Adjust
MLS#		58050067127		58050060434	
Status		Pending		Pending	
List Price		\$534,894		\$548,440	
List Date		03/03/2022		11/10/2021	
Sold Price	\$0				
Sold Date					
City		Troy	0	TROY	0
County		Oakland	0	Oakland	0
Subdiv		Midtown Crossing	0	MIDTOWN CROSSING	0
ADOM		0			
CDOM		0			
Arch Level		1 1/2 Story	0	1 Story	0
Beds		3	0	2	0
Baths (F/H)			0 / 0	2/0	0 / 0
Style		Loft	0	Ranch	0
Fireplace		No	0		0
Garage		Yes	0	Yes	0
Garage Size		2 Car	0	2 Car	0
Heating		Forced Air	0	Forced Air	0
Cooling		Central Air	0	Central Air	0
Basement		Yes	0	Yes	0
Bsmt Type			0	Finished	0
Saft		1,935	0	1,485	0
\$LP/Sqft		\$276.43	0	\$369.32	0
Acreage			0		0
Lot Features			0		0
Finish LLSF		0	0	0	0
Year Built		2022	0	2021	0
Tax Amount	(W)	\$0 (S)/\$0 (W)	0/0	\$0 (S)/\$0 (W)	0/0

Price	\$534,894	\$548,440
Total Adjustments	\$0	\$0
Adjusted Price	\$534,894	\$548,440
	Researched and p	repared by James Clarke



CMA Price Adjustments

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables properties.



Subject Property

\$0

(W)

MLS# Status List Price List Date

Sold Price Sold Date City County Subdiv ADOM CDOM Arch Level Beds Baths (F/H) Style Fireplace Garage Garage Size Heating Cooling **Basement Bsmt Type** Sqft \$LP/Sqft Acreage Lot Features **Finish LLSF** Year Built Tax Amount

	- IP		S. Ca	200
			18	
1772				
		in s	A.	
ALC: NO	-	Artel	N. 10	1.44
2				
		1		
	10-0	-	To an	
C.		Contraction of the	ans.	
Carlie 18	et al We	Verlag	Rest	1.1

	Council State West Visites A Restarts		
-	Details	Adjust	Details
	2210066056		5283 SI
	Sold		Sold
	\$229.900		\$265.00
	08/11/2021		09/07/2
	\$212.000		\$261.00
	09/29/2021		09/29/2
	Troy	0	Troy
	Oakland	0	Oaklan
	SYLVANWOOD GARDENS	0	SYLVA
	19		7
	19		7
	1 Story	0	2 Story
	3	0	4
	2/0	0 / 0	2/1
	Ranch	0	Colonia
	Yes	0	Yes
	Yes	0	Yes
	2 Car	0	2 Car
	Forced Air	0	Forced
	Central Air	0	Ceiling
	No	0	Yes
		0	Partially
	1,465	0	1,755
	\$156.93	0	\$151.00
	0.61	0	0.36
		0	
		0	425
	1957	0	1973
	\$2,937 (S)/\$1,085 (W)	0/0	\$3,060



<u>ist</u>	Details 5283 Shrewsbury Drive 2210075076	<u>Adjust</u>
	Sold	
	\$265,000	
	09/07/2021	
	\$261,000	
	09/29/2021	
0	Troy	0
0	Oakland	0
0	SYLVAN GLEN SUB	0
	7	
	7	
0	2 Story	0
0	4	0
/ 0	2 / 1	0 / 0
0	Colonial	0
0	Yes	0
0	Yes	0
0	2 Car	0
0	Forced Air	0
0	Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air	0
0	Yes	0
0	Partially Finished	0
0	1,755	0
0	\$151.00	0
0	0.36	0
0		0
0	425	0
0	1973	0
0/0	\$3,060 (S)/\$689 (W)	0/0

Price	\$212,000	\$261,000
Total Adjustments	\$0	\$0
Adjusted Price	\$212,000	\$261,000



Details

Thursday, March 24, 2022

CMA Price Adjustments This page outlines the subject property versus comparables properties.



Subject Property



MI S#		1105 GLASER Drive 2220000128		821 BARCLAY Drive		
Statue		Sold		Sold		
l ist Price		\$309.900		\$300.000		
List Nato		01/04/2022		10/04/2021		
	\$0	\$309.100		\$310.000		
Sold Date		01/19/2022		11/01/2021		
City		Troy	0	Troy	0	
County		Oakland	0	Oakland	0	
Subdiv		ROCHESTER ROAD FARM	0	CYPRESS GARDENS SUE	0	
ADOM		3		11		
CDOM		3		11		
Arch Level		2 Story	0	2 Story	0	
Beds		3	0	4	0	
Baths (F/H)		1/0	0/0	1/1	0/0	
Style		Colonial	0	Colonial	0	
Fireplace		No	0	Yes	0	
Garage		Yes	0	Yes	0	
Garage Size		1.5 Car	0	2 Car	0	
Heating		Forced Air	0	Forced Air	0	
Cooling		Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air	0	Central Air	0	
Basement		Yes	0	No	0	
Bsmt Type		Interior Access Only, Unfinis	0		0	
Sqft		1,572	0	1,671	0	
\$LP/Sqft		\$197.14	0	\$179.53	0	
Acreage		0.69	0	0.22	0	
Lot Features			0		0	
Finish LLSF		4050	0	4074	0	
Year Built		1950	0		0	
Tax Amount	(VV)	φ∠,ou∠ (S)/\$031 (VV)	0/0	\$4,3∠0 (S)/\$1,3/7 (VV)	0/0	

Price	\$309,100	\$310,000
Total Adjustments	\$0	\$0
Adjusted Price	\$309,100	\$310,000





CMA Price Adjustments

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables properties.



Subject Pr

E and	-
	-
	-
	Realcomp



Subject Prope	erty		<u>Adjust</u>	Details	<u>Adjust</u>
		12/1 E LONG LAKE Road		5208 BABBIT	
MLS#		2210097422		2210078483	
Status		Sold		Sold	
List Price		\$309,900		\$334,900	
List Date		11/23/2021		09/17/2021	
Sold Price	\$0	\$311,000		\$320,000	
Sold Date		01/06/2022		11/10/2021	
City		Troy	0	Troy	0
County		Oakland	0	Oakland	0
Subdiv		EAST LONG LAKE ESTATI	0	EAST LONG LAKE ESTATI	0
ADOM		16		42	
CDOM		16		42	
Arch Level		1 Story	0	2 Story	0
Beds		3	0	3	0
Baths (F/H)		2 / 1	0 / 0	1/1	0 / 0
Style		Ranch	0	Colonial	0
Fireplace		Yes	0	No	0
Garage		Yes	0	Yes	0
Garage Size		2 Car	0	2 Car	0
Heating		Forced Air	0	Forced Air	0
Cooling		Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air	0	Central Air	0
Basement		Yes	0	Yes	0
Bsmt Type		Finished	0	Unfinished	0
Sqft		1,548	0	1,919	0
\$LP/Sqft		\$200.19	0	\$174.52	0
Acreage		0.26	0	0.27	0
Lot Features			0		0
Finish LLSF		1,548	0		0
Year Built		1972	0	1969	0
Tax Amount	(W)	\$0 (S)/\$0 (W)	0/0	\$2,727 (S)/\$614 (W)	0/0

Price	\$311,000	\$320,000
Total Adjustments	\$0	\$0
Adjusted Price	\$311,000	\$320,000





CMA Price Adjustments

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables properties.



\$0

(W)

Tax Amount

Subject Property

MLS# Status List Price List Date

Sold Price Sold Date City County Subdiv ADOM CDOM Arch Level Beds

Baths (F/H) Style Fireplace Garage Garage Size Heating Cooling Basement Bsmt Type Sqft \$LP/Sqft Acreage Lot Features Finish LLSF Year Built

2 · · · · ·			A.
	之情	¥ .	C.
		ING.	
Real Estate One	1		Ressona

<u>Details</u>	<u>Adju</u>
4691 CHAPEL Drive	
2210068538	
Sold	
\$324,900	
08/18/2021	
\$321,000	
10/19/2021	
Troy	
Oakland	
LONG LAKE VILLAGE SUE	
56	
56	
1 Story	
3	
2 / 1	0
Ranch	
Yes	
Yes	
2.5 Car	
Forced Air	
Attic Fan, Ceiling Fan(s), Ce	
Yes	
Unfinished	
1,700	
\$191.12	
0.33	
Sprinkler(s)	
1970	
\$2,850 (S)/\$648 (W)	C



<u>ust</u>	Details 1098 ASHLEY Drive 2210089372	<u>Adjust</u>	
	Sold		
	\$329,900		
	10/24/2021		
	\$325,000		
	11/16/2021		
0	Troy	0	
0	Oakland	0	
0	EAST LONG LAKE ESTATI	0	
	6		
	6		
0	2 Story	0	
0	3	0	
0/0	2/1	0 / 0	
0	Colonial	0	
0	Yes	0	
0	Yes	0	
0	2 Car	0	
0	Forced Air	0	
0	Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air	0	
0	Yes	0	
0	Partially Finished	0	
0	2,103	0	
0	\$156.87	0	
0	0.22	0	
0		0	
0	600	0	
0	1969	0	
0/0	\$3,987 (S)/\$898 (W)	0/0	





CMA Price Adjustments

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables properties.



\$0

Subject Property

MLS# Status List Price List Date

Sold Price Sold Date City County Subdiv ADOM CDOM Arch Level Beds

Baths (F/H) Style Fireplace Garage Garage Size Heating Cooling Basement Bsmt Type Sqft \$LP/Sqft Acreage Lot Features Finish LLSF Year Built

Tax Amount

<u>Details</u>	<u>Adjust</u>

4584 BRADLEY Circle 2210087558	
Sold	
\$335,000	
10/18/2021	
\$325,000	
12/02/2021	
Troy	
Oakland	
BRADLEY SQUARE OCCF	
45	
59	
3 Story	
3	
2/1	0
Townhouse	
No	
Yes	
2 Car	
Forced Air	
Central Air	
No	
1,541	
\$217.39	
2018	
\$4,570 (S)/\$1,029 (W)	(



<u>ust</u>	Details 1564 WELLING Drive 2210068093	<u>Adjust</u>	
	Sold		
	\$320,000		
	08/19/2021		
	\$325,000		
	10/20/2021		
0	Troy	0	
0	Oakland	0	
0	LONG LAKE VILLAGE SUE	0	
	37		
	37		
0	2 Story	0	
0	4	0	
0/0	1/1	0 / 0	
0	Colonial	0	
0	Yes	0	
0	Yes	0	
0	2 Car	0	
0	Forced Air	0	
0	Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air	0	
0	Yes	0	
0	Finished	0	
0	1,844	0	
0	\$173.54	0	
0	0.30	0	
0		0	
0	253	0	
0	1973	0	
0/0	\$5,502 (S)/\$1,290 (W)	0/0	

Price	\$325,000	\$325,000
Total Adjustments	\$0	\$0
Adjusted Price	\$325,000	\$325,000



CMA Price Adjustments

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables properties.



\$0

(W)

Tax Amount

Subject Property

MLS# Status List Price List Date

Sold Price Sold Date City County Subdiv ADOM CDOM Arch Level Beds Baths (F/H) Style Fireplace Garage Garage Size Heating Cooling **Basement** Bsmt Type Sqft \$LP/Sqft Acreage Lot Features **Finish LLSF** Year Built

	S.F		8		
L'ANNER AND	Sile.	10.56	the	NW STATE	War-
Mark	S YLL	- NON	Kie	AV BALL	1 and
No. Washington		198		Alla State	and the
Elle	1	-			
	and the second second			and the second se	

<u>Details</u>	<u>Adjus</u> t
115 WILTON Drive	
2220005885	
Sold	
\$300,000	
02/01/2022	
\$330,000	
03/04/2022	
Troy	C
Oakland	C
BELZAIR SUB	C
5	
5	
1 Story	C
3	C
1 / 1	0 / 0
Ranch	C
Yes	C
Yes	C
2.5 Car	C
Baseboard	C
Central Air	C
No	C
	C
1,534	C
\$195.57	C
0.76	C
Corner Lot	C
	C
1956	C
\$3,242 (S)/\$730 (W)	0/0



<u>ust</u>	Details 4886 HUBBARD Drive 2210101060	<u>Adjust</u>	
	Sold		
	\$324,500		
	12/13/2021		
	\$330,000		
	01/07/2022		
0	Troy	0	
0	Oakland	0	
0	LONG LAKE VILLAGE SUE	0	
	7		
	7		
0	2 Story	0	
0	4	0	
0/0	1 / 1	0 / 0	
0	Colonial	0	
0	Yes	0	
0	Yes	0	
0	2 Car	0	
0	Forced Air	0	
0	Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air	0	
0	Yes	0	
0	Unfinished	0	
0	2,081	0	
0	\$155.93	0	
0	0.28	0	
0		0	
0		0	
0	1971	0	
0/0	\$2,874 (S)/\$647 (W)	0/0	





Thursday, March 24, 2022

CMA Price Adjustments

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables properties.



\$0

(W)

Subject Property

MLS# Status List Price List Date

Sold Price Sold Date City County Subdiv ADOM CDOM Arch Level Beds Baths (F/H) Style Fireplace Garage Garage Size Heating Cooling **Basement Bsmt Type** Sqft \$LP/Sqft Acreage Lot Features **Finish LLSF** Year Built Tax Amount

L		The second	T able
		all	1 A
<u>nein</u>	inde "	de signe	

<u>Details</u>	<u>Adju</u>
194 E LONG LAKE Road	
2210069365	
Sold	
\$365,000	
08/20/2021	
\$345,000	
10/08/2021	
Troy	
Oakland	
13	
13	
1 Story	
3	
2/1	0 /
Ranch	
Yes	
Yes	
2 Car	
Forced Air	
Central Air	
Yes	
Unfinished	
1,711	
\$213.33	
0.41	
Level	
1986	
\$2,479 (S)/\$558 (W)	0



st	Details 1124 MAYBERRY Drive	Adjust	
	2210102626		
	Sold		
	\$320,000		
	12/21/2021		
	\$347,500		
	01/25/2022		
0	Troy	0	
0	Oakland	0	
0	EAST LONG LAKE ESTATI	0	
	14		
	14		
0	2 Story	0	
0	3	0	
/ 0	1/1	0 / 0	
0	Colonial	0	
0	Yes	0	
0	Yes	0	
0	2 Car	0	
0	Forced Air	0	
0	Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air	0	
0	Yes	0	
0	Finished	0	
0	1,988	0	
0	\$160.97	0	
0	0.22	0	
0		0	
0	700	0	
0	1969	0	
0/0	\$3,483 (S)/\$784 (W)	0/0	

Price	\$345,000	\$347,500
Total Adjustments	\$0	\$0
Adjusted Price	\$345,000	\$347,500



N IA TO

CMA Price Adjustments

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables properties.



\$0

Subject Property

MLS# Status List Price List Date

Sold Price Sold Date City County Subdiv ADOM CDOM Arch Level Beds Baths (F/H) Style Fireplace Garage Garage Size Heating Cooling **Basement Bsmt Type** Sqft \$LP/Sqft Acreage Lot Features **Finish LLSF** Year Built

Tax Amount

aller 1	

Details 453 Eckford 58050053039	<u>Adjust</u>	Details 4326 Tallman Drive 2210075523	Adjust
Sold		Sold	
\$349,900		\$315,000	
08/25/2021		09/16/2021	
\$350,000		\$351,000	
10/05/2021		10/13/2021	
Troy	0	Troy	0
Oakland	0	Oakland	0
Streamview	0	CYPRESS GARDENS SUE	0
3		3	
3		3	
1 Story	0	2 Story	0
3	0	3	0
	0 / 0	2 / 1	0 / 0
Ranch	0	Colonial	0
Yes	0	Yes	0
Yes	0	Yes	0
2 Car	0	2 Car	0
Forced Air	0	Forced Air	0
Central Air	0		0
Yes	0	No	0
Unfinished	0		0
1,687	0	1,955	0
\$207.41	0	\$161.13	0
0.25	0	0.22	0
	0		0
0	0		0
1982	0	1970	0
\$3,165 (S)/\$719 (W)	0/0	\$3,759 (S)/\$846 (W)	0/0

Price	\$350,000	\$351,000
Total Adjustments	\$0	\$0
Adjusted Price	\$350,000	\$351,000



CMA Price Adjustments

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables properties.



\$0

Subject Property

MLS# Status List Price List Date

Sold Price Sold Date City County Subdiv ADOM CDOM Arch Level Beds

Baths (F/H) Style Fireplace Garage Garage Size Heating Cooling Basement Bsmt Type Sqft \$LP/Sqft Acreage Lot Features Finish LLSF Year Built

Tax Amount

	1000
	Anthe
	1 and
Real Estate One	Tescono

<u>Details</u>	<u>Adju</u>
1517 HAMMAN Drive	
2210067028	
Sold	
\$359,900	
08/13/2021	
\$352,500	
10/13/2021	
Troy	
Oakland	
LONG LAKE VILLAGE SUE	
10	
10	
1 Story	
3	
2/0	0
Ranch	
Yes	
Yes	
2.5 Car	
ENERGY STAR® Qualified	
Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air	
Yes	
Partially Finished	
1,674	
\$214.99	
0.23	
Level, Sprinkler(s)	
780	
1974	
\$3,920 (S)/\$890 (W)	C



<u>ust</u>	Details 357 Eckford Drive 2210088570	<u>Adjust</u>	
	Sold		
	\$390,000		
	10/21/2021		
	\$385,000		
	01/24/2022		
0	Troy	0	
0	Oakland	0	
0	Streamview Sub	0	
	20		
	46		
0	2 Story	0	
0	3	0	
0/0	2 / 1	0 / 0	
0	Colonial	0	
0	Yes	0	
0	Yes	0	
0	2 Car	0	
0	Forced Air	0	
0	Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air	0	
0	Yes	0	
0	Finished	0	
0	2,192	0	
0	\$177.92	0	
0	0.29	0	
0		0	
0	601	0	
0	1983	0	
0/0	\$5,593 (S)/\$2,066 (W)	0/0	

Price	\$352,500	\$385,000
Total Adjustments	\$0	\$0
Adjusted Price	\$352,500	\$385,000



CMA Price Adjustments

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables properties.



Subject Prope	erty	<u>Details</u>	Adjust	Deta
		4425 CLARKE Drive		1026
MLS#		2210063850		2210
Status		Sold		Sold
List Price		\$399,900		\$379
List Date		08/05/2021		10/14
Sold Price	\$0	\$386,000		\$390
Sold Date		09/27/2021		11/11
City		Troy	0	Troy
County		Oakland	0	Oakl
Subdiv		SHALLOWBROOK SUB	0	FOU
ADOM		30		2
CDOM		30		2
Arch Level		2 Story	0	2 Sto
Beds		4	0	3
Baths (F/H)		2 / 1	0/0	4 / 1
Style		Colonial	0	Colo
Fireplace		Yes	0	Yes
Garage		Yes	0	Yes
Garage Size		2 Car	0	2 Ca
Heating		Forced Air	0	Force
Cooling		Attic Fan, Ceiling Fan(s), Ce	0	Ceilir
Basement		Yes	0	Yes
Bsmt Type		Partially Finished	0	Finis
Sqft		2,647	0	2,438
\$LP/Sqft		\$151.08	0	\$155
Acreage		0.21	0	
Lot Features		Sprinkler(s)	0	Sprir
Finish LLSF		450	0	1,46
Year Built		1977	0	2004
Tax Amount	(W)	\$3,230 (S)/\$734 (W)	0/0	\$4,29



<u>st</u>	Details 1026 LORENZO Court 2210075037	<u>Adjust</u>	
	Sold		
	\$379,900		
	10/14/2021		
	\$390,000		
	11/11/2021		
0	Troy	0	
0	Oakland	0	
0	FOUNTAIN PARK TROY C	0	
	2		
	2		
0	2 Story	0	
0	3	0	
/ 0	4 / 1	0 / 0	
0	Colonial	0	
0	Yes	0	
0	Yes	0	
0	2 Car	0	
0	Forced Air	0	
0	Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air	0	
0	Yes	0	
0	Finished	0	
0	2,438	0	
0	\$155.82	0	
0		0	
0	Sprinkler(s)	0	
0	1,461	0	
0	2004	0	
)/0	\$4,295 (S)/\$967 (W)	0/0	

Price	\$386,000	\$390,000
Total Adjustments	\$0	\$0
Adjusted Price	\$386,000	\$390,000





CMA Price Adjustments

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables properties.



\$0

Subject Property

MLS# Status List Price List Date

Sold Price Sold Date City County Subdiv ADOM CDOM Arch Level Beds Baths (F/H) Style Fireplace Garage Garage Size Heating Cooling **Basement Bsmt Type** Sqft \$LP/Sqft Acreage Lot Features **Finish LLSF** Year Built

Tax Amount

Details	Reacomp

1146 PLAYER Drive 2210080937	-
Sold	
\$385,000	
09/26/2021	
\$396,000	
10/27/2021	
Troy	
Oakland	
GOLF TRAIL SUB	
4	
4	
2 Story	
4	
2/1	(
Colonial	
Yes	
Yes	
2 Car	
Forced Air	
Central Air	
Yes	
Unfinished	
2,168	
\$177.58	
0.25	
4077	
\$4,341 (S)/\$977 (VV)	



<u>ust</u>	<u>Details</u> 5207 FALMOUTH DR 2210091592	<u>Adjust</u>
	Sold	
	\$350,000	
	11/05/2021	
	\$400,000	
	12/15/2021	
0	Troy	0
0	Oakland	0
0	SYLVAN GLEN SUB	0
	10	
	10	
0	1 Story	0
0	4	0
/0	2/1	0 / 0
0	Ranch, Split Level	0
0	Yes	0
0	Yes	0
0	2 Car	0
0	Forced Air	0
0	Central Air	0
0	Yes	0
0	Finished	0
0	1,632	0
0	\$214.46	0
0	0.37	0
0		0
0	750	0
0	1972	0
0/0	\$3.935 (S)/\$870 (W)	0/0

Price	\$396,000	\$400,000
Total Adjustments	\$0	\$0
Adjusted Price	\$396,000	\$400,000



CMA Price Adjustments

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables properties.



\$0

(W)

Subject Property

MLS# Status List Price List Date

Sold Price Sold Date City County Subdiv ADOM CDOM Arch Level Beds Baths (F/H) Style Fireplace Garage Garage Size Heating Cooling **Basement Bsmt Type** Sqft \$LP/Sqft Acreage Lot Features **Finish LLSF** Year Built Tax Amount

September 19	Constant Production

4359 WILLOW CREEK Drive	<u>Auju</u>
2210077727	
Sold	
\$418,900	
09/15/2021	
\$400,000	
11/18/2021	
Troy	
Oakland	
SHALLOWBROOK SUB	
41	
41	
2 Story	
3	
2/1	0 /
Colonial	
Yes	
Yes	
2 Car	
Forced Air	
Central Air	
Yes	
Finished	
2,056	
\$203.75	
0.37	
0.050	
2,050	
	0
yo,490 (O)/\$/0/ (VV)	0,



<u>st</u>	<u>Details</u> 935 TRINWAY Drive 2220013857	<u>Adjust</u>
	Sold	
	\$415,000	
	03/01/2022	
	\$405,000	
	03/02/2022	
0	Troy	0
0	Oakland	0
0		0
	1	
	1	
0	1 Story	0
0	3	0
/ 0	2 / 1	0 / 0
0	Ranch	0
0	Yes	0
0	Yes	0
0	2 Car	0
0	Forced Air	0
0	Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air	0
0	Yes	0
0	Partially Finished	0
0	1,882	0
0	\$220.51	0
0	0.29	0
0	Sprinkler(s)	0
0	1,854	0
0	1998	0
)/0	\$5,210 (S)/\$977 (W)	0/0

Price	\$400,000	\$405,000
Total Adjustments	\$0	\$0
Adjusted Price	\$400,000	\$405,000



Thursday, March 24, 2022

CMA Price Adjustments

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables properties.



\$0

Subject Property

MLS#

Status

List Price

List Date

Sold Price

Sold Date

City

County

Subdiv

ADOM

CDOM

Beds

Style

Arch Level

Baths (F/H)

Fireplace

Garage Size

Garage

Heating

Cooling

Sqft

Basement

Bsmt Type

\$LP/Sqft

Acreage Lot Features

Finish LLSF Year Built

Tax Amount

33	THE	N A
	A TA NOT	
	And the second s	Report



Details 4856 ALTON Drive 2210078882	<u>Adjust</u>	Details 5311 ABINGTON Drive 2220008974	<u>Adjust</u>
Sold		Sold	
\$384.500		\$389.900	
09/20/2021		02/10/2022	
\$405.000		\$420.000	
10/25/2021		03/11/2022	
Troy	0	Troy	0
Oakland	0	Oakland	0
LONG LAKE VILLAGE SUE	0	EAST LONG LAKE ESTATI	0
4		3	
4		3	
2 Story	0	2 Story	0
4	0	4	0
2/1	0 / 0	2 / 1	0 / 0
Colonial	0	Colonial	0
Yes	0	No	0
Yes	0	Yes	0
2 Car	0	2.5 Car	0
Forced Air	0	Forced Air	0
Attic Fan, Ceiling Fan(s), Ce	0	Central Air	0
Yes	0	Yes	0
Finished	0	Finished	0
2,355	0	1,872	0
\$163.27	0	\$208.28	0
0.41	0	0.24	0
	0		0
800	0	700	0
1971	0	1969	0
\$3,091 (S)/\$696 (W)	0/0	\$2,811 (S)/\$633 (W)	0/0

Price	\$405,000	\$420,000
Total Adjustments	\$0	\$0
Adjusted Price	\$405,000	\$420,000



CMA Price Adjustments

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables properties.



\$0

Subject Property

MLS# Status List Price List Date

Sold Price Sold Date City County Subdiv ADOM CDOM Arch Level Beds Baths (F/H) Style Fireplace Garage Garage Size Heating Cooling **Basement Bsmt Type** Sqft \$LP/Sqft Acreage Lot Features **Finish LLSF** Year Built

Tax Amount

	/		
	(***)	real and	

Details 1025 Lorenzo Court 2220004227	<u>Adju</u>
Sold	
\$394.900	
01/27/2022	
\$420.000	
03/08/2022	
Troy	
Oakland	
FOUNTAIN PARK TROY C	
5	
5	
2 Story	
3	
3 / 1	0 /
End Unit	
Yes	
Yes	
2 Car	
Forced Air	
Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air	
Yes	
Unfinished	
2,438	
\$161.98	
2004	
2004 \$5,004 (S)/\$1,857 (W/)	ſ
ψυ,υσ+(Ο)/φΙ,ΟΟΙ (ΝΛ)	L L



<u>ist</u>	Details 1171 SHADOW Drive	<u>Adjust</u>	
	2210070409 Sold		
	\$010 \$425,000		
	\$425,000 00/47/2024		
	09/17/2021		
	\$431,000		
0	10/19/2021	0	
0	Troy	0	
0		0	
0	SHALLOWBROOK SUB	0	
	4		
•	4	•	
0	2 Story	0	
0	4	0	
/0	3/1	0/0	
0	Colonial	0	
0	Yes	0	
0	Yes	0	
0	2 Car	0	
0	Forced Air	0	
0	Ceiling Fan(s), ENERGY ST	0	
0	Yes	0	
0	Finished	0	
0	2,306	0	
0	\$184.30	0	
0	0.19	0	
0	Wooded	0	
0	750	0	
0	1977	0	
)/0	\$3.192 (S)/\$718 (W)	0/0	

Price	\$420,000	\$431,000
Total Adjustments	\$0	\$0
Adjusted Price	\$420,000	\$431,000



CMA Price Adjustments

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables properties.



\$0

Subject Property

MLS# Status List Price List Date

Sold Price Sold Date City County Subdiv ADOM CDOM Arch Level Beds

Baths (F/H) Style Fireplace Garage Garage Size Heating Cooling Basement Bsmt Type Sqft \$LP/Sqft Acreage Lot Features Finish LLSF Year Built

Tax Amount

Details	A	djust

5165 FOLKSTONE Drive 2210096441	
Sold	
\$459,900	
11/19/2021	
\$432,000	
11/30/2021	
Troy	
Oakland	
SYLVAN GLEN SUB	
8	
8	
1 Story	
3	
2/1	0 /
Ranch	
Yes	
Yes	
2 Car	
Forced Air	
Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air	
Yes	
Finished, Interior Access On	
2,028	
\$226.78	
0.43	
1,500	
1972	
\$3,399 (S)/\$765 (W)	0



<u>ust</u>	Details 5093 PRENTIS Drive 2210082836	<u>Adjust</u>	
	Sold		
	\$434,900		
	10/01/2021		
	\$440,000		
	10/28/2021		
0	Troy	0	
0	Oakland	0	
0	EAST LONG LAKE ESTATI	0	
	19		
	19		
0	3 Story	0	
0	4	0	
0/0	2 / 1	0 / 0	
0	Colonial, Contemporary	0	
0	Yes	0	
0	Yes	0	
0	2 Car	0	
0	Forced Air	0	
0	Attic Fan, Ceiling Fan(s), Ce	0	
0	Yes	0	
0	Finished, Interior Access On	0	
0	2,604	0	
0	\$167.01	0	
0	0.19	0	
0		0	
0	850	0	
0	1974	0	
0/0	\$5,862 (S)/\$2,138 (W)	0/0	

Price	\$432,000	\$440,000
Total Adjustments	\$0	\$0
Adjusted Price	\$432,000	\$440,000





CMA Price Adjustments

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables properties.



\$0

(W)

Subject Property

MLS# Status List Price List Date

Sold Price Sold Date City County Subdiv ADOM CDOM Arch Level Beds Baths (F/H) Style Fireplace Garage Garage Size Heating Cooling **Basement Bsmt Type** Sqft \$LP/Sqft Acreage Lot Features **Finish LLSF** Year Built Tax Amount

18. D	

<u>Details</u>	<u>Adju</u>
972 Cottage Lane	
58050032837	
Sold	
\$460,506	
01/25/2021	
\$460,506	
11/18/2021	
Troy	
Oakland	
Midtown Crossing	
116	
116	
1 Story	
2	
	0 /
Ranch	
No	
Yes	
2 Car	
Forced Air	
Central Air	
Yes	
1,485	
\$310.11	
0	
2021	
\$0 (S)/\$0 (W)	0



<u>ust</u>	<u>Details</u> 978 Cottage Lane	<u>Adjust</u>
	58050030908	
	Sold	
	\$493,686	
	12/16/2020	
	\$493,686	
	12/07/2021	
0	Troy	0
0	Oakland	0
0	Midtown Crossing	0
	69	
	69	
0	1 1/2 Story	0
0	3	0
/ 0		0 / 0
0	Ranch	0
0	No	0
0	Yes	0
0	2 Car	0
0	Forced Air	0
0	Central Air	0
0	Yes	0
0		0
0	1,935	0
0	\$255.13	0
0		0
0		0
0	0	0
0	2020	0
0/0	\$0 (S)/\$0 (W)	0/0

Price	\$460,506	\$493,686
Total Adjustments	\$0	\$0
Adjusted Price	\$460,506	\$493,686

CMA Price Adjustments This page outlines the subject property versus comparables properties.



Subject Property

Detail	s	Adjust



		224 ECKFORD Drive		968 Cottage Lane		
MLS#		2210100100		50050060307		
Status						
List Price		\$500,000		\$504,637		
List Date		12/08/2021		11/09/2021		
Sold Price	\$0	\$500,000		\$504,637		
Sold Date		12/08/2021		11/10/2021		
City		Troy	0	Troy	0	
County		Oakland	0	Oakland	0	
Subdiv		STREAMVIEW SUB	0	Midtown Crossing	0	
ADOM		0		1		
CDOM		0		1		
Arch Level		1 Story	0	1 1/2 Story	0	
Beds		3	0	3	0	
Baths (F/H)		2 / 1	0/0		0/0	
Style		Ranch	0	Ranch	0	
Fireplace		Yes	0	No	0	
Garage		Yes	0	Yes	0	
Garage Size		3.5 Car	0	2 Car	0	
Heating		Baseboard	0	Forced Air	0	
Cooling		Central Air	0	Central Air	0	
Basement		Yes	0	Yes	0	
Bsmt Type		Finished	0		0	
Sqft		2,334	0	1,935	0	
\$LP/Sqft		\$214.22	0	\$260.79	0	
Acreage		0.57	0		0	
Lot Features			0		0	
Finish LLSF		1,800	0	0	0	
Year Built		1986	0	2021	0	
Tax Amount	(W)	\$3,652 (S)/\$822 (W)	0/0	\$0 (S)/\$0 (W)	0/0	

Price	\$500,000	\$504,637
Total Adjustments	\$0	\$0
Adjusted Price	\$500,000	\$504,637

CMA Price Adjustments

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables properties.



\$0

Subject Property

MLS# Status List Price List Date

Sold Price Sold Date City County Subdiv ADOM CDOM Arch Level Beds Baths (F/H) Style Fireplace Garage Garage Size Heating Cooling **Basement Bsmt Type** Sqft \$LP/Sqft Acreage Lot Features

Finish LLSF Year Built

Tax Amount

(W)

vailable			
	<u>Details</u>	Adjust	Details
	982 Cottage Lane		795 E L
	58050030899		221006
	Sold		Sold
	\$515,205		\$559,90
	12/16/2020		08/19/2
	\$515,205		\$562,0
	11/10/2021		10/01/2
	Troy	0	Troy
	Oakland	0	Oaklan
	Midtown Crossing	0	CRYST
	169		8
	169		8
	1 Story	0	2 Story
	2	0	5
		0 / 0	4 / 1
	Ranch	0	Colonia
	Yes	0	Yes
	Yes	0	Yes
	2 Car	0	2 Car
	Forced Air	0	Forced
	Central Air	0	Central
	Yes	0	Yes
		0	Unfinis
	1,485	0	3,100
	\$346.94	0	\$180.6
		0	0.59

0

2020

\$0 (S)/\$0 (W)



<u>ist</u>	Details 795 E LONG LAKE N 2210068872	<u>Adjust</u>	
	Sold		
	\$559,900		
	08/19/2021		
	\$562,000		
	10/01/2021		
0	Troy	0	
0	Oakland	0	
0	CRYSTAL SPRINGS SUB -	0	
	8		
	8		
0	2 Story	0	
0	5	0	
/ 0	4 / 1	0 / 0	
0	Colonial	0	
0	Yes	0	
0	Yes	0	
0	2 Car	0	
0	Forced Air	0	
0	Central Air	0	
0	Yes	0	
0	Unfinished	0	
0	3,100	0	
0	\$180.61	0	
0	0.59	0	
0	Level	0	
0	0000	0	
0		0/0	
0/0	3/.33U (3)/31./10(VV)	0/0	

Price	\$515,205	\$562,000
Total Adjustments	\$0	\$0
Adjusted Price	\$515,205	\$562,000
		-



CMA Price Adjustments

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables properties.







Subject Prope	erty	Details	<u>Adjust</u>	
		524 TRINWAY Drive		
MLS#		2210065245		
Status		Sold		
List Price		\$1,049,000		
List Date		08/10/2021		
Sold Price	\$0	\$1,035,000		
Sold Date		10/18/2021		
City		Troy	0	
County		Oakland	0	
Subdiv		CRYSTAL SPRINGS SUB I	0	
ADOM		7		
CDOM		7		
Arch Level		1 1/2 Story	0	
Beds		4	0	
Baths (F/H)		4 / 2	0 / 0	
Style		Split Level, Other	0	
Fireplace		Yes	0	
Garage		Yes	0	
Garage Size		3 Car	0	
Heating		Forced Air	0	
Cooling		Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air	0	
Basement		Yes	0	
Bsmt Type		Daylight, Finished, Walkout /	0	
Sqft		4,975	0	
\$LP/Sqft		\$210.85	0	
Acreage		1.00	0	
Lot Features		Sprinkler(s), Wooded	0	
Finish LLSF		2,000	0	
Year Built		2005	0	
Tax Amount	(W)	\$12,894 (S)/\$2,929 (W)	0/0	

Price **Total Adjustments Adjusted Price** \$1,035,000 \$0 \$1,035,000



Minimums and Maximums

This page summarizes key fields of the listings in this analysis.

The listings in this analysis can be summarized as follows:

Listing Price between \$229,900 and \$1,049,000

Selling Price between \$212,000 and \$1,035,000

2 to 5 Bedrooms

1.0 to 4.0 Full Bathrooms and 0.0 to 2.0 Half Bathrooms 1,465 to 4,975 Square Feet

\$151.00 to \$369.32 per Square Foot

\$145 to \$347 per Sold Square Foot

Year Built between 1950 and 2022 years

0 to 169 Cumulative Days on Market



Number of Cumulative Days On Market

This graph illustrates the number of cumulative days on market for the listings in this analysis.



Address



List Price and Sale Price

This graph illustrates the list price, along with sale price in Sold listings.



Price Graph

Address



Brief Summary of Compared Listings

This report summarizes the comparable listings contained in this market analysis.

Status: Active

MLS#	Stat Date	Address	City	Sqft	Bds	Bth	L/S Price	\$/Sqft	CDOM
58050068353	03/18/2022	957 Cottage Lane	Troy	1,935	3	3.0	\$552,780	\$285.67	6
Averages:				1,935	3	3.0	\$552,780	\$285.67	6

Status: Pending

MLS#	Stat Date	Address	City	Sqft	Bds	Bth	L/S Price	\$/Sqft	CDOM
2220010461	03/16/2022	860 Sylvanwood Drive	Troy	1,465	3	2.0	\$299,900	\$204.71	21
2220017845	03/22/2022	4585 Bradley Circle	Troy	1,541	2	2.1	\$329,900	\$214.08	3
2220019475	03/22/2022	2925 Zana	Troy	1,650	3	2.1	\$349,000	\$211.52	0
2220014482	03/24/2022	5565 Falmouth Drive	Troy	1,684	3	2.1	\$399,900	\$237.47	15
2220006238	03/18/2022	4410 Navin Field Lane	Troy	1,849	3	2.1	\$399,900	\$216.28	24
2220014301	03/17/2022	937 Sylvanwood Drive	Troy	1,756	3	2.0	\$419,900	\$239.12	14
2220012721	02/28/2022	1143 Shadow Drive	Troy	2,329	4	2.1	\$439,900	\$188.88	3
58050058818	11/02/2021	322 Eckford	TROY	2,094	3	3.0	\$440,000	\$210.12	
58050060440	11/10/2021	961 Cottage Lane #9	TROY	1,485	2	2.0	\$511,557	\$344.48	
58050067127	03/03/2022	965 Cottage Lane	Troy	1,935	3	3.0	\$534,894	\$276.43	0
58050060434	11/10/2021	953 Cottage Lane #7	TROY	1,485	2	2.0	\$548,440	\$369.32	
Averages:				1,752	3	2.2	\$424,845	\$246.58	10

Status: Sold

MLS#	Stat Date	Address	City	Sqft	Bds	Bth	L/S Price	S\$/Sqft	СDOM
2210066056	09/29/2021	860 Sylvanwood Drive	Troy	1,465	3	2.0	\$212,000	\$144.71	19
2210075076	09/29/2021	5283 Shrewsbury Drive	Troy	1,755	4	2.1	\$261,000	\$148.72	7
2220000128	01/19/2022	1105 Glaser Drive	Troy	1,572	3	1.0	\$309,100	\$196.63	3
2210083705	11/01/2021	821 Barclay Drive	Troy	1,671	4	1.1	\$310,000	\$185.52	11
2210097422	01/06/2022	1271 E Long Lake Road	Troy	1,548	3	2.1	\$311,000	\$200.90	16
2210078483	11/10/2021	5208 Babbit	Troy	1,919	3	1.1	\$320,000	\$166.75	42
2210068538	10/19/2021	4691 Chapel Drive	Troy	1,700	3	2.1	\$321,000	\$188.82	56
2210089372	11/16/2021	1098 Ashley Drive	Troy	2,103	3	2.1	\$325,000	\$154.54	6
2210087558	12/02/2021	4584 Bradley Circle	Troy	1,541	3	2.1	\$325,000	\$210.90	59
2210068093	10/20/2021	1564 Welling Drive	Troy	1,844	4	1.1	\$325,000	\$176.25	37
2220005885	03/04/2022	115 Wilton Drive	Troy	1,534	3	1.1	\$330,000	\$215.12	5
2210101060	01/07/2022	4886 Hubbard Drive	Troy	2,081	4	1.1	\$330,000	\$158.58	7
2210069365	10/08/2021	194 E Long Lake Road	Troy	1,711	3	2.1	\$345,000	\$201.64	13
2210102626	01/25/2022	1124 Mayberry Drive	Troy	1,988	3	1.1	\$347,500	\$174.80	14
58050053039	10/05/2021	453 Eckford	Troy	1,687	3	2.0	\$350,000	\$207.47	3
2210075523	10/13/2021	4326 Tallman Drive	Troy	1,955	3	2.1	\$351,000	\$179.54	3
2210067028	10/13/2021	1517 Hamman Drive	Troy	1,674	3	2.0	\$352,500	\$210.57	10
2210088570	01/24/2022	357 Eckford Drive	Troy	2,192	3	2.1	\$385,000	\$175.64	46
2210063850	09/27/2021	4425 Clarke Drive	Troy	2,647	4	2.1	\$386,000	\$145.83	30



Brief Summary of Compared Listings

This report summarizes the comparable listings contained in this market analysis.

Averages:				2,044	3	2.1	\$400,166	\$198.77	23
2210065245	10/18/2021	524 Trinway Drive	Troy	4,975	4	4.2	\$1,035,000	\$208.04	7
2210068872	10/01/2021	795 E Long Lake N	Troy	3,100	5	4.1	\$562,000	\$181.29	8
58050030899	11/10/2021	982 Cottage Lane	Troy	1,485	2	2.0	\$515,205	\$346.94	169
58050060367	11/10/2021	968 Cottage Lane	Troy	1,935	3	3.0	\$504,637	\$260.79	1
2210100166	12/08/2021	224 Eckford Drive	Troy	2,334	3	2.1	\$500,000	\$214.22	0
58050030908	12/07/2021	978 Cottage Lane	Troy	1,935	3	3.0	\$493,686	\$255.13	69
58050032837	11/18/2021	972 Cottage Lane	Troy	1,485	2	2.0	\$460,506	\$310.11	116
2210082836	10/28/2021	5093 Prentis Drive	Troy	2,604	4	2.1	\$440,000	\$168.97	19
2210096441	11/30/2021	5165 Folkstone Drive	Troy	2,028	3	2.1	\$432,000	\$213.02	8
2210078489	10/19/2021	1171 Shadow Drive	Troy	2,306	4	3.1	\$431,000	\$186.90	4
2220004227	03/08/2022	1025 Lorenzo Court	Troy	2,438	3	3.1	\$420,000	\$172.27	5
2220008974	03/11/2022	5311 Abington Drive	Troy	1,872	4	2.1	\$420,000	\$224.36	3
2210078882	10/25/2021	4856 Alton Drive	Troy	2,355	4	2.1	\$405,000	\$171.97	4
2220013857	03/02/2022	935 Trinway Drive	Troy	1,882	3	2.1	\$405,000	\$215.20	1
2210077727	11/18/2021	4359 Willow Creek Drive	Troy	2,056	3	2.1	\$400,000	\$194.55	41
2210091592	12/15/2021	5207 Falmouth Dr	Troy	1,632	4	2.1	\$400,000	\$245.10	10
2210080937	10/27/2021	1146 Player Drive	Troy	2,168	4	2.1	\$396,000	\$182.66	4
2210075037	11/11/2021	1026 Lorenzo Court	Troy	2,438	3	4.1	\$390,000	\$159.97	2
2210075037	11/11/2021	1026 Lorenzo Court	Trov	2 438	З	41	\$390.000	¢150	5 Q Z



Brief Summary of Compared Listings

This report summarizes the comparable listings contained in this market analysis.

Summary

Status	Total	Avg Price	Avg \$ Per SqFt	Median	Low	High	Avg CDOM
ACTIVE	1	\$552,780	\$285.67	\$552,780	\$552,780	\$552,780	6
Coming Soon							
Contingent-CCS							
Accepting BO							
PENDING	11	\$424,845	\$246.58	\$419,900	\$299,900	\$548,440	10
SOLD	37	\$400,166	\$198.77	\$386,000	\$212,000	\$1,035,000	23
EXPIRED							
Cond WTHDRWN							
Uncd WTHDRWN							
Total	49	\$400,166	\$198.77	\$399,900	\$212,000	\$1,035,000	21



CMA 3-Up Comparison w/ Subject

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables in a 3-column format.



entertainment in the city Troy. This highly

sought after 3 bedroom townhome

Status **Street Address** Town ZIP County Subdivision Sq Ft Acreage Lot Features Year Built Beds Baths (F/H) Style **Original Price** Listing Date **Listing Price** Price per SqFt Sold Date Sold Price CDOM **Taxes Paid School District** Cooling Heating Garage **Basement Basement Type** Fireplace Remarks

MLS #

Property Type

cabinets throughout. Owner's suite is

located off the hub of activity & the

CMA 3-Up Comparison w/ Subject

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables in a 3-column format.







granite counters throughout,all appliances

included, third-level laundry, designer

and more, including a painted two-car

garage with motion detector, laser

assisted parking, and polyurea floor

walk-in closets, frameless shower doors,

coating. Enjoy maintenance free living just

minutes away from freeways, acclaimed

Troy schools, and shopping in Somerset



2220014482

Residential

\$0 \$0 \$0.00 \$0 (W)

MLS #

Status

Town

County

Sq Ft

Beds

Style

Acreage Lot Features

Year Built

Baths (F/H)

Original Price

Listing Date

Listing Price

Sold Date

Sold Price

Taxes Paid

School District

Basement Type

CDOM

Cooling

Heating

Garage

Basement

Fireplace

Remarks

Price per SqFt

Subdivision

ZIP

Property Type

Street Address

Pending 5565 FALMOUTH Drive Troy 48085-3267 Oakland SYLVAN GLEN SUB 1,684 0.33 1973 3 2/1 Ranch \$399,900 03/09/2022 \$399,900 \$237.47 15 \$3,551 (S)/\$666 (W) Troy Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air Forced Air Yes Yes Finished Yes

Sylvan Glen brick ranch is ready for a new family. Freshly painted in neutral tones and new flooring throughout. Spacious newly refreshed kitchen is open to the great room and nook. Tons of cabinet space, plus breakfast bar and nook overlooking great room. Cathedral ceilings, fireplace with brick hearth and door wall to backyard for excellent flow. Living room has been converted to large home office, with french door for privacy on your conference calls. Three good sized bedrooms with ceiling fans and lots

Researched and prepared by James Clarke Robertson Brothers Company



CMA 3-Up Comparison w/ Subject

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables in a 3-column format.





2220014301



PERFECT TROY LOCATION! This fantastic ranch home comes with 3 bedrooms, 2 full bathrooms, 2 car attached garage & full unfinished basement. Great room has cathedral ceiling and gas fireplace. Kitchen w/bar seating, bay windows, and large dining space. First floor laundry room (washer/dryer stay). Master bedroom w/full private bathroom & walk-in closet. Newer roof shingles w/complete tear off (2015). Newer furnace (2021). Newer central air (2021). Spacious wood deck overlooks beautiful backyard (no



58050067127 Condominium Pending 965 Cottage Lane Troy 48085 Oakland Midtown Crossing 1,935 2022 3 3/ Loft \$534,894 03/03/2022 \$534,894 \$276.43 n \$0 (S)/\$0 (W) Troy Central Air Forced Air Yes Yes

No

The next generation of style! New 1-1/2 story condominium under construction on a premium site in Midtown Crossing perfectly situated in the heart of Troy. The Brittney--3 bedrooms, 3 baths, 12x10 loft, full basement, 2-car garage & covered lanai. The open plan includes great room w/fireplace, dining nook, & kitchen w/snack bar. Stainless steel kitchen appliances w/gas range, microwave, dishwasher & disposal. Granite counters in kitchen & baths. Upgraded cabinets throughout. Owner's suite is located off

Researched and prepared by James Clarke Robertson Brothers Company



\$0

\$0

\$0

(W)

\$0.00

CMA 3-Up Comparison w/ Subject

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables in a 3-column format.





2220013857 Residential Sold 935 TRINWAY Drive Troy 48085-3185 Oakland 1.882 0.29 Sprinkler(s) 1998 3 2/1Ranch \$415,000 03/01/2022 \$415,000 \$215.20 03/02/2022 \$405,000 \$5,210 (S)/\$977 (W) Troy Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air Forced Air Yes Yes Partially Finished Yes

** GORGEOUS TROY RANCH ** Enjoy 3 Bedrooms and 2 1/2 Baths in this Modern Ranch Style Home with Vaulted Ceilings. Enter in the Great Room adorned with Hardwood Floors, Central Fireplace and Formal Dining Area. Spacious Kitchen has a Center Island, Oak Cabinetry, plus an extra side bar of cabinetry. Stainless Steal Appliances w/New 2022 Gas Stove and Microwave. 3 Spacious Bedrooms -Master Bedroom w/Bath Suite and huge Walk-In Closet. First Floor Laundry, and Large Part Finished Recreation Room in



2220012721 Residential Pending 1143 SHADOW Drive Troy 48085-1779 Oakland SHALLOWBROOK SUB 2.329 0.19 1975 4 2/1 Colonial \$439,900 02/25/2022 \$439,900 \$188.88 \$2,964 (S)/\$556 (W) Troy Forced Air Yes Yes Finished Yes

Are you tired of looking at lived in houses? Do you want NEW? Seller spared no expense to make "YOUR" Dreams Come True! Whole house is new construction 2022. Beautiful Crown Molding throughout with LED lighting inside, professionally installed laminate floors throughout main floor. Kitchen has New Shaker Cabinetry with 3.5-inch hardware! High grade Granite Counters and a breakfast bar! family room that features European style Fireplace with new door wall leading to patio. Illuminated

MLS # **Property Type** Status **Street Address** Town ZIP County Subdivision Sq Ft Acreage Lot Features Year Built Beds Baths (F/H) Style **Original Price** Listing Date **Listing Price** Price per SqFt Sold Date Sold Price CDOM **Taxes Paid School District** Cooling Heating Garage **Basement Basement Type** Fireplace Remarks

\$0

\$0

\$0

(W)

\$0.00


CMA 3-Up Comparison w/ Subject

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables in a 3-column format.







MLS # **Property Type** Status **Street Address** Town ZIP County Subdivision Sq Ft Acreage Lot Features Year Built Beds Baths (F/H) Style **Original Price** Listing Date **Listing Price** Price per SqFt Sold Date Sold Price CDOM **Taxes Paid School District** Cooling Heating Garage **Basement Basement Type** Fireplace Remarks

\$0

\$0

\$0

(W)

\$0.00

2220010461 Residential Pending 860 SYLVANWOOD Drive Troy 48085-3176 Oakland SYLVANWOOD GARDENS SUB 1.465 0.55 1957 3 2/0Ranch \$309,000 02/23/2022 \$299,900 \$204.71 21 \$2,976 (S)/\$1,085 (W) Troy Central Air Forced Air Yes No Yes TAKE A LOOK AT THIS STUNNING RANCH, WITH A MASSIVE BACKYARD, UPDATED & NESTLED ON A GORGEOUS PARK LIKE LOT! COOL, MOVE IN READY. NEUTRAL DECOR. RENOVATED KIT W/ GRANITE COUNTER WITH NEW SS APPLIANCE. NEW FIXTURES, & LIGHTING, NEW

HARDWOOD FLOOR THROUGH OUT THE

HOUSE AND FRESHLY PAINTED. NEW

TODAY. ONLY MOMENTS AWAY FROM

GARAGE DOOR JUST INSTALLED

FINE DINING AND SHOPPING.

2220008974 Residential Sold 5311 ABINGTON Drive Troy 48085-3417 Oakland EAST LONG LAKE ESTATES SUB 1.872 0.24 1969 4 2/1 Colonial \$389,900 02/10/2022 \$389,900 \$224.36 03/11/2022 \$420,000 3 \$2,811 (S)/\$633 (W) Troy Central Air Forced Air Yes Yes Finished No

Outstanding Troy colonial 4 bedroom 2.1 bath that has been fully updated and modernized to fit todays standards. Glorious Foyer awaiting your arrival into this amazing stately home. The open concept kitchen and family room create the perfect space for entertaining, the family room has a sliding door that leads out onto beautiful backyard!. Gourmet kitchen with island and large pantry, Custom soft close cabinetry, Granite Tops and newer kitchen SS appliances (all stay). The main level continues; providing



CMA 3-Up Comparison w/ Subject

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables in a 3-column format.



feet call with questions** into the dining space with attached door-wall and deck! The main floor also features a half bathroom and laundry room. Upstairs you'll find a generously sized owners suit with his and hers closets and bay window! The other two bedrooms share a Jack and Jill bathroom of their own. The finished basement is the cherry on top to provide extra living and entertaining space on this wonderful Troy home. Don't miss your opportunity schedule your showing

** HIGHEST & BEST OFFER DEADLINE - 10 AM MONDAY (2/7) ** STUNNING MID **CENTURY MODERN RANCH on large** corner lot + REFRESHED & STYLISH + Brand new LG Brand STAINLESS STEEL APPLIANCES + Eat-in Kitchen overlooks backyard + Vaulted ceiling with wood beam + dual-sided FIREPLACE + fresh neutral paint + Neutral carpet/tile/parquet wood floors throughout + Master Bedroom with direct access to DUAL ENTRY FULL BATH with dual sinks + AMPLE STORAGE + First floor Laundry

Researched and prepared by James Clarke **Robertson Brothers Company**



\$0

\$0

\$0

(W)

CMA 3-Up Comparison w/ Subject

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables in a 3-column format.







3

Stunning condominium located in Troy. Large living room has a gorgeous fireplace and double glass sliding doors that lead to the deck. Glass doors and plentiful windows allow for natural light to flow through the home. Large primary bedroom located on the main floor has an attached bathroom that has double sinks, glass encased shower and a large bathtub. Upstairs you'll find two generously sized bedrooms, which both have an attached bathroom. There is a large sitting area on the second floor as



2220000128 Residential Sold 1105 GLASER Drive Troy 48085-4995 Oakland ROCHESTER ROAD FARMS 1.572 0.69 1950 3 1/0Colonial \$309,900 01/04/2022 \$309,900 \$196.63 01/19/2022 \$309,100 3 \$2,802 (S)/\$631 (W) Troy Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air Forced Air Yes Yes Interior Access Only, Unfinished No

Check out this sharply remodeled and well cared for Troy home! This home is truly turn key and features an open concept layout with luxury vinyl flooring throughout the first level and newer carpeting on the second level. Enjoy entertaining in the updated kitchen with premium granite countertops, all stainless steel appliances, and soft-close shaker cabinets. This house sits on a large fenced lot at almost 3/4 of an acre! Other notable updates include plumbing in 2017, electrical in 2018, garage roof in 2017,

Researched and prepared by James Clarke **Robertson Brothers Company**



MLS # **Property Type** Status **Street Address** Town ZIP County Subdivision Sq Ft Acreage Lot Features Year Built Beds Baths (F/H) Style **Original Price** Listing Date **Listing Price** Price per SqFt Sold Date Sold Price CDOM **Taxes Paid School District** Cooling Heating Garage **Basement Basement Type** Fireplace Remarks

\$0

\$0

\$0

(W)

CMA 3-Up Comparison w/ Subject

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables in a 3-column format.







MLS # **Property Type** Status **Street Address** Town ZIP County Subdivision Sq Ft Acreage Lot Features Year Built Beds Baths (F/H) Style **Original Price** Listing Date **Listing Price** Price per SqFt Sold Date Sold Price CDOM **Taxes Paid School District** Cooling Heating Garage **Basement Basement Type** Fireplace Remarks

\$0

\$0

\$0

(W)

\$0.00

2210102626 Residential Sold 1124 MAYBERRY Drive Troy 48085-3424 Oakland EAST LONG LAKE ESTATES SUB 1.988 0.22 1969 3 1/1Colonial \$320,000 12/21/2021 \$320,000 \$174.80 01/25/2022 \$347,500 14 \$3,483 (S)/\$784 (W) Troy Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air Forced Air Yes Yes Finished Yes

Multiple Offers Received - Highest & Best Deadline - 9pm EST on 12/28 - WELCOME HOME to this ready-to-move-into TROY COLONIAL + OPEN FLOOR PLAN ideal for today's lifestyle + Huge eat-in KITCHEN (with STAINLESS STEEL APPLIANCES, granite counters & BREAKFAST BAR) overlooks the FAMILY ROOM with SKYLIGHT & Natural FIREPLACE + direct access to the private FENCED BACKYARD & DECK via the French Doorway + FIRST FLOOR LAUNDRY / MUD ROOM + Refinished HARDWOOD

2210101060 Residential Sold 4886 HUBBARD Drive Troy 48085-5015 Oakland LONG LAKE VILLAGE SUB 2.081 0.28 1971 4 1/1Colonial \$324,500 12/13/2021 \$324,500 \$158.58 01/07/2022 \$330,000 \$2,874 (S)/\$647 (W) Troy Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air Forced Air Yes Yes Unfinished Yes

BEDROOM, 1.5 BATH HOME .. LOVINGLY MAINTAINED BY THE ORIGINAL OWNER. SUPER CLEAN & MOVE IN READY! BEAUTIFUL EXPOSED HARDWOOD FLOORS THRU-OUT MOST OF THE HOME, SPACIOUS REMODELED EAT-IN KIT W/NEWER APPLIANCES, CERAMIC TILE FLOORING, RECESSED LIGHTS & TONS OF CABINETRY! UPDATED FULL BATH, 6 PANEL DOORS, CEILING FANS, FRESHLY PAINTED, NEWER WINDOWS (SOME PELLA), NEWER FURN/AC/HWH/ROOF & ADDITIONAL INSULATION ADDED.



CMA 3-Up Comparison w/ Subject

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables in a 3-column format.



No Picture Available





2210097422 Residential Sold 1271 E LONG LAKE Road Troy 48085-4914 Oakland EAST LONG LAKE ESTATES SUB 1,548 0.26 1972 3 2/1 Ranch \$329,900 11/23/2021 \$309,900 \$200.90 01/06/2022 \$311,000 16 \$0 (S)/\$0 (W) Troy Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air Forced Air Yes Yes Finished Yes

CHARMING NORTH TROY BRICK RANCH FEATURING 3 SPACIOUS BEDROOMS, 1 1/2 BATHS, UPDATED KITCHEN WITH BREAKFAST NOOK, FORMAL LIVING ROOM, FAMILY ROOM W/FIREPLACE, FULL FINISHED BASEMENT WITH 2 ADDITIONAL BEDROOMS & ADDITIONAL FULL BATH, STORAGE ROOM WITH LAUNDRY AREA, ORIGINAL HARDWOOD FLOORS UNDER CARPETING IN LIVING ROOM, HALLWAYS, AND ALL BEDROOMS, FULLY FENCED BACKYARD W/SHED. UPDATES INCLUDE: NEWER 3

MLS # **Property Type** Status **Street Address** Town ZIP County Subdivision Sq Ft Acreage Lot Features Year Built Beds Baths (F/H) Style **Original Price** Listing Date **Listing Price** Price per SqFt Sold Date Sold Price CDOM **Taxes Paid School District** Cooling Heating Garage **Basement Basement Type** Fireplace Remarks

\$0

\$0

\$0

(W)

\$0.00

Researched and prepared by James Clarke **Robertson Brothers Company**



CMA 3-Up Comparison w/ Subject

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables in a 3-column format.





2210096441 Residential Sold 5165 FOLKSTONE Drive Troy 48085-3222 Oakland SYLVAN GLEN SUB 2.028 0.43 1972 3 2/1Ranch \$459,900 11/19/2021 \$459,900 \$213.02 11/30/2021 \$432,000 8 \$3,399 (S)/\$765 (W) Troy Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air Forced Air Yes Yes Finished, Interior Access Only Yes

Nestled quietly on almost a half acre in the heart of Sylvan Glen, this beautifully updated 3 Bed/2.1 Bath Ranch-Style home waits for you! Featuring warm Hdwd floors, loads of natural light & freshly painted throughout, you'll be delighted w/the openness & functionality of the layout making everyday living & entertaining a breeze. Newly updated Eat-In Kitchen featuring Marble Countertops & upgraded Appliance pkg. overlooks your spectacular Family Room showcasing vaulted ceilings w/wood



Condominium Pending 961 COTTAGE LANE #9 TROY 48085 Oakland MIDTOWN CROSSING 1.485 2021 2 2/0 Ranch \$511,557 11/10/2021 \$511,557 \$344.48 \$0 (S)/\$0 (W) Troy Central Air Forced Air Yes Yes

58050060440

The next generation of style! New ranch condominium under construction on a premium site in Midtown Crossing perfectly situated in the heart of Troy. The Abbey-2 bedrooms, 2 baths, full basement, 2-car garage & covered lanai. The open plan includes great room w/fireplace, dining nook, & kitchen w/snack bar. Kitchen appliances w/gas range, microwave, dishwasher & disposal. Granite counters in kitchen & baths. Upgraded cabinets throughout. Owner's suite is removed from the hub of

MLS # **Property Type** Status **Street Address** Town ZIP County Subdivision Sq Ft Acreage Lot Features Year Built Beds Baths (F/H) Style **Original Price** Listing Date **Listing Price** Price per SqFt Sold Date Sold Price CDOM **Taxes Paid School District** Cooling Heating Garage **Basement Basement Type** Fireplace Remarks

\$0

\$0

\$0

(W)



CMA 3-Up Comparison w/ Subject

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables in a 3-column format.





58050060434 Condominium Pending 953 COTTAGE LANE #7 TROY 48085 Oakland **MIDTOWN CROSSING** 1.485 2021 2 2/0Ranch \$548,440 11/10/2021 \$548,440 \$369.32 \$0 (S)/\$0 (W) Troy Central Air Forced Air Yes Yes Finished

The next generation of style! New ranch condominium under construction on a premium site in Midtown Crossing perfectly situated in the heart of Troy. The Abbey--2 bedrooms, 2 baths, full finished basement, 2-car garage & covered lanai. The open plan includes great room w/fireplace, dining nook, & kitchen w/snack bar. Kitchen appliances include stainless gas range, microwave, dishwasher & disposal. Granite counters in kitchen. Upgraded cabinets throughout. The owner's suite is a welcome retreat



58050060367 Condominium Sold 968 Cottage Lane Troy 48085 Oakland Midtown Crossing 1,935 2021 3 3/ Ranch \$504,637 11/09/2021 \$504,637 \$260.79 11/10/2021 \$504,637 \$0 (S)/\$0 (W) Troy Central Air Forced Air Yes Yes

No

Immediate Occupancy! The next generation of style! New 1 1/2-story condominium under construction in Midtown Crossing perfectly situated in the heart of Troy. The Brittney--3 bedrooms, 3 baths, loft, full basement, two-car garage and covered lanai. The open plan includes great room, dining nook, and kitchen with island and upgraded cabinets. The owner's suite is a welcome retreat removed from the hub of activity, and the second bedroom is located off the foyer. Third bedroom, loft and storage on the

MLS # **Property Type** Status **Street Address** Town ZIP County Subdivision Sq Ft Acreage Lot Features Year Built Beds Baths (F/H) Style **Original Price** Listing Date **Listing Price** Price per SqFt Sold Date Sold Price CDOM **Taxes Paid School District** Cooling Heating Garage **Basement Basement Type** Fireplace Remarks

\$0

\$0

\$0

(W)

CMA 3-Up Comparison w/ Subject

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables in a 3-column format.





2210091592 Residential Sold 5207 FALMOUTH DR Troy 48085 Oakland SYLVAN GLEN SUB 1.632 0.37 1972 4 2/1Ranch, Split Level \$349,999 11/05/2021 \$350,000 \$245.10 12/15/2021 \$400,000 10 \$3,935 (S)/\$870 (W) Troy Central Air Forced Air Yes Yes Finished Yes

TROY SCHOOLS!!! Welcome to 5207 Falmouth, this cute updated Ranch is ready for a new owner and is move-in ready. Kitchen (2017), new pool heater and diving board (2020), new pool coping and tile (2018), Finished basement (2020), AC/Furnace (2020). Whether this is your first home or third home, every summer will be complemented with the fun and exciting vibes of the beautiful pool! Call for your showing today!

*HIGHEST AND BEST OFFER BY 6PM



2210089372 Residential Sold 1098 ASHLEY Drive Troy 48085-3419 Oakland EAST LONG LAKE ESTATES SUB 2.103 0.22 1969 3 2/1 Colonial \$329,900 10/24/2021 \$329,900 \$154.54 11/16/2021 \$325,000 6 \$3,987 (S)/\$898 (W) Trov Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air Forced Air Yes Yes Partially Finished Yes

Affordable AND Adorable 3 bed, 2.5 bath colonial featuring an updtd kitchen, stainless steel appliances, 1st flr laundry & beautiful hardwood floors. Remodeled family room w/ gorgeous gas fireplace, rec.lighting, built-in floor to ceiling bookcases and doorwall to the backyard/deck. Exposed hardwood floors in foyer, powder room, kitchen/breakfast room. Hardwood floors under carpeted living room, dining room & stairs to 2nd floor. Nicely sized bedrooms - Spacious master bathroom featuring double vanity,

MLS # **Property Type** Status **Street Address** Town ZIP County Subdivision Sq Ft Acreage Lot Features Year Built Beds Baths (F/H) Style **Original Price** Listing Date **Listing Price** Price per SqFt Sold Date Sold Price CDOM **Taxes Paid School District** Cooling Heating Garage **Basement Basement Type** Fireplace Remarks

\$0

\$0

\$0

(W)

CMA 3-Up Comparison w/ Subject

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables in a 3-column format.





58050058818

Residential Pending 322 ECKFORD TROY 48085 Oakland STREAMVIEW 2.094 0.48 1980 3 3/0 Ranch \$440,000 10/22/2021 \$440,000 \$210.12 \$825 (S)/\$3,630 (W) Troy Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air Forced Air Yes Yes Finished, Walkout Access Yes

UPDATE Offer submission deadline of Monday November 1st, 2021 by 7:00p.m. to be presented** Simply Stunning! This beautiful ranch home is nestled quietly in subdivision of Sugar Maple Village featuring three bedrooms, three full baths, a large wooded lot, gorgeous kitchen with granite, hardwood floors, and even a large walkout basement perfect for entertaining! Need more bedrooms? There is a room in the basement that could have an additional bedroom as well as a room on the main floor could also be



2210088570 Residential Sold 357 Eckford Drive Troy 48085 Oakland Streamview Sub 2.192 0.29 1983 3 2/1 Colonial \$390,000 10/21/2021 \$390,000 \$175.64 01/24/2022 \$385,000 46 \$5,593 (S)/\$2,066 (W) Trov Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air Forced Air Yes Yes Finished Yes

One of a kind property located in the wonderful city of Troy. Enjoy the beautiful hard wood floors throughout the house. Hangout in the Florida room with jacuzzi/hot tub and gas fireplace, perfect all year round! Great open floor plan, foyer with high ceilings, nice open kitchen with bright breakfast room and full-sized windows, great room with natural fire place, formal dining room. 2 car attached garage, master's bedroom is amazingly spacious with extra room for reading or extra closet. Finished low maintenance

MLS # **Property Type** Status **Street Address** Town ZIP County Subdivision Sq Ft Acreage Lot Features Year Built Beds Baths (F/H) Style **Original Price** Listing Date **Listing Price** Price per SqFt Sold Date Sold Price CDOM **Taxes Paid School District** Cooling Heating Garage **Basement Basement Type** Fireplace Remarks

\$0

\$0

\$0

(W)



CMA 3-Up Comparison w/ Subject

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables in a 3-column format.



features quartz countertops, large island,

stainless steel appliances. Second level

Status **Street Address** Town ZIP County Subdivision Sq Ft Acreage Lot Features Year Built Beds Baths (F/H) Style **Original Price** Listing Date **Listing Price** Price per SqFt Sold Date Sold Price CDOM **Taxes Paid School District** Cooling Heating Garage **Basement Basement Type** Fireplace Remarks

MLS #

Property Type

Researched and prepared by James Clarke Robertson Brothers Company

make an offer.



CMA 3-Up Comparison w/ Subject

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables in a 3-column format.







MLS # **Property Type** Status **Street Address** Town ZIP County Subdivision Sq Ft Acreage Lot Features Year Built Beds Baths (F/H) Style **Original Price** Listing Date **Listing Price** Price per SqFt Sold Date Sold Price CDOM **Taxes Paid School District** Cooling Heating Garage Basement **Basement Type** Fireplace Remarks

\$0

\$0

\$0

(W)

\$0.00

2210082836	2210080937
Residential	Residential
Sold	Sold
5093 PRENTIS Drive	1146 PLAYER Drive
Troy	Troy
48085-3455	48085-3311
Oakland	Oakland
EAST LONG LAKE ESTATES SUB N	GOLF TRAIL SUB
2,604	2,168
0.19	0.25
1974	1977
4	4
2/1	2 / 1
Colonial, Contemporary	Colonial
\$434,900	\$385,000
10/01/2021	09/26/2021
\$434,900	\$385,000
\$168.97	\$182.66
10/28/2021	10/27/2021
\$440,000	\$396,000
19	4
\$5,862 (S)/\$2,138 (W)	\$4,341 (S)/\$977 (W)
Troy	Troy
Attic Fan, Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air	Central Air
Forced Air	Forced Air
Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes
Finished, Interior Access Only	Unfinished
Yes	Yes
LOCATION! TROY SCHOOLS!! EAST	CHECK OUT THIS AMAZ
FACING!!! One of the last few Affordable	SUBDIVISION HOME LO
colonials Covered Front natio 4 8/2 5h-	ΙΗΕΔΩΙ ()Ε Ι ΚΟΥ ΤΗΔΤΙ

Well designed home gives you an

and huge island. Sunny, large

AMAZING amount of space. Xtra lrg.

kitchen-nook area, with plenty of storage

library/study, cozy FR with fireplace and

2021 updates: Flooring, prof. painting in

contemporary colors, Bathroom upgrades,

beams, Hardwd floor in formal areas.

lighting, Dishwasher, Refrigerator,

GE/Bosch, Garage flooring, stone

CHECK OUT THIS AMAZING GOLF TRAIL SUBDIVISION HOME LOCATED IN THE HEART OF TROY THAT HAS QUICK ACCESS TO ALL FREEWAYS AND DOWNTOWN ROCHESTER. FAMILY AND WORK REASONS HAVE FORCED SELLERS TO MOVE OUT OF STATE. THEY HAVE COMPLETED MANY LARGE UPDATES INCLUDING AN AMAZING OPEN KITCHEN, FLOOR PLAN WITH KRAFTMAID MAPLE CABINETS WITH SOFT CLOSE, DWYER QUARTZ COUNTER TOPS. HUGE ISLAND WITH WATERFALL LEGS AND

Researched and prepared by James Clarke Robertson Brothers Company



CMA 3-Up Comparison w/ Subject

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables in a 3-column format.





2210078882

Residential Sold 4856 ALTON Drive Troy 48085-5007 Oakland LONG LAKE VILLAGE SUB 2.355 0.41 1971 4 2/1Colonial \$384,500 09/20/2021 \$384,500 \$171.97 10/25/2021 \$405,000 \$3,091 (S)/\$696 (W) Troy Attic Fan, Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air Forced Air Yes Yes Finished Yes

Picture Perfect 4 bed, 2.5 bath colonial situated near a quiet cul-de-sac in the highly sought-after Long Lk Village Sub. Come see the refreshed/updtd kit featuring granite counters, stainless steel appliances (all stay!), custom backsplash & tons of cabinetry for all your kit/cooking accessories. Hardwd floors, 1st FLOOR LAUNDRY (currently being used as an additional pantry/mudroom), updtd half bath & some rooms freshly painted in neutral tones. 1st floor lib/study-perfect for those working and/or schooling from



2210078489 Residential Sold 1171 SHADOW Drive Troy 48085-1779 Oakland SHALLOWBROOK SUB 2.306 0.19 Wooded 1977 4 3/1Colonial \$425,000 09/17/2021 \$425,000 \$186.90 10/19/2021 \$431,000 Δ \$3,192 (S)/\$718 (W) Troy Ceiling Fan(s), ENERGY STAR® Qua Forced Air Yes Yes Finished Yes

Updates galore in this fabulous 4 bedroom , 31/2 bath colonial in Troy! Gorgeous kitchen with modern lighting, contemporary Italian cabinetry, and stainless steel appliances. New hardwood flooring in dining room and living room. New flooring in family room. New flooring in Master bedroom. Updated master bath with Grohe rain shower and stunning tile. New double sinks and hardware in upstairs bath. Large newly finished basement with FULL bath and brand new carpeting. New front door,

Property Type Status **Street Address** Town ZIP County Subdivision Sq Ft Acreage Lot Features Year Built Beds Baths (F/H) Style **Original Price** Listing Date **Listing Price** Price per SqFt Sold Date Sold Price CDOM **Taxes Paid School District** Cooling Heating Garage **Basement Basement Type** Fireplace Remarks

\$0

\$0

\$0

(W)

\$0.00

MLS #



CMA 3-Up Comparison w/ Subject

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables in a 3-column format.







3

Come see this Beautiful 3 bedroom coloanal on a large corner lot with Troy Schools. Great layout with living room and family room. Very large bedrooms. First floor has beautiful Crown molding throughout. Roof was done in 2011 with long life 50 year singles, New furnace and AC in 2018 All appliances are included in the sale.



2210077727 Residential Sold 4359 WILLOW CREEK Drive Troy 48085-5727 Oakland SHALLOWBROOK SUB 2.056 0.37 1977 3 2/1 Colonial \$418,900 09/15/2021 \$418,900 \$194.55 11/18/2021 \$400,000 41 \$3,495 (S)/\$787 (W) Troy Central Air Forced Air Yes Yes Finished Yes

WONDERFUL OPPORTUNITY, COLONIAL IN SOUGHT-AFTER SHALLOWBROOK SUB. BETTER THAN NEW. THREE BEDROOM TWO FULL BATH & ONE LAV. NEWER WINDOWS, ROOF, FURN/HWH. **BLEND OF CARPET & HARDWOOD! FIRST** FLR LAUNDRY & SPACIOUS EAT-IN AND ALL UPDATED FULL KITCHEN. ALL APPLIANCES STAY (NEWER STOVE & DISHWS). OPEN FLOOR PLAN CENTERS IN LIVING ROOM WITH GAS-FIREPLACE. THE MSTR BEDROOM BOASTS DOUBLE DOOR ENTRY W/ WIC. OWNER IS A

Researched and prepared by James Clarke **Robertson Brothers Company**



MLS # **Property Type** Status **Street Address** Town ZIP County Subdivision Sq Ft Acreage Lot Features Year Built Beds Baths (F/H) Style **Original Price** Listing Date **Listing Price** Price per SqFt Sold Date Sold Price CDOM **Taxes Paid School District** Cooling Heating Garage **Basement Basement Type** Fireplace Remarks

\$0

\$0

\$0

(W)

CMA 3-Up Comparison w/ Subject

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables in a 3-column format.







ALL OFFERS DUE BY 8PM 9/18- Picture

perfect and move-in ready! Open and airy

3 bedrooms 2.5 bath colonial with nearly

2000 square feet of finished living space

throughout. Cozy living room with natural

fireplace and gleaming hardwood floors.

Chef's choice of custom cabinetry, bold

granite countertops, and stainless steel

bathroom. Entertainers paradise with a

fully fenced-in backyard (privacy fence)

with tons of room to roam. 2 car attached

appliances. Primary bedroom with

oversized closet and attached full

MLS # **Property Type** Status **Street Address** Town ZIP County Subdivision Sq Ft Acreage Lot Features Year Built Beds Baths (F/H) Style **Original Price** Listing Date **Listing Price** Price per SqFt Sold Date Sold Price CDOM **Taxes Paid School District** Cooling Heating Garage **Basement Basement Type** Fireplace Remarks

\$0

\$0

\$0

(W)

\$0.00

2210075076 Residential Sold 5283 Shrewsbury Drive Troy 48085-3241 Oakland SYLVAN GLEN SUB 1.755 0.36 1973 4 2/1 Colonial \$265,000 09/07/2021 \$265,000 \$148.72 09/29/2021 \$261,000 \$3,060 (S)/\$689 (W) Troy Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air Forced Air Yes Yes Partially Finished Yes

TROY FIXER UPPER. All written offers must provide proof of funds to close in order to be presented to the Seller. Offers must be submitted prior to 2pm on Sept 12th Seller will be responding to all offers by Sept 13th at 2pm. A home inspection was completed by Pillar to Post and is available for review (attached to MLS Documents) Home is being sold in as is condition. Fantastic opportunity to live in the heart of Troy. List price reflects condition.



CMA 3-Up Comparison w/ Subject

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables in a 3-column format.







This condo has it all!!! A former model home, meticulously maintained and updated for you to move right in with 3 bedrooms, 4 FULL and 1 half baths! The kitchen offers stainless appliances (all included), granite counters and plenty of space for all of your cooking and baking needs! The first-floor master suite has an attached updated full bath with separate tub and shower, double vanity and walk-in closet organized in all the right ways with California Closets. The 2 upstairs bedrooms BOTH have walk-in



58050053039 Residential Sold 453 Eckford Troy 48085 Oakland Streamview 1.687 0.25 1982 3 2/ Ranch \$349,900 08/25/2021 \$349,900 \$207.47 10/05/2021 \$350,000 3 \$3,165 (S)/\$719 (W) Troy Central Air Forced Air Yes Yes Unfinished Yes

Location! Location! Come home to this serene setting in this beautiful subdivision where you can walk to elementary schoo!! This Ranch home comes equipped with hardwood flooring in the, Foyer, Kitchen, Nook & Hallway to Beds. Cathedral ceiling Great RM with FP & lots of windows overlooking a private lot that includes wood deck and well maintained Hot Tub . Kitchen has raised panel cabinets, LG island for entertaining, built-in pantries, granite tops & newer APPLs that stay. Nook space LG enough

Researched and prepared by James Clarke Robertson Brothers Company



MLS # **Property Type** Status **Street Address** Town ZIP County Subdivision Sq Ft Acreage Lot Features Year Built Beds Baths (F/H) Style **Original Price** Listing Date **Listing Price** Price per SqFt Sold Date Sold Price CDOM **Taxes Paid School District** Cooling Heating Garage **Basement Basement Type** Fireplace Remarks

\$0

\$0

\$0

(W)

CMA 3-Up Comparison w/ Subject

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables in a 3-column format.





2210069365 Residential Sold 194 E LONG LAKE Road Troy 48085-5523 Oakland 1,711 0.41 Level 1986 3 2/1Ranch \$365,000 08/20/2021 \$365,000 \$201.64 10/08/2021 \$345,000 13 \$2,479 (S)/\$558 (W) Troy Central Air Forced Air Yes Yes Unfinished Yes

Good size solid brick ranch on almost half acre lot. Awesome location close to shopping, entertainment and freeways. Highly sought after Troy Schools (Leonard Elementary, Smith Middle & Troy High). Walk to "Childtime of Troy" Daycare (just 300 feet up the road). This home features 1711 sq.ft. of living space, plus full basement for a total of about 3400 sq.ft. The home has 3 bedrooms, 2.1 baths, living room w/recessed lights and natural fireplace, eat-in kitchen w/sliding door to concrete patio and private fenced



2210068872 Residential Sold 795 E LONG LAKE N Troy 48085 Oakland **CRYSTAL SPRINGS SUB - TROY** 3.100 0.59 Level 2020 5 4/1Colonial \$559,900 08/19/2021 \$559,900 \$181.29 10/01/2021 \$562,000 8 \$7,530 (S)/\$1,716 (W) Troy Central Air Forced Air Yes Yes Unfinished Yes

Like new. An unexpected family event causes this gorgeous Troy home to be sold. Walking into the dramatic foyer, you're immediately in command. A double door bedroom/office/study to the left has a full bath and large closet. Through the hallway you arrive in the great room on the left, dining area in front, and high end kitchen on the right, as they all open up before you. Gorgeous granite with timeless white cabinets invite you into the gas cooktop kitchen with stainless appliances. Another hall to the mudroom,

Researched and prepared by James Clarke **Robertson Brothers Company**



\$0

\$0

\$0

(W)

CMA 3-Up Comparison w/ Subject

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables in a 3-column format.





2210068538 Residential Sold 4691 CHAPEL Drive Troy 48085-5027 Oakland LONG LAKE VILLAGE SUB 1.700 0.33 Sprinkler(s) 1970 3 2/1Ranch \$324,900 08/18/2021 \$324,900 \$188.82 10/19/2021 \$321,000 56 \$2,850 (S)/\$648 (W) Troy Attic Fan, Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air Forced Air Yes Yes Unfinished Yes

The original owner is ready to sell so bring your ideas & make this house your own! You'll enjoy a peaceful neighborhood setting with this sprawling 3 bed, 2.5 bath brick ranch nestled on the BEST lot in Long Lake Village. Side turned garage & spacious 100 ft of driveway w/ turn-out. Solid oak front door & hardwd floors in foyer & den. Updtd kitchen in 2013 - all appliances stay! Dining area too-open concept, HUGE family room w/ gas fp, cath ceiling & Anderson 9 ft doorwall to the beautiful/fenced backyard. Master



2210068093 Residential Sold 1564 WELLING Drive Troy 48085-5084 Oakland LONG LAKE VILLAGE SUB 1.844 0.30 1973 4 1/1Colonial \$330,000 08/19/2021 \$320,000 \$176.25 10/20/2021 \$325,000 37 \$5,502 (S)/\$1,290 (W) Troy Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air Forced Air Yes Yes Finished Yes

Classic 4 bedroom colonial in the wonderful Long Lake Village sub! Updated eat-in kitchen with new white cabinets, granite counters & subway tile! Formal dining room and living room with beautifully refinished hardwood floors. New carpet in cozy family room featuring natural fireplace with brick surround and doorway to backyard patio. Updated bathrooms with modern amenities. New carpet throughout bedrooms. Extra deep lot with beautiful Gunite inground pool and new concrete surround. New roof. 2 car

Researched and prepared by James Clarke Robertson Brothers Company



\$0

\$0

\$0

(W)

CMA 3-Up Comparison w/ Subject

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables in a 3-column format.







Well maintained spectacular 3 bedroom, 2 bath (1st floor), 1st floor laundry. Beautifully updated kitchen w/white cabinets, granite, backsplash. Newly remodeled full bath. Both baths have granite & new faucets. Master bath has newly installed exhaust fan vented outside. Newer SS appliances (gas stove vented outside with extra gas line in garage & outside). Newer garage door and opener. Brazilian cherry hardwood floors throughout. Central vac. High efficiency furnace, newer glass block



2210066056 Residential 860 SYLVANWOOD Drive 48085-3176 Oakland SYLVANWOOD GARDENS SUB Ranch \$249,900 08/11/2021 \$229,900 \$144.71 09/29/2021 \$212,000 \$2,937 (S)/\$1,085 (W) Central Air Forced Air

All brick ranch in Troy, original owners. Great opportunity to make it your own! Plaster walls, 3 bedroom and 2 full baths.

MLS #
Property Type
Status
Street Address
Town
ZIP
County
Subdivision
Sq Ft
Acreage
Lot Features
Year Built
Beds
Baths (F/H)
Style
Original Price
Listing Date
Listing Price
Price per SqFt
Sold Date
Sold Price
CDOM
Taxes Paid
School District
Cooling
Heating
Garage
Basement
Basement Type
Fireplace
Remarks

\$0

\$0

\$0

(W)



CMA 3-Up Comparison w/ Subject

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables in a 3-column format.





MLS # **Property Type** Status **Street Address** Town ZIP County Subdivision Sq Ft Acreage Lot Features Year Built Beds Baths (F/H) Style **Original Price** Listing Date **Listing Price** Price per SqFt Sold Date Sold Price CDOM **Taxes Paid School District** Cooling Heating Garage **Basement Basement Type** Fireplace Remarks

\$0

\$0

\$0

(W)

\$0.00

2210065245 2210063850 Residential Sold Sold 524 TRINWAY Drive Troy Troy 48085-3134 Oakland **CRYSTAL SPRINGS SUB NO 1 - TR** 4.975 2.647 1.00 0.21 Sprinkler(s), Wooded 2005 1977 4 4 4/2 2/1 Split Level, Other \$1,049,000 08/10/2021 \$1,049,000 \$208.04 10/18/2021 \$1,035,000 30 \$12,894 (S)/\$2,929 (W) Troy Troy Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air Forced Air Yes Yes Yes Yes Daylight, Finished, Walkout Access Yes Yes Gorgeous, 1 of a kind, custom built,

sorgeous, For a kind, custom built, mansion situated on a fabulous, private tree lined lot. You will love the chef's kitchen complete with Sub-Zero built-in frig, Wolf oven/range, Thermador cooktop, high-end Lafata cabinets, granite island & breakfast nook. You will also find an exquisite LR with gas fireplace, executive library w/built-ins, large formal DR, beautiful family room with skylights, fabulous sunroom with fireplace, first floor laundry, royal 1st floor master suite and 2 powder rooms. The full finished

Residential 4425 CLARKE Drive 48085-4906 Oakland SHALLOWBROOK SUB Sprinkler(s) Colonial \$399,900 08/05/2021 \$399,900 \$145.83 09/27/2021 \$386,000 \$3,230 (S)/\$734 (W) Attic Fan, Ceiling Fan(s), Central Air Forced Air Partially Finished

SUPER spacious 4 bedrm, 2.5 bath colonial featuring NEW GRANITE KITCHEN with subway tile backsplash, under-mount lighting, porcelain floors, NEW cabinets, granite table & coordinating chairs. FAMILY ROOM ADDITION in 2004 features vaulted ceilings, sky-lights, rec.lights, wall of windows, cerm.tile flooring & cubbies for extra storage. BRAND NEW CARPET, NEW A/C & FURNACE in 2020, UPDTD BATHS, ATTIC FAN, ceiling fans & SO MUCH MORE! Some rooms freshly painted. Part.finished



CMA 3-Up Comparison w/ Subject

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables in a 3-column format.





58050032837 Condominium Sold 972 Cottage Lane Troy 48085 Oakland Midtown Crossing 1,485 2021 2 2/ Ranch \$443,045 01/25/2021 \$460,506 \$310.11 11/18/2021 \$460,506 116 \$0 (S)/\$0 (W) Troy Central Air Forced Air Yes Yes

No

The next generation of style! New ranch condominium under construction on a premium site that backs to a wooded area in Midtown Crossing perfectly situated in the heart of Troy. The Abbey--2 bedrooms, 2 baths, full basement, two-car garage and covered lanai. The open plan includes great room with fireplace, dining nook, and kitchen with island with snack bar and pendant lighting. Kitchen appliances include range, microwave, dishwasher and disposal. Granite counters in kitchen. The owner's



58050030908 Condominium Sold 978 Cottage Lane Troy 48085 Oakland Midtown Crossing 1,935 2020 3 3/ Ranch \$480,710 12/16/2020 \$493,686 \$255.13 12/07/2021 \$493,686 69 \$0 (S)/\$0 (W) Troy Central Air Forced Air Yes Yes

No

The next generation of style! New ranch condominium under construction in Midtown Crossing perfected situated in the heart of Troy. The Abbey--3 bedrooms, 3 baths, loft, full basement, two-car garage and covered lanai. The open plan includes great room, dining nook, and kitchen with island with 2 pendant lights and snack bar. Kitchen appliances include range, microwave, dishwasher and disposal. The owner's suite is a welcome retreat removed from the hub of activity, and the second



\$0

\$0

\$0

(W)

CMA 3-Up Comparison w/ Subject

MLS #

Status

Town

County

Sq Ft Acreage

Beds

Style

Year Built

Sold Date

Sold Price

Taxes Paid

CDOM

Cooling

Heating

Garage

Basement

Fireplace Remarks

ZIP

This page outlines the subject property versus comparables in a 3-column format.





Pricing Recommendation

This page suggests an estimated value based on a thorough analysis of your property.

After analyzing your property, comparable properties on the market now, recent sales and comparable properties that failed to sell, I conclude that in the current market, your property is most likely to sell for .



CMA Map Layout

This page displays the Map for the CMA Subject and your comparables.



Investigation • Remediation Compliance • Restoration 10448 Citation Drive, Suite 100 Brighton, MI 48116

Mailing Address: P.O. Box 2160 Brighton, MI 48116-2160

800 395-ASTI Fax: 810.225.3800

www.asti-env.com

Sent Via Email Only

April 5, 2021

Mr. Tim Loughrin **Robertson Brothers Homes** 6905 Telegraph Road, Suite 200 Bloomfield Hills, MI 48301-3159

RE: Wetland Delineation and Jurisdictional Assessment Goodman Property City of Troy, Oakland County, Michigan ASTI File No. 11812

Dear Mr. Loughrin:

On March 24, 2021 ASTI Environmental (ASTI) conducted a site investigation to delineate wetland boundaries on approximately 20 acres of land located west of Rochester Road and south of E. Long Lake Road, City of Troy, Oakland County, Michigan (Property). One wetland (Wetland B) likely regulated by the Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy (EGLE) and three wetlands (Wetland A, Wetland C, Wetland P) not likely regulated by EGLE were found on the Property (Figure 1 – *GPS-Surveyed Wetland Boundaries*). Wetland boundaries, as depicted on Figure 1, were located using a professional grade, hand-held Global Positioning System unit (GPS).

SUPPORTING DATA AND MAPPING

The USDA Web Soil Survey (WSS), the National Wetlands Inventory (NWI), the EGLE Wetlands Map Viewer web site, and digital aerial photographs were all used to support the wetland delineation and subsequent regulatory status determination. The NWI map showed no wetlands on the Property. The EGLE map identified wetlands throughout the western portion of the Property, and wetland soils throughout the western and central portions of the Property.

In addition, the WSS indicated the Property is comprised of the soils Lenawee silty clay loam (0-1% slopes), Metea loamy sand (0-6% slopes), Selfridge loamy sand (0-3% slopes), Owosso silty loam (1-6% slopes), Aquents (sandy, loamy, undulating), and Urban land. According to the WSS, Lenawee and Aquents are listed as hydric soils.



FINDINGS

ASTI investigated the Property for the presence of any lakes, ponds, wetlands, and watercourses. This work is based on *MCL 324 Part 301 (Inland Lakes and Streams)* and *Part 303 (Wetland Protection).*

It should be noted that some municipalities have local wetland ordinances and natural features setbacks that may apply to this property. In addition, in some circumstances the US Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE) may also have jurisdiction of wetlands or watercourses on your Property. This is not the case for your site.

The delineation protocol used by ASTI for this delineation is based on the US Army Corps of Engineers' *Wetland Delineation Manual*, 1987, the *Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineer Wetland Delineation Manual*: *Northcentral and Northeast Region*, and related guidance/documents, as appropriate. Wetland vegetation, hydrology, and soils were used to locate the wetland boundaries. Four wetland areas were found on the Property and are discussed below.

<u>Wetland A</u>

Wetland A is a scrub-shrub wetland (see Figure 1) 0.61 acres in size. Dominant vegetation included green ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*), and dogwood (*Cornus racemosa, Cornus amomum*). Soils were considered hydric because the criteria for depleted matrix was met. Indicators of wetland hydrology included water marks.

The adjacent upland was shrubby field. Dominant vegetation included red cedar (*Juniper virginiana*), Canada goldenrod (*Solidago canadensis*), and Queen Anne's lace (*Daucus carota*). There was no evidence of wetland hydrology. Soils were not considered hydric.

It is ASTI's opinion that Wetland A is not regulated by EGLE because it is less than five acres in size and isolated (located over 500 feet from any inland lakes, streams, or ponds).

Wetland B

Wetland B is a forested wetland (see Figure 1) 0.7 acres in size on-site. Dominant vegetation included common reed (*Phragmites australis*), green ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*), silver maple (*Acer saccharinum*), and eastern cottonwood (*Populus deltoides*). Soils were considered hydric because the criteria for depleted below dark surface were met. Indicators of wetland hydrology included a high water table, saturation, and moss trim lines. This wetland continues off-site to the west and also has a culvert connection to linear wetlands located to the north of E. Long Lake Road.

The adjacent uplands included an old field that appears to have been historically developed or graded. Dominant vegetation included annual grasses, Canada thistle (*Cirsium arvense*), and Queen Anne's lace (*Daucus carota*). There was no evidence of wetland hydrology. Soils were not hydric.

It is ASTI's opinion that Wetland B is regulated by EGLE because it is greater than five acres in size, including off-site portions. This size is based off of aerial photograph interpretation.

Wetland Delineation and Jurisdictional Determination Goodman Property City of Troy, Oakland Co., MI ASTI File No. 11812



<u>Wetland C</u>

Wetland C is a forested wetland (see Figure 1) 0.06 acres in size. Dominant vegetation included silver maple (*Acer saccharinum*), and bur oak (*Quercus macrocarpa*). Soils were considered hydric because the criteria for redox dark surface was met. Indicators of wetland hydrology included water stained leaves and Fac-Neutral test.

The adjacent uplands included an old field that appears to have been historically developed or graded. Dominant vegetation included annual grasses, Canada thistle (*Cirsium arvense*), and Queen Anne's lace (*Daucus carota*). There was no evidence of wetland hydrology. Soils were not hydric.

It is ASTI's opinion that Wetland C is not regulated by EGLE because it is less than five acres in size and isolated (located over 500 feet from any inland lakes, streams, or ponds).

<u>Wetland P</u>

Wetland P is an emergent wetland 0.06 acres in size (see Figure 1) dominated by common reed (*Phragmites australis*). Soils were considered hydric because the criteria for redox dark surface was met. Indicators of wetland hydrology included surface soil cracks and Fac-Neutral test. A non-functioning culvert left in place was observed in Wetland P.

The adjacent uplands included an old field that appears to have been historically developed or graded. Dominant vegetation included Siberian elm (*Ulmus pumila*), and common reed (*Phragmites australis*). There was no evidence of wetland hydrology. Soils were considered hydric the criteria for depleted below dark surface were met.

It is ASTI's opinion that Wetland P is not regulated by EGLE because it is less than five acres in size and isolated (located over 500 feet from any inland lakes, streams, or ponds).

Areas of Disturbance

Review of historic aerial photographs as far back as 1999 indicates that the majority of the Property has been developed and ground disturbed. During the wetland delineation activities, there were a number of isolated areas observed within old field that have been historically developed, structures razed, and ground graded. Dominant vegetation included common reed (*Phragmites australis*) and rushes (*Juncus torreyi, Juncus dudleyi*). These areas were determined to be upland due to a lack of a primary wetland hydrology indicator. Soil saturation, where present, was due to a restrictive layer (in this case gravel) within 12 inches of the ground surface, not a high water table. Per the USACE methodology, saturation due to a restrictive layer within 12 inches of the ground surface. Therefore, these areas were not identified as wetland.

Wetland Flagging

Wetland boundaries were GPS surveyed and marked in the field with day-glow pink and black striped flagging marked with the following flagging numbers:

Wetland A: A-1 through A-32 Wetland B: B-1 through B-43 Wetland C: C-1 through C-7 Wetland P: P-1 through P-8 *Wetland Delineation and Jurisdictional Determination Goodman Property City of Troy, Oakland Co., MI ASTI File No. 11812*



SUMMARY

Based upon the data, criteria, and evidence noted above, it is ASTI's professional opinion that the Property includes one wetland, Wetland B, regulated by EGLE under the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act (1994 P.A. 451), Part 303 (Wetland Protection). In addition, three wetlands not likely regulated by EGLE were also found on the Property. Please note that EGLE has the final authority on the extent of regulated wetlands, lakes, and streams in the State of Michigan. Any proposed impact to the areas that ASTI has identified as regulated will require an EGLE permit.

Attached are Figure 1, which shows the GPS locations of wetland flagging on the Property, and completed US Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE) Wetland Data Forms. Please note that the data sheet numbers match the data collection sampling points shown on Figure 1.

Thank you for the opportunity to assist you with this project. Please let us know if we can be of any further assistance in moving your project forward.

Sincerely yours,

ASTI ENVIRONMENTAL

Brad Kassuba, CWB, PWS Wetland Ecologist Professional Wetland Scientist #1330

Dana R. Knox, PWS Wetland Ecologist Professional Wetland Scientist #213

Attachments: Figure 1 – *GPS-Surveyed Wetland Boundaries* Completed ACOE Wetland Data Forms



WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Goodman Property	City/County: Oakland County Sampling Date: 3/24/21
Applicant/Owner: Robertson Brothers Homes	State: MI Sampling Point: U1
Investigator(s): ASTI Environmental - B. Kassuba	Section, Township, Range: Section 15, T02N, R11E
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.):	ocal relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope %:
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR L Lat:	Long: Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name: Urban land	NWI classification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of ye	ear? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation <u>N</u> , Soil <u>N</u> , or Hydrology <u>N</u> significantly	disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation <u>N</u> , Soil <u>N</u> , or Hydrology <u>N</u> naturally pro	blematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing	sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No X	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes NoX If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No X	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No X	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures	here or in a s	eparate report.)	

HYDROLOGY

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point:

U1

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. 2.				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:2 (A)
3. 4.				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>4</u> (B)
5 6.				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 50.0% (A/B)
7.				Prevalence Index worksheet:
	:	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')				OBL species 0 x 1 = 0
1. Pinus sylvestris	5	No	UPL	FACW species 10 x 2 = 20
2. Juniperus virginiana	5	No	FACU	FAC species 20 x 3 = 60
3. Fraxinus pennsylvanica	10	Yes	FACW	FACU species 12 x 4 = 48
4. Rhamnus cathartica	20	Yes	FAC	UPL species 5 x 5 = 25
5.				Column Totals: 47 (A) 153 (B)
6.				Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.26
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
	40	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')				2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Phleum pratense	2	Yes	FACU	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
2. Solidago canadensis	5	Yes	FACU	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
3.				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4.				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
5.				
6.				Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7.				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12.				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
	7	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30'</u>) 1.				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
2.				
3.				Hydrophytic Vegetation
4.				Present? Yes No
	:	=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separation of the second se	rate sheet.)			

SOIL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth	Matrix		Redox	k Featur	es			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-10	10YR 3/2			100	С	М	Loamy/Clayey	
10-18	10YR 3/3	96	10YR 5/8	4	С	М	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations
		<u> </u>						
		<u> </u>						
		· ·						
¹ Type: C=Co	ncentration, D=Depl	etion, RM	Reduced Matrix, N	IS=Mas	ked Sand	l Grains.	² Location: P	L=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil In	ndicators:		Polyvaluo Bolo	w Surfa	co (S8) (I		Indicators fo	or Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histic Eni	inedon (A2)	•			ce (00) (i	LIXIX IX,	2 cm Mu	rairie Redox (A16) ($IPP K I P$)
Black His	tic $(A2)$		Thin Dark Surf)		MIDA	149B) 5 cm Mu	$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + 1$
	$\Delta C (A3)$			ace (39)				
		•		anus (S	(LR	((, L)	Polyvalu	
Stratified	Layers (A5)			vinerai	(F1) (LRI	ΚΚ, L)		
Depleted	Below Dark Surface	(A11)	Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)		Iron-Mar	iganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
Thick Dar	rk Surface (A12)		Depleted Matrix	x (F3)			Piedmor	it Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
Sandy Mi	ucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark Su	irface (F	6)		Mesic S	podic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
Sandy Gl	eyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark	Surface	(F7)		Red Par	ent Material (F21)
Sandy Re	edox (S5)		Redox Depress	sions (F	8)		Very Sha	allow Dark Surface (F22)
Stripped I	Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) (LR	R K, L)			Other (E	xplain in Remarks)
Dark Surf	face (S7)							
³ Indicators of	hydrophytic vegetati	on and we	etland hydrology mu	ist be pr	esent, ur	nless dist	turbed or problematic.	
Restrictive L	ayer (if observed):							
Туре:								
Depth (in	ches):						Hydric Soil Preser	nt? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks:	n in roviand from No.	rtheoptrol	and Northeast Desi	anal Su	nnlomon	+ Voraian	2.0 to include the ND(CC Field Indicators of Lludric Sails
Version 7.0, 2	2015 Errata. (http://w	ww.nrcs.u	and Northeast Regi isda.gov/Internet/FS	onal Su SE DOC		S/nrcs14	2p2 051293.docx)	5 Field Indicators of Hydric Solis,
			C C	_			,	
³ Indicators of Restrictive L Type: Depth (in Remarks: This data form Version 7.0, 2	hydrophytic vegetati ayer (if observed): ches): n is revised from Noi 2015 Errata. (http://w	on and we	etland hydrology mu	onal Su SE_DOC	pplement	t Version S/nrcs14	turbed or problematic. Hydric Soil Preser 2.0 to include the NR(2p2_051293.docx)	nt? Yes No CS Field Indicators of Hydric Soils,

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Good	man Property		City/County: Oakland County Sampling Date: 3/24/21						
Applicant/Owner:	Robertson Brothers Ho	mes			State:	MI	Sampling Point:	U2	
Investigator(s): AS	ΓΙ Environmental - Β. Kas	suba	Section, Township, Range: Section 15, T02N, R11E						
Landform (hillside, te	errace, etc.):		Local re	elief (concave, convex, n	one): none		Slope	%:	
Subregion (LRR or M	/ILRA): LRR L	Lat:	Lat: Long: Datum:						
Soil Map Unit Name	: Selfrdige loamy sand				NWI classifi	ication:			
Are climatic / hydrolo	ogic conditions on the site	typical for t	his time of year?	Yes X	No	(If no, e	xplain in Remarks	.)	
Are Vegetation N	, Soil <u>Y</u> , or Hydro	logy Y	significantly disturb	ed? Are "Normal	Circumstance	s" prese	ent? Yes	No <u>X</u>	
Are Vegetation N	_, Soil <u>N</u> , or Hydro	logy N	naturally problemat	ic? (If needed, ex	xplain any ans	swers in	Remarks.)		
SUMMARY OF	FINDINGS – Attach	site map	showing samp	ling point location	ns, transec	ts, im:	portant featur	es, etc.	
Hydrophytic Vegeta	ation Present?	Yes X	No	Is the Sampled Area					
Hydric Soil Present	?	Yes	No X	within a Wetland?	Yes		No <u>X</u>		
Wetland Hydrology	Present?	Yes	No <u>X</u>	If yes, optional Wetlan	nd Site ID:				
Remarks: (Explain This area and adjac compacted or rutter associated with a h	alternative procedures he cent areas appear to have d as well. Surface soils we igh water table below the	re or in a se been histor ≆re saturated saturated zo	parate report.) ically developed an d at the time of insp one, and there appe	d/or graded. Concrete ru ection, but did not indica ears to be a restrictive lag	ubble and grav ate hydrology yer of gravel w	vel are p because vithin 12	prevalent. Soils are e it does not appea ? inches of the surf	ar to be ace.	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)		
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is requir	ed; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)		
Surface Water (A1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)			
High Water Table (A2)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)			
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)		
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)		
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B	38)	X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):			
Water Table Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):			
Water Table Present? Yes Saturation Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches): No Depth (inches): 4 Weth	and Hydrology Present? Yes No X		
Water Table Present? Yes Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe)	No X Depth (inches): No Depth (inches): 4	and Hydrology Present? Yes <u>No X</u>		
Water Table Present? Yes Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo	No X Depth (inches): No Depth (inches): No Depth (inches): Initoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections),	and Hydrology Present? Yes No X		
Water Table Present? Yes Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo	No X Depth (inches): No Depth (inches): 4 Weth nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections),	and Hydrology Present? Yes No X		
Water Table Present? Yes Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo	No X Depth (inches): No Depth (inches): No Depth (inches): Initoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections),	and Hydrology Present? Yes <u>No X</u> if available:		
Water Table Present? Yes Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo Remarks:	No X Depth (inches): No Depth (inches): No Depth (inches): Initoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections),	and Hydrology Present? Yes <u>No X</u> if available:		
Water Table Present? Yes Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo Remarks:	No X Depth (inches): 4 Weth No Depth (inches): 4 Weth nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections),	and Hydrology Present? Yes No X		
Water Table Present? Yes Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo Remarks:	No X Depth (inches): 4 Weth No Depth (inches): 4 Weth nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections),	and Hydrology Present? Yes No X if available:		
Water Table Present? Yes Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo Remarks:	No X Depth (inches): 4 Weth No Depth (inches): 4 Weth nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections),	and Hydrology Present? Yes No X if available:		
Water Table Present? Yes Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo Remarks:	No X Depth (inches): 4 Weth No Depth (inches): 4 Weth nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), 1 1	and Hydrology Present? Yes No X if available:		
Water Table Present? Yes Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo Remarks:	No X Depth (inches): 4 Weth No Depth (inches): 4 Weth nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections),	and Hydrology Present? Yes <u>No X</u> if available:		
Water Table Present? Yes Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo Remarks:	No X Depth (inches): 4 Weth No Depth (inches): 4 Weth nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections),	and Hydrology Present? Yes <u>No X</u> if available:		
Water Table Present? Yes X Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo Remarks:	No X Depth (inches): 4 Weth No Depth (inches): Weth nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections),	and Hydrology Present? Yes No X if available:		
Water Table Present? Yes Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo Remarks:	No X Depth (inches): 4 Weth No Depth (inches): 4 Weth nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections),	and Hydrology Present? Yes <u>No X</u> if available:		
Water Table Present? Yes X Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo Remarks:	No X Depth (inches): 4 Weth No Depth (inches): 4 Weth nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections),	and Hydrology Present? Yes No X if available:		

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: U2

<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1				Number of Dominant Species
2				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A)
3.				Total Number of Dominant
4				Species Across All Strata:(B)
5				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)
7.				Prevalence Index worksheet:
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')				OBL species $0 \times 1 = 0$
1. <u> </u>				FACW species 85 x 2 = 170
2.				FAC species $0 \times 3 = 0$
3.				FACU species 0 x 4 = 0
4.				UPL species $0 \times 5 = 0$
5.				Column Totals: 85 (A) 170 (B)
6.				Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.00
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
		=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Phragmites australis	70	Yes	FACW	X 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
2. Juncus dudleyi	15	No	FACW	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
3				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
5				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
6				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in
9				diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH
11				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
	85	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30')				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
1				height.
2				Hydrophytic
3				Vegetation
4				Present? Yes <u>X</u> No
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separ	ate sheet.)			

Profile Desc	cription: (Describe	to the de	pth needed to docu	ument ti	ne indica	ator or co	onfirm the absence o	f indicators.)
Depth	Matrix		Redox	x Featur	es			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-8	10YR 4/2	100			С	М	Loamy/Clayey	
8-11	10YR 4/3	91	5YR 4/6	9	С	М	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations
							·	
							·	
							·	
							·	
		lation D			kod Son		² Location: D	-Dero Liping M-Metrix
Hydric Soil	Indicators:			10-11185	keu Sano	Grains.	Indicators fo	or Problematic Hydric Soils ³
Histosol	(A1)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surfa	ce (S8) (LRR R,	2 cm Mu	uck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
Histic Ep	oipedon (A2)		 MLRA 149B)	(-/(,	Coast P	rairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
Black Hi	stic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	, ace (S9)) (LRR R	, MLRA 1	149B) 5 cm Mu	ucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
Hydroge	n Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma S	Sands (S	611) (LRI	R K, L)	Polyvalu	ie Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)
Stratified	Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)						rk Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)	
Depleted	d Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)		Iron-Mar	nganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)		Depleted Matrix	x (F3)			Piedmor	nt Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
Sandy M	lucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark Su	ırface (F	6)		Mesic S	podic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
Sandy G	Bleyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark	Surface	(F7)		Red Par	ent Material (F21)
Sandy F	Redox (S5)		Redox Depress	sions (F	8)		Very Sha	allow Dark Surface (F22)
Stripped	Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) (LR	R K, L)			Other (E	xplain in Remarks)
Dark Su	rface (S7)							
³ Indicators o	f hydrophytic vegetat	ion and w	vetland hydrology mu	ust be pr	esent, u	nless dist	urbed or problematic.	
Restrictive	Layer (if observed):							
Туре:								
Depth (i	nches):						Hydric Soil Preser	nt? Yes <u>No X</u>
Remarks:								
This data for	m is revised from No	orthcentra	and Northeast Regi	ional Su	pplemen	t Version	2.0 to include the NR	CS Field Indicators of Hydric Soils,
version 7.0,	2015 Errata. (http://v	ww.nrcs.	usda.gov/internet/F3	SE_DOC		S/nrcs14	2p2_051293.docx)	

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Goodman Property				City/County: Oakland County					Sampling Date: 3/24/21		
Applicant/Owner: Robertson Brothers Homes							State:	MI	Sampling Point:	U3	
Investigator(s): ASTI Environmental - B. Kassuba					Section, Township, Range: Section 15, T02N, R11E						
Landform (hillside,	, terrace,	etc.):			Local relief (d	concave, con	ivex, no	ne): none		Slope %	:
Subregion (LRR or	r MLRA):	LRR	L	Lat:		Long	g:			Datum:	
Soil Map Unit Nam	ne: Owo	osso silty	/ loam					NWI classif	ication:		
Are climatic / hydro	ologic co	nditions	on the site typic	al for	this time of year?	Yes X	×	No	(If no, e	explain in Remarks.)	
Are Vegetation	N, So	il <u>Y</u>	, or Hydrology	Y	significantly disturbed?	Are "No	ormal C	ircumstance	es" pres	ent? Yes N	о <u>Х</u>
Are Vegetation	N, So	il <u>N</u>	, or Hydrology	Ν	naturally problematic?	(If need	ded, exp	olain any an	swers ir	n Remarks.)	
SUMMARY OF	F FIND	INGS -	- Attach site	map	showing sampling	point loca	ations	s, transeo	cts, im	portant features	s, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X No Yes X No Yes No X			Х	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes No X If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:				
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) Area appears to have been graded in the past. Some gravel is present.									

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)							
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is re	quired; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)							
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)							
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)							
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)							
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)							
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)							
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)							
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)) Geomorphic Position (D2)							
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)							
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery	(B7) Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)							
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surfac	e (B8)	X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)							
Field Observations:									
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):								
Water Table Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):								
Saturation Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches): We	etland Hydrology Present? Yes No X							
(includes capillary fringe)		· · · ·							
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:									
Remarks:									

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point:

U3

<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:					
1. Ulmus pumila	10	Yes	FACU	Number of Deminent Operator					
2.				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)					
3.									
4.				Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)					
5.				, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , , ,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
6.				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL. FACW. or FAC: 50.0% (A/B)					
7.				Prevalence Index worksheet:					
	10	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:					
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')				OBL species 0 x 1 = 0					
1. Fraxinus pennsylvanica	50	Yes	FACW	FACW species 105 x 2 = 210					
2.				FAC species 0 x 3 = 0					
3.				FACU species 29 x 4 = 116					
4.				UPL species 0 x 5 = 0					
5.				Column Totals: 134 (A) 326 (B)					
6.				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 2.43$					
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:					
	50	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation					
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')				2 - Dominance Test is >50%					
1. Phragmites australis	50	Yes	FACW	X 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹					
2. Phalaris arundinacea	5	No	FACW	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting					
3. Dipsacus fullonum	19	Yes	FACU	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)					
4.				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)					
5.				The discrete set of the delayer is the set of the delayer is the set of the s					
6.				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.					
7.				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:					
8.				Tree Weady plants 3 in (7.6 cm) or more in					
9.				diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.					
10				Sanling/shruh – Woody plants less than 3 in DBH					
11				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.					
12				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless					
	74	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.					
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30')				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in					
1				height.					
2				Hydrophytic					
3				Vegetation					
4				Present? Yes <u>X</u> No					
		=Total Cover							
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)									
Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the de	pth needed to docu	ument tl	he indica	ator or c	onfirm the absence of	indicators.)	
---------------------------	------------------------	-------------	----------------------	-----------	-------------------	------------------	---	---	--
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Featur	es				
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks	
0-12	10YR 3/2	100			С	М	Loamy/Clayey		
12-18	10YR 4/2	96	10YR 6/6	4	С	М	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations	
		·······							
¹ Type: C=C	oncentration, D=Dep	letion, RM	I=Reduced Matrix, M	/IS=Mas	ked Sand	d Grains.	² Location: PL	_=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.	
Hydric Soil	Indicators:						Indicators fo	r Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
Histosol	(A1)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surfa	ce (S8) (LRR R,	2 cm Muo	ck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)	
Histic Ep	pipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)			Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)		
Black Hi	stic (A3)		Thin Dark Surf	ace (S9)) (LRR R	, MLRA	149B)5 cm Muo	cky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)	
Hydroge	n Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma S	Sands (S	611) (LRI	R K, L)	Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)		
Stratified	l Layers (A5)		Loamy Mucky	Mineral	(F1) (LR	R K, L)	Thin Dark	< Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)	
X Depleted	d Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)		Iron-Man	ganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)	
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)		Depleted Matri	x (F3)			Piedmon	t Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)	
Sandy M	lucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark Su	urface (F	6)		Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)		
Sandy G	leyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark	Surface	(F7)		Red Parent Material (F21)		
Sandy R	ledox (S5)		Redox Depress	sions (Fa	8)		Very Sha	llow Dark Surface (F22)	
Stripped	Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) (LR	R K, L)			Other (Ex	vplain in Remarks)	
Dark Su	rface (S7)						_		
³ Indicators o	f hydrophytic vegetat	tion and w	etland hydrology mu	ust be pr	resent, ur	nless dis	turbed or problematic.		
Restrictive	Layer (if observed):								
Type:									
Depth (ii	nches):						Hydric Soil Presen	t? Yes <u>X</u> No	
Remarks:									
This data for	m is revised from No	orthcentral	and Northeast Reg	ional Su	pplemen	t Version	2.0 to include the NRC	S Field Indicators of Hydric Soils,	
Version 7.0,	2015 Errata. (http://v	www.nrcs.	usda.gov/Internet/FS	SE_DOC	CUMENT	S/nrcs14	2p2_051293.docx)		

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Goodm	າan Property	City/C	County: Oakland County	Sampling Date: 3/24/21	
Applicant/Owner:	Robertson Brothers Homes		State:	MI Sampling Point: W1	
Investigator(s): AST	I Environmental - B. Kassuba		Section, Township, Range:	Section 15, T02N, R11E.	
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope %:					
Subregion (LRR or M	LRA): LRR L	Lat:	Long:	Datum:	
Soil Map Unit Name:	Urban land		NWI classif	ication:	
Are climatic / hydrolog	gic conditions on the site typic	al for this time of year?	Yes X No	(If no, explain in Remarks.)	
Are Vegetation N	, Soil <u>N</u> , or Hydrology	N significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstance	es" present? Yes X No	
Are Vegetation N	, Soil <u>N</u> , or Hydrology	N naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any an	swers in Remarks.)	
SUMMARY OF F	INDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling	point locations, transed	cts, important features, etc.	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	Х	No	Is the Sampled Area				
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	Х	No	within a Wetland? Yes X No				
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	Х	No	If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:				
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)								

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is require	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
X Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C	3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7	Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B	8)	X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:		
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches).	
Saturation Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches): We	tland Hydrology Present? Yes X No
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe)	No X Depth (inches): We	tland Hydrology Present? Yes X No
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, more	No X Depth (inches): We	tland Hydrology Present? Yes X No), if available:
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	No X Depth (inches): We	tland Hydrology Present? Yes X No
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mol	No X Depth (inches): We	tland Hydrology Present? Yes X No
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mon Remarks:	No X Depth (inches): We	tland Hydrology Present? Yes X No), if available:
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor Remarks:	No X Depth (inches): We	tland Hydrology Present? Yes X No), if available:
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor Remarks:	No X Depth (inches): We	tland Hydrology Present? Yes X No), if available:
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mol	No X Depth (inches): We	tland Hydrology Present? Yes X No
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor Remarks:	No X Depth (inches): We	tland Hydrology Present? Yes X No), if available:
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mon Remarks:	No X Depth (inches): We	tland Hydrology Present? Yes X No), if available:
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor Remarks:	No X Depth (inches): We	tland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No), if available:
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor Remarks:	No X Depth (inches): We	tland Hydrology Present? Yes X No
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor Remarks:	No X Depth (inches): We	tland Hydrology Present? Yes X No

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W1

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30')	% Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. Populus deltoides	15	Yes	FAC	
2.				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)
3.				, , ,
4.				I otal Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)
5.				
6.				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)
7.				Prevalence Index worksheet:
	15	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')				OBL species 0 x 1 = 0
1. Rhamnus cathartica	5	No	FAC	FACW species 57 x 2 = 114
2. Fraxinus pennsylvanica	50	Yes	FACW	FAC species 20 x 3 = 60
3. Cornus amomum	2	No	FACW	FACU species 0 x 4 = 0
4.				UPL species 0 x 5 = 0
5.				Column Totals: 77 (A) 174 (B)
6.				Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.26
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
	57	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Phalaris arundinacea	5	Yes	FACW	X 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
2.				4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
3.				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4.				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
5.				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
6				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7.				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8				Tree – Woody plants 3 in (7.6 cm) or more in
9				diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in DBH
11				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
	5	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30')				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
1				height.
2				Unders to d'a
3				Vegetation
4				Present? Yes X No
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	rate sheet.)			

SOIL

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the de	epth needed to docu	ument t	he indica	ator or co	onfirm the absence o	of indicators.)		
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Featur	res					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks		
0-12	10YR 4/2	96	10YR 5/8	4	С	М	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations		
12-18	10YR 4/2	85	10YR 5/8	15	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations		
¹ Type: C=Co	ncentration D=Dep	letion RM		/S=Mas	ked Sand	Grains	² Location: F	A =Pore Lining M=Matrix		
Hvdric Soil	ndicators:				Ked Ourk		Indicators f	or Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :		
Histosol	(A1)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surfa	ce (S8) (LRR R,	2 cm Mu	uck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)		
Histic Er	pipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)	() (,	? Coast P	rairie Redox (A16) (LRR K. L. R)		
Black Hi	stic (A3)		Thin Dark Surf	, ace (S9) (LRR R	MLRA	149B) 5 cm Mi	ucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K. L. R)		
Hydroge	n Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma S	Sands (S	511) (I RI	, <u>к</u> і)	Polyvalı	ie Below Surface (S8) (I RR K I)		
Tryuroge				Minorol			Toiyvait			
	l Layers (AJ)	()]]				κ κ, μ)				
	Below Dark Surface	e (ATT)	Loamy Gleyed		FZ)					
	irk Sufface (A12)		X Depleted Matri	x (F3)			Piedmoi	nt Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)		
Sandy M	lucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark Su	urface (F	-6)		Mesic S	podic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)		
Sandy G	leyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark	Surface	e (F7)		Red Parent Material (F21)			
Sandy R	edox (S5)		Redox Depress	sions (F	8)		Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)			
Stripped	Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) (LR	R K, L)			Other (Explain in Remarks)			
Dark Su	face (S7)									
³ Indicators of	hydrophytic vegetat	ion and v	vetland hydrology mu	ust be pi	resent, ur	nless dist	urbed or problematic.			
Type.	ayer (il observed):									
Depth (ir	nches):						Hydric Soil Prese	nt? Yes <u>X</u> No		
Remarks:										
This data for	m is revised from No	orthcentra	l and Northeast Reg	ional Su	pplemen	t Version	2.0 to include the NR	CS Field Indicators of Hydric Soils,		
Version 7.0,	2015 Errata. (http://v	www.nrcs	.usda.gov/Internet/F	SE_DOO	CUMENT	S/nrcs14	2p2_051293.docx)	-		

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Goodm	an Property			City/County: Oakland County Sampling Date: 3/24/21						
Applicant/Owner:	Robertson Br	others Homes				State:	MI	Sampling Point:	W2	
Investigator(s): ASTI	Environmenta	ıl - B. Kassuba			Section, Townshi	ip, Range: <u>S</u>	Section	15, T02N, R11E		
Landform (hillside, ter	race, etc.):			Local relief (c	oncave, convex, no	ne): concave	е	Slope %:		
Subregion (LRR or MI	LRA): LRR L		Lat:		Long:			Datum:		
Soil Map Unit Name:	Selfridge loar	my sand				NWI classifi	ication:	:		
Are climatic / hydrolog	jic conditions o	on the site typica	al for th	his time of year?	Yes X	No	(If no, o	explain in Remarks.)		
Are Vegetation N	, Soil <u>N</u>	, or Hydrology	N :	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Ci	ircumstance	s" pres	ent? Yes <u>X</u> No		
Are Vegetation N	, Soil <u>N</u>	, or Hydrology	N	naturally problematic?	(If needed, exp	olain any ans	wers ir	n Remarks.)		
SUMMARY OF F	INDINGS -	Attach site	map	showing sampling	point locations	s, transec	ts, im	nportant features	, etc.	
Hydrophytic Vegetat	ion Present?	Yes	х	No Istr	ne Sampled Area					
Hydric Soil Present?		Yes	Х	No with	nin a Wetland?	Yes	Х	No		

Yes	Х	No	within a Wetland? Yes X No
Yes	Х	No	If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:
ere or	in a se	parate report.)	
	Yes Yes ere or	Yes X Yes X ere or in a se	Yes X No Yes X No ere or in a separate report.)

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is require	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	
Surface Water (A1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)	
X High Water Table (A2)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)	
X Saturation (A3)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
X Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6	i) Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
X Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8	3)	X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:		
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present? Yes X	No Depth (inches): 6	
Saturation Present? Yes X	No Depth (inches): 5 W	/etland Hydrology Present? Yes X No
(includes capillary fringe)		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mon	itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspection	s), if available:
Remarks:		

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W2

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet
1 Acer saccharinum	20	Yes	FACW	Dominance rest worksheet.
2 Ulmus americana	20	Yes	FACW	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL_EACW_or EAC: 4 (A)
3 Acer negundo	15	Yes	FAC	
4.		100		Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)
5.				
6.				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)
7.				Prevalence Index worksheet:
	55	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
<u>Sapling/Shrub Stratum</u> (Plot size: 15')				OBL species 0 x 1 = 0
1. Fraxinus pennsylvanica	30	Yes	FACW	FACW species 73 x 2 = 146
2.				FAC species 15 x 3 = 45
3.				FACU species 0 x 4 = 0
4.				UPL species 0 x 5 = 0
5.				Column Totals: 88 (A) 191 (B)
6.				Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.17
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
	30	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Phragmites australis	3	No	FACW	X 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
2				4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
3				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
5				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
6				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in
9				diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH
11				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12	3	=Total Cover		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30')				
1. <u> </u>				height.
2.				
3.				Hydrophytic Vogetation
4.				Present? Yes X No
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	rate sheet.)			

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the de	pth needed to docu	ument tl	he indica	ator or co	onfirm the absence o	of indicators.)				
Depth	Matrix		Redo									
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks				
0-12	10YR 3/1	100			С	М	Loamy/Clayey					
12-18	10YR 4/1	98	10YR 5/8	2	С	М	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations				
					·							
1						. <u>.</u>	2					
Type: C=Co	oncentration, D=Dep	letion, RN	1=Reduced Matrix, N	/IS=Mas	ked Sand	d Grains.		PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.				
Histosol			Polyvaluo Bolo		co (S8) (Nor Problematic Hydric Solls :				
Histic Er	(A1)			w Suna	ce (30) (LKK K,		$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$				
	stic (A2)		Thin Dark Surf) 			Coast F	ucky Post or Post (S3) (LRR R, L, R)				
	$\operatorname{Suc}(A3)$			ace (39) Sondo (5			149D) <u> </u>					
				Sanus (c Minorol)) (LRI (E1) (LRI	RR, L)	Polyvali					
	l Layers (A5)	- ()			(FI) (LR I	r r, l)	Thin Da					
	Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Loamy Gleyed		FZ)		Iron-ivia	nganese Masses (F12) (LRR R, L, R)				
	ark Surface (A12)			X (F3)			Pleamont Floodplain Solis (F19) (MLR Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 1444, 145					
	iucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark St	unace (F	.0)							
Sandy G	leyed Matrix (S4)			Surface	e (⊢7)		Very Shallow Dark Surface (E22)					
Sandy R	ledox (S5)		Redox Depress	sions (F	8)		very Snallow Dark Surrace (F22) Other (Explain in Remarks)					
Stripped	Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) (LR	(R K, L)				zplain in Remarks)				
Dark Su	rface (S7)											
³ Indicators of	f hydrophytic yogotat	tion and w	otland hydrology m	ust ha ni	rocont u	aloce dist	urbod or problematic					
Restrictive	aver (if observed):		etiana nyarology ma	usi be pi	esent, u	11633 0131						
Type:												
Depth (ir	nches).						Hydric Soil Prese	ent? Yes X No				
	ioneo).											
Remarks:	m is revised from No	rthoontrol	and Northeast Rea	ional Su	nnlomon	t Voraion	2.0 to include the NR	PCS Field Indiantors of Hydria Saila				
Version 7.0.	2015 Errata. (http://v	ww.nrcs.	usda.gov/Internet/FS	SE DOC		S/nrcs14	202 051293.docx)	CS Field Indicators of Flydric Solis,				
,							,					
1												

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: God	odman Property			C		Sampling Date: 3/24/21							
Applicant/Owner:	Robertson B	rothers Homes			Sampling Poir	ıt:	W3						
Investigator(s): A	STI Environmenta	al - B. Kassuba		Section, Township, Range: Section 15, T02N, R11E									
Landform (hillside,	, terrace, etc.):			Local reli	ief (concave, convex	, none): <u>none</u>		Slope %:					
Subregion (LRR or	r MLRA): <u>LRR I</u>		Lat:		Long:			Datum:					
Soil Map Unit Nam	ne: Selfridge loa	my sand				NWI classif	ication:						
Are climatic / hydro	ologic conditions	on the site typica	al for t	this time of year?	Yes X	No	(lf no, e	io, explain in Remarks.)					
Are Vegetation	N, Soil N	, or Hydrology	Y	significantly disturbed	d? Are "Norma	al Circumstance	s" pres	ent? Yes	No	Х			
Are Vegetation	N, Soil N	, or Hydrology	Ν	naturally problematic	? (If needed,	explain any an	swers in	ו Remarks.)					
SUMMARY OF	F FINDINGS –	Attach site	map	showing sampl	ing point locati	ons, transed	:ts, im	portant featu	ıres,	etc.			

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	Х	No	Is the Sampled Area									
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	Х	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	Х	No						
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	Х	No	If yes, optional Wetland Site I	D:								
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)													
present.	Swale/ulto												

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)				
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is require	X Surface Soil Cracks (B6)						
Surface Water (A1)		Drainage Patterns (B10)					
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)		Moss Trim Lines (B16)				
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)				
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Crayfish Burrows (C8)				
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Ro	oots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)				
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)				
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils	s (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)				
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)				
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)) Other (Explain in Remarks)		Microtopographic Relief (D4)				
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B	8)		X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)				
Field Observations:							
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):						
Water Table Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):						
Saturation Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	Wetlan	nd Hydrology Present? Yes X No				
(includes capillary fringe)							
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ections), if a	available:				
Remarks:							

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point:

W3

<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30'</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. Populus deltoides	15	Yes	FAC	Number of Dominant Species
2				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A)
3				Total Number of Dominant
4				Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
5				Percent of Dominant Species
6				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)
<i>I</i>	45	-Tatal Causa		Total % Cover of Multiply by
	15	= I otal Cover		Iotal % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15)				$\begin{array}{c} \text{OBL species} \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline x \\ 1 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$
I				FACW species 80 $x = 160$
2				FAC species 15 $x^3 = 45$
3				FACU species $x 4 =$
4				UPL species x 5 =
5				Column Totals: 95 (A) 205 (B)
6				Prevalence Index = B/A =2.16
7				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
		=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. <i>Phragmites australis</i>	80	Yes	FACW	X 3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{1}$
2				4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
3				Problem effectively the first (constant on 1 (Form Lain)
4.				
5 6.				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7.				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8.				Tree Weedy plants 2 in (7.6 cm) or more in
9.				diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10.				Senting/shrub Weady plants loss than 2 in DDU
11.				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
	80	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30'</u>) 1.				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
2.				
3				Hydrophytic
4				Vegetation Present? Yes X No
T		=Total Cover		
Pomarka: (Include photo numbers here or on a sona	urato shoot)			
Remarks. (include photo numbers here of on a sepa	irate srieet.)			

Profile Desc	cription: (Describe	to the de	epth needed to docu	ument tl	he indica	ator or co	onfirm the absence o	f indicators.)
Depth	Matrix		Redo					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-6	10YR 4/2	95	5YR 4/6	5	С	М	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations
6-12	10YR 4/3	90	5YR 4/6	10	<u> </u>	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations
							·	
							·	
·							·	
¹ Type: C=C	oncentration, D=Dep	letion, RI	M=Reduced Matrix, N	/IS=Mas	ked Sand	d Grains.	² Location: P	L=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Histosol Histosol Histic Eg Black Hi Hydroge Stratified Depleted Thick Da Sandy M Sandy G Sandy R Sandy R Dark Su	(A1) pipedon (A2) stic (A3) en Sulfide (A4) d Layers (A5) d Below Dark Surface ark Surface (A12) Mucky Mineral (S1) Gleyed Matrix (S4) Redox (S5) Matrix (S6) rface (S7)	e (A11)	Polyvalue Belo MLRA 149B Thin Dark Surf High Chroma S Loamy Mucky Loamy Gleyed X Depleted Matri Redox Dark Su Depleted Dark Redox Depress Marl (F10) (LR	w Surfa Face (S9 Sands (S Mineral Matrix (x (F3) urface (F Surface sions (Fi Sins (Fi Sins (Fi	ce (S8) (l) (LRR R 511) (LRI (F1) (LRI F2) 	LRR R, , MLRA [,] R K, L) R K, L)	149B) 2 cm Mu ? Coast Pri Dolyvalu Thin Dar Iron-Mar Piedmor Mesic Sp Red Par Very Sha Other (E	ark (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B) rairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) acky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) the Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) the Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) the Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) the Floodplain Soils (F12) (LRR K, L, R) the Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B) podic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) the Material (F21) allow Dark Surface (F22) the Surface (F22) (Staplain in Remarks)
³ Indicators of Restrictive	f hydrophytic vegetat	ion and v	wetland hydrology mu	ust be pr	esent, ur	nless dist	turbed or problematic.	
Туре:	Lager (il observeu).							
Depth (ii	nches):						Hydric Soil Prese	nt? Yes <u>X</u> No
Remarks:								
This data for Version 7.0,	m is revised from No 2015 Errata. (http://v	orthcentra	al and Northeast Reg .usda.gov/Internet/F	ional Su SE_DOC	pplemen CUMENT	t Version S/nrcs14	2.0 to include the NR(2p2_051293.docx)	CS Field Indicators of Hydric Soils,



Мемо VIA EMAIL tloughrin@robertsonhomes.com Mr. Tim Loughrin **Director of Land Acquisition** To: **Robertson Brothers Homes** Julie Kroll, PE, PTOE **Trevor Boer** From: Fleis & VandenBrink Date: June 22, 2022 The Village of Troy PUD City of Troy, Michigan Re: **Traffic Impact Study**

1 INTRODUCTION

This memorandum presents the results of the Traffic Impact Study (TIS) for the proposed Village of Troy PUD. The proposed residential development is located generally in the southeast quadrant of the Long Lake Road and Rochester Road intersection in Troy, Michigan. The project includes the construction of single-family detached homes and single-family attached (townhomes/duplex) residential homes, with site access provided via two (2) driveways; one (1) driveway on Long Lake Road and one (1) driveway on Rochester Road. Long Lake Road is under the jurisdiction of the Road Commission for Oakland County (RCOC) and Rochester Road is under the jurisdiction of the City of Troy. The City of Troy has required the completion of Traffic Impact Study for the development as part of the PUD site plan approval process.

The scope of work for this study was developed based on the requirements of the City of Troy, Fleis & VandenBrink's (F&V) knowledge of the study area, understanding of the development program, accepted traffic engineering practices, and information published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). The study analyses were completed using Synchro/SimTraffic (Version 11). Sources of data for this study include F&V subconsultant Gewalt Hamilton Associates, SEMCOG, MDOT, and ITE.

2 BACKGROUND

2.1 EXISTING ROAD NETWORK

The lane uses and traffic control at the study intersections are shown on the attached **Figure 2**, and the study roadways are summarized in **Table 1** and are further described herein. For the purposes of this study, all minor streets, crossovers, and site driveways are assumed to have an operating speed of 25 miles per hour (mph), unless otherwise noted.

Roadway Segment	Long Lake Road	Rochester Road	Glaser Drive
Number of Lanes	4 Lanes (divided boulevard)	5 Lanes (2 lanes each direction + TWLTL)	2 Lanes (1 lane each direction)
Functional Classification	Other Principal Arterial	Other Principal Arterial	Local Road
Roadway Jurisdiction	RCOC	City of Troy	City of Troy
Speed Limit	45 mph	45 mph	25 mph
Traffic Volumes (SEMCOG)	26,695 vpd (2019)	23,209 vpd (2019)	N/A

Table 1: Roadway Information

Long Lake Road generally runs in the east and west directions, north of the project sight, with a posted speed limit of 45 mph. Long Lake Road has an Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) volume of approximately 26,695 vehicles per day (SEMCOG 2019); 12,713 vpd EB and 13,982 vpd WB. The roadway has a four-lane, median divided cross-section, with the with two (2) lanes eastbound and two (2) lanes westbound. At the intersection with Rochester Road, Long Lake Road widens to have exclusive right-turn lanes; left-turns are facilitated via median U-turns. Long Lake Road is under the jurisdiction of the RCOC and has a functional classification of an *Other Principal Arterial*.

Rochester Road generally runs in the north and south directions, east of the project site, with a posted speed limit of 45 mph. Rochester Road has an Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) volume of approximately 22,342 vehicles per day (SEMCOG 2019) northbound and approximately 23,209 vehicles per day (SEMCOG 2019) southbound. The roadway has five (5) lanes, with the with two (2) lanes northbound, two (2) lanes southbound, and a two-way left-turn lane (TWLTL). At the intersection with Rochester Road, Long Lake Road widens to have exclusive right-turn lanes; left-turns are facilitated via median U-turns. Rochester Road is under the jurisdiction of the City of Troy and has a functional classification of *Other Principal Arterial*.

Glaser Drive generally runs in the east and west directions, adjacent to the east side of the project site. The roadway is classified as *Local Road* and is under the jurisdiction of the City of Troy. Glaser Drive does not have a posted speed limit; therefore, a premia facia residential street speed limit of 25 mph was assumed for this roadway. The roadway geometry is a typical two-lane cross section with one lane in each direction. Additionally, the eastbound approach at Rochester Road permits right-turn only movements.

2.2 EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Due to the impacts of COVID-19, current traffic volume data is not representative of "typical" operations. Therefore, the traffic volume data necessary for this study were obtained from multiple sources:

- Sydney Coordinated Automated Traffic System (SCATS) volume data was obtained along Long Lake Road and Rochester Road from RCOC for use in this study. The SCATS data utilized for this study was obtained on Wednesday, March 30th, 2018, and Wednesday, June 5th, 2019 prior to the traffic impacts of COVID-19.
- F&V subconsultant Traffic Data Collection, Inc. (TDC) performed weekday AM (7:00 AM to 9:00 AM) and PM (4:00 PM to 6:00 PM) peak hour turning movement counts on Wednesday, June 1st, 2022, at the study intersections.

The mainline traffic volumes at the 2022 intersections were compared with historical volumes and the SCATS mainline volumes at the signalized intersection of Rochester Road & Long Lake Road; then COVID-19 adjustment factors were determined for each of the study intersection's approaches as shown in **Table 2**. The results of the comparison showed that only the AM peak hour currently has traffic volumes lower than expected. The PM peak hour and during both the AM and PM southbound approach, traffic volumes are higher than expected, therefore no COVID adjustments were applied for these approaches.

Rochester Road & Long Lake Road													
Peak Period EB WB NB SB													
AM	33%	39%	24%	N/A									
PM	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A									

Table 2: COVID Adjustment Factors

The COVID-19 adjustment factors and growth rates were applied in order to calculate the baseline 'existing' 2022 traffic volumes, as shown on the attached **Figure 3**. The traffic volumes were then balanced upwards through the study network. Dummy nodes were utilized where necessary to account for sink-and-source between intersections.

The peak periods for the adjacent streets were observed to generally occur between 7:30AM to 8:30 AM and 4:45 PM to 5:45 PM. F&V collected an inventory of existing lane use and traffic controls, as shown on the attached **Figure 2**; additionally, F&V obtained the signal timing permits from RCOC. All applicable background data referenced in this memorandum are attached.

3 EXISTING CONDITIONS

Existing peak hour vehicle delays and Levels of Service (LOS) were calculated at the study intersections using Synchro/SimTraffic (Version 11) traffic analysis software. This analysis was based on the existing lane use and traffic control shown on the attached **Figure 2**, the existing peak hour traffic volumes shown on the attached **Figure 3**, and the methodologies presented in the Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition (HCM6). The lane use and traffic control used at of the study intersection of Rochester Road and Long Lake Road includes non-NEMA phasing and clustered intersections, which are not supported by the HCM 6th Edition (HCM6) analysis methodology; therefore, HCM 2000 was determined to be more appropriate for use at signalized intersections.

Descriptions of LOS "A" through "F" as defined in the HCM, are attached for signalized and unsignalized intersections. Typically, LOS D is considered acceptable, with LOS A representing minimal delay, and LOS F indicating failing conditions. Microsimulations were also conducted at the study intersections using SimTraffic to further evaluate the network performance and vehicle queueing. The results of the analysis of existing conditions are attached and are summarized in **Table 3**.

				Existing Conditions							
				AM Pe	ak	PM Pe	ak				
	Intersection	Control	Approach	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS				
			EBR	19.2	В	12.8	В				
1	Rochester Road	Stop	WB	\$	F	\$	F				
I	∝ Glaser Drive	(Minor)	NBL	13.7	В	10.0	А				
			SBL	14.6	В	21.0	С				
			EBT	17.2	В	42.1	D				
			EBR	15.8	В	15.3	В				
			WBT	83.0	F	28.3	С				
	Rochester Road		WBR	25.5	С	26.0	С				
2	&	Signalized	NBT	31.9	С	45.4	D				
	Long Lake Road		NBR	21.0	С	27.0	С				
			SBT	69.8	Е	27.3	С				
			SBR	21.2	С	19.8	В				
			Overall	52.1	Ε	30.4	С				
	WB to EB Long		EB	23.0	С	24.4	С				
3	Lake Road X/O West of	Signalized	SBL	36.9	D	35.2	D				
	Rochester Road		Overall	26.6	С	26.2	С				

 Table 3: Existing Intersection Operations

\$ Delay Exceeds 300 Seconds





The results of the existing conditions analysis indicates that all approaches and movements at the study intersections are currently operating acceptably (at LOS D or better) during both peak periods with the following exceptions:

Rochester Road & Long Lake Road

- <u>During AM peak hour</u>: The intersection is currently operating at LOS E. The southbound and westbound through movements are currently operating at LOS E and LOS F, respectively.
- The high volumes of southbound and westbound through traffic are both in need of signal split time to accommodate the directional traffic volumes. Since the movements are conflicting, the signal splits are essentially equal, and neither southbound nor westbound approaches operate well.
- The City and RCOC should continue to monitor the intersection operations as traffic volumes recover post-COVID to determine if regional improvements on Rochester Road and Long Lake Road should be considered to improve the intersection operations.

Rochester Road & Glaser Drive

- During AM and PM peak hour: The westbound approach is currently operating at LOS F.
- The westbound approach has very low traffic volumes (6 AM and 23 PM). Although a poor LOS was calculated by the HCM analysis, a review of SimTraffic network simulations indicates vehicles are able to find adequate gaps within the through traffic along Rochester Road without experiencing significant delays or excessive vehicle queueing.

4 BACKGROUND CONDITIONS (2027)

Historical population and economic profile data was obtained for the City of Troy from the Southeast Michigan Council of Governments (SEMCOG) to calculate a background growth rate for the 2022 traffic volumes in order to calculate the 2027 site buildout year traffic volumes. Population and employment projections from 2020 to 2045 were reviewed and show an average annual growth of -0.15% and 0.30%, respectively. Therefore, a conservative background growth rate of **0.50%** per year was applied to the adjusted existing peak hour traffic volumes to forecast the background 2027 traffic volume *without the proposed development*.

Background peak hour vehicles delays and LOS *without the proposed development* were calculated at the study intersections based on the existing lane use and traffic control shown on the attached **Figure 2**, the background traffic volumes shown on the attached **Figure 4**, and the methodologies presented in the HCM6. The results of the background conditions analysis are attached and summarized in **Table 4**.

				Exist	ting C	onditio	ns	Backgi	round	Condit	ions	Difference				
In	tersection	Control	Approach	AM P	eak	PM P	eak	AM Peak		PM Peak		AM Peak		PM Peak		
		Control	ripprodon	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	
			EBR	19.2	В	12.8	В	20.8	С	13.3	В	1.6	в→с	0.5	-	
1		Stop	WB	\$	F	\$	F	\$	F	\$	F	N/A	-	N/A	-	
	a Glaser Drive	(Minor)	NBL	13.7	В	10.0	Α	14.6	В	10.3	В	0.9	-	0.3	А→В	
Glaser Drive		SBL	14.6	В	21.0	С	14.9	В	21.8	С	0.3	-	0.8	-		
			EBT	17.2	В	42.1	D	17.3	В	51.0	D	0.1	-	8.9	-	
			EBR	15.8	В	15.3	В	15.9	В	16.4	В	0.1	-	1.1	-	
			WBT	83.0	F	28.3	С	93.4	F	28.4	С	10.4	-	0.1	-	
	D. I. I. D. I		WBR	25.5	С	26.0	С	25.6	С	26.1	С	0.1	-	0.1	-	
2		Cianalizad	NBT	31.9	С	45.4	D	32.8	С	50.5	D	0.9	-	5.1	-	
2	α Long Lake Road	Signalizeu	NBR	21.0	С	27.0	С	21.2	С	27.6	С	0.2	-	0.6	-	
Lon	Long Lake Road		SBT	69.8	Е	27.3	С	79.5	Е	27.9	С	9.7	-	0.6	-	
			SBR	21.2	С	19.8	В	21.3	С	20.0	В	0.1	-	0.2	-	
			Overall	52.1	Е	30.4	С	58.7	Е	34.6	С	6.6	-	4.2	-	

Table 4: Background Intersection Operations

				Exist	ting C	Conditio	ns	Background Conditions				Difference			
Intersection		Control	Approach	AM Peak		PM Peak		AM Peak		PM Peak		AM Peak		PM Peak	
				Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS
	WB to EB Long		EB	23.0	С	24.4	С	23.1	С	24.9	С	0.1	-	0.5	-
3 Lake Road X/0	Lake Road X/O	Signalized	SBL	36.9	D	35.2	D	34.6	D	35.0	D	-2.3	-	-0.2	-
	West of	-	Overall	26.6	С	26.2	С	26.2	С	26.6	С	-0.4	-	0.4	-

\$ Delay Exceeds 300 Seconds

The results of the background conditions analysis indicates that all of the study intersection's approaches and movements will continue to operate in a manner similar to existing conditions analysis. *Note: Several of the intersection movements improved with the addition of background traffic. This is due to the optimization of signal splits with the SCATS operations and increased lane utilization which decreased the delay for certain movements.*

5 SITE TRIP GENERATION

The proposed development includes the construction of 20 single-family detached homes and 126 single-family attached homes. The number of weekday peak hour (AM and PM) and daily vehicle trips that would be generated by the proposed development were calculated using the equations published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) in *Trip Generation*, 11th Edition. The site trip generation forecast utilized for the proposed development is summarized in **Table 5**.

	ITE			Weekday	AM P	eak Hou	r (vph)	PM	Peak Hou	ır (vph)
Land Use	Code	Size	Unit	Average Daily Traffic (vpd)	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
Single-Family Detached	210	20	DU	230	4	13	17	14	8	22
Single-Family Attached Housing	215	126	DU	910	19	41	60	41	31	72
	Total	146	DU	1,140	23	54	77	55	39	94

 Table 4: Site Trip Generation Summary

6 SITE TRIP DISTRIBUTION

The vehicular trips that would be generated by the proposed development were assigned to the study roads based on the proposed site access plan, the existing peak hour traffic patterns on the adjacent roadway network, and the methodologies published by ITE. The adjacent street traffic volumes were used to develop the trip distribution. To determine the projected site traffic distribution, it was assumed that the existing adjacent street traffic volumes in the AM are home-to-work based trips, and in the PM are work-to-home based trips. Therefore, the trip distribution assumes trips are leaving the proposed development and exiting the study network in the AM, then entering the network to return to the proposed development in the PM. The site trip distribution used in the analysis is summarized in **Table 6**.

To/From	via	AM	PM
North	Rochester Road	24%	26%
South	Rochester Road	41%	37%
East	Long Lake Road	13%	15%
West	Long Lake Road	22%	22%
	Total	100%	100%

Table 5: Site Trip Distribution

7 FUTURE CONDITIONS

Future peak hour vehicle delays and LOS *with the proposed development* were calculated based on the future lane use shown on the attached **Figure 2**, future traffic volumes shown on the attached **Figure 6**, and the methodologies presented in the HCM6. The results of the future conditions analysis are summarized in **Table 7.** Table 6: Future Intersection Operations

				Backg	round	Conditio	ons	Futi	ure Co	onditions	5		Dif	fference	
	Intersection	Control	Approach	AM P	eak	PM Pe	eak	AM Pe	ak	PM Pe	eak	AM Pe	eak	PM	Peak
				Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS	Delay (s/veh)	LOS
			EBR	20.8	С	13.3	В	22.9	С	13.8	В	2.1	-	0.5	-
1	Rochester Road	Stop	WB	\$	F	\$	F	\$	F	\$	F	N/A	-	N/A	-
ľ	∝ Glaser Drive	(Minor)	NBL	14.6	В	10.3	В	14.8	В	10.4	В	0.2	-	0.1	-
			SBL	14.9	В	21.8	С	14.9	В	21.8	С	0.0	-	0.0	-
			EBT	17.3	В	51.0	D	16.9	В	54.7	D	-0.4	-	3.7	-
			EBR	15.9	В	16.4	В	15.4	В	16.6	В	-0.5	-	0.2	-
			WBT	93.4	F	28.4	С	94.1	F	28.6	С	0.7	-	0.2	-
	Rochester Road		WBR	25.6	С	26.1	С	25.9	С	26.3	С	0.3	-	0.2	-
2	&	Signalized	NBT	32.8	С	50.5	D	32.8	С	50.5	D	0.0	-	0.0	-
	Long Lake Road		NBR	21.2	С	27.6	С	21.3	С	27.6	С	0.1	-	0.0	-
			SBT	79.5	Е	27.9	С	80.0	Е	28.0	С	0.5	-	0.1	-
			SBR	21.3	С	20.0	В	21.4	С	20.1	С	0.1	-	0.1	В→С
			Overall	58.7	Е	34.6	С	59.0	Е	35.7	C	0.3	-	1.1	-
	WB to EB Long		EB	23.1	С	24.9	С	23.4	С	25.2	С	0.3	-	0.3	-
3	Lake Road X/O West of	Signalized	SBL	34.6	D	35.0	D	32.9	D	34.7	D	-1.7	-	-0.3	-
	Rochester Road		Overall	26.2	С	26.6	С	25.8	С	26.8	С	-0.4	-	0.2	-
	Long Lake Road		EB						Fr	ee					
4	&	Stop (Minor)	WBL		N/	A		9.2	А	12.5	В			N/A	
	Site Drive		NB					15.0	С	20.7	С				

\$ Delay Exceeds 300 Seconds

The results of the future conditions analysis indicates that all of the study intersection approaches and movements will continue to operate in a manner similar to existing background conditions analysis. *Note: Several of the intersection movements improved with the addition of future traffic. This is due to the optimization of signal splits and increased lane utilization which decreased the delay on certain movements.*

Rochester Road & Long Lake Road

- <u>During AM peak hour</u>: The intersection is expected to continue operating at LOS E, with the southbound and westbound through movements continuing to operate at LOS E and LOS F, respectively. However, the increase in delay at this intersection due to site generated traffic is negligible (1-2 seconds).
- The high volumes of southbound and westbound through traffic are both in need of signal split time to accommodate the directional traffic volumes. Since the movements are conflicting, the signal splits are essentially equal, and neither southbound nor westbound approaches operate well.
- The City and RCOC should continue to monitor the intersection operations as traffic volumes recover post-COVID to determine if regional improvements on Rochester Road and Long Lake Road should be considered to improve the intersection operations.



- During AM and PM peak hour: The westbound approach is expected to continue operating at LOS F.
- The westbound approach has very low traffic volumes (6 AM and 23 PM). Although a poor LOS was calculated by the HCM analysis, a review of SimTraffic network simulations indicates vehicles are able to find adequate gaps within the through traffic along Rochester Road without experiencing significant delays or excessive vehicle queueing.

Long Lake Road & Site Drive

- The proposed site driveway on Long Lake Road provides full access for the development. The ingress left turns were reviewed to determine the projected queue length and the potential impacts to the adjacent street.
- The SimTraffic network simulations show a 95th percentile queue length of 42 feet (~2 cars) for ingress left turns at the proposed site driveway on Long Lake Road. Therefore, the existing center left-turn lane provides adequate length to store the expected queues.

8 AUXILIARY TURN LANE EVALUATION

Rochester Road and Long Lake Road both have two-way center left-turn lanes at the proposed site drives. Additionally, there is an existing right-turn lane on Rochester Road at Glaser Drive; therefore, only the right-turn treatment criteria was evaluated at the proposed site driveway on Long Lake Road. The results of the analysis are summarized in **Table 8** below, and the RCOC auxiliary lane warrant charts are attached.

Table 7: Turn Lane Warrant Analysis Summary

Intersection	Right-Treatment
Long Lake Road & Site Drive	Right-Turn Taper

9 CONCLUSIONS

The conclusions of this TIS are as follows:

1. Existing Conditions (2022)

The results of the existing conditions analysis indicates that all approaches and movements at the study intersections are currently operating acceptably, at LOS D or better, during both peak periods with following exceptions:

Rochester Road & Long Lake Road

- <u>During AM peak hour</u>: The intersection is currently operating at LOS E. The southbound and westbound through movements are currently operating at LOS E and LOS F, respectively. The high volumes of southbound and westbound through traffic are both in need of signal split time to accommodate the directional traffic volumes. Since the movements are conflicting, the signal splits are essentially equal, and neither southbound nor westbound approaches operate well.
- The City and RCOC should continue to monitor the intersection operations as traffic volumes recover post-COVID to determine if regional improvements on Rochester Road and Long Lake Road should be considered to improve the intersection operations.

Rochester Road & Glaser Drive

<u>During AM and PM peak hour</u>: The westbound approach is currently operating at LOS F. The westbound approach has very low traffic volumes (6 AM and 23 PM). Although a poor LOS was calculated by the HCM analysis, a review of SimTraffic network simulations indicates vehicles are able to find adequate gaps within the through traffic along Rochester Road without experiencing significant delays or excessive vehicle queueing.



2. Background Conditions (2023):

- A conservative 0.5% annual background growth rate was utilized in order to project the existing traffic volumes to the buildout year of 2027.
- The results of the background conditions analysis indicates that all approaches and movements at the study intersections will continue to operate in a manner similar to existing conditions.

3. Future Conditions (2023)

The results of the background conditions analysis indicates that all approaches and movements at the study intersections will continue to operate in a manner similar to existing conditions.

Rochester Road & Long Lake Road

- <u>During AM peak hour:</u> The intersection is expected to continue operating at LOS E, with the southbound and westbound through movements continuing to operate at LOS E and LOS F, respectively. However, the increase in delay at this intersection due to site generated traffic is negligible (1-2 seconds).
- The City and RCOC should continue to monitor the intersection operations as traffic volumes recover post-COVID to determine if regional improvements on Rochester Road and Long Lake Road should be considered to improve the intersection operations.

Rochester Road & Glaser Drive

- During AM and PM peak hour: The westbound approach is expected to continue operating at LOS F.
- The westbound approach has very low traffic volumes (6 AM and 23 PM). Although a poor LOS was
 calculated by the HCM analysis, a review of SimTraffic network simulations indicates vehicles can find
 adequate gaps within the through traffic along Rochester Road without experiencing significant delays
 or excessive vehicle queueing.

Long Lake Road & Site Drive

- The proposed site driveway on Long Lake Road provides full access for the development. The ingress left turns were reviewed to determine the projected queue length and the potential impacts to the adjacent street.
- The SimTraffic network simulations show a 95th percentile queue length of 42 feet (~2 cars) for ingress left turns at the proposed site driveway on Long Lake Road. Therefore, the existing center left-turn lane can accommodate the projected vehicle queues.

4. Auxiliary Turn Lane Evaluation

- Rochester Road and Long Lake Road both have two-way center left-turn lanes at the proposed site drives. Additionally, there is an existing right-turn lane on Rochester Road at the Glaser Drive; therefore, only the right turn treatment criteria was evaluated at the proposed site driveway on Long Lake Road.
- The results of the analysis show that a right-turn deceleration taper is recommended on EB Long Lake Road at the proposed Site Drive.

10 RECOMMENDATIONS

The recommendation of this TIS are as follows:

- The City and RCOC should continue to monitor the intersection operations as traffic volumes recover post-COVID to determine if regional improvements on Rochester Road and Long Lake Road should be considered to improve the intersection operations.
- Provide a right-turn deceleration taper on EB Long Lake Road at the proposed W. Site Drive.

Any questions related to this memorandum, study, analysis, and results should be addressed to Fleis & VandenBrink.





Traffic Impact Study



I hereby certify that this engineering document was prepared by me or under my direct personal supervision and that I am a duly licensed Professional Engineer under the laws of the State of Michigan.

Attached: Figures 1-6 Proposed Site Plan Traffic Volume Data Signal Timing Permits Synchro / SimTraffic Results Auxiliary Lane Warrant

















Wed Jun 1, 2022 Full Length (7 AM-9 AM, 4 PM-6 PM) All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 957212, Location: 42.590185, -83.129014



eg Glaser								Glaser							Roch	ester					
Direction	Eastl	oound	1					Westbou	nd						North	bound					
Time	L	Т	R	HR	U	Арр	Ped*	L	BL	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	HL	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*
2022-06-01 7:00AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	0	4	0	0	1	194	0	0	195	0
7:15AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	2	238	1	0	241	0
7:30AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	1	261	1	0	263	0
7:45AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	340	3	0	345	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	0	0	6	0	12	0	0	6	1033	5	0	1044	0
8:00AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	259	1	0	261	0
8:15AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	3	270	1	0	274	0
8:30AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	2	0	4	0	0	4	258	1	0	263	0
8:45AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	5	0	6	0	0	3	286	2	0	291	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	8	0	11	0	0	11	1073	5	0	1089	0
4:00PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	2	464	3	0	469	0
4:15PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	3	463	3	0	469	0
4:30PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	4	0	0	3	499	1	0	503	0
4:45PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	5	0	7	0	0	5	482	3	0	490	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	12	0	15	0	0	13	1908	10	0	1931	0
5:00PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	2	509	1	0	512	0
5:15PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	6	0	7	0	0	3	513	1	0	517	0
5:30PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	6	0	0	4	551	4	0	559	0
5:45PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	419	4	0	424	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	14	0	17	0	0	10	1992	10	0	2012	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	15	0	0	40	0	55	0	0	40	6006	30	0	6076	0
% Approach	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	27.3%	0%	0%	72.7%	0%	-	-	0%	0.7%	98.8%	0.5%	0%	-	-
% Total	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%	0%	0%	0.3%	0%	0.4%	-	0%	0.3%	47.4%	0.2%	0%	47.9%	-
Lights	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	15	0	0	38	0	53	-	0	40	5867	29	0	5936	-
% Lights	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	100%	0%	0%	95.0%	0%	96.4%	-	0%	100%	97.7%	96.7%	0%	97.7%	-
Single-Unit Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	1	0	1	-	0	0	74	0	0	74	-
% Single-Unit Trucks	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%	0%	0%	2.5%	0%	1.8%	-	0%	0%	1.2%	0%	0%	1.2%	-
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	1	0	1	-	0	0	47	0	0	47	-
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%	0%	0%	2.5%	0%	1.8%	-	0%	0%	0.8%	0%	0%	0.8%	-
Buses	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	18	0	0	18	-
% Buses	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0.3%	0%	0%	0.3%	-
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	1	0	1	-
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	3.3%	0%	0%	-
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	33.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	66.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. BL: Bear left, BR: Bear right, HL: Hard left, HR: Hard right, L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Wed Jun 1, 2022 Full Length (7 AM-9 AM, 4 PM-6 PM) All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 957212, Location: 42.590185, -83.129014



Leg	Rochester							Slip La	ine						
Direction	Southbound	l						Northe	astbound						
Time	L	Т	BR	R	U	Арр	Ped*	HL	BL	BR	HR	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2022-06-01 7:00AM	0	383	0	0	0	383	0	0	0	0	5	0	5	1	587
7:15AM	2	474	0	0	0	476	0	0	0	0	9	0	9	0	729
7:30AM	1	489	0	2	0	492	0	0	0	1	6	0	7	0	765
7:45AM	0	473	0	0	0	473	0	0	0	0	5	0	5	0	825
Hourly Total	3	1819	0	2	0	1824	0	0	0	1	25	0	26	1	2906
8:00AM	1	401	0	0	0	402	0	0	1	0	8	0	9	0	672
8:15AM	0	450	0	1	0	451	0	0	0	0	9	0	9	0	735
8:30AM	1	465	0	1	0	467	0	0	0	0	6	0	6	1	740
8:45AM	0	383	0	1	0	384	0	0	0	0	10	0	10	0	691
Hourly Total	2	1699	0	3	0	1704	0	0	1	0	33	0	34	1	2838
4:00PM	2	324	0	0	0	326	0	0	0	0	6	0	6	0	804
4:15PM	5	400	0	0	0	405	0	0	0	0	6	0	6	0	881
4:30PM	1	363	0	1	0	365	0	0	3	0	5	0	8	0	880
4:45PM	1	355	0	1	0	357	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	856
Hourly Total	9	1442	0	2	0	1453	0	0	3	0	19	0	22	0	3421
5:00PM	3	353	0	1	0	357	0	0	1	0	4	0	5	0	877
5:15PM	3	349	0	1	0	353	0	0	0	0	8	0	8	1	885
5:30PM	2	379	0	1	0	382	0	0	3	0	7	0	10	0	957
5:45PM	2	361	0	0	1	364	0	0	1	0	10	0	11	0	800
Hourly Total	10	1442	0	3	1	1456	0	0	5	0	29	0	34	1	3519
Total	24	6402	0	10	1	6437	0	0	9	1	106	0	116	3	12684
% Approach	0.4%	99.5%	0%	0.2%	0%	-	-	0%	7.8%	0.9%	91.4%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	0.2%	50.5%	0%	0.1%	0%	50.7%	-	0%	0.1%	0%	0.8%	0%	0.9%	-	-
Lights	21	6302	0	10	1	6334	-	0	9	1	106	0	116	-	12439
% Lights	87.5%	98.4%	0%	100%	100%	98.4%	-	0%	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	-	98.1%
Single-Unit Trucks	2	46	0	0	0	48	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	123
% Single-Unit Trucks	8.3%	0.7%	0%	0%	0%	0.7%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	1.0%
Articulated Trucks	1	34	0	0	0	35	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	83
% Articulated Trucks	4.2%	0.5%	0%	0%	0%	0.5%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.7%
Buses	0	20	0	0	0	20	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	38
% Buses	0%	0.3%	0%	0%	0%	0.3%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.3%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	1
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33.3%	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	66.7%	-

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. BL: Bear left, BR: Bear right, HL: Hard left, HR: Hard right, L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Wed Jun 1, 2022 Full Length (7 AM-9 AM, 4 PM-6 PM) All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 957212, Location: 42.590185, -83.129014





Wed Jun 1, 2022 AM Peak (7:30 AM - 8:30 AM) All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 957212, Location: 42.590185, -83.129014



625 Forest Edge Drive, Vernon Hills, IL, 60061, US

Leg Glaser								Glaser							Roch	ester					
Direction	East	boun	d					Westbou	nd						North	nbound					
Time	L	Т	R	HR	U	Арр	Ped*	L	BL	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	HL	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*
2022-06-01 7:30AN	1 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	1	261	1	0	263	0
7:45AN	1 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	340	3	0	345	0
8:00AN	1 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	259	1	0	261	0
8:15AN	1 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	3	270	1	0	274	0
Tota	l 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	2	0	6	0	0	7	1130	6	0	1143	0
% Approact	1 0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	66.7%	0%	0%	33.3%	0%	-	-	0%	0.6%	98.9%	0.5%	0%	-	-
% Tota	l 0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%	0%	0%	0.1%	0%	0.2%	-	0%	0.2%	37.7%	0.2%	0%	38.1%	-
PH	7 -	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.500	-	-	0.500	-	0.500	-	-	0.583	0.831	0.625	-	0.830	-
Light	6 0	0	0	0	0	0	-	4	0	0	2	0	6	-	0	7	1078	5	0	1090	-
% Light	6 0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	-	0%	100%	95.4%	83.3%	0%	95.4%	-
Single-Unit Truck	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	27	0	0	27	-
% Single-Unit Truck	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	2.4%	0%	0%	2.4%	-
Articulated Truck	i 0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	16	0	0	16	-
% Articulated Truck	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	1.4%	0%	0%	1.4%	-
Buse	s 0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	9	0	0	9	-
% Buse	5 0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0.8%	0%	0%	0.8%	-
Bicycles on Road	I 0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	1	0	1	-
% Bicycles on Road	l 0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	16.7%	0%	0.1%	-
Pedestrian	5 -	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
% Pedestrian	- 6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswall	- x	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
% Bicycles on Crosswall	- x	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. BL: Bear left, BR: Bear right, HL: Hard left, HR: Hard right, L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Wed Jun 1, 2022 AM Peak (7:30 AM - 8:30 AM) All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 957212, Location: 42.590185, -83.129014



Slip Lane Leg Rochester Southbound Northeastbound Direction BR Time L Т BR R U Арр Ped* HL BLHR U Арр Ped* Int 2022-06-01 7:30AM 0 1 489 0 2 492 0 0 0 1 6 0 7 0 765 473 7:45AM 0 0 0 0 473 0 0 0 0 5 0 5 0 825 8:00AM 1 401 0 0 0 402 0 0 1 0 8 0 9 0 672 8:15AM 0 450 0 1 0 451 0 0 0 0 9 0 9 0 735 2997 2 0 1818 0 Total 1813 0 3 0 0 1 1 28 0 30 % Approach 0.1% 99.7% 0% 0.2% 0% 0% 3.3% 3.3% 93.3% 0% -% Total 0.1% 60.5% 0% 0.1% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0.9% 0% 60.7% 1.0% PHF 0.500 0.927 0.375 0.924 0.250 0.250 0.778 0.833 0.909 ----Lights 2 1784 0 1789 0 28 0 30 2915 3 0 1 1 % Lights 100% 98.4% 0% 100% 0% 98.4% 0% 100% 100% 100% 0% 100% 97.3% Single-Unit Trucks 0 41 0 14 0 0 0 14 0 0 0 0 0 % Single-Unit Trucks 0% 0.8% 0.8% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1.4% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0 24 Articulated Trucks 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 8 0 8 0 % Articulated Trucks 0% 0.4% 0% 0% 0% 0.4% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0.8% 0 Buses 0 7 0 0 0 7 0 0 0 0 0 16 0.5% 0% 0% 0.4% 0% 0% 0% 0% % Buses 0.4% 0% 0% 0% 0% Bicycles on Road 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 % Bicycles on Road 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% Pedestrians --_ ---0 ---_ _ _ 0 % Pedestrians _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ Bicycles on Crosswalk _ _ _ _ 0 _ _ _ _ 0 % Bicycles on Crosswalk _ _ _ _

^{*}Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. BL: Bear left, BR: Bear right, HL: Hard left, HR: Hard right, L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn Rochester Road & Glaser Drive - TMC Wed Jun 1, 2022 AM Peak (7:30 AM - 8:30 AM) All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 957212, Location: 42.590185, -83.129014





Wed Jun 1, 2022 PM Peak (4:45 PM - 5:45 PM) - Overall Peak Hour All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements



ID: 957212, Location: 42.590185, -83.129014

Leg	Glas	er	4					Glaser	ad						Roch	ester					
Direction	Easu	Jound	u –					westbou							Noru	ibouila					
Time	L	Т	R	HR	U	Арр	Ped*	L	BL	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	HL	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*
2022-06-01 4:45PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	5	0	7	0	0	5	482	3	0	490	0
5:00PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	2	509	1	0	512	0
5:15PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	6	0	7	0	0	3	513	1	0	517	0
5:30PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	6	0	0	4	551	4	0	559	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	18	0	23	0	0	14	2055	9	0	2078	0
% Approach	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	21.7%	0%	0%	78.3%	0%	-	-	0%	0.7%	98.9%	0.4%	0%	-	-
% Total	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%	0%	0%	0.5%	0%	0.6%	-	0%	0.4%	57.5%	0.3%	0%	58.1%	-
PHF	- 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.625	-	-	0.750	-	0.821	-	-	0.700	0.932	0.563	-	0.929	-
Lights	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	5	0	0	16	0	21	-	0	14	2044	9	0	2067	-
% Lights	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	100%	0%	0%	88.9%	0%	91.3%	-	0%	100%	99.5%	100%	0%	99.5%	-
Single-Unit Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	1	0	1	-	0	0	8	0	0	8	-
% Single-Unit Trucks	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%	0%	0%	5.6%	0%	4.3%	-	0%	0%	0.4%	0%	0%	0.4%	-
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	1	0	1	-	0	0	1	0	0	1	-
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%	0%	0%	5.6%	0%	4.3%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-
Buses	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	2	0	0	2	-
% Buses	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0.1%	0%	0%	0.1%	-
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. BL: Bear left, BR: Bear right, HL: Hard left, HR: Hard right, L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Wed Jun 1, 2022 PM Peak (4:45 PM - 5:45 PM) - Overall Peak Hour All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements



ID: 957212, Location: 42.590185, -83.129014

Leg	Rochester							Slip La	ne						
Direction	Southbound							Northe	astbound						
Time	L	Т	BR	R	U	Арр	Ped*	HL	BL	BR	HR	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2022-06-01 4:45PM	[1	355	0	1	0	357	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	856
5:00PM	í 3	353	0	1	0	357	0	0	1	0	4	0	5	0	877
5:15PM	I 3	349	0	1	0	353	0	0	0	0	8	0	8	1	885
5:30PM	í 2	379	0	1	0	382	0	0	3	0	7	0	10	0	957
Tota	9	1436	0	4	0	1449	0	0	4	0	21	0	25	1	3575
% Approach	0.6%	99.1%	0%	0.3%	0%	-	-	0%	16.0%	0%	84.0%	0%	-	-	-
% Tota	0.3%	40.2%	0%	0.1%	0%	40.5%	-	0%	0.1%	0%	0.6%	0%	0.7%	-	-
PHI	0.750	0.947	-	1.000	-	0.948	-	-	0.333	-	0.656	-	0.625	-	0.934
Lights	8	1416	0	4	0	1428	-	0	4	0	21	0	25	-	3541
% Lights	88.9%	98.6%	0%	100%	0%	98.6%	-	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%	100%	-	99.0%
Single-Unit Trucks	1	11	0	0	0	12	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	21
% Single-Unit Trucks	11.1%	0.8%	0%	0%	0%	0.8%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.6%
Articulated Trucks	0	9	0	0	0	9	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	11
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0.6%	0%	0%	0%	0.6%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.3%
Buses	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	2
% Buses	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0
% Bicycles on Road	. 0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-
Bicycles on Crosswall	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	
% Bicycles on Crosswall	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. BL: Bear left, BR: Bear right, HL: Hard left, HR: Hard right, L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Wed Jun 1, 2022 PM Peak (4:45 PM - 5:45 PM) - Overall Peak Hour All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 957212, Location: 42.590185, -83.129014





EB East Long Lake Road & WB to EB X/O West o... - TMC

Wed Jun 1, 2022 Full Length (7 AM-9 AM, 4 PM-6 PM)

All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 957211, Location: 42.591905, -83.13221



625 Forest Edge Drive, Vernon Hills, IL, 60061, US

Leg	West					East					North					
Direction	Eastbou	und				Westbound	l				South	oound				
Time	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2022-06-01 7:00AM	0	67	0	67	0	138	0	31	169	0	0	0	0	0	0	236
7:15AM	0	106	0	106	0	221	0	50	271	0	0	0	0	0	0	377
7:30AM	0	110	0	110	0	249	0	59	308	0	0	0	0	0	0	418
7:45AM	0	120	0	120	0	234	0	41	275	0	0	0	0	0	0	395
Hourly Total	0	403	0	403	0	842	0	181	1023	0	0	0	0	0	0	1426
8:00AM	0	120	0	120	0	298	0	41	339	0	0	0	0	0	0	459
8:15AM	0	98	0	98	0	215	0	34	249	0	0	0	0	0	0	347
8:30AM	0	142	0	142	0	257	0	51	308	0	0	0	0	0	0	450
8:45AM	0	105	0	105	0	225	0	51	276	0	0	0	0	0	0	381
Hourly Total	0	465	0	465	0	995	0	177	1172	0	0	0	0	0	0	1637
4:00PM	0	263	0	263	0	181	0	59	240	0	0	0	0	0	1	503
4:15PM	0	264	0	264	0	146	0	64	210	0	0	0	0	0	0	474
4:30PM	0	322	0	322	0	176	0	58	234	0	0	0	0	0	0	556
4:45PM	0	281	0	281	0	183	0	59	242	0	0	0	0	0	0	523
Hourly Total	0	1130	0	1130	0	686	0	240	926	0	0	0	0	0	1	2056
5:00PM	0	317	0	317	0	168	0	60	228	0	0	0	0	0	0	545
5:15PM	0	331	0	331	0	160	0	76	236	0	0	0	0	0	2	567
5:30PM	0	279	0	279	0	169	0	74	243	0	0	0	0	0	1	522
5:45PM	0	285	0	285	0	184	0	73	257	0	0	0	0	0	2	542
Hourly Total	0	1212	0	1212	0	681	0	283	964	0	0	0	0	0	5	2176
Total	0	3210	0	3210	0	3204	0	881	4085	0	0	0	0	0	6	7295
% Approach	0%	100%	0%	-	-	78.4%	0%	21.6%	-	-	0%	0%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	0%	44.0%	0%	44.0%	-	43.9%	0%	12.1%	56.0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-
Lights	0	3137	0	3137	-	3127	0	873	4000	-	0	0	0	0	-	7137
% Lights	0%	97.7%	0%	97.7%	-	97.6%	0%	99.1%	97.9%	-	0%	0%	0%	-	-	97.8%
Single-Unit Trucks	0	42	0	42	-	43	0	3	46	-	0	0	0	0	-	88
% Single-Unit Trucks	0%	1.3%	0%	1.3%	-	1.3%	0%	0.3%	1.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	-	-	1.2%
Articulated Trucks	0	14	0	14	-	12	0	2	14	-	0	0	0	0	-	28
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0.4%	0%	0.4%	-	0.4%	0%	0.2%	0.3%	-	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0.4%
Buses	0	17	0	17	-	22	0	3	25	-	0	0	0	0	-	42
% Buses	0%	0.5%	0%	0.5%	-	0.7%	0%	0.3%	0.6%	-	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0.6%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	4	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	66.7%	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	2	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33.3%	-

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn
EB East Long Lake Road & WB to EB X/O West o... - TMC Wed Jun 1, 2022 Full Length (7 AM-9 AM, 4 PM-6 PM) All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 957211, Location: 42.591905, -83.13221







EB East Long Lake Road & WB to EB X/O West o... - TMC

Wed Jun 1, 2022 AM Peak (7:45 AM - 8:45 AM)

All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 957211, Location: 42.591905, -83.13221



625 Forest Edge Drive, Vernon Hills, IL, 60061, US

Leg	West	und				East Westbourd					North South	ound				
Time	EdSLUO	т	II	Ann	Dod*	T	D	TI	Ann	Dod*	Jouun		II	Ann	Dod*	Int
2022 OC 01 7:45 AM		120	0	120	Peu	1	<u>к</u>	41	275	Peu		<u>к</u>	0	Арр	Peu	111L 20F
2022-06-01 /:45AM	0	120	0	120	0	234	0	41	2/5	0	0	0	0	0	0	395
8:00AM	0	120	0	120	0	298	0	41	339	0	0	0	0	0	0	459
8:15AM	0	98	0	98	0	215	0	34	249	0	0	0	0	0	0	34/
8:30AM	0	142	0	142	0	257	0	51	308	0	0	0	0	0	0	450
Total	0	480	0	480	0	1004	0	167	1171	0	0	0	0	0	0	1651
% Approach	0%	100%	0%	-	-	85.7%	0%	14.3%	-	-	0%	0%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	0%	29.1%	0%	29.1%	-	60.8%	0%	10.1%	70.9%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-
PHF	-	0.845	-	0.845	-	0.842	-	0.819	0.864	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.899
Lights	0	464	0	464	-	980	0	165	1145	-	0	0	0	0	-	1609
% Lights	0%	96.7%	0%	96.7%	-	97.6%	0%	98.8%	97.8%	-	0%	0%	0%	-	-	97.5%
Single-Unit Trucks	0	7	0	7	-	11	0	1	12	-	0	0	0	0	-	19
% Single-Unit Trucks	0%	1.5%	0%	1.5%	-	1.1%	0%	0.6%	1.0%	-	0%	0%	0%	-	-	1.2%
Articulated Trucks	0	5	0	5	-	5	0	0	5	-	0	0	0	0	-	10
% Articulated Trucks	0%	1.0%	0%	1.0%	-	0.5%	0%	0%	0.4%	-	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0.6%
Buses	0	4	0	4	-	8	0	1	9	-	0	0	0	0	-	13
% Buses	0%	0.8%	0%	0.8%	-	0.8%	0%	0.6%	0.8%	-	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0.8%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

^{*}Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

EB East Long Lake Road & WB to EB X/O West o... - TMC Wed Jun 1, 2022 AM Peak (7:45 AM - 8:45 AM) All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 957211, Location: 42.591905, -83.13221





EB East Long Lake Road & WB to EB X/O West o ... - TMC

Wed Jun 1, 2022 PM Peak (4:30 PM - 5:30 PM) - Overall Peak Hour All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements



625 Forest Edge Drive, Vernon Hills, IL, 60061, US

ID: 957211, Location: 42.591905, -83.13221

Log	Wost					Fast					North					
Direction	Eactho	und				Mosthound					South	ound				
	EdStDU					westbound					Souun	Jouna				-
Time	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2022-06-01 4:30PM	0	322	0	322	0	176	0	58	234	0	0	0	0	0	0	556
4:45PM	0	281	0	281	0	183	0	59	242	0	0	0	0	0	0	523
5:00PM	0	317	0	317	0	168	0	60	228	0	0	0	0	0	0	545
5:15PM	0	331	0	331	0	160	0	76	236	0	0	0	0	0	2	567
Total	0	1251	0	1251	0	687	0	253	940	0	0	0	0	0	2	2191
% Approach	0%	100%	0%	-	-	73.1%	0%	26.9%	-	-	0%	0%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	0%	57.1%	0%	57.1%	-	31.4%	0%	11.5%	42.9%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-
PHF	-	0.945	-	0.945	-	0.939	-	0.832	0.971	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.966
Lights	0	1229	0	1229	-	677	0	250	927	-	0	0	0	0	-	2156
% Lights	0%	98.2%	0%	98.2%	-	98.5%	0%	98.8%	98.6%	-	0%	0%	0%	-	-	98.4%
Single-Unit Trucks	0	17	0	17	-	8	0	1	9	-	0	0	0	0	-	26
% Single-Unit Trucks	0%	1.4%	0%	1.4%	-	1.2%	0%	0.4%	1.0%	-	0%	0%	0%	-	-	1.2%
Articulated Trucks	0	5	0	5	-	0	0	2	2	-	0	0	0	0	-	7
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0.4%	0%	0.4%	-	0%	0%	0.8%	0.2%	-	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0.3%
Buses	0	0	0	0	-	2	0	0	2	-	0	0	0	0	-	2
% Buses	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.3%	0%	0%	0.2%	-	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0.1%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50.0%	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50.0%	-

^{*}Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

EB East Long Lake Road & WB to EB X/O West o... - TMC Wed Jun 1, 2022 PM Peak (4:30 PM - 5:30 PM) - Overall Peak Hour All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 957211, Location: 42.591905, -83.13221







Rochester Road & WB East Long Lake Road - TMC

Wed Jun 1, 2022 Full Length (7 AM-9 AM, 4 PM-6 PM)

All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements

ID: 957209, Location: 42.592164, -83.129104



625 Forest Edge Drive, Vernon Hills, IL, 60061, US

Leg	Lon	g L	ake I	٦d			Lon	g Lake	Rd				Roche	ster				R	och	ester					
Direction	East	bou	ınd				Wes	tbound	l				Northb	ound				s	outh	nbound	l				
Time	L	Т	R	U A	рр	Ped*	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	R	U	App Pec	*	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2022-06-01 7:00AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	127	22	0	149	0	0	167	1	0	168	0	0	349	42	0	391	0	708
7:15AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	222	40	0	262	0	0	177	0	0	177	0	0	416	46	0	462	0	901
7:30AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	229	38	0	267	0	0	202	0	0	202	0	0	447	69	0	516	0	985
7:45AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	220	47	0	267	0	0	261	0	0	261	0	0	406	61	0	467	0	995
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	798	147	0	945	0	0	807	1	0	808	0	0	1618	218	0	1836	0	3589
8:00AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	295	48	0	343	0	0	205	0	0	205	0	0	360	40	0	400	0	948
8:15AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	197	32	0	229	0	0	231	0	0	231	0	0	428	52	0	480	0	940
8:30AM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	255	50	0	305	1	0	195	0	0	195	0	0	359	54	0	413	0	913
8:45AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	223	39	0	262	0	0	234	0	0	234	0	0	353	45	0	398	1	894
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	970	169	0	1139	1	0	865	0	0	865	0	0	1500	191	0	1691	1	3695
4:00PM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	183	56	0	239	0	0	321	0	0	321	0	0	257	45	0	302	0	862
4:15PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	140	42	0	182	0	0	371	0	0	371	0	0	372	48	0	420	0	973
4:30PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	178	73	0	251	0	0	362	0	0	362	0	0	314	41	0	355	0	968
4:45PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	164	50	0	214	0	0	396	0	0	396	0	0	318	58	0	376	0	986
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	665	221	0	886	0	0	1450	0	0	1450	0	0	1261	192	0	1453	0	3789
5:00PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	158	60	0	218	0	0	361	0	0	361	0	0	283	66	0	349	0	928
5:15PM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	152	65	0	217	0	0	405	0	0	405	0	0	293	60	0	353	0	975
5:30PM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	174	53	0	227	0	1	419	0	0	420	0	0	319	58	0	377	0	1024
5:45PM	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	177	50	0	227	0	0	321	0	0	321	0	0	306	61	0	367	0	915
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	661	228	0	889	0	1	1506	0	0	1507	0	0	1201	245	0	1446	0	3842
Total	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	3094	765	0	3859	1	1	4628	1	0	4630	0	0	5580	846	0	6426	1	14915
% Approach	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%	80.2%	19.8%	0%	-	-	0%	100.0%	0% ()%	-	- 0	% 8	6.8%	13.2% ()%	-	-	-
% Total	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	20.7%	5.1%	0%	25.9%	-	0%	31.0%	0% ()%3	31.0%	- 0	%3	87.4%	5.7% ()% -	43.1%	-	-
Lights	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	3030	747	0	3777	-	1	4516	1	0	4518	-	0	5500	831	0	6331	-	14626
% Lights	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%	97.9%	97.6%	0%	97.9%	-	100%	97.6%	100% ()% 9	97.6%	- 0	% 9	8.6%	98.2% ()% :	98.5%	-	98.1%
Single-Unit Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	36	10	0	46	-	0	64	0	0	64	-	0	47	9	0	56	-	166
% Single-Unit Trucks	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%	1.2%	1.3%	0%	1.2%	-	0%	1.4%	0% ()%	1.4%	- 0	%	0.8%	1.1% ()%	0.9%	-	1.1%
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	8	4	0	12	-	0	37	0	0	37	-	0	26	2	0	28	-	77
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%	0.3%	0.5%	0%	0.3%	-	0%	0.8%	0% ()%	0.8%	- 0	%	0.5%	0.2% ()%	0.4%	-	0.5%
Buses	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	20	4	0	24	-	0	9	0	0	9	-	0	7	4	0	11	-	44
% Buses	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%	0.6%	0.5%	0%	0.6%	-	0%	0.2%	0% ()%	0.2%	- 0	%	0.1%	0.5% ()%	0.2%	-	0.3%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	2	0	0	2	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	2
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0% ()%	0%	- 0	%	0%	0% ()%	0%	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	1	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	33.3%	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	66.7%	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Rochester Road & WB East Long Lake Road - TMC Wed Jun 1, 2022 Full Length (7 AM-9 AM, 4 PM-6 PM) All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 957209, Location: 42.592164, -83.129104





Rochester Road & WB East Long Lake Road - TMC

Wed Jun 1, 2022 AM Peak (7:30 AM - 8:30 AM)

All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 957209, Location: 42.592164, -83.129104



625 Forest Edge Drive, Vernon Hills, IL, 60061, US

Leg	Lon	g L	ake I	Rd			Lon	g Lake I	Rd				Roc	hester					Roc	hester					
Direction	East	tbou	ind				Wes	tbound					Nor	thbound					Sout	thbound					
Time	L	Т	R	U	I App	• Ped*	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2022-06-01 7:30AM	0	0	0	0) () 0	0	229	38	0	267	0	0	202	0	0	202	0	0	447	69	0	516	0	985
7:45AM	0	0	0	0) () 0	0	220	47	0	267	0	0	261	0	0	261	0	0	406	61	0	467	0	995
8:00AM	0	0	0	0) (0 0	0	295	48	0	343	0	0	205	0	0	205	0	0	360	40	0	400	0	948
8:15AM	0	0	0	0) (0	0	197	32	0	229	0	0	231	0	0	231	0	0	428	52	0	480	0	940
Total	0	0	0	0) () 0	0	941	165	0	1106	0	0	899	0	0	899	0	0	1641	222	0	1863	0	3868
% Approach	0%	0%	0%	0%	5 -		0%	85.1%	14.9%	0%	-	-	0%	100%	0%	0%	-	-	0%	88.1%	11.9%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	0%	0%	0%	0%	5 0%	б -	0%	24.3%	4.3%	0%	28.6%	-	0%	23.2%	0%	0%	23.2%	-	0%	42.4%	5.7%	0%	48.2%	-	-
PHF	-	-	-	-			-	0.797	0.859	-	0.806	-	-	0.861	-	-	0.861	-	-	0.918	0.804	-	0.903	-	0.972
Lights	0	0	0	0) () -	0	923	160	0	1083	-	0	852	0	0	852	-	0	1620	219	0	1839	-	3774
% Lights	0%	0%	0%	0%	5 -		0%	98.1%	97.0%	0%	97.9%	-	0%	94.8%	0%	0%	94.8%	-	0%	98.7%	98.6%	0%	98.7%	-	97.6%
Single-Unit Trucks	0	0	0	0) () -	0	8	2	0	10	-	0	27	0	0	27	-	0	12	1	0	13	-	50
% Single-Unit Trucks	0%	0%	0%	0%	,		0%	0.9%	1.2%	0%	0.9%	-	0%	3.0%	0%	0%	3.0%	-	0%	0.7%	0.5%	0%	0.7%	-	1.3%
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0) () -	0	2	2	0	4	-	0	14	0	0	14	-	0	7	1	0	8	-	26
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0%	0%	0%	5 -		0%	0.2%	1.2%	0%	0.4%	-	0%	1.6%	0%	0%	1.6%	-	0%	0.4%	0.5%	0%	0.4%	-	0.7%
Buses	0	0	0	0) () -	0	8	1	0	9	-	0	6	0	0	6	-	0	2	1	0	3	-	18
% Buses	0%	0%	0%	0%	5 -		0%	0.9%	0.6%	0%	0.8%	-	0%	0.7%	0%	0%	0.7%	-	0%	0.1%	0.5%	0%	0.2%	-	0.5%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0) () -	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	, 		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-		- 0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-			- 0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Rochester Road & WB East Long Lake Road - TMC Wed Jun 1, 2022 AM Peak (7:30 AM - 8:30 AM) All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 957209, Location: 42.592164, -83.129104





Total: 2540 [S] Rochester

Rochester Road & WB East Long Lake Road - TMC

Wed Jun 1, 2022 PM Peak (4:45 PM - 5:45 PM) - Overall Peak Hour All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 957209, Location: 42.592164, -83.129104



625 Forest Edge Drive, Vernon Hills, IL, 60061, US

Leg	Lon	g La	ake F	۲d			Lon	g Lake	Rd				Roches	ster					Roc	hester					
Direction	East	bou	ınd				Wes	stbound					Northb	ound					Sout	thbound					
Time	L	Т	R	U.	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2022-06-01 4:45PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	164	50	0	214	0	0	396	0	0	396	0	0	318	58	0	376	0	986
5:00PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	158	60	0	218	0	0	361	0	0	361	0	0	283	66	0	349	0	928
5:15PM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	152	65	0	217	0	0	405	0	0	405	0	0	293	60	0	353	0	975
5:30PM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	174	53	0	227	0	1	419	0	0	420	0	0	319	58	0	377	0	1024
Total	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	648	228	0	876	0	1	1581	0	0	1582	0	0	1213	242	0	1455	0	3913
% Approach	0%	0%	0% ()%	-	-	0%	74.0%	26.0% ()%	-	-	0.1%	99.9%	0%	0%	-	-	0%	83.4%	16.6%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	0%	0%	0% ()%	0%	-	0%	16.6%	5.8% ()% :	22.4%	-	0%	40.4%	0%	0%	40.4%	-	0%	31.0%	6.2%	0%	37.2%	-	-
PHF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.931	0.877	-	0.965	-	0.250	0.943	-	-	0.942	-	-	0.951	0.917	-	0.965	-	0.955
Lights	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	640	225	0	865	-	1	1569	0	0	1570	-	0	1196	238	0	1434	-	3869
% Lights	0% (0%	0% ()%	-	-	0%	98.8%	98.7% ()% (98.7%	-	100%	99.2%	0%	0%	99.2%	-	0%	98.6%	98.3%	0%	98.6%	-	98.9%
Single-Unit Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	6	3	0	9	-	0	9	0	0	9	-	0	12	4	0	16	-	34
% Single-Unit Trucks	0%	0%	0% ()%	-	-	0%	0.9%	1.3% ()%	1.0%	-	0%	0.6%	0%	0%	0.6%	-	0%	1.0%	1.7%	0%	1.1%	-	0.9%
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	2	0	0	2	-	0	5	0	0	5	-	7
% Articulated Trucks	0% (0%	0% ()%	-	-	0%	0%	0% ()%	0%	-	0%	0.1%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0.4%	0%	0%	0.3%	-	0.2%
Buses	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	2	0	0	2	-	0	1	0	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	3
% Buses	0%	0%	0% ()%	-	-	0%	0.3%	0% ()%	0.2%	-	0%	0.1%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0% ()%	-	-	0%	0%	0% ()%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Rochester Road & WB East Long Lake Road - TMC

Wed Jun 1, 2022 PM Peak (4:45 PM - 5:45 PM) - Overall Peak Hour All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 957209, Location: 42.592164, -83.129104





Rochester Road & EB East Long Lake Road - TMC

Wed Jun 1, 2022 Full Length (7 AM-9 AM, 4 PM-6 PM) All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 957210, Location: 42.591947, -83.129089



625 Forest Edge Drive, Vernon Hills, IL, 60061, US

Leg	Long	g Lake					Long I	Lake	Rocheste	er				Roch	lester				
Direction	East	bound					Westb	ound	Northbo	und				Sout	hbound				
Time	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Арр	Ped*	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2022-06-01 7:00AM	0	55	42	1	98	0	0	1	173	34	0	207	0	0	346	0	346	0	651
7:15AM	0	91	60	3	154	0	0	0	190	46	0	236	0	0	403	0	403	0	793
7:30AM	0	91	70	3	164	1	0	0	212	45	0	257	0	0	432	0	432	0	853
7:45AM	0	104	53	3	160	0	0	0	258	69	0	327	0	0	395	0	395	0	882
Hourly Total	0	341	225	10	576	1	0	1	833	194	0	1027	0	0	1576	0	1576	0	3179
8:00AM	0	109	58	3	170	0	0	0	207	44	0	251	1	0	354	0	354	0	775
8:15AM	0	90	36	3	129	0	0	0	236	45	0	281	0	0	426	0	426	0	836
8:30AM	0	136	53	4	193	0	0	1	195	56	0	251	1	0	347	0	347	0	791
8:45AM	0	98	47	2	147	0	0	0	238	47	0	285	0	0	351	0	351	0	783
Hourly Total	0	433	194	12	639	0	0	1	876	192	0	1068	2	0	1478	0	1478	0	3185
4:00PM	0	260	64	2	326	0	0	0	321	94	0	415	1	0	249	0	249	0	990
4:15PM	0	256	61	3	320	0	0	0	380	120	0	500	0	0	348	0	348	0	1168
4:30PM	0	331	59	10	400	0	0	0	360	112	0	472	0	0	304	0	304	0	1176
4:45PM	0	296	52	8	356	0	0	0	394	119	0	513	0	0	312	0	312	0	1181
Hourly Total	0	1143	236	23	1402	0	0	0	1455	445	0	1900	1	0	1213	0	1213	0	4515
5:00PM	0	292	58	13	363	0	0	0	358	113	0	471	0	0	283	0	283	1	1117
5:15PM	0	334	64	15	413	0	0	0	393	114	1	508	1	0	294	0	294	0	1215
5:30PM	0	276	56	13	345	0	0	0	432	125	0	557	0	0	327	0	327	0	1229
5:45PM	0	292	66	11	369	0	0	0	325	86	0	411	1	0	288	0	288	0	1068
Hourly Total	0	1194	244	52	1490	0	0	0	1508	438	1	1947	2	0	1192	0	1192	1	4629
Total	0	3111	899	97	4107	1	0	2	4672	1269	1	5942	5	0	5459	0	5459	1	15508
% Approach	0%	75.7%	21.9%	2.4%	-	-	-	-	78.6%	21.4%	0%	-	-	0%	100%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	0%	20.1%	5.8%	0.6%	26.5%	-	0%	-	30.1%	8.2%	0%	38.3%	-	0%	35.2%	0%	35.2%	-	-
Lights	0	3058	870	96	4024	-	0	-	4552	1245	1	5798	-	0	5385	0	5385	-	15207
% Lights	0%	98.3%	96.8%	99.0%	98.0%	-	-	-	97.4%	98.1%	100%	97.6%	-	0%	98.6%	0%	98.6%	-	98.1%
Single-Unit Trucks	0	40	12	0	52	-	0	-	71	10	0	81	-	0	38	0	38	-	171
% Single-Unit Trucks	0%	1.3%	1.3%	0%	1.3%	-	-	-	1.5%	0.8%	0%	1.4%	-	0%	0.7%	0%	0.7%	-	1.1%
Articulated Trucks	0	6	6	0	12	-	0	-	42	5	0	47	-	0	30	0	30	-	89
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0.2%	0.7%	0%	0.3%	-	-	-	0.9%	0.4%	0%	0.8%	-	0%	0.5%	0%	0.5%	-	0.6%
Buses	0	7	11	1	19	-	0	-	7	9	0	16	-	0	6	0	6	-	41
% Buses	0%	0.2%	1.2%	1.0%	0.5%	-	-	-	0.1%	0.7%	0%	0.3%	-	0%	0.1%	0%	0.1%	-	0.3%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-	0%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	100%	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	2	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-	100%	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	0%	-

^{*}Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Rochester Road & EB East Long Lake Road - TMC Wed Jun 1, 2022 Full Length (7 AM-9 AM, 4 PM-6 PM) All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 957210, Location: 42.591947, -83.129089





2 of 6

Rochester Road & EB East Long Lake Road - TMC

Wed Jun 1, 2022 AM Peak (7:30 AM - 8:30 AM) All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 957210, Location: 42.591947, -83.129089



625 Forest Edge Drive, Vernon Hills, IL, 60061, US

Leg	Long	g Lake					Long I	ake	Rocheste	r				Roch	lester				
Direction	Eastl	oound					Westbo	ound	Northbou	ınd				Sout	hbound				
Time	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Арр	Ped*	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2022-06-01 7:30AM	0	91	70	3	164	1	0	0	212	45	0	257	0	0	432	0	432	0	853
7:45AM	0	104	53	3	160	0	0	0	258	69	0	327	0	0	395	0	395	0	882
8:00AM	0	109	58	3	170	0	0	0	207	44	0	251	1	0	354	0	354	0	775
8:15AM	0	90	36	3	129	0	0	0	236	45	0	281	0	0	426	0	426	0	836
Total	0	394	217	12	623	1	0	0	913	203	0	1116	1	0	1607	0	1607	0	3346
% Approach	0%	63.2%	34.8%	1.9%	-	-	-	-	81.8%	18.2%	0%	-	-	0%	100%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	0%	11.8%	6.5%	0.4%	18.6%	-	0%	-	27.3%	6.1%	0%	33.4%	-	0%	48.0%	0%	48.0%	-	-
PHF	-	0.904	0.775	1.000	0.916	-	-	-	0.885	0.736	-	0.853	-	-	0.930	-	0.930	-	0.948
Lights	0	386	209	11	606	-	0	-	866	197	0	1063	-	0	1582	0	1582	-	3251
% Lights	0%	98.0%	96.3%	91.7%	97.3%	-	-	-	94.9%	97.0%	0%	95.3%	-	0%	98.4%	0%	98.4%	-	97.2%
Single-Unit Trucks	0	5	3	0	8	-	0	-	25	1	0	26	-	0	13	0	13	-	47
% Single-Unit Trucks	0%	1.3%	1.4%	0%	1.3%	-	-	-	2.7%	0.5%	0%	2.3%	-	0%	0.8%	0%	0.8%	-	1.4%
Articulated Trucks	0	2	2	0	4	-	0	-	17	1	0	18	-	0	10	0	10	-	32
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0.5%	0.9%	0%	0.6%	-	-	-	1.9%	0.5%	0%	1.6%	-	0%	0.6%	0%	0.6%	-	1.0%
Buses	0	1	3	1	5	-	0	-	5	4	0	9	-	0	2	0	2	-	16
% Buses	0%	0.3%	1.4%	8.3%	0.8%	-	-	-	0.5%	2.0%	0%	0.8%	-	0%	0.1%	0%	0.1%	-	0.5%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-

^{*}Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Rochester Road & EB East Long Lake Road - TMC Wed Jun 1, 2022 AM Peak (7:30 AM - 8:30 AM) All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 957210, Location: 42.591947, -83.129089





Rochester Road & EB East Long Lake Road - TMC

Wed Jun 1, 2022 PM Peak (4:45 PM - 5:45 PM) - Overall Peak Hour All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 957210, Location: 42.591947, -83.129089



625 Forest Edge Drive, Vernon Hills, IL, 60061, US

Leg Direction	Long Eastl	g Lake bound					Long L Westbo	ake ound	Rocheste Northbo	er 1nd				Roch Soutl	ester nbound				
Time	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Арр	Ped*	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2022-06-01 4:45PM	0	296	52	8	356	0	0	0	394	119	0	513	0	0	312	0	312	0	1181
5:00PM	0	292	58	13	363	0	0	0	358	113	0	471	0	0	283	0	283	1	1117
5:15PM	0	334	64	15	413	0	0	0	393	114	1	508	1	0	294	0	294	0	1215
5:30PM	0	276	56	13	345	0	0	0	432	125	0	557	0	0	327	0	327	0	1229
Total	0	1198	230	49	1477	0	0	0	1577	471	1	2049	1	0	1216	0	1216	1	4742
% Approach	0%	81.1%	15.6%	3.3%	-	-	-	-	77.0%	23.0%	0%	-	-	0%	100%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	0%	25.3%	4.9%	1.0%	31.1%	-	0%	-	33.3%	9.9%	0%	43.2%	-	0%	25.6%	0%	25.6%	-	-
PHF	-	0.897	0.898	0.817	0.894	-	-	-	0.913	0.942	0.250	0.920	-	-	0.930	-	0.930	-	0.965
Lights	0	1182	222	49	1453	-	0	-	1566	470	1	2037	-	0	1207	0	1207	-	4697
% Lights	0%	98.7%	96.5%	100%	98.4%	-	-	-	99.3%	99.8%	100%	99.4%	-	0%	99.3%	0%	99.3%	-	99.1%
Single-Unit Trucks	0	16	5	0	21	-	0	-	9	1	0	10	-	0	4	0	4	-	35
% Single-Unit Trucks	0%	1.3%	2.2%	0%	1.4%	-	-	-	0.6%	0.2%	0%	0.5%	-	0%	0.3%	0%	0.3%	-	0.7%
Articulated Trucks	0	0	3	0	3	-	0	-	2	0	0	2	-	0	5	0	5	-	10
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0%	1.3%	0%	0.2%	-	-	-	0.1%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0.4%	0%	0.4%	-	0.2%
Buses	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0
% Buses	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	100%	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	0%	-

 * Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Rochester Road & EB East Long Lake Road - TMC Wed Jun 1, 2022 PM Peak (4:45 PM - 5:45 PM) - Overall Peak Hour All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 957210, Location: 42.591947, -83.129089





			<u>RAF</u>	FIC - SIGN	SAF	Y RO ETY VOR	DAD DEF K OI	CO PART RDE	MMI FME R	<u>ISSIC</u> NT	<u>)N</u>		2					
LOCATION: LO	ng Lake	$+ \chi$	00	210	R	och	est	er				I	DATI	8:	7/3	01	19	-
CITY/TOWNSHIF	Troy											BY:	\mathcal{C}	M	ark	el		_
COUNTY#:_/0	5 <u>8</u> state	£#:		-			_CH	ARC	ES:		180	10	58	0				
		PLE	ASE	E PEF	RFOR	M TI	HE F	OLL	.OW	ING								
ELECTRIC.	AL DEVICE:	INS7	ΓALI	L _]	NOE	ERN	JIZE]	MAIN	TEN	ANC	сE				
UNDERGR	OUND:				R													
EDISON O	K: YES	NC)					JC)B#:									
COORDINA	TE W/DISTRIC	Т 7:																
		DIAL SPLIT	1	1	1		2	2	2	2		3 3	3	3	-	4	4	4
CHANGE T	IMING		-	-	-											Ĺ		
CHANGE C	FFSET	т			-	_						_			+		-	-
ADD DIAL/	SPLIT	1				+	+	-	-					-				
OLD:	OURS OF OPER	ATION	:			<i>·</i>		2						RO	AD (OAK	COM LAN	MIS D C(975 DUI
NEW:															AU	3 1	5	201
REPROGRA	M TBC													70	Are	10.0		
INSTALL IN	ITERCONNECT	:	TBC	C	N	1INI	ГRO	L_		TO	٧E				AFF	IC O	PER	AT
MBT OK:	YES	NO																
NO CHANG	E - RECORD C	ORREC	TIO	N														
NO CHANG	E - RECORD C	ORREC	TIO he	N ch.	sur	n (ch	2 M	se.									

Ъ° . .

INTERSECTION :- 1058 LONG LAKE & X/O W/O ROCHESTER DESCRIPTION PROMS :- X01058D / F2002 CONTROLLER TYPE :- STANDARD PERSONALITY CONTROLLER SOFTWARE TYPE :- MOD 52 SCATS INPUTS :-1. X/O W/O ROCHESTER L PRES (LK) 9. NOTE :- ALL DETECTORS ARE LOOPS. 2. X/O W/O ROCHESTER C PRES (LK) 10. 3. X/O W/O ROCHESTER R PRES (LK) 11. 4. EB LONG LAKE L PRES (LK) 12. 5. EB LONG LAKE R PRES (LK) 13. 6. 14. 7. 15. 8. 16. Opticom 1 APPROACHES :-A APPR 1 : EB LONG LAKE L, R B APPR 1 : X/O W/O ROCHESTER L,C,R FLEXIDATA :-SEQUENCE A, B A,B AUTO REL R- REL A A R+ REL В B Q- REL Q+ REL LOOKAHEAD SPECIAL FEATURES :-The personality revision number is currently 2 (=B). A STAGE HAS PERMANENT DEMAND. DEMAND FOR STAGE B FLEXI AND ISOL, SET ZNEG TO DISABLE. Opticom 1 calls A stage. BACKPANEL :- SIZE M CABINET LOAD SWITCH 2: LONG LAKE A FLA LOAD SWITCH 4: X/O W/O ROCHESTER B FLR JUMPERS : -121-213,151-152,153-154,155-156,173-174,175-176,177-178,233-PB1, 237-PB1, 241-PB1, 255-156, 257-258, 259-260, 261-262. SIGNAL MONITOR :- NONE. ALL SWITCHES OFF EXCEPT: DUAL SELECT A&B, G&Y ENABLE; SSM 2,4 MINIMUM FLASH = 4+2+1 = 7***** CHECKSUMS * CONTROLLER INFORMATION SHEET * * FOR SITE NO. 1058 * TIMES: 5C/134 CARISSA MARKEL * PERS: 85/205 DATE :- 30-JUL-2019 * TOTAL: D9/331 * * *****

FLEXILINK PLAN DATA

Interse	ction #	1058	_ State #			Date:	07/30/19	Prepa	ared By:	Carissa N	larkel
Interse	ction:	Long Lak	e & X/O W/	O Roches	ter			City:	Troy		
Hours	of Opera	ation:	Mon-Fri: 6	am-11pm;	Sat-Sun: 8	3am-10pm		Appro	oved By:	Rachel Jo	nes
Hours	of Flash	ing:	Mon-Fri: 1	1pm-6am;	Sat-Sun: 1	10pm-8am					
		PL0	PL1	PL2	PL3	PL4	PL5	PL6	PL7	PL8]
0	CL		80	120	120]
1	A		0	0	0						
2	В		39	55	55					12	
3	С										
4	D										
5	E										
6	F										
7	G										
8	R-										
9	R+										
10	Of (Y-)		73	13	18						
11	Y+	С									
12	Z-										
13	Z+										
14	Q-										
15	Q+										
16	XH										
17	XL										
NOTE.	Oto a set		and of all as		a laine a al	Diante ante	ico oro dofe	with waluor	o dual to	0	

NOTE: Stages with 1 second of phase time are skipped. Blank entries are default values equal to 0. Except for an AWA controller, entries #8 to #15 (=254) and 'C' entry means continuous (=255).

								Timers	
Phase	Direction	Min	Max	ECO	Amber	All Red	Gap	Hdwy	Waste
Α	Long Lake	10.0	80.0		4.3	1.3	3.0	1.2	10.0
В	X/O W/O Rochester	5.0	20.0		3.5	1.9	3.0	1.2	10.0
С									
D				9	3				
E							8 - A		
F									
G									

	Day	Hours	Plan#
SC1	14	0:00	0
SC2	8	6:00	2
SC3	8	9:00	1
SC4	8	15:00	3
SC5	8	19:00	1
SC6	8	23:00	0
SC7	13	8:00	1
SC8	13	22:00	0
SC9			
SC10			

Pedestrian Crossing Times

Direction	Walk	CL 1	CL 2

TSM 16 = OPTICOM 1 ALARM TIME = 200

Normal Operating Mode

Isolated	Flexilink	Masterlink	Master Isolated	Flexi Isolated
		Х		

DAY OF WEEK CODE NUMBER

0	End of Schedule	4	WED	8	MON-FRI	12	MON, FRI, SAT
1	SUN	5	THUR	9	MON-SAT	13	SAT,SUN
2	MON	6	FRI	10	TUE,WED,THU	14	EVERY DAY
3	TUE	7	SAT	11	MON,FRI	15	NEVER

D Connector Form for Mod 52 w/Loops

Intersection Name: Long Lake & X/O W/O Rochester County No: 1058 Date: 07/30/19

Detector # on Print	Detector Description	D-Conn Term #	D-Conn Description	Phase
1	X/O W/O Rochester L	1	Det. 9	4
2	X/O W/O Rochester C	2	Det. 10	4
3	X/O W/O Rochester R	3	Det. 11	4
4	EB Long Lake L	4	Det. 12	2
5	EB Long Lake R	5	Det. 13	2
		6	Det. 14	
		7	Det. 15	
		8	Det. 16	
	3	9	Det. 17	
		10	Det. 18	
		11	Det. 19	
		12	Det. 20	
		13	Det. 21	
		14	Det. 22	
		15	Det. 23	
		16	Det. 24	
		Backpanel		
	2	Backpanel		
		Backpanel	*	
		Backpanel		



LOCATION: Long Lake +	Roc	:4	es	ter	-								_D/	ATE	:_7	7/2	sli	9	-
CITY/TOWNSHIP: Troy												_ B	Y:_(C.P	Mai	ke	1		-
COUNTY#: <u>\$85</u> STATE#:			-			_CI	HAR	GE	S:	7	80	De	58	50	>				_
Р	LEA	SE	PEI	RFOR	M T	HE	FOL	LO	WI	NG:									
ELECTRICAL DEVICE:	ISTA	LL	_		MOE	DER	NIZ	E		ľ	ИАП	IT	ENA	NC	E				
UNDERGROUND:																			
EDISON OK: YES	NO							OB	#:										
	110							05											
									_										
DIAI		1	1	1	1	1	2 2	2	2	2		3	3	3	3		4	4	2
SPLI CHANGE TIMING	т. _]	1	2	3	4	-	1 2	2	3	4		1	2	3	4	\vdash	1	2	3
CHANGE OFFSET	. [
CHANGE CYCLE LENGTH		\downarrow				-	_	_	_					<u> </u>			-		
ADD DIAL/SPL11	• L_				-									I	L	1			
CHANGE HOURS OF OPERATIO)N·	(Fle	nge xili	nk d	tat	a, D	et	Alar	mC	at)	* 0	of	R) O AKL	OMN AND		
CHANGE HOURS OF OPERATIO	DN:	(Fle	nje xili	nk d	tat	a, D	et	y Alai	mc	at)	** 4		F	0, 0,	D O AKL	OMN AND	NSS CO	D. JN
CHANGE HOURS OF OPERATIO	DN:	(Fle	nge xili	nk d	lat	a, D	et	Alai	mC	at)	* 4	zł	R	:0A: 07	d C Akl	omn And 1 !		ол ЛМ 019
CHANGE HOURS OF OPERATIO	DN:	(Fle	nge xili	nk d	tat	a, D	et	Alai	mC	at)	* 0		R		DIC AKL UG	OMN AND 1 ! C OF	NSS CO 5 21 ERA	
CHANGE HOURS OF OPERATIO OLD: NEW: REPROGRAM TBC INSTALL INTERCONNECT:	DN:	() BC	Fle	nge xili		TRO		et	Y Ala (NE	# ¢		F		D C AKL	0 MN AND 1 ! 2 OP	NSS CO 2	ол ЛN)19 (Т)(
CHANGE HOURS OF OPERATIO OLD:	DN:	BC	Fle	<u>nge</u>		TRO	DL	et	Y Ala 	m C	ve VE	* ¢	<i>z</i> .	F		D C AKL	OMN AND 1 : C OF	NSS CO ERA	оли Л Л Л Л
CHANGE HOURS OF OPERATIO OLD:	DN: T	(D)	Fle	<u>nge</u> 1		TRO	ο, ο ο, ο ΟL	et	y	m C	VE	** ¢		R		DIC AKL	OMN AND 1 !	ISS CO ERA	ол Ли Э19
CHANGE HOURS OF OPERATIO OLD:	DN: T	() BC	. Fle		 nk d	TRO	on () () () () () () () () () (et	, ,	TOT	JE	97 g		A		D C AKL		NSS CO 5 2 ERA	ол Л Л Л Л
CHANGE HOURS OF OPERATIO OLD:	DN: 	(O)	. Fle]	 nk d MINI'	TRO	<u>م , ۵</u>	et i	, ,	TO	ve	97 g		R		D G AKL	OMA AND	ERA	
CHANGE HOURS OF OPERATIO OLD:	DN: T T		ria Fle]	AINI	TRO		et i	, ,	TO	ve			R		D C AKL	OMA AND 1 (C OF	ERA	
CHANGE HOURS OF OPERATIO OLD: NEW: REPROGRAM TBC INSTALL INTERCONNECT: MBT OK:YESNO NO CHANGE - RECORD CORR X OTHER: <u>Reguires a</u>	DN: T T		ria Fle]	 MINI'	TRO			, ,		VE	-		F		UG FFI	OMA AND 1 (C OF	ERA	ол Л Л Л Л
CHANGE HOURS OF OPERATIO OLD:	DN: T T	(O)	N ksa (TRO	<u>م ، م</u>				vE			7		UG FFI	OMA AND 1 (C OF	ERA	ол 019 тк
CHANGE HOURS OF OPERATIO OLD:	DN: T T		ria Fle		AINI Cl	TRO		et .	, ,		VE	-					OMA AND 1 (C OF	12.	

INTERSECTION :- 585 LONG LAKE & ROCHESTER DESCRIPTION PROMS :- X00585D / F2806 CONTROLLER TYPE :- STANDARD PERSONALITY CONTROLLER SOFTWARE TYPE :- MOD 52 SCATS INPUTS :-1. WB LONG LAKE L PRES (LK) 17. - NOTE :- ALL DETECTORS ARE AUTOSCOPE 2. WB LONG LAKE R PRES (LK) 18. -(2004 CAMEARAS). 3. WB LONG LAKE RT TIMED (3 SEC) 19. -4. SB ROCHESTER L PRES (LK) 20. -5. SB ROCHESTER C PRES (LK) 21. -6. SB ROCHESTER R PRES (LK) 22. -7. EB LONG LAKE L PRES (LK) 23. Opticom 2 (BACKPANEL VD7 (167)) 24. Opticom 1 (BACKPANEL VD8 (175)) 8. EB LONG LAKE R PRES (LK) 9. EB LONG LAKE RT TIMED (3 SEC) 10. NB ROCHESTER L PRES (LK) 11. NB ROCHESTER C PRES (LK) 12. NB ROCHESTER R PRES (LK) 13. -14. -15. -16. -PED 2: NB ROCHESTER PED EAST P.B. PED 4: WB LONG LAKE PED NORTH P.B. PED 6: SB ROCHESTER PED WEST P.B. PED 8: EB LONG LAKE PED SOUTH P.B. APPROACHES :-A APPR 1 : SB ROCHESTER L,R,RTA APPR 2 : NB ROCHESTER L,R,RTB APPR 1 : WB LONG LAKE L,RB APPR 2 : WB LONG LAKE RT B APPR 3 : EB LONG LAKE L,R B APPR 4 : EB LONG LAKE RT FLEXIDATA :-PEDESTRIANS :-SEQUENCE A, B A,B 1. NO PED 1 AUTO REL 2. NB ROCHESTER PED EAST (P-) R- REL Α A 3. NO PED 3 R+ REL B В 4. WB LONG LAKE PED NORTH (P-) Q- REL 5. NO PED 5 Q+ REL 6. SB ROCHESTER PED WEST (P+) LOOKAHEAD 7. NO PED 7 8. EB LONG LAKE PED SOUTH (P+) SPECIAL FEATURES :-The personality revision number is currently 2 (=B). A stage has a permanent demand. Demand for B stage in FLEXI & ISOL, set ZNEG to disable. NB ROCHESTER NEAR has early cut-off operation in A stage. SB ROCHESTER NEAR has early cut-off operation in A stage. Opticom 2 calls B stage. Opticom 1 calls A stage. NB ROCHESTER PED EAST introduction is suppressed when OPTICOM is active. WB LONG LAKE PED NORTH introduction is suppressed when OPTICOM is active. SB ROCHESTER PED WEST introduction is suppressed when OPTICOM is active.

EB LONG LAKE PED SOUTH introduction is suppressed when OPTICOM is active.

BACKPANEL	:- SIZE	P44.	-12	CABINET			
LOAD	SWITCH	1 -	SB	ROCHESTER	FAR	В	FLR
LOAD	SWITCH	2 -	NB	ROCHESTER	NEAR	A	FLR
LOAD	SWITCH	4 -	WB	LONG LAKE		С	FLR
LOAD	SWITCH	5 -	NB	ROCHESTER	FAR	В	FLR
LOAD	SWITCH	6 -	SB	ROCHESTER	NEAR	A	FLR
LOAD	SWITCH	8 -	EB	LONG LAKE		С	FLR
LOAD	SWITCH	9 -	NB	ROCHESTER	PED EAST	WA (P	1)
LOAD	SWITCH	10-	WB	LONG LAKE	PED NORTH	WC (P	2)
LOAD	SWITCH	11-	SB	ROCHESTER	PED WEST	WA (P	1)
LOAD	SWITCH	12-	EΒ	LONG LAKE	PED SOUTH	WC (P	2)

JUMPERS :-

189-190,191-192,193-194,195-196,197-198,199-200,201-202,207-208,217-218, 219-220,221-222,223-224,229-230,233-234,235-236,237-238,239-240,241-242, 243-244,245-246,251-252,261-262,263-264,265-266,267-268,273-274,298-302, 321-322,323-324,325-326,327-328,329-PB1,334-335,343-PB1,347-348,349-350, 351-PB1,356-357,365-366,367-368,369-370,371-372,373-PB1,378-379,387-PB1, 391-392,393-394,395-PB1,400-401.

SIGNAL MONITOR :- 1-2,1-5,1-6,2-5,2-6,4-8,5-6.

All switches OFF EXCEPT: Dual Select A&B; G&Y Enable; SSM 1,2,4,5,6,8. Minimum Flash = 4 + 2 + 1.

*	CONTROLLER INFORMATION	SHEET *	CHECKS	UMS
*	FOR SITE NO. 585	*	TIMES:	54/124
*	CARISSA MARKEL	*	PERS:	E6/346
*	DATE :- 25-JUL-2019	*	TOTAL:	B2/262
* 1	*****	****		

FLEXILINK PLAN DATA

Interse	ection #	585	State #			Date:	07/25/19	Prep	ared By:	Carissa N	larkel
Interse	ection:	Long Lak	e & Roche	ster			5	City:	Troy		
Hours	of Opera	ation:	7 Days: 24	4 Hours				Appr	oved By:	Rachel Jo	ones
Hours	of Flash	ing:	None								
		PL0	PL1	PL2	PL3	PL4	PL5	PL6	PL7	PL8	1
0	CL		80	120	120						1
1	A		0	0	0						1.
2	В		41	65	65						1
3	С										1
4	D	1									1
5	E]
6	F]
7	G]
8	R-			14]
9	R+										1
10	Of (Y-)		36	72	77]
11	Y+	С]
12	Z-]
13	Z+]
14	Q-]
15	Q+							1]
16	XH]
17	XI										1

NOTE: Stages with 1 second of phase time are skipped. Blank entries are default values equal to 0. Except for an AWA controller, entries #8 to #15 (=254) and 'C' entry means continuous (=255).

								Timers	
Phase	Direction	Min	Max	ECO	Amber	All Red	Gap	Hdwy	Waste
A	Rochester	10.0	80.0	3.0	4.3	2.4	3.0	1.2	10.0
В	Long Lake	8.0	20.0		4.3	2.5	3.0	1.2	10.0
С									
D									
E									(a.
F									
G									

	Day	Hours	Plan#
SC1	14	0:00	1
SC2	8	6:00	2
SC3	8	9:00	1
SC4	8	15:00	3
SC5	8	19:00	1
SC6			
SC7			
SC8			
SC9			
SC10			

Pedestrian Crossing Times

Direction	Walk	CL 1	CL 2
NB Rochester Ped East (Ped 2)	7.0	5.0	3.7
WB Long Lake Ped North (Ped 4)	7.0	22.0	3.8
SB Rochester Ped West (Ped 6)	7.0	5.0	3.7
EB Long Lake Ped South (Ped 8)	7.0	22.0	3.8

Normal Operating Mode

Isolated	Flexilink	Masterlink	Master Isolated	Flexi Isolated
		Х		4.

DAY OF WEEK CODE NUMBER

0	1		1 1	-	T T		
0	End of Schedule	4	WED	8	MON-FRI	12	MON, FRI, SAT
1	SUN	5	THUR	9	MON-SAT	13	SAT,SUN
2	MON	6	FRI	10	TUE,WED,THU	14	EVERY DAY
3	TUE	7	SAT	11	MON,FRI	15	NEVER

Autoscope Output Harness Pins #1 & #20 to Logic Common & Pins #18 & # 37 to +24 VDC CO#585 Camera EIM EIM Output D-Conn Vehicle Detector No. Phase No. Number Switch LED# Harness Pin D-Conn format On Print **Detector Description** (1,2,3,...) Position Pin# (9,10,...) (1,2,..)(1,2,..) WB LONG LAKE L WB LONG LAKE R WB LONG LAKE RT SB ROCHESTER L SB ROCHESTER R SB ROCHESTER RT EB LONG LAKE L EB LONG LAKE R EB LONG LAKE RT NB ROCHESTER L NB ROCHESTER R NB ROCHESTER RT

Autoscope 37-Pin Male Output Harness (33457G2) Wiring

Autoscope 37-Pin Female Input Harness (33457G3) Wiring

EIM		Input	Phase Status	
Switch	EIM	Harness	Input From	Backpanel Terminal Position and Number
Position	LED#	Pin#	+24 VDC	100 1
5	1	29	Phase 8 Green	LS 8 Green 265
5	1	30	Phase 7 Green	
5	1	31	Phase 6 Green	LS 6 Green 243
5	1	32	Phase 5 Green	
5	1	33	Phase 4 Green	LS 4 Green 221
5	1	34	Phase 3 Green	
5	1	35	Phase 2 Green	LS 2 Green 199
5	1	36	Phase 1 Green	
6	2	10	Phase 8 Red	LS 8 Red 261
6	2	11	Phase 7 Red	
6	2	12	Phase 6 Red	LS 6 Red 239
6	2	13	Phase 5 Red	
6	2	14	Phase 4 Red	LS 4 Red 217
6	2	15	Phase 3 Red	
6	2	16	Phase 2 Red	LS 2 Red 195
6	2	17	Phase 1 Red	



SEE DETAIL "B-3" INSTALL (2) PUSHBUTTONS ON EX. STEEL POLE FOR CROSSING ROCHESTER & LONG LAKE ROAD - EX. 40' STEEL POLE & FOUNDATION POCH 34' MENT - INSTALL HANDHOLE Movement Diagram 61 111 4 15 12-A 2 R POCH 30' SEE DETAIL "B-3" INSTALL HANDHOLE (SQUARE) FIT-UP STEEL POLE AS T.S. CABLE POLE - 3-4" INSTALL CONTROLLER & FOUNDATION INSTALL (1) PUSHBUTTON & SIGN ON STEEL POLE FOR CROSSING LONG LAKE ROAD INSTALL 40' STEEL POLE & FOUNDATION POCH 30.5' INSTALL 40' STEEL POLE & FOUNDATION

POCH 34'

INSTALL (2) PUSHBUTTONS ON EX. FUTURE 25.91 R.O.W. ROCHESTER & LONG LAKE ROAD

> 980 SEE DETAIL "B-3"

Level of Service Criteria for Stop Sign Controlled Intersections

The level of service criteria are given in Exhibit 20-2. As used here, control delay is defined as the total elapsed time from the time a vehicle stops at the end of the queue until the vehicle departs from the stop line; this time includes the time required for the vehicle to travel from the last-in-queue position to the first-in-queue position, including deceleration of vehicles from free-flow speed to the speed of vehicles in queue.

V@ Aaæ & Aaæ $A_{a} = A_{a} = A_{a} + A_{a} +$

LEVEL OF SERVICE	AVERAGE CONTROL DELAY (sec/veh)
А	<u>≤</u> 10
В	> 10 and <u><</u> 15
С	> 15 and <u><</u> 25
D	> 25 and <u><</u> 35
E	> 35 and <u><</u> 50
F	> 50

Exhibit 20-2. Level of Service Criteria for Stop-Controlled Intersections (Motor Vehciles)

Average total delay less than 10 sec/veh is defined as Level of Service (LOS) A. Follow-up times of less than 5 sec have been measured when there is no conflicting traffic for a minor street movement, so control delays of less than 10 sec/veh are appropriate for low flow conditions. A total delay of 50 sec/veh is assumed as the break point between LOS E and F.

V@AŠUÙÁ&ᢦæÁţ¦ÁAY ÙÔÁġ ¢¦•^&qi}•Åsqā} Ásiā~¦Á[{ ^, @æÁ[{ Ás@Akiæ^¦æÁ*•^åÁşiÁÔ@ej ¢¦Á;JÁ[¦Á •að}ædā^åÁg ¢¦•^&qi}•Asqi}•Êj, ¦aj æda Ási^&e^AA*AjA', ko] qi}•Ásiā~¦Áse{ [}*Ásiæ}•a] ['œædi} Áædiðič Ác] ^•ÈÁV@Á ^¢]^&œædi} Ási Ás@ædiÁa ð}ædiå Åsi^&e^+^&ei} } Åsi Ási^*aj ^åÁgi Asi Asi *'^æA*¦Ás^|æÁ@ædiÁa ð} eiði } ædiá ^åAsi ¢'!•^&qi} } ĚXOEd ditionally, several driver behavior considerations combine to make delays at signalized intersections less onerous than at unsignalized intersections. For example, drivers at signalized intersections are able to relax during the red interval, where drivers on the minor approaches to unsignalized intersections must remain attentive to the task of identifying acceptable gaps and vehicle conflicts. Also, there is often much more variability in the amount of delay experienced by individual drivers at unsignalized than signalized intersections. For these reasons, it is considered that the total delay threshold for any given level of service is less for an unsignalized intersection than for a signalized intersection.

LOS F exists when there are insufficient gaps of suitable size to allow a side street demand to cross safely through a major street traffic stream. This level of service is generally evident from extremely long total delays experienced by side street traffic and by queueing on the minor approaches. The method, however, is based on a constant critical gap size - that is, the critical gap remains constant, no matter how long the side street motorist waits. LOS F may also appear in the form of side street vehicles' selecting smaller-than-usual gaps. In such cases, safety may be a problem and some disruption to the major traffic stream may result. It is important to note that LOS F may not always result in long queues but may result in adjustments to normal gap acceptance behavior. The latter is more difficult to observe on the field than queueing, which is more obvious.

Source: Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition. Transportation Research Board, National Research Council

Level of Service for Signalized Intersections

Level of service for signalized intersections is defined in terms of delay, which is a measure of driver discomfort and frustration, fuel consumption, and lost travel time. LOS can be characterized for the entire intersection, each intersection approach, and each lane group. Specifically, level-of-service (LOS) criteria are stated in terms of the average stopped delay per vehicle. The criteria are given in Exhibit 19-8. Delay may be measured in the field or estimated using procedures presented later in this chapter. Delay is a complex measure and is dependent on a number of variables, including the quality of progression, the cycle length, the green ratio, and the v/c ratio for the lane group in question.

LOS A describes operations with a control delay of 10 s/veh or less. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is low and either progression is extremely favorable or the cycle length is very short. If LOS A is the result of favorable progression, most vehicles arrive during a green indication and travel through the intersection without stopping.

LOS B describes operations with control delay between 10 and 20 s/veh. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is low and either progression is highly favorable or the cycle length is short. More vehicles stop than with LOS A.

LEVEL OF SERVICE	STOPPED DELAY PER VEHICLE (SEC)
A	<u>≤</u> 10.0
В	> 10.0 and <u><</u> 20.0
С	> 20.0 and <u><</u> 35.0
D	> 35.0 and <u><</u> 55.0
E	> 55.0 and <u><</u> 80.0
F	>80.0

Exhibit 19.8. Level-of-Service Criteria for Signalized Intersections (Motorized Vehicles)

1. If the v/c ratio for a lane group exceeds 1.0, a LOS F is assigned to the individual lane group. LOS for approach-based and intersection-wide assessments are determined solely by the control delay.

LOS C describes operations with control delay between 20 and 35 s/veh. This level is typically assigned when progression is favorable or the cycle length is moderate. Individual *cycle failures* (i.e. one or more queued vehicles are not able to depart as a result of insufficient capacity during the cycle) may begin to appear at this level. The number if vehicle stopping is significant, although many vehicles still pass through the intersection without stopping.

LOS D describes operations with control delay between 35 and 55 s/veh. This level is typically assigned when when the volume-to-capacity ratio is high and either progression is ineffective or the cycle length is long. Many vehicles stop and individual cycle failures are noticeable.

LOS E describes operations with control delay between 55 and 80 s/veh. This level is typically assigned when when the volume-to-capacity ratio is high, progression is unfavorable, and the cycle length is long. Individual cycle failures are frequent.

LOS F describes operations with control delay exceeding 80 s/veh or a volume-to-capacity ratio greater than 1.0. This level, considered to be unacceptable to most drivers, often occurs with over-saturation, that is, when arrival flow rates exceed the capacity of the intersection. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is high, progression is very poor, and the cycle length is long. Most cycles fail to clear the queue.

Source: Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition. Transportation Research Board, National Research Council

1.8

Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations			1		4		۲.	∱ î≽		۲.	^	1
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	28	4	0	2	7	1384	6	2	1924	3
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	28	4	0	2	7	1384	6	2	1924	3
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	0	-	-	-	500	-	-	500	-	450
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	83	83	83	60	60	60	83	83	83	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	5	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	0	0	34	7	0	3	8	1667	7	2	2091	3

Major/Minor	Minor2		l	Minor1			Major1		N	/lajor2				
Conflicting Flow All	-	-	1046	2737	3785	837	2094	0	0	1674	0	0		
Stage 1	-	-	-	1687	1687	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	-	-	-	1050	2098	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy	-	-	6.9	7.5	6.5	6.9	4.2	-	-	4.14	-	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	6.5	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	6.5	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	3.3	3.5	4	3.3	2.25	-	-	2.22	-	-		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	0	0	*288	*10	*0	314	*423	-	-	379	-	-		
Stage 1	0	0	-	*100	*151	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	0	0	-	*272	*238	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Platoon blocked, %			1	1	1		1	-	-		-	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	*288	*8	*0	314	*423	-	-	379	-	-		
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	*8	*0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 1	-	-	-	*98	*148	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	-	-	-	*239	*237	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Approach	FB			WB			NB			SB				
HCM Control Delay s	19.2			\$ 605			0.1			0				
HCMLOS	C			F			•••			•				
	Ū													
Minor Lano/Major Myn	at	NDI	NDT			MDI n1	CDI	СРТ	CDD					
Consoity (voh/h)	IIL	* 402	NDT	NDN	200 200	10	270	001	JUIN					
		423	-	-	200 0 117	0 022	0.006	-	-					
HCM Control Doloy (a)	۱	12.7	-	-	10.2	0.000	14.6	-	-					
HCM Long LOS)	13.7 D	-	-	19.2	φ 000 Ε	14.0 D	-	-					
HCM 05th 9/ tile O(yeh			-	-	0.4	Г 10		-	-					
)	0.1	-	-	0.4	1.0	U	-	-					
Notes														
~: Volume exceeds ca	pacity	\$: De	lay exc	eeds 30)0s -	+: Com	putation	Not De	fined	*: All r	najor volu	me in pla	atoon	

	≯	-	\rightarrow	•	-	*	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		^	1					^	1		^	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	524	288	0	0	0	0	1133	253	0	1641	0
Future Volume (vph)	0	524	288	0	0	0	0	1133	253	0	1641	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000
Total Lost time (s)		6.7	6.7					9.8	9.8		6.8	
Lane Util. Factor		0.95	1.00					0.95	1.00		0.95	
Frt		1.00	0.85					1.00	0.85		1.00	
Flt Protected		1.00	1.00					1.00	1.00		1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		3689	1650					3619	1619		3762	
Flt Permitted		1.00	1.00					1.00	1.00		1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		3689	1650					3619	1619		3762	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.90	0.90	0.90
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	570	313	0	0	0	0	1333	298	0	1823	0
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	37	0	0	0	0	0	92	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	570	276	0	0	0	0	1333	206	0	1823	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	5%	5%	5%	1%	1%	1%
Turn Type		NA	Perm					NA	Perm		NA	
Protected Phases		4						2			6	
Permitted Phases			4						2			
Actuated Green, G (s)		48.3	48.3					55.2	55.2		58.2	
Effective Green, g (s)		48.3	48.3					55.2	55.2		58.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.40	0.40					0.46	0.46		0.49	
Clearance Time (s)		6.7	6.7					9.8	9.8		6.8	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0	3.0					3.0	3.0		3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		1484	664					1664	744		1824	
v/s Ratio Prot		0.15						0.37			c0.48	
v/s Ratio Perm			c0.17						0.13			
v/c Ratio		0.38	0.42					0.80	0.28		1.00	
Uniform Delay, d1		25.3	25.7					27.7	20.1		30.9	
Progression Factor		0.67	0.60					1.00	1.00		0.04	
Incremental Delay, d2		0.2	0.4					4.2	0.9		6.2	
Delay (s)		17.2	15.8					31.9	21.0		7.5	
Level of Service		В	В					С	С		А	
Approach Delay (s)		16.7			0.0			29.9			7.5	
Approach LOS		В			A			С			A	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			17.8	Н	CM 2000	Level of \$	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacit	y ratio		0.76									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			120.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			16.5			
Intersection Capacity Utilizatio	n		91.2%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			F			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

	≯	-	\mathbf{r}	1	+	•	1	Ť	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations					^	1		^			† †	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	0	1308	229	0	1133	0	0	1641	222
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	0	1308	229	0	1133	0	0	1641	222
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000
Total Lost time (s)					6.7	6.7		6.8			9.8	9.8
Lane Util. Factor					0.95	1.00		0.95			0.95	1.00
Frt					1.00	0.85		1.00			1.00	0.85
Flt Protected					1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)					3725	1667		3619			3762	1683
Flt Permitted					1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)					3725	1667		3619			3762	1683
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.90	0.90	0.90
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	1615	283	0	1333	0	0	1823	247
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	37	0	0	0	0	0	18
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	1615	246	0	1333	0	0	1823	229
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	5%	5%	5%	1%	1%	1%
Turn Type					NA	Perm		NA			NA	Perm
Protected Phases					8			6			2	
Permitted Phases						8						2
Actuated Green, G (s)					48.3	48.3		58.2			55.2	55.2
Effective Green, g (s)					48.3	48.3		58.2			55.2	55.2
Actuated g/C Ratio					0.40	0.40		0.49			0.46	0.46
Clearance Time (s)					6.7	6.7		6.8			9.8	9.8
Vehicle Extension (s)					3.0	3.0		3.0			3.0	3.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)					1499	670		1755			1730	774
v/s Ratio Prot					c0.43			0.37			c0.48	
v/s Ratio Perm						0.15						0.14
v/c Ratio					1.08	0.37		0.76			1.05	0.30
Uniform Delay, d1					35.9	25.1		25.2			32.4	20.2
Progression Factor					1.00	1.00		0.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2					47.2	0.3		1.8			37.4	1.0
Delay (s)					83.0	25.5		1.8			69.8	21.2
Level of Service					F	С		А			Е	С
Approach Delay (s)		0.0			74.4			1.8			64.0	
Approach LOS		Α			E			А			E	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			52.1	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		D			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity	ratio		1.06									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			120.0	S	um of los	t time (s)			16.5			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	า		91.2%	IC	CU Level of	of Service			F			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

> → ← < > √

Movement	ERI	ERT	W/RT		SBI	CRD		
	EDL		VVDI	VVDN		SDR		
	0	TŤ	0	0	1	0		
Future Volume (vpn)	0	594 604	0	0	210 210	0		
Future volume (vpn)	2000	2000	2000	2000	210	2000		
Total Lost time (a)	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000		
Long Litil Easter		0.05			5.4 1.00			
		0.95			1.00			
FIL Elt Drotostad		1.00			0.05			
Satd Elow (prot)		3680			1963			
Elt Permitted		1 00			0.05			
Satd Flow (perm)		3680			1863			
Deak hour factor, DUE	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.96	0.96		
	0.04	0.04	0.92	0.92	0.00	0.00		
Auj. FIUW (VPII)	0	101	0	0	200	0		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	707	0	0	177	0		
	3%	20/	2%	2%	2%	2%		
Turn Turn	J /0	570 NIA	∠ /0	2/0	Z /0	Ζ/0		
Protected Phases								
Permitted Phases		2			4			
Actuated Green G (s)		51 1			54.6			
Effective Green a (s)		54.4			54.6			
Actuated a/C Ratio		0.45			0.46			
Clearance Time (s)		5.6			54			
Vehicle Extension (s)		0.0			0.4			
Lane Grn Can (unh)		1672			8/7			
v/s Ratio Prot		c0 10			c0 00			
v/s Ratio Perm		00.19			00.03			
v/c Ratio		0.42			0.21			
Uniform Delay, d1		22.42			19.7			
Progression Factor		1 00			1 87			
Incremental Delay d2		0.8			0.2			
Delay (s)		23.0			36.9			
Level of Service		 C			D			
Approach Delay (s)		23.0	0.0		36.9			
Approach LOS		C	A		D			
Intersection Summary								
HCM 2000 Control Delay			26.6	H	CM 2000	Level of Servic	<u>_</u>	C
HCM 2000 Volume to Canacity	ratio		0.32	11	2000		J	0
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			120.0	S	um of lost	t time (s)		11.0
Intersection Capacity Utilization			58.1%			of Service		R
Analysis Period (min)			15			0.001100		U
c Critical Lane Group			10					

Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh	0						
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations	_ ^ ↑₽		<u>ک</u>	- 11	Y		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	594	0	0	1312	0	0	
Future Vol, veh/h	594	0	0	1312	0	0	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	-	-	150	-	0	-	
Veh in Median Storage,	,# 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	646	0	0	1426	0	0	

Major/Minor	Major1	ľ	Major2	1	Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	646	0	1359	323
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	646	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	713	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.14	-	6.84	6.94
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.84	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.84	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.22	-	3.52	3.32
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	935	-	140	673
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	484	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	447	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	935	-	140	673
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	276	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	484	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	447	-
Approach	EB		WB		NB	
HCM Control Delay, s	0		0		0	
HCM LOS	•		•		A	
			EDT			WDT
Minor Lane/Major Mvr	nt	NBLn1	FRI	ERK	WBL	WBI
Capacity (veh/h)		-	-	-	935	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	,	-	-	-	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s	5)	0	-	-	0	-
HCM Lane LOS		A	-	-	A	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(ver	ו)	-	-	-	0	-
23

Intersection

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations			1		\$		ľ	∱ î,		1	1	1
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	21	5	0	18	14	2055	9	9	1436	4
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	21	5	0	18	14	2055	9	9	1436	4
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	0	-	-	-	500	-	-	500	-	450
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	63	63	63	60	60	60	93	93	93	95	95	95
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
Mvmt Flow	0	0	33	8	0	30	15	2210	10	9	1512	4

Major/Minor	Minor2		1	Minor1		1	Major1		N	/lajor2				
Conflicting Flow All	-	-	756	3019	3779	1110	1516	0	0	2220	0	0		
Stage 1	-	-	-	2245	2245	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	-	-	-	774	1534	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy	-	-	6.9	7.5	6.5	6.9	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	6.5	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	6.5	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	3.3	3.5	4	3.3	2.21	-	-	2.21	-	-		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	0	0	*493	*~ 3	*0	207	*738	-	-	235	-	-		
Stage 1	0	0	-	*44	*79	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	0	0	-	*465	*407	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Platoon blocked, %			1	1	1		1	-	-		-	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	*493	*~ 2	*0	207	*738	-	-	235	-	-		
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	*~ 2	*0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 1	-	-	-	*43	*77	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	-	-	-	*417	*392	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Annroach	ED			\\/D			ND			СD				
Approach	10.0		¢ (000 E						0.1				
HCM LOS	IZ.0		φı	2200.0			0.1			0.1				
	Б			Г										
Minor Lane/Major Mvr	nt	NBL	NBT	NBR I	EBLn1V	VBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR					
Capacity (veh/h)		* 738	-	-	493	9	235	-	-					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.02	-	-	0.068	4.259	0.04	-	-					
HCM Control Delay (s	;)	10	-	-	12.82	2280.5	21	-	-					
HCM Lane LOS		А	-	-	В	F	С	-	-					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	ר)	0.1	-	-	0.2	6	0.1	-	-					
Notes														
~: Volume exceeds ca	apacity	\$: De	lay exc	eeds 30)0s -	+: Com	outation	Not De	fined	*: All r	najor volu	ime in p	latoon	

	≯	-	\rightarrow	1	+	*	٠	Ť	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		^	1					^	1		^	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	1269	235	0	0	0	0	1596	477	0	1214	0
Future Volume (vph)	0	1269	235	0	0	0	0	1596	477	0	1214	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000
Total Lost time (s)		6.7	6.7					9.8	9.8		6.8	
Lane Util. Factor		0.95	1.00					0.95	1.00		0.95	
Frt		1.00	0.85					1.00	0.85		1.00	
Flt Protected		1.00	1.00					1.00	1.00		1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		3725	1667					3762	1683		3762	
Flt Permitted		1.00	1.00					1.00	1.00		1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		3725	1667					3762	1683		3762	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.95	0.95	0.95
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	1426	264	0	0	0	0	1735	518	0	1278	0
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	38	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	1426	226	0	0	0	0	1735	500	0	1278	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Turn Type		NA	Perm					NA	Perm		NA	
Protected Phases		4						2			6	
Permitted Phases			4						2			
Actuated Green, G (s)		46.3	46.3					57.2	57.2		60.2	
Effective Green, g (s)		46.3	46.3					57.2	57.2		60.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.39	0.39					0.48	0.48		0.50	
Clearance Time (s)		6.7	6.7					9.8	9.8		6.8	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0	3.0					3.0	3.0		3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		1437	643					1793	802		1887	
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.38						c0.46			0.34	
v/s Ratio Perm			0.14						0.30			
v/c Ratio		0.99	0.35					0.97	0.62		0.68	
Uniform Delay, d1		36.7	26.2					30.5	23.4		22.6	
Progression Factor		0.62	0.57					1.00	1.00		0.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		19.3	0.3					14.9	3.6		1.4	
Delay (s)		42.1	15.3					45.4	27.0		1.4	
Level of Service		D	В					D	С		А	
Approach Delay (s)		37.9			0.0			41.1			1.4	
Approach LOS		D			А			D			А	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			30.4	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity	ratio		0.98									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			120.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			16.5			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	n		89.0%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			E			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

	≯	-	\mathbf{F}	1	+	•	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations					^	1		^			^	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	0	684	228	0	1596	0	0	1214	256
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	0	684	228	0	1596	0	0	1214	256
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000
Total Lost time (s)					6.7	6.7		6.8			9.8	9.8
Lane Util. Factor					0.95	1.00		0.95			0.95	1.00
Frt					1.00	0.85		1.00			1.00	0.85
Flt Protected					1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)					3762	1683		3762			3762	1683
Flt Permitted					1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)					3762	1683		3762			3762	1683
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.95	0.95	0.95
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	720	240	0	1735	0	0	1278	269
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	38	0	0	0	0	0	48
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	720	202	0	1735	0	0	1278	221
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Turn Type					NA	Perm		NA			NA	Perm
Protected Phases					8			6			2	
Permitted Phases						8						2
Actuated Green, G (s)					46.3	46.3		60.2			57.2	57.2
Effective Green, g (s)					46.3	46.3		60.2			57.2	57.2
Actuated g/C Ratio					0.39	0.39		0.50			0.48	0.48
Clearance Time (s)					6.7	6.7		6.8			9.8	9.8
Vehicle Extension (s)					3.0	3.0		3.0			3.0	3.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)					1451	649		1887			1793	802
v/s Ratio Prot					c0.19			c0.46			0.34	
v/s Ratio Perm						0.12						0.13
v/c Ratio					0.50	0.31		0.92			0.71	0.28
Uniform Delay, d1					28.0	25.7		27.7			24.9	18.9
Progression Factor					1.00	1.00		0.02			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2					0.3	0.3		2.9			2.4	0.9
Delay (s)					28.3	26.0		3.6			27.3	19.8
Level of Service					С	С		А			С	В
Approach Delay (s)		0.0			27.7			3.6			26.0	
Approach LOS		А			С			А			С	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			17.2	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity	/ ratio		0.76									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			120.0	S	um of los	t time (s)			16.5			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	n		89.0%	IC	CU Level of	of Service			E			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR			
Lane Configurations		**			3				
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	1251	0	0	253	0			
Future Volume (vph)	0	1251	0	0	253	0			
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000			
Total Lost time (s)	2000	5.6	2000	2000	5.4	2000			
Lane Util Factor		0.95			1 00				
Frt		1 00			1.00				
Flt Protected		1.00			0.95				
Satd, Flow (prot)		3725			1881				
Flt Permitted		1.00			0.95				
Satd. Flow (perm)		3725			1881				
Peak-hour factor PHF	0.94	0.94	0.92	0.92	0.95	0.95			
Adi, Flow (vph)	0.01	1331	0.02	0.02	266	0			
RTOR Reduction (vph)	Ő	0	Ő	Ő	19	0 0			
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	1331	0	0	247	0			
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%			
Turn Type		NA	_,,	_,,	Prot	.,.			
Protected Phases		2			3				
Permitted Phases		-			v				
Actuated Green G (s)		614			47 6				
Effective Green, g (s)		61.4			47.6				
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.51			0.40				
Clearance Time (s)		5.6			5.4				
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0			3.0				
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		1905			746				
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.36			c0.13				
v/s Ratio Perm									
v/c Ratio		0.70			0.33				
Uniform Delay, d1		22.3			25.1				
Progression Factor		1.00			1.36				
Incremental Delay, d2		2.2			1.1				
Delay (s)		24.4			35.2				
Level of Service		С			D				
Approach Delay (s)		24.4	0.0		35.2				
Approach LOS		С	А		D				
Intersection Summary									
HCM 2000 Control Delav			26.2	H	CM 2000	Level of Servi	се	С	
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity	ratio		0.54					-	
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			120.0	Si	um of los	t time (s)		11.0	
Intersection Capacity Utilization			58.9%	IC	U Level	of Service		B	
Analysis Period (min)			15						
c Critical Lane Group									

Int Delay, s/veh	0						
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations	_ ≜ î≽		- ሽ	- 11	- ¥		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1251	0	0	687	0	0	
Future Vol, veh/h	1251	0	0	687	0	0	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	-	-	150	-	0	-	
Veh in Median Storage	,# 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	94	94	95	95	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	3	3	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	1331	0	0	723	0	0	

Major/Minor	Major1	1	Major2	ſ	Minor1		
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	1331	0	1693	666	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	1331	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	362	-	
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.14	-	6.84	6.94	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.84	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.84	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.22	-	3.52	3.32	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	514	-	84	402	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	211	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	675	-	
Platoon blocked, %	-	-		-			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	514	-	84	402	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	172	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	211	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	675	-	
Approach	EB		WB		NB		
HCM Control Delay, s	0		0		0		
HCM LOS					А		
Minor Lane/Major Mvr	nt	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	
Capacity (veh/h)		-	-	-	514	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-	-	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	0	-	-	0	-	
HCM Lane LOS	,	A	-	-	A	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	ו)	-	-	-	0	-	

Intersection

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations			1		4		۲	∱ î≽		۲	^	1
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	29	4	0	2	7	1419	6	2	1973	3
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	29	4	0	2	7	1419	6	2	1973	3
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	0	-	-	-	500	-	-	500	-	450
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	83	83	83	60	60	60	83	83	83	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	5	2	2	2
M∨mt Flow	0	0	35	7	0	3	8	1710	7	2	2145	3

Major/Minor	Minor2		1	Minor1			Major1		Ν	/lajor2				
Conflicting Flow All	-	-	1073	2807	3882	859	2148	0	0	1717	0	0		
Stage 1	-	-	-	1730	1730	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	-	-	-	1077	2152	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy	-	-	6.9	7.5	6.5	6.9	4.2	-	-	4.14	-	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	6.5	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	6.5	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	3.3	3.5	4	3.3	2.25	-	-	2.22	-	-		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	0	0	*262	*~ 6	*0	304	*385	-	-	365	-	-		
Stage 1	0	0	-	*94	*144	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	0	0	-	*248	*217	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Platoon blocked, %			1	1	1		1	-	-		-	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver		-	*262	*~ 5	*0	304	*385	-	-	365	-	-		
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver		-	-	*~ 5	*0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 1	-	-	-	*92	*141	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	-	-	-	*213	*216	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB				
HCM Control Delay, s	20.8		\$ [^]	198.7			0.1			0				
HCM LOS	С			F			-			-				
Minor Lane/Maior Mv	mt	NBL	NBT	NBR I	EBLn1V	VBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR					
Capacity (veh/h)	-	* 385	-	-	262	7	365	-	-					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.022	-	-	0.133	1.429	0.006	-	-					
HCM Control Delay (s	5)	14.6	-	-	20.8	1198.7	14.9	-	-					
HCM Lane LOS)	В	-	-	C	F	В	-	-					
HCM 95th %tile Q(vel	h)	0.1	-	-	0.5	2.1	0	-	-					
Notes														
~: Volume exceeds ca	apacity	\$: De	lay exc	eeds 30)0s	+: Com	outation	Not De	fined	*: All r	najor volu	me in p	atoon	

	۶	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	+	*	٩.	Ť	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		^	1					^	1		^	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	538	295	0	0	0	0	1162	259	0	1683	0
Future Volume (vph)	0	538	295	0	0	0	0	1162	259	0	1683	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000
Total Lost time (s)		6.7	6.7					9.8	9.8		6.8	
Lane Util. Factor		0.95	1.00					0.95	1.00		0.95	
Frt		1.00	0.85					1.00	0.85		1.00	
Flt Protected		1.00	1.00					1.00	1.00		1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		3689	1650					3619	1619		3762	
Flt Permitted		1.00	1.00					1.00	1.00		1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		3689	1650					3619	1619		3762	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.90	0.90	0.90
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	585	321	0	0	0	0	1367	305	0	1870	0
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	37	0	0	0	0	0	87	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	585	284	0	0	0	0	1367	218	0	1870	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	5%	5%	5%	1%	1%	1%
Turn Type		NA	Perm					NA	Perm		NA	
Protected Phases		4						2			6	
Permitted Phases			4						2			
Actuated Green, G (s)		48.3	48.3					55.2	55.2		58.2	
Effective Green, g (s)		48.3	48.3					55.2	55.2		58.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.40	0.40					0.46	0.46		0.49	
Clearance Time (s)		6.7	6.7					9.8	9.8		6.8	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0	3.0					3.0	3.0		3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		1484	664					1664	744		1824	
v/s Ratio Prot		0.16						0.38			c0.50	
v/s Ratio Perm			c0.17						0.13			
v/c Ratio		0.39	0.43					0.82	0.29		1.03	
Uniform Delay, d1		25.5	25.9					28.1	20.2		30.9	
Progression Factor		0.67	0.60					1.00	1.00		0.04	
Incremental Delay, d2		0.2	0.4					4.7	1.0		14.2	
Delay (s)		17.3	15.9					32.8	21.2		15.6	
Level of Service		В	В					С	С		В	
Approach Delay (s)		16.8			0.0			30.7			15.6	
Approach LOS		В			А			С			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			21.5	Н	CM 2000	Level of	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity	y ratio		0.78									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			120.0	S	um of lost	t time (s)			16.5			
Intersection Capacity Utilizatio	n		93.2%	IC	CU Level o	of Service	•		F			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

	≯	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	1	+	*	1	Ť	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations					^	1		^			† †	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	0	1341	235	0	1162	0	0	1683	228
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	0	1341	235	0	1162	0	0	1683	228
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000
Total Lost time (s)					6.7	6.7		6.8			9.8	9.8
Lane Util. Factor					0.95	1.00		0.95			0.95	1.00
Frt					1.00	0.85		1.00			1.00	0.85
Flt Protected					1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)					3725	1667		3619			3762	1683
Flt Permitted					1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)					3725	1667		3619			3762	1683
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.90	0.90	0.90
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	1656	290	0	1367	0	0	1870	253
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	37	0	0	0	0	0	18
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	1656	253	0	1367	0	0	1870	235
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	5%	5%	5%	1%	1%	1%
Turn Type					NA	Perm		NA			NA	Perm
Protected Phases					8			6			2	
Permitted Phases						8						2
Actuated Green, G (s)					48.3	48.3		58.2			55.2	55.2
Effective Green, g (s)					48.3	48.3		58.2			55.2	55.2
Actuated g/C Ratio					0.40	0.40		0.49			0.46	0.46
Clearance Time (s)					6.7	6.7		6.8			9.8	9.8
Vehicle Extension (s)					3.0	3.0		3.0			3.0	3.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)					1499	670		1755			1730	774
v/s Ratio Prot					c0.44			0.38			c0.50	
v/s Ratio Perm						0.15						0.14
v/c Ratio					1.10	0.38		0.78			1.08	0.30
Uniform Delay, d1					35.9	25.3		25.6			32.4	20.3
Progression Factor					1.00	1.00		0.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2					57.5	0.4		1.9			47.1	1.0
Delay (s)					93.4	25.6		1.9			79.5	21.3
Level of Service					F	С		Α			E	С
Approach Delay (s)		0.0			83.3			1.9			72.6	
Approach LOS		A			F			A			E	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			58.7	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		E			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity	/ ratio		1.09									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			120.0	S	um of los	t time (s)			16.5			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	n		93.2%	IC	CU Level	of Service			F			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

۰ ٠ ┛ Movement EBL EBT WBT WBR SBL SBR **†**† Lane Configurations ٦ Traffic Volume (vph) 0 609 0 0 224 0 Future Volume (vph) 0 609 0 0 224 0 Ideal Flow (vphpl) 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 Total Lost time (s) 5.6 5.4 Lane Util. Factor 0.95 1.00 1.00 1.00 Frt Flt Protected 1.00 0.95 Satd. Flow (prot) 3689 1863 Flt Permitted 1.00 0.95 Satd. Flow (perm) 3689 1863 0.84 0.92 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.84 0.92 0.86 0.86 Adj. Flow (vph) 0 725 0 0 260 0 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 0 72 0 Lane Group Flow (vph) 0 725 0 0 188 0 2% 3% 2% Heavy Vehicles (%) 3% 2% 2% Turn Type NA Prot Protected Phases 2 4 Permitted Phases 54.4 54.6 Actuated Green, G (s) Effective Green, g (s) 54.4 54.6 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.45 0.46 Clearance Time (s) 5.6 5.4 Vehicle Extension (s) 0.2 0.2 Lane Grp Cap (vph) 1672 847 v/s Ratio Prot c0.20 c0.10 v/s Ratio Perm 0.22 v/c Ratio 0.43 Uniform Delay, d1 22.3 19.8 Progression Factor 1.00 1.74 Incremental Delay, d2 0.8 0.1 Delay (s) 23.1 34.6 Level of Service С С 23.1 0.0 34.6 Approach Delay (s) Approach LOS С А С

Intersection Summary				
HCM 2000 Control Delay	26.2	HCM 2000 Level of Service	С	
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio	0.33			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	120.0	Sum of lost time (s)	11.0	
Intersection Capacity Utilization	59.3%	ICU Level of Service	В	
Analysis Period (min)	15			
c Critical Lane Group				

Int Delay, s/veh	0						
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations	_ ^ ↑₽		٦	- 11	Y		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	609	0	0	1345	0	0	
Future Vol, veh/h	609	0	0	1345	0	0	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	-	-	150	-	0	-	
Veh in Median Storage,	,# 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	84	84	86	86	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	3	3	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	725	0	0	1564	0	0	

Major/Minor	Major1		Major2	ľ	Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	C	0 0	725	0	1507	363
Stage 1	-			-	725	-
Stage 2				-	782	-
Critical Hdwy	-		4.14	-	6.84	6.94
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-			-	5.84	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-			-	5.84	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-		2.22	-	3.52	3.32
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-		874	-	112	634
Stage 1	-			-	440	-
Stage 2	-	· ·		-	411	-
Platoon blocked, %	-		-	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	•		- 874	-	112	634
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver		· ·		-	245	-
Stage 1		· ·		-	440	-
Stage 2	-			-	411	-
Approach	EB	}	WB		NB	
HCM Control Delay, s	; C)	0		0	
HCM LOS					А	
Minor Lane/Maior My	mt	NRI n1	FBT	FBR	WBI	WRT
Canacity (veh/h)		NDEIT			87/	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio					0/4	
HCM Control Delay (s	:)	0		_	0	_
HCM Lane LOS	,	Δ	_	_	Δ	_
HCM 95th %tile Q(vel	h)	-	. <u>-</u>	-	0	-

Intersection

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations			1		\$		ľ	∱î ≽		1	1	1
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	22	5	0	18	14	2107	9	9	1473	4
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	22	5	0	18	14	2107	9	9	1473	4
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	0	-	-	-	500	-	-	500	-	450
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	63	63	63	60	60	60	93	93	93	95	95	95
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
M∨mt Flow	0	0	35	8	0	30	15	2266	10	9	1551	4

Major/Minor	Minor2		1	Minor1			Major1		ľ	/lajor2				
Conflicting Flow All	-	-	776	3095	3874	1138	1555	0	0	2276	0	0		
Stage 1	-	-	-	2301	2301	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	-	-	-	794	1573	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy	-	-	6.9	7.5	6.5	6.9	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	6.5	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	6.5	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	3.3	3.5	4	3.3	2.21	-	-	2.21	-	-		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	0	0	*468	*~ 2	*0	198	*699	-	-	224	-	-		
Stage 1	0	0	-	*40	*74	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	0	0	-	*441	*386	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Platoon blocked, %			1	1	1		1	-	-		-	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	*468	*~ 2	*0	198	*699	-	-	224	-	-		
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	*~ 2	*0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 1	-	-	-	*39	*72	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	-	-	-	*392	*371	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Approach	FB			WR			NB			SB				
HCM Control Delay	13.3		\$ 2	2280.5			0.1			0.1				
HCM LOS	- 10.0 R		Ψ 2	-200.5 F			0.1			0.1				
	D			<u>.</u>										
Minor Lane/Major Mvr	nt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1V	VBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR					
Capacity (veh/h)		* 699	-	-	468	9	224	-	-					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.022	-	-	0.075	4.259	0.042	-	-					
HCM Control Delay (s	;)	10.3	-	-	13. \$ 2	2280.5	21.8	-	-					
HCM Lane LOS		В	-	-	В	F	С	-	-					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	ר)	0.1	-	-	0.2	6	0.1	-	-					
Notes														
~: Volume exceeds ca	apacity	\$: De	lay exc	eeds 30)0s -	+: Com	outation	Not De	fined	*: All r	najor volu	me in plato	on	

	≯	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	←	*	1	Ť	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		^	1					^	1		^	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	1301	241	0	0	0	0	1636	489	0	1245	0
Future Volume (vph)	0	1301	241	0	0	0	0	1636	489	0	1245	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000
Total Lost time (s)		6.7	6.7					9.8	9.8		6.8	
Lane Util. Factor		0.95	1.00					0.95	1.00		0.95	
Frt		1.00	0.85					1.00	0.85		1.00	
Flt Protected		1.00	1.00					1.00	1.00		1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		3725	1667					3762	1683		3762	
Flt Permitted		1.00	1.00					1.00	1.00		1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		3725	1667					3762	1683		3762	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.95	0.95	0.95
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	1462	271	0	0	0	0	1778	532	0	1311	0
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	38	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	1462	233	0	0	0	0	1778	514	0	1311	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Turn Type		NA	Perm					NA	Perm		NA	
Protected Phases		4						2			6	
Permitted Phases			4						2			
Actuated Green, G (s)		46.3	46.3					57.2	57.2		60.2	
Effective Green, g (s)		46.3	46.3					57.2	57.2		60.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.39	0.39					0.48	0.48		0.50	
Clearance Time (s)		6.7	6.7					9.8	9.8		6.8	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0	3.0					3.0	3.0		3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		1437	643					1793	802		1887	
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.39						c0.47			0.35	
v/s Ratio Perm			0.14						0.31			
v/c Ratio		1.02	0.36					0.99	0.64		0.69	
Uniform Delay, d1		36.9	26.3					31.2	23.7		22.9	
Progression Factor		0.63	0.59					1.00	1.00		0.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		25.5	0.3					19.4	3.9		1.4	
Delay (s)		48.6	15.7					50.5	27.6		1.4	
Level of Service		D	В					D	С		Α	
Approach Delay (s)		43.4			0.0			45.2			1.4	
Approach LOS		D			А			D			А	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			33.9	Н	CM 2000	Level of	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity	ratio		1.00									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			120.0	S	um of lost	t time (s)			16.5			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	n		90.9%	IC	CU Level of	of Service	;		E			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

	≯	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	←	*	٩.	Ť	۲	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations					^	1		^			^	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	0	701	234	0	1636	0	0	1245	262
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	0	701	234	0	1636	0	0	1245	262
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000
Total Lost time (s)					6.7	6.7		6.8			9.8	9.8
Lane Util. Factor					0.95	1.00		0.95			0.95	1.00
Frt					1.00	0.85		1.00			1.00	0.85
Flt Protected					1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)					3762	1683		3762			3762	1683
Flt Permitted					1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)					3762	1683		3762			3762	1683
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.95	0.95	0.95
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	738	246	0	1778	0	0	1311	276
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	38	0	0	0	0	0	45
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	738	208	0	1778	0	0	1311	231
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Turn Type					NA	Perm		NA			NA	Perm
Protected Phases					8			6			2	
Permitted Phases						8						2
Actuated Green, G (s)					46.3	46.3		60.2			57.2	57.2
Effective Green, g (s)					46.3	46.3		60.2			57.2	57.2
Actuated g/C Ratio					0.39	0.39		0.50			0.48	0.48
Clearance Time (s)					6.7	6.7		6.8			9.8	9.8
Vehicle Extension (s)					3.0	3.0		3.0			3.0	3.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)					1451	649		1887			1793	802
v/s Ratio Prot					c0.20			c0.47			0.35	
v/s Ratio Perm						0.12						0.14
v/c Ratio					0.51	0.32		0.94			0.73	0.29
Uniform Delay, d1					28.2	25.8		28.3			25.2	19.0
Progression Factor					1.00	1.00		0.03			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2					0.3	0.3		3.3			2.7	0.9
Delay (s)					28.4	26.1		4.2			27.9	20.0
Level of Service					С	С		А			С	В
Approach Delay (s)		0.0			27.9			4.2			26.5	
Approach LOS		А			С			А			С	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			17.7	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity	/ ratio		0.78									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			120.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			16.5			
Intersection Capacity Utilizatio	n		90.9%	IC	CU Level of	of Service			Е			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

٩. ٠ ┛ Movement EBL EBT WBT **WBR** SBL SBR Lane Configurations **†**† ٦ Traffic Volume (vph) 0 1283 0 0 259 0 Future Volume (vph) 0 1283 0 0 259 0 Ideal Flow (vphpl) 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 Total Lost time (s) 5.6 5.4 Lane Util. Factor 0.95 1.00 1.00 Frt 1.00 1.00 0.95 Flt Protected Satd. Flow (prot) 3725 1881 Flt Permitted 1.00 0.95 Satd. Flow (perm) 3725 1881 0.92 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.94 0.94 0.92 0.95 0.95 Adj. Flow (vph) 0 1365 0 0 273 0 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 0 17 0 Lane Group Flow (vph) 0 1365 0 0 256 0 2% 2% 1% Heavy Vehicles (%) 2% 2% 1% Turn Type NA Prot Protected Phases 2 3 Permitted Phases 61.4 47.6 Actuated Green, G (s) Effective Green, g (s) 61.4 47.6 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.51 0.40 Clearance Time (s) 5.6 5.4 Vehicle Extension (s) 3.0 3.0 Lane Grp Cap (vph) 1905 746 v/s Ratio Prot c0.37 c0.14 v/s Ratio Perm v/c Ratio 0.72 0.34 Uniform Delay, d1 22.6 25.3 Progression Factor 1.00 1.34 Incremental Delay, d2 2.3 1.1 24.9 35.0 Delay (s) Level of Service С С 0.0 35.0 Approach Delay (s) 24.9 Approach LOS С А С Intersection Summary HCM 2000 Control Delay С 26.6 HCM 2000 Level of Service HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio 0.55 Actuated Cycle Length (s) 120.0 Sum of lost time (s) 11.0 60.2% Intersection Capacity Utilization ICU Level of Service В Analysis Period (min) 15 c Critical Lane Group

Int Delay, s/veh	0							
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR		
Lane Configurations	- † 12		<u>ار ا</u>	^	۰¥			
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1283	0	0	704	0	0		
Future Vol, veh/h	1283	0	0	704	0	0		
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop		
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None		
Storage Length	-	-	150	-	0	-		
Veh in Median Storage	,# 0	-	-	0	0	-		
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-		
Peak Hour Factor	94	94	95	95	92	92		
Heavy Vehicles, %	3	3	2	2	2	2		
Mvmt Flow	1365	0	0	741	0	0		

Major/Minor	Major1		Major2	I	Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	1365	0	1736	683
Stage 1	-		-	-	1365	-
Stage 2	-	· -	-	-	371	-
Critical Hdwy	-	· -	4.14	-	6.84	6.94
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	· _	-	-	5.84	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-		-	-	5.84	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	· -	2.22	-	3.52	3.32
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-		499	-	79	392
Stage 1	-		-	-	202	-
Stage 2	-		-	-	668	-
Platoon blocked, %	-			-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	· -	499	-	79	392
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	· -	-	-	165	-
Stage 1	-		-	-	202	-
Stage 2	-	· -	-	-	668	-
Approach	EB		\//R		NR	
Approach						
HCM LOS	U		U		0	
					A	
Minor Lane/Major Mvi	nt	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)		-	-	-	499	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-	-	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s	;)	0	-	-	0	-
HCM Lane LOS		A	-	-	А	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(vel	ר)	-	-	-	0	-

Intersection

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations			1		4		۲	∱ î≽		ኘ	^	1
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	51	4	0	2	16	1419	6	2	1973	7
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	51	4	0	2	16	1419	6	2	1973	7
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	0	-	-	-	500	-	-	500	-	450
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	83	83	83	60	60	60	83	83	83	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	5	2	2	2
M∨mt Flow	0	0	61	7	0	3	19	1710	7	2	2145	8

Major/Minor	Minor2		1	Minor1			Major1		Ν	/lajor2				
Conflicting Flow All	-	-	1073	2829	3909	859	2153	0	0	1717	0	0		
Stage 1	-	-	-	1752	1752	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	-	-	-	1077	2157	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy	-	-	6.9	7.5	6.5	6.9	4.2	-	-	4.14	-	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	6.5	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	6.5	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	3.3	3.5	4	3.3	2.25	-	-	2.22	-	-		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	0	0	*262	*~ 5	*0	304	*385	-	-	365	-	-		
Stage 1	0	0	-	*91	*141	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	0	0	-	*248	*217	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Platoon blocked, %			1	1	1		1	-	-		-	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	· -	-	*262	*~ 4	*0	304	*385	-	-	365	-	-		
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	· -	-	-	*~ 4	*0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 1	-	-	-	*87	*134	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	-	-	-	*188	*216	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Annroach	ER			\//R			NR			CB.				
HCM Control Dolay	22.0		¢				0.2			00				
LCM LOS	22.9		φ	1442.4 C			0.2			0				
	U			Г										
Minor Lane/Major Mvr	nt	NBL	NBT	NBR I	EBLn1V	VBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR					
Capacity (veh/h)		* 385	-	-	262	6	365	-	-					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.05	-	-	0.235	1.667	0.006	-	-					
HCM Control Delay (s	;)	14.8	-	-	22. 9 ′	1442.4	14.9	-	-					
HCM Lane LOS		В	-	-	С	F	В	-	-					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	า)	0.2	-	-	0.9	2.2	0	-	-					
Notes														
~: Volume exceeds ca	apacity	\$: De	lay exc	eeds 30)0s ·	+: Com	putation	Not De	fined	*: All r	najor volu	ime in p	latoon	

	≯	-	\rightarrow	4	+	•	1	Ť	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		^	1					^	1		^	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	557	297	0	0	0	0	1162	259	0	1685	0
Future Volume (vph)	0	557	297	0	0	0	0	1162	259	0	1685	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000
Total Lost time (s)		6.7	6.7					9.8	9.8		6.8	
Lane Util. Factor		0.95	1.00					0.95	1.00		0.95	
Frt		1.00	0.85					1.00	0.85		1.00	
Flt Protected		1.00	1.00					1.00	1.00		1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		3689	1650					3619	1619		3762	
Flt Permitted		1.00	1.00					1.00	1.00		1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		3689	1650					3619	1619		3762	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.90	0.90	0.90
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	605	323	0	0	0	0	1367	305	0	1872	0
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	37	0	0	0	0	0	82	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	605	286	0	0	0	0	1367	223	0	1872	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	5%	5%	5%	1%	1%	1%
Turn Type		NA	Perm					NA	Perm		NA	
Protected Phases		4						2			6	
Permitted Phases			4						2			
Actuated Green, G (s)		48.3	48.3					55.2	55.2		58.2	
Effective Green, g (s)		48.3	48.3					55.2	55.2		58.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.40	0.40					0.46	0.46		0.49	
Clearance Time (s)		6.7	6.7					9.8	9.8		6.8	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0	3.0					3.0	3.0		3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		1484	664					1664	744		1824	
v/s Ratio Prot		0.16						0.38			c0.50	
v/s Ratio Perm			c0.17						0.14			
v/c Ratio		0.41	0.43					0.82	0.30		1.03	
Uniform Delay, d1		25.6	25.9					28.1	20.3		30.9	
Progression Factor		0.66	0.59					1.00	1.00		0.04	
Incremental Delay, d2		0.2	0.4					4.7	1.0		14.6	
Delay (s)		17.2	15.7					32.8	21.3		16.0	
Level of Service		В	В					С	С		В	
Approach Delay (s)		16.7			0.0			30.7			16.0	
Approach LOS		В			А			С			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			21.6	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity	/ ratio		0.78									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			120.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			16.5			
Intersection Capacity Utilizatio	n		93.3%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			F			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

	≯	-	\mathbf{F}	1	+	•	1	Ť	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations					^	1		^			† †	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	0	1344	248	0	1162	0	0	1685	231
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	0	1344	248	0	1162	0	0	1685	231
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000
Total Lost time (s)					6.7	6.7		6.8			9.8	9.8
Lane Util. Factor					0.95	1.00		0.95			0.95	1.00
Frt					1.00	0.85		1.00			1.00	0.85
Flt Protected					1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)					3725	1667		3619			3762	1683
Flt Permitted					1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)					3725	1667		3619			3762	1683
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.90	0.90	0.90
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	1659	306	0	1367	0	0	1872	257
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	37	0	0	0	0	0	18
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	1659	269	0	1367	0	0	1872	239
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	5%	5%	5%	1%	1%	1%
Turn Type					NA	Perm		NA			NA	Perm
Protected Phases					8			6			2	
Permitted Phases						8						2
Actuated Green, G (s)					48.3	48.3		58.2			55.2	55.2
Effective Green, g (s)					48.3	48.3		58.2			55.2	55.2
Actuated g/C Ratio					0.40	0.40		0.49			0.46	0.46
Clearance Time (s)					6.7	6.7		6.8			9.8	9.8
Vehicle Extension (s)					3.0	3.0		3.0			3.0	3.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)					1499	670		1755			1730	774
v/s Ratio Prot					c0.45			0.38			c0.50	
v/s Ratio Perm						0.16						0.14
v/c Ratio					1.11	0.40		0.78			1.08	0.31
Uniform Delay, d1					35.9	25.5		25.6			32.4	20.4
Progression Factor					1.00	1.00		0.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2					58.3	0.4		1.9			47.6	1.0
Delay (s)					94.1	25.9		1.9			80.0	21.4
Level of Service					F	С		А			E	С
Approach Delay (s)		0.0			83.5			1.9			72.9	
Approach LOS		А			F			А			E	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			59.0	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		E			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity	ratio		1.09									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			120.0	S	um of los	t time (s)			16.5			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	า		93.3%	IC	CU Level	of Service			F			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

> → ← < `> √

Movement	FRI	FRT	WRT	WRR	SBI	SBR		
Lane Configurations						ODIX		
Traffic Volume (vph)	٥	629	٥	٥	225	0		
Future Volume (vph)	0	620	0	0	225	0		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000		
Total Lost time (s)	2000	5.6	2000	2000	5.4	2000		
Lane I Itil Factor		0.05			1 00			
Earle Otil. I actor		1 00			1.00			
Flt Protected		1.00			0.95			
Satd Flow (prot)		3689			1863			
Elt Permitted		1 00			0.95			
Satd Flow (perm)		3689			1863			
Peak-bour factor PHF	0.84	0.84	0.92	0.92	0.86	0.86		
Adi Flow (vph)	0.04	749	0.52	0.52	262	0.00		
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	67	0		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	749	0	0	195	0		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%		
Turn Type	0,0	NA	2,0	2,5	Prot	2,0		
Protected Phases		2			4			
Permitted Phases		-			•			
Actuated Green G (s)		54 4			54 6			
Effective Green, g (s)		54.4			54.6			
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.45			0.46			
Clearance Time (s)		5.6			5.4			
Vehicle Extension (s)		0.2			0.2			
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		1672			847			
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.20			c0.10			
v/s Ratio Perm								
v/c Ratio		0.45			0.23			
Uniform Delay, d1		22.5			19.9			
Progression Factor		1.00			1.65			
Incremental Delay, d2		0.9			0.1			
Delay (s)		23.4			32.9			
Level of Service		С			С			
Approach Delay (s)		23.4	0.0		32.9			
Approach LOS		С	А		С			
Intersection Summarv								
HCM 2000 Control Delav			25.8	H	CM 2000	Level of Servi	ce	С
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity	ratio		0.34		000			Ŭ
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			120.0	Si	um of lost	t time (s)		11.0
Intersection Capacity Utilization			60.0%		CU Level of	of Service		B
Analysis Period (min)			15					_
c Critical Lane Group								

Int Delay, s/veh	0.2						
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations	_ ≜ î≽		- ሽ	- 11	- ¥		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	609	6	5	1345	12	20	
Future Vol, veh/h	609	6	5	1345	12	20	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	-	-	150	-	0	-	
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	84	84	86	86	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	3	3	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	725	7	6	1564	13	22	

Major/Minor	Major1	l	Major2	ľ	Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	732	0	1523	366
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	729	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	794	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.14	-	6.84	6.94
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.84	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.84	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.22	-	3.52	3.32
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	868	-	109	631
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	438	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	406	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	• -	-	868	-	108	631
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	• -	-	-	-	241	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	438	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	403	-
Approach	FB		WB		NB	
HCM Control Delay s	0		0		15	
HCM LOS			Ū		C	
					Ŭ	
					14/51	MAT

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	
Capacity (veh/h)	393	-	-	868	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.089	-	-	0.007	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	15	-	-	9.2	-	
HCM Lane LOS	С	-	-	А	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.3	-	-	0	-	

Intersection

											<u> </u>	
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations			1		- 44		- ሽ	_ ≜ î≽		<u>۲</u>	- 11	1
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	36	5	0	18	28	2107	9	9	1473	13
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	36	5	0	18	28	2107	9	9	1473	13
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	0	-	-	-	500	-	-	500	-	450
Veh in Median Storage	, # -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	63	63	63	60	60	60	93	93	93	95	95	95
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
Mvmt Flow	0	0	57	8	0	30	30	2266	10	9	1551	14

Major/Minor	Minor2		1	Minor1		l	Major1		Ν	/lajor2				
Conflicting Flow All	-	-	776	3125	3914	1138	1565	0	0	2276	0	0		
Stage 1	-	-	-	2331	2331	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	-	-	-	794	1583	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy	-	-	6.9	7.5	6.5	6.9	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	6.5	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	6.5	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	3.3	3.5	4	3.3	2.21	-	-	2.21	-	-		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	0	0	*468	*~ 2	*0	198	*699	-	-	224	-	-		
Stage 1	0	0	-	*39	*72	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	0	0	-	*441	*386	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Platoon blocked, %			1	1	1		1	-	-		-	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	*468	*~ 1	*0	198	*699	-	-	224	-	-		
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	*~ 1	*0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 1	-	-	-	*37	*69	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	-	-	-	*372	*371	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB				
HCM Control Delay, s	13.8		\$ 4	1400.8			0.1			0.1				
HCM LOS	В			F										
Minor Lane/Maior Mvn	nt	NBL	NBT	NBR I	EBLn1V	VBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR					
Capacity (veh/h)	-	* 699	-	-	468	5	224	-	_					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.043	-	-	0.122	7.667	0.042	-	-					
HCM Control Delay (s))	10.4	-	-	13.84	4400.8	21.8	-	-					
HCM Lane LOS		В	-	-	B	F	С	-	-					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	0.4	6.4	0.1	-	-					
Notes														
~: Volume exceeds ca	pacity	\$: De	lav exc	eeds 30)0s -	+: Com	outation	Not De	fined	*: All r	naior volu	me in p	latoon	

	≯	-	\rightarrow	1	+	•	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		^	1					^	1		^	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	1317	243	0	0	0	0	1636	489	0	1252	0
Future Volume (vph)	0	1317	243	0	0	0	0	1636	489	0	1252	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000
Total Lost time (s)		6.7	6.7					9.8	9.8		6.8	
Lane Util. Factor		0.95	1.00					0.95	1.00		0.95	
Frt		1.00	0.85					1.00	0.85		1.00	
Flt Protected		1.00	1.00					1.00	1.00		1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		3725	1667					3762	1683		3762	
Flt Permitted		1.00	1.00					1.00	1.00		1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		3725	1667					3762	1683		3762	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.95	0.95	0.95
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	1480	273	0	0	0	0	1778	532	0	1318	0
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	38	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	1480	235	0	0	0	0	1778	514	0	1318	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Turn Type		NA	Perm					NA	Perm		NA	
Protected Phases		4						2			6	
Permitted Phases			4						2			
Actuated Green, G (s)		46.3	46.3					57.2	57.2		60.2	
Effective Green, g (s)		46.3	46.3					57.2	57.2		60.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.39	0.39					0.48	0.48		0.50	
Clearance Time (s)		6.7	6.7					9.8	9.8		6.8	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0	3.0					3.0	3.0		3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		1437	643					1793	802		1887	
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.40						c0.47			0.35	
v/s Ratio Perm			0.14						0.31			
v/c Ratio		1.03	0.37					0.99	0.64		0.70	
Uniform Delay, d1		36.9	26.3					31.2	23.7		22.9	
Progression Factor		0.63	0.59					1.00	1.00		0.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		29.0	0.3					19.4	3.9		1.4	
Delay (s)		52.2	15.9					50.5	27.6		1.5	
Level of Service		D	В					D	С		А	
Approach Delay (s)		46.5			0.0			45.2			1.5	
Approach LOS		D			А			D			А	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			34.9	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity	ratio		1.01									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			120.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			16.5			
Intersection Capacity Utilization			91.3%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			F			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

	۶	-	\mathbf{i}	•	-	*	1	t	1	1	۰ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations					^	1		^			^	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	0	710	244	0	1636	0	0	1252	269
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	0	710	244	0	1636	0	0	1252	269
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000
Total Lost time (s)					6.7	6.7		6.8			9.8	9.8
Lane Util. Factor					0.95	1.00		0.95			0.95	1.00
Frt					1.00	0.85		1.00			1.00	0.85
Flt Protected					1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)					3762	1683		3762			3762	1683
Flt Permitted					1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)					3762	1683		3762			3762	1683
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.95	0.95	0.95
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	747	257	0	1778	0	0	1318	283
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	38	0	0	0	0	0	43
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	747	219	0	1778	0	0	1318	240
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Turn Type					NA	Perm		NA			NA	Perm
Protected Phases					8			6			2	
Permitted Phases						8						2
Actuated Green, G (s)					46.3	46.3		60.2			57.2	57.2
Effective Green, g (s)					46.3	46.3		60.2			57.2	57.2
Actuated g/C Ratio					0.39	0.39		0.50			0.48	0.48
Clearance Time (s)					6.7	6.7		6.8			9.8	9.8
Vehicle Extension (s)					3.0	3.0		3.0			3.0	3.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)					1451	649		1887			1793	802
v/s Ratio Prot					c0.20			c0.47			0.35	
v/s Ratio Perm						0.13						0.14
v/c Ratio					0.51	0.34		0.94			0.74	0.30
Uniform Delay, d1					28.2	26.0		28.3			25.3	19.2
Progression Factor					1.00	1.00		0.03			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2					0.3	0.3		3.3			2.7	1.0
Delay (s)					28.6	26.3		4.2			28.0	20.1
Level of Service					С	С		А			С	С
Approach Delay (s)		0.0			28.0			4.2			26.6	
Approach LOS		Α			С			A			С	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			17.8	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacit	y ratio		0.78									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			120.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			16.5			
Intersection Capacity Utilizatio	n		91.3%	IC	CU Level of	of Service			F			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

≠ **→** ← < `> √

Movement	ERI	ERT	W/RT	\//RD	CBI	CRD		
Lano Configurations			101	VUDIX		ODIX		
Traffia Valuma (unh)	٥	1200	٥	٥	261	٥		
Futuro Volume (vph)	0	1299	0	0	201	0		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	2000	2000	2000	2000	201	2000		
Total Lost time (s)	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000		
Long Litil Easter		0.05			1 00			
		0.95			1.00			
FIL Elt Protostad		1.00			0.05			
Satd Elow (prot)		3725			1881			
Elt Permitted		1 00			0.95			
Satd Flow (perm)		3725			1881			
Book hour factor, PHE	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.05		
	0.94	1382	0.92	0.92	0.95	0.95		
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	1302	0	0	17	0		
	0	1382	0	0	258	0		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%		
	2 /0	<u>2</u> /0	2/0	2/0	Prot	170		
Protected Phases		2			2			
Permitted Phases		2			5			
Actuated Green G (s)		61/			17.6			
Effective Green, g (s)		61.4			47.6			
Actuated a/C Ratio		0.51			0.40			
Clearance Time (s)		5.6			5.4			
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0			3.0			
Lane Grn Can (vnh)		1905			746			
v/s Ratio Prot		c0 37			c0 14			
v/s Ratio Perm		00.07			00.14			
v/c Ratio		0.73			0.35			
Uniform Delay, d1		22.8			25.3			
Progression Factor		1.00			1.33			
Incremental Delay, d2		2.4			1.1			
Delay (s)		25.2			34.7			
Level of Service		С			С			
Approach Delay (s)		25.2	0.0		34.7			
Approach LOS		С	A		С			
Intersection Summarv								
HCM 2000 Control Delay			26.8	H	CM 2000	Level of Servi	.e	С
HCM 2000 Volume to Canacity	ratio		0.56	11	2111 2000			Ŭ
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			120.0	S	um of lost	t time (s)		11.0
Intersection Capacity Utilization			61.0%		CU Level	of Service		B
Analysis Period (min)			15					_
c Critical Lane Group								

Intersection		
Int Delay, s/veh	0.3	

Major/Minor	Major1	Ν	/lajor2	ľ	Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	1378	0	1773	689
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	1372	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	401	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.14	-	6.84	6.94
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.84	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.84	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.22	-	3.52	3.32
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	493	-	74	388
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	201	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	645	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	493	-	72	388
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	161	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	201	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	626	-
Approach	EB		WB		NB	
HCM Control Delay, s	0		0.2		20.7	
HCM LOS					С	
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt l	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)		257	-	-	493	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.106	-	-	0.03	-
	`	00.7			40 F	

HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.106	-	-	0.03	-			
HCM Control Delay (s)	20.7	-	-	12.5	-			
HCM Lane LOS	С	-	-	В	-			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.3	-	-	0.1	-			

Intersection: 10: Rochester Rd. & Glaser Dr.

			ND	05
Movement	EB	WB	NB	SB
Directions Served	R	LTR	L	L
Maximum Queue (ft)	52	39	30	5
Average Queue (ft)	18	7	4	0
95th Queue (ft)	41	28	18	4
Link Distance (ft)	888	1866		
Upstream Blk Time (%)				
Queuing Penalty (veh)				
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			500	500
Storage Blk Time (%)				
Queuing Penalty (veh)				

Intersection: 20: Rochester Rd. & EB Long Lake Rd.

Movement	EB	EB	EB	NB	NB	NB	SB	SB
Directions Served	Т	Т	R	Т	Т	R	Т	Т
Maximum Queue (ft)	154	150	191	420	428	140	35	45
Average Queue (ft)	80	88	105	247	227	50	9	9
95th Queue (ft)	128	136	170	356	341	105	32	33
Link Distance (ft)	737	737		582	582		32	32
Upstream Blk Time (%)							12	12
Queuing Penalty (veh)							99	100
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			500			500		
Storage Blk Time (%)					0			
Queuing Penalty (veh)					0			

Intersection: 25: Rochester Rd. & WB Long Lake Rd.

Movement	WB	WB	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB	SB
Directions Served	Т	Т	R	Т	Т	Т	Т	R
Maximum Queue (ft)	993	1017	612	15	16	1507	1532	600
Average Queue (ft)	497	499	200	1	1	915	931	390
95th Queue (ft)	937	939	609	9	11	1728	1743	815
Link Distance (ft)	2792	2792		32	32	3693	3693	
Upstream Blk Time (%)				1	0			
Queuing Penalty (veh)				4	0			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			650					525
Storage Blk Time (%)		11					36	
Queuing Penalty (veh)		25					79	

Intersection: 30: EB Long Lake Rd. & WB-to-EB X/O, W. of Rochester Rd

Movement	EB	EB	SB
Directions Served	Т	Т	L
Maximum Queue (ft)	170	173	70
Average Queue (ft)	120	122	51
95th Queue (ft)	172	173	64
Link Distance (ft)	123	123	14
Upstream Blk Time (%)	8	9	26
Queuing Penalty (veh)	24	27	57
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Intersection: 40: Site Drive & Long Lake Rd.

Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 45: WB-to-EB X/O, W. of Rochester Rd & WB Long Lake Rd.

Movement	WB
Directions Served	L
Maximum Queue (ft)	182
Average Queue (ft)	72
95th Queue (ft)	151
Link Distance (ft)	
Upstream Blk Time (%)	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	600
Storage Blk Time (%)	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	

Zone Summary

Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 416

Intersection: 10: Rochester Rd. & Glaser Dr.

	= -			ND		0.0
Movement	EB	WB	NB	NB	NB	SB
Directions Served	R	LTR	L	Т	TR	L
Maximum Queue (ft)	41	147	31	125	152	42
Average Queue (ft)	12	49	7	11	10	11
95th Queue (ft)	34	128	25	66	66	36
Link Distance (ft)	888	1866		904	904	
Upstream Blk Time (%)						
Queuing Penalty (veh)						
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			500			500
Storage Blk Time (%)						
Queuing Penalty (veh)						

Intersection: 20: Rochester Rd. & EB Long Lake Rd.

Movement	EB	EB	EB	NB	NB	NB	SB
Directions Served	Т	Т	R	Т	Т	R	Т
Maximum Queue (ft)	409	397	204	594	584	508	23
Average Queue (ft)	231	241	95	401	388	213	2
95th Queue (ft)	357	364	171	582	570	448	14
Link Distance (ft)	737	737		582	582		32
Upstream Blk Time (%)				1	1		2
Queuing Penalty (veh)				12	9		13
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			500			500	
Storage Blk Time (%)		0			4	0	
Queuing Penalty (veh)		0			20	1	

Intersection: 25: Rochester Rd. & WB Long Lake Rd.

Movement	WB	WB	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB	SB
Directions Served	Т	Т	R	Т	Т	Т	Т	R
Maximum Queue (ft)	248	252	202	25	6	344	359	134
Average Queue (ft)	163	151	102	2	0	226	239	54
95th Queue (ft)	225	222	174	14	5	309	324	103
Link Distance (ft)	2792	2792		32	32	3693	3693	
Upstream Blk Time (%)				3	0			
Queuing Penalty (veh)				21	2			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			650					525
Storage Blk Time (%)								
Queuing Penalty (veh)								

Intersection: 30: EB Long Lake Rd. & WB-to-EB X/O, W. of Rochester Rd

Movement	EB	EB	SB
Directions Served	Т	Т	L
Maximum Queue (ft)	175	175	61
Average Queue (ft)	160	156	52
95th Queue (ft)	169	174	57
Link Distance (ft)	123	123	14
Upstream Blk Time (%)	26	22	36
Queuing Penalty (veh)	161	140	91
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Intersection: 40: Site Drive & Long Lake Rd.

Movement	EB	EB
Directions Served	Т	TR
Maximum Queue (ft)	29	14
Average Queue (ft)	1	0
95th Queue (ft)	13	6
Link Distance (ft)	1106	1106
Upstream Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		
Storage Bay Dist (ft)		
Storage Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		

Intersection: 45: WB-to-EB X/O, W. of Rochester Rd & WB Long Lake Rd.

Movement	WB
Directions Serve	d L
Maximum Queue	e (ft) 238
Average Queue	(ft) 118
95th Queue (ft)	204
Link Distance (ft)	
Upstream Blk Tir	ne (%)
Queuing Penalty	(veh)
Storage Bay Dist	(ft) 600
Storage Blk Time	e (%)
Queuing Penalty	(veh)
-	

Zone Summary

Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 471

Intersection: 10: Rochester Rd. & Glaser Dr.

Movement	EB	WB	NB	NB	SB
Directions Served	R	LTR	L	TR	L
Maximum Queue (ft)	55	31	35	17	29
Average Queue (ft)	16	5	3	1	1
95th Queue (ft)	41	24	18	12	11
Link Distance (ft)	888	1866		904	
Upstream Blk Time (%)					
Queuing Penalty (veh)					
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			500		500
Storage Blk Time (%)					
Queuing Penalty (veh)					

Intersection: 20: Rochester Rd. & EB Long Lake Rd.

Movement	EB	EB	EB	NB	NB	NB	SB	SB
Directions Served	Т	Т	R	Т	Т	R	Т	Т
Maximum Queue (ft)	158	158	184	398	392	209	32	32
Average Queue (ft)	90	97	105	249	230	56	7	10
95th Queue (ft)	139	147	170	369	362	137	28	33
Link Distance (ft)	737	737		582	582		32	32
Upstream Blk Time (%)					0		9	13
Queuing Penalty (veh)					0		78	112
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			500			500		
Storage Blk Time (%)					0			
Queuing Penalty (veh)					0			

Intersection: 25: Rochester Rd. & WB Long Lake Rd.

Movement	WB	WB	WB	NB	SB	SB	SB
Directions Served	т	.,, <u>в</u> т	.,, <u>p</u>	т	 	<u>т</u>	D
Directions Served	1	1	л — П	1	1	1	
Maximum Queue (ft)	1043	1046	725	6	1926	1950	600
Average Queue (ft)	491	492	184	0	1139	1155	407
95th Queue (ft)	936	941	568	6	2075	2094	809
Link Distance (ft)	2792	2792		32	3693	3693	
Upstream Blk Time (%)				0			
Queuing Penalty (veh)				2			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			650				525
Storage Blk Time (%)		10				40	
Queuing Penalty (veh)		24				90	

Intersection: 30: EB Long Lake Rd. & WB-to-EB X/O, W. of Rochester Rd

Movement	EB	EB	SB
Directions Served	Т	Т	L
Maximum Queue (ft)	167	168	60
Average Queue (ft)	125	124	51
95th Queue (ft)	173	179	63
Link Distance (ft)	123	123	14
Upstream Blk Time (%)	10	10	26
Queuing Penalty (veh)	32	33	57
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Intersection: 40: Site Drive & Long Lake Rd.

Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 45: WB-to-EB X/O, W. of Rochester Rd & WB Long Lake Rd.

Movement	WB
Directions Served	L
Maximum Queue (ft)	183
Average Queue (ft)	78
95th Queue (ft)	167
Link Distance (ft)	
Upstream Blk Time (%)	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	600
Storage Blk Time (%)	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	

Zone Summary

Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 429
Intersection: 10: Rochester Rd. & Glaser Dr.

Movement	EB	WB	NB	NB	NB	SB
Directions Served	R	LTR	L	Т	TR	L
Maximum Queue (ft)	38	142	128	277	320	43
Average Queue (ft)	13	63	15	63	63	8
95th Queue (ft)	34	169	116	329	333	31
Link Distance (ft)	888	1866		904	904	
Upstream Blk Time (%)				0	1	
Queuing Penalty (veh)				0	0	
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			500			500
Storage Blk Time (%)				1		
Queuing Penalty (veh)				0		

Intersection: 20: Rochester Rd. & EB Long Lake Rd.

Management	ED					ND	00	00
Movement	EB	EB	ER	NB	NB	NB	SB	SB
Directions Served	Т	Т	R	Т	Т	R	Т	Т
Maximum Queue (ft)	736	736	550	580	589	511	6	19
Average Queue (ft)	414	426	209	423	413	264	0	1
95th Queue (ft)	722	726	545	616	616	538	6	8
Link Distance (ft)	737	737		582	582		32	32
Upstream Blk Time (%)	1	1		4	3		0	1
Queuing Penalty (veh)	6	5		41	37		2	6
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			500			500		
Storage Blk Time (%)		12	0		9			
Queuing Penalty (veh)		30	0		44			

Intersection: 25: Rochester Rd. & WB Long Lake Rd.

Movement	WB	WB	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB	SB
Directions Served	Т	Т	R	Т	Т	Т	Т	R
Maximum Queue (ft)	278	262	211	32	32	372	405	148
Average Queue (ft)	176	157	113	3	2	232	246	58
95th Queue (ft)	248	233	189	17	15	331	348	120
Link Distance (ft)	2792	2792		32	32	3693	3693	
Upstream Blk Time (%)				4	3			
Queuing Penalty (veh)				29	24			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			650					525
Storage Blk Time (%)								
Queuing Penalty (veh)								

Intersection: 30: EB Long Lake Rd. & WB-to-EB X/O, W. of Rochester Rd

Movement	EB	EB	SB
Directions Served	Т	Т	L
Maximum Queue (ft)	172	179	63
Average Queue (ft)	158	155	52
95th Queue (ft)	171	177	56
Link Distance (ft)	123	123	14
Upstream Blk Time (%)	24	22	42
Queuing Penalty (veh)	155	142	109
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Intersection: 40: Site Drive & Long Lake Rd.

Movement	EB	EB
Directions Served	Т	TR
Maximum Queue (ft)	38	44
Average Queue (ft)	2	3
95th Queue (ft)	26	36
Link Distance (ft)	1106	1106
Upstream Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		
Storage Bay Dist (ft)		
Storage Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		

Intersection: 45: WB-to-EB X/O, W. of Rochester Rd & WB Long Lake Rd.

Movement	WB
Directions Served	L
Maximum Queue (ft)	267
Average Queue (ft)	136
95th Queue (ft)	232
Link Distance (ft)	
Upstream Blk Time (%)	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	600
Storage Blk Time (%)	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	

Zone Summary

Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 631

Intersection: 10: Rochester Rd. & Glaser Dr.

			ND	0.0
Movement	EB	WB	NB	SB
Directions Served	R	LTR	L	L
Maximum Queue (ft)	84	44	36	16
Average Queue (ft)	26	8	8	1
95th Queue (ft)	56	35	26	8
Link Distance (ft)	888	1866		
Upstream Blk Time (%)				
Queuing Penalty (veh)				
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			500	500
Storage Blk Time (%)				
Queuing Penalty (veh)				

Intersection: 20: Rochester Rd. & EB Long Lake Rd.

Movement	EB	EB	EB	NB	NB	NB	SB	SB
Directions Served	Т	Т	R	Т	Т	R	Т	Т
Maximum Queue (ft)	173	153	207	402	415	138	36	32
Average Queue (ft)	88	95	107	267	248	56	7	9
95th Queue (ft)	146	144	185	373	358	111	28	31
Link Distance (ft)	737	737		582	582		32	32
Upstream Blk Time (%)							10	12
Queuing Penalty (veh)							82	98
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			500			500		
Storage Blk Time (%)								
Queuing Penalty (veh)								

Intersection: 25: Rochester Rd. & WB Long Lake Rd.

Movement	WB	WB	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB	SB
Directions Served	Т	Т	R	Т	Т	Т	Т	R
Maximum Queue (ft)	1370	1369	725	4	20	1894	1902	600
Average Queue (ft)	744	743	381	0	1	1206	1219	432
95th Queue (ft)	1371	1370	901	3	12	2455	2459	828
Link Distance (ft)	2792	2792		32	32	3693	3693	
Upstream Blk Time (%)				0	1			
Queuing Penalty (veh)				2	3			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			650					525
Storage Blk Time (%)		27					42	
Queuing Penalty (veh)		68					97	

Intersection: 30: EB Long Lake Rd. & WB-to-EB X/O, W. of Rochester Rd

Movement	EB	EB	SB
Directions Served	Т	Т	L
Maximum Queue (ft)	168	181	71
Average Queue (ft)	123	131	52
95th Queue (ft)	175	177	63
Link Distance (ft)	123	123	14
Upstream Blk Time (%)	9	11	25
Queuing Penalty (veh)	28	34	56
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Intersection: 40: Site Drive & Long Lake Rd.

Movement	WB	NB
Directions Served	L	LR
Maximum Queue (ft)	23	57
Average Queue (ft)	2	21
95th Queue (ft)	12	48
Link Distance (ft)		660
Upstream Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	150	
Storage Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		

Intersection: 45: WB-to-EB X/O, W. of Rochester Rd & WB Long Lake Rd.

Movement	WB	WB
Directions Served	L	Т
Maximum Queue (ft)	184	8
Average Queue (ft)	75	0
95th Queue (ft)	155	6
Link Distance (ft)		768
Upstream Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	600	
Storage Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		
Queung renaity (ven)		

Zone Summary

Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 469

Intersection: 10: Rochester Rd. & Glaser Dr.

Mayramant			ND	ND	ND	CD.
iviovement	EB	WB	NB	NB	NB	SB
Directions Served	R	LTR	L	Т	TR	L
Maximum Queue (ft)	51	255	232	367	351	43
Average Queue (ft)	17	102	38	143	145	10
95th Queue (ft)	41	257	220	645	652	34
Link Distance (ft)	888	1866		904	904	
Upstream Blk Time (%)				3	6	
Queuing Penalty (veh)				0	0	
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			500			500
Storage Blk Time (%)				6		
Queuing Penalty (veh)				2		

Intersection: 20: Rochester Rd. & EB Long Lake Rd.

Movement	EB	EB	EB	NB	NB	NB	SB
Directions Served	Т	Т	R	Т	Т	R	Т
Maximum Queue (ft)	644	656	481	592	590	509	19
Average Queue (ft)	372	379	187	445	435	287	1
95th Queue (ft)	707	707	496	624	630	569	12
Link Distance (ft)	737	737		582	582		32
Upstream Blk Time (%)	0	0		5	5		2
Queuing Penalty (veh)	3	4		54	54		12
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			500			500	
Storage Blk Time (%)		11	0		11		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		26	0		56		

Intersection: 25: Rochester Rd. & WB Long Lake Rd.

Movement	WB	WB	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB	SB
Directions Served	Т	Т	R	Т	Т	Т	Т	R
Maximum Queue (ft)	283	264	209	19	19	358	368	154
Average Queue (ft)	177	160	116	3	2	232	248	61
95th Queue (ft)	246	231	186	17	15	323	340	121
Link Distance (ft)	2792	2792		32	32	3693	3693	
Upstream Blk Time (%)				3	3			
Queuing Penalty (veh)				27	21			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			650					525
Storage Blk Time (%)								
Queuing Penalty (veh)								

Intersection: 30: EB Long Lake Rd. & WB-to-EB X/O, W. of Rochester Rd

Movement	EB	EB	SB
Directions Served	Т	Т	L
Maximum Queue (ft)	183	184	63
Average Queue (ft)	160	158	52
95th Queue (ft)	173	179	58
Link Distance (ft)	123	123	14
Upstream Blk Time (%)	30	28	37
Queuing Penalty (veh)	197	184	96
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Intersection: 40: Site Drive & Long Lake Rd.

Movement	EB	EB	WB	NB
Directions Served	Т	TR	L	LR
Maximum Queue (ft)	72	46	39	70
Average Queue (ft)	8	5	14	22
95th Queue (ft)	43	30	40	56
Link Distance (ft)	1106	1106		660
Upstream Blk Time (%)				
Queuing Penalty (veh)				
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			150	
Storage Blk Time (%)				
Queuing Penalty (veh)				

Intersection: 45: WB-to-EB X/O, W. of Rochester Rd & WB Long Lake Rd.

Movement	WB
Directions Served	L
Maximum Queue (ft)	244
Average Queue (ft)	123
95th Queue (ft)	216
Link Distance (ft)	
Upstream Blk Time (%)	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	600
Storage Blk Time (%)	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	

Zone Summary

Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 734





memorandum

Date: July 19, 2022

To: Bill Huotari, PE

From: Stephen Dearing, PE, PTOE & Richard Boateng

- **CC:** Sara Merrill, PE, PTOE
- Re: Village of Troy PUD Traffic Impact Study Review

We have reviewed the traffic impact study for the proposed Village of Troy PUD. The proposed residential development located is generally in the southeast quadrant of the Long Lake Road and Rochester Road intersection in Troy, Michigan. The proposed site includes 20 single-family residential homes, 56 two-story townhomes, and 70 three-story townhomes, for a combined total of 146 dwelling units. There are two proposed access points, one on Long Lake Road and one on Rochester Road.

The traffic impact study was prepared by Fleis & VandenBrink Engineering, Inc., and it is dated June 22, 2022. Synchro traffic modeling files were also provided as part of the TIS documents for review.

OHM recommends approval of the traffic impact study and its recommendations, subject to providing additional information as noted below.

- a) The proposed left turn lane and associated changes on Long Lake Rd must be approved by RCOC and OHM defers to RCOC for approval.
- b) Crash Analysis: OHM recommends that the TIS should include crash analysis of Rochester at Glaser Dr and recommendations to improve safety if any are deemed appropriate.



August 16, 2022

VIA EMAIL tloughrin@robertsonhomes.com

Tim Loughrin Director of Land Acquisition Robertson Brothers Homes 6905 Telegraph Road, Suite 200 Bloomfield Hills, MI 48301

RE: Proposed Village of Troy Planned Unit Development Traffic Impact Study (TIS) Review Troy, Michigan

Dear Mr. Loughrin:

Fleis & VandenBrink (F&V) staff has completed this letter in response to comments provided by OHM Advisors on behalf of the City of Troy in correspondence dated July 19, 2022. The comments provided by OHM regarding the traffic impact study performed by F&V in the report dated June 22, 2022, are summarized herein.

OHM Comment A: The proposed left turn lane and associated changes on Long Lake Rd must be approved by RCOC, and OHM defers to RCOC for approval.

F&V Response: Noted.

OHM Comment B: Crash Analysis: OHM recommends that the TIS should include crash analysis of Rochester at Glaser Dr and recommendations to improve safety if any are deemed appropriate.

F&V Response:

A crash analysis was conducted at the intersection of Rochester Road & Glaser Drive. F&V obtained historical crash data for the most recent available five years (January 1, 2017, to December 31, 2021) from Michigan Traffic Crash Facts (MTCF). It should be noted that animal crashes were excluded from this analysis. The crashes are summarized by crash type in **Table 1** and summarized by injuries in **Table 2**.

	Crash Type						
Location	Single Motor Vehicle Crash	Head-On Left-Turn	Angle	Rear End	Sideswipe- Same	Total	
Rochester Road & Glaser Drive	1	1	1	2	2	7	

Table 1: Crash Type Summary

Table 2: Worst Injury in Crash Summary

Location	Fatality	Type "A" Injury	Type "B" Injury	Type "C" Injury	Total
Rochester Road & Glaser Drive	0	0	0	3	3

The <u>SEMCOG Crash Analysis Process 2016</u> Regional Critical Intersection Crash Rates, Frequencies and Casualty Ratios: By Presence or Absence of Signalization was used to compare the actual crash rates and crash frequencies to the regional crash rates and crash frequencies for similar intersections within the SEMCOG region. The results of the analysis are summarized in **Table 3**.

			Cra (c	sh Freque rashes/yea	ncy ar)	Crash Rate (crashes per MV)		
Intersection	Average ADT (Entering Volume vpd)	Total (5 years)	Intersection Annual Crash Frequency	SEMCOG Average Annual Crash Frequency	Difference	Intersection Crash Rate	SEMCOG Average Crash Rate	Difference
Rochester Road & Glaser Drive	34,655	7.0	1.40	3.44	-2.04	0.11	0.27	-0.16

Table 3: SEMCOG Crash Analysis Summary

The results of the analysis indicate the study intersection of Rochester Road & Glaser Drive has a crash frequency (crashes per year) and a crash rate (crashes per million vehicles) below the average for similar intersections within the SEMCOG region. The study intersection was further analyzed, and in-depth analysis is provided and summarized below.

There were seven (7) crashes reported at or associated with the intersection of Rochester Road & Glaser Drive between 2016 through 2021. However, it should be noted only 3 crashes were attributed to the intersection of Glaser Road & Rochester Road. The majority of the crashes recorded for this intersection are resulting from the adjacent McDonalds driveway or the adjacent signalized intersection. The most common crash types identified for this intersection were rear-end (28%) crashes and sideswipe-same (28%) crashes. The rear-end crashes were the result of vehicles failing to stop in assured clear distance and the sideswipe crashes were the result of unsafe observation and lane changes. Additionally, there were three (3) Type-C injuries (3); with no fatalities (Type-K) or severe injuries (Type-A).

The results of the crash analysis indicates that the intersection of Rochester Road & Glaser Drive has a crash frequency and a crash rate well below the SEMCOG average. Additionally, a review of the detailed crash reports (UD-10) indicates there is no identifiable crash patterns present for this study intersection. Therefore, no safety improvement mitigation measures are recommended for the study intersection of Rochester Road & Glaser Drive.

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact our office.

Sincerely,

FLEIS & VANDENBRINK ENGINEERING, INC.

Julie M. Kroll, PE, PTOE Traffic Services Manager



From:	Harpreet Singh					
То:	Jackie Ferencz; Clerks					
Subject:	Re: Site Plans for proposed development Village of Troy					
Date:	Thursday, September 1, 2022 1:28:20 AM					
Attachments:	image001.png					
	image002.png					
	image003.png					
	image004.png					
	image005.png					
	image006.png					

CAUTION: This email did not originate from within the City of Troy. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Jackie,

Thanks for providing the information. I am concerned about this building plan's impact on my property and my neighborhood is also concerned in a similar manner.

1) New home's backyard will face my home's front yard area, Back yard area are a loud area for outside play, This is not acceptable and decrease our property value. Houses on opposite sides of the road should not face other people's back yard. I request to be fixed on the proposed planning with the front yards facing each other.

2) Current tree line is not on the map, it's part of each property sold and no guarantee they will be there. Boundry line between "River bend court" and "Village of Troy" property line. Confirmation in writing is required from the builder to the new homeowner to keep the tree line in place all the time.

3) 108 mixed home housing planned by the builder with an entry point at Long Lake road. Even "River bank" road is with no outlet and a lot of traffic by mistake to entry. During property sale activity and even after that time, As the map updates will not be available to the public. Concern with around 17 years old River bank street get damage and required unplanned repair.

- What Troy city can support us for this type of passive damage by this housing approval? Troy city to repair the street just like another city street?

4) "Village of Troy" zoning review date and time are not clear from online information. Please share this confirmation to join virtually or in person.

Thanks

On Fri, Aug 19, 2022 at 11:32 AM Jackie Ferencz <<u>Jackie.Ferencz@troymi.gov</u>> wrote:

Thank you for the inquiry.

The proposed development, Village of Troy, documents are attached for your review. Should you like to view additional items related to this proposed development please email me and I will send a link since the file size is large.

Thank you,



Jackie Ferencz Administrative Assistant |

City of Troy Planning Dept O: 248.524.3364

