

A meeting of the Board of Zoning Appeals was held on Tuesday, October 16, 1979 at the Troy City Offices. The meeting was called to order by the Chairman, James Giachino at 7:30 p.m.

PRESENT: James Giachino
Chairman
Harrison Pearo
John Lovio
Carmelo Milia
Robert Melaragni
John Wilson

ABSENT: Kenneth Lashmet

ITEM #1. Approval of September 18, 1979 Minutes

Motion by: Lovio
Supported by: Wilson

MOVED, to approve the minutes of September 18, 1979.

ayes: 6
nays: 0
absent: 1 (Lashmet)

MOTION TO APPROVE CARRIED

RENEWALS

ITEM #2. Renewal Requested. Evanswood Church of God, 2601 W. Square Lake Road, for relief of the required 4'6" masonry screening wall along the west property line adjacent to residential property.

Mr. VandenBussche explained that the petitioner is requesting renewal of relief granted by the board for a 4'6" masonry wall required at the off-street parking area used by the church. This relief was originally granted in 1976 primarily due to the fact that property adjacent to the parking area is undeveloped and has a natural screening of dense woods. There are no objections or complaints on file.

The petitioner was not present.

Motion by: Lovio
Supported by: Wilson

MOVED, that this item be place at the end of the agenda as Item #22.

ayes: 6
nays: 0
absent: 1

MOTION TO TABLE UNTIL THE END OF THE AGENDA CARRIED.

ITEM #3. Renewal Requested. Edward Kedrow, northeast corner of Chopin and Alger, for relief to park trucks within the 50' front setback area in an industrial district.

Mr. VandenBussche explained that Mr. Kedrow is requesting renewal of relief to park his landscaping truck in the front setback of an industrial district adjacent to the street right-of-way. This relief was originally granted in 1975. The conditions remain relatively the same. We do not have any objections or complaints on file.

The petitioner, Mr. Edward Kedrow was present and indicated he had nothing to add to this explanation.

Motion by: Milia
Supported by: Lovio

MOVED, to grant renewal of relief to park a landscaping truck in the front setback for one year for the following reasons:

1. There are no complaints or objections on file.
2. The conditions remain the same.

ayes: 6
nays: 0
absent: 1

MOTION TO APPROVE FOR ONE YEAR CARRIED.

D-1

ITEM #4. Troy Masonic Temple, 1032 Hartland, for relief of a 4'6" masonry screening walls required at off street parking areas.

Mr. VandenBussche explained that the petitioner is requesting renewal for relief of 4'6" masonry walls required at the parking area adjacent to residential property. This relief has been granted on a yearly basis since 1972, primarily due to the fact that the owners of the adjacent property do not object. The conditions are relatively the same and there are no objections or complaints on file.

Robert Blatch was present and had nothing further to add to Mr. VandenBussche's explanation.

Motion by: Lovio
Supported by: Wilson

MOVED, to approve relief of the 4'6" masonry screening walls abutting residential zoning for off-street parking at 1032 Hartland for one year for the following reasons:

1. The conditions remain the same.
2. There are no objections or complaints on file.

ayes: 6
nays: 0
absent: 1

MOTION TO APPROVE FOR ONE YEAR CARRIED.

ITEM #5. Glen Oaks Alliance Church, 5700 Rochester Road, for relief from obscuring wall and installation of landscaped berms.

Mr. VandenBussche explained the petitioner is requesting renewal to maintain a landscaped berm in lieu of the 4'6" wall required at the off-street parking area for the church use. This relief was originally granted in 1975. The conditions remain the relatively the same. There are no objections or complaints in our files.

Mr. Gammon, a trustee of the church was present and indicated the only thing he had to add to Mr. VandenBussche's explanation was they were trying to complete the landscaping.

Motion by: Melaragni
Supported by: Milia

MOVED, that relief to maintain a landscaped berm in lieu of the 4'6" masonry wall at the off-street parking area for the church use be approved for one year for the following reasons:

1. The conditions remain relatively the same
2. There are no objections or complaints on file.

ayes: 6
nays: 0
absent: 1

MOTION TO APPROVE FOR ONE YEAR CARRIED.

TABLED ITEMS

Item #6. Troy Gate (Arnold Becker), 2840-2880 Rochester Road, for relief of a 4'6" masonry obscuring wall.

Mr. VandenBussche explained the petitioner is requesting renewal of relief granted by this board for a 4'6" masonry wall required at the off-street parking area adjacent to residential zoning. This relief has been granted on a yearly basis since 1971, primarily due to the fact that the master land use plan indicates that the adjacent land could become non-residential in the future.

Arnold Becker was present and indicated he had nothing to add to the reasons stated.

Motion by: Pearo
Supported by: Wilson

MOVED, to approve renewal of relief of the masonry wall at 2840-2880 Rochester Road for one year for the following reasons:

1. The conditions remain the same.
2. There are no complaints on file.

ayes: 6
nays: 0
absent: 1

MOTION TO APPROVE FOR ONE YEAR CARRIED.

D-1

ITEM #7. Deco Grand, 1600 W. Maple Road, to erect an industrial addition that will create a 30' front setback in lieu of the required 50'

Mr. VandenBussche, explained that Deco Grand, 1600 A. Maple is requesting a permit to construct a 30' x 30' industrial addition that will enclose an existing loading dock. This addition will be approximately 28' from the front property line along Blaney Street. The zoning ordinance requires a minimum setback of 50' to be maintained from all street rights-of-way in an industrial district. This item was tabled at our last regular meeting as the petitioner was called out of town and unable to attend the meeting.

Murray Hauptman, President of Deco Grand was present and indicated that the enclosing of the loading dock was to provide comfort and protection to the hi-lo driver and people loading or unloading trucks and to help keep heat contained within the building.

Motion by: Lovio
Supported by: Melaragni

MOVED, to grant a variance for 38' instead of 28' requested, which would reduce the building size to 20' x 30' for the following reason:

1. The same purpose could be achieved with this size building.

ayes: 5
nays: 1 (Milia)
absent: 1

MOTION TO APPROVE WITH CHANGE CARRIED.

ITEM #8. Variance Requested. Richard McCoppin, 2975 Derby, to erect a single family residential addition that will create a 22' rear yard where 40' is required.

Mr. VandenBussche explained that the petitioner telephoned him and asked that this item be tabled until the November 1979 meeting as he would be out of town.

Motion by: Melaragni
Supported by: Wilson

MOVED, to table this item to the November 1979 meeting to allow the petitioner to be present.

ayes: 6
nays: 0
absent: 1

MOTION TO TABLE CARRIED.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

Item #9. Variance Requested. Modern Engineering, 2591 Elliott, for relief to install a temporary gravel surfaced parking lot in lieu of the required hard surface parking.

Mr. VandenBussche explained that the petitioner is requesting a permit to install a temporary parking lot that will not be hardsurfaced. The zoning ordinance, under section 40.13.08, requires all parking lots to be hardsurfaced.

Steve Jonas of Modern Engineering was present and indicated that this was for additional parking for additional employees that they had to accommodate at the present time. They may not always need this parking as they work under contract with General Motors on a design program and it is uncertain as to the duration of the contract. Because of this, they do not want the expense of hardsurfacing the lot.

The Chairman opened the Public Hearing

There were no comments from the audience

There were no letters on file.

Motion by: Milia
Supported by: Melaragni

D-1

MOVED, that the request to install a temporary parking lot in lieu of a hardsurfaced parking lot be denied for the following reason:

1. There is no hardship shown.

ayes: 6
nays: 0
absent: 1

MOTION TO DENY CARRIED.

ITEM #10. Interpretation Requested. Mat Vivona, 3800 Rochester Road, for an interpretation of Article 22.50.01 of the zoning ordinance to permit above-ground swimming pools to be located on the exterior of the building.

Mr. VandenBussche explained that the petitioner is requesting an interpretation of the zoning ordinance to allow the outside display of swimming pools in conjunction with a commercial enterprise. The zoning ordinance, under article 22.50.01, restricts all displays to the confines of an enclosed building.

Mat Vivona, the petitioner, was present and indicated the only thing he had to add was that this outside storage was temporary (approximately 3 to 4 years) that he intended to erect a building where the storage would be inside.

The Chairman opened the public hearing.

Mr. Albert Carriero, 3723 Hawthorne, was present and indicated he was very concerned with safety for children in the area with this type of outside storage. He is also in favor of keeping storage within the confines of a building as required by the zoning ordinance.

John B. Pink, 3729 Hawthorne, was present and indicated he objected for the same reasons.

Dr. Chang, 5735 Hawthorne, was present and indicated she objected for the same reasons.

Mrs. Gordon Wood, was present and indicated she objected for the same reasons given by the other property owners.

10 letters of objection on file from: David G. Case, 1235 Tennyson - John B. Pink, 3729 Hawthorne - Robert E. Rekuc, 3771 Hawthorne - Fred W. Stanley, 1177 Bishop - Timothy & Kristi Anne Wood Hissong, 3753 Hawthorne - Linwood Russel, 3699 Hawthorne - Samuel Halsey, 3815 Rochester Road - James Chang, 5755 Hawthorne - Bruce and Sharon West, 3711 Hawthorne - Albert J. Carriero, 3723 Hawthorne.

The Chairman closed the public hearing

Motion by: Milia
Supported by: Pearo

MOVED, that the request for an interpretation of the zoning ordinance to allow outside storage be denied for the following reasons:

1. The concern of the neighboring property owners.
2. This would set a prescedent.

ayes: 4
nays: 2 (Giachino, Lovio)
absent: 1

MOTION TO DENY REQUEST FOR INTERPRETATION CARRIED.

D-1

ITEM #11. Variance Requested. McComb Construction, 135 Park Street, for relief to erect an industrial addition with an access drive that will be 15' in width in lieu of the required 22'.

Mr. VandenBussche explained that McComb Construction is requesting a permit to erect an industrial addition and install a new parking lot that will have an access drive 15' in width. the Zoning ordinance requires that access drives for two-way traffic must maintain a width of 22'.

Mr. McComb was present and indicated that the owner needed the additional space and there was no property available to make the driveway any wider. If the owner cannot add to the building he would be forced to relocate.

The Chairman opened the public hearing.

There were no comments from the audience.

There was one letter of approval on file from J. Lyle Winilow, Clawson Beaver Building Company, 103 Park Street.

The Chairman closed the public hearing.

Motion by: Wilson
Supported by: Lovio

MOVED, that a variance be approved to construct an addition that will create a 15' access drive where a 22' access drive is required for the following reasons:

1. There is no other way they can add to the building to gain space required.
2. This is an insignificant deviation.

ayes: 6
nays: 0
absent: 1

MOTION TO APPROVE CARRIED.

ITEM #12. Variance Requested. Michael J. Farrug, Lots #54-60, 1836 Livernois, to erect an office building with an 11' rear yard in lieu of the required 20' and relief of a 6' decorative masonry screening wall along the east property line.

Mr. VandenBussche explained that the petitioner is requesting a permit to erect a one story office building and the site plan indicates a rear yard setback of 11'. The site plan also does not show the 6' masonry wall required along the west property line adjacent to residential zoning. The zoning ordinance requires a minimum rear yard setback of 20' in an office zoned district and requires a 6' masonry wall at any property line abutting residential zoning.

Michael Farrug and his architect Paul Ricca were present and indicated that by reducing the size of the proposed building it would take away from their lease space and reduce the projected income from the rental units. They also indicated that they understand it is the City's intent, in the future, to vacate the alley and this would give them an additional 9 feet of usable land.

The chairman opened the public hearing.

Mr. Miller the owner of 47 Cloveridge was present and indicated he was not in favor of this request as he was concerned about this type of relief setting a standard.

There were no letters on file.

The Chairman closed the public hearing.

Motion by: Lovio
Supported by: Melaragni

D-1

MOVED, that the request to erect an office building with a 11' rear setback in lieu of the 20' required and relief of the 6' masonry obscuring wall be denied for the following reasons:

1. They are over building the lot.
2. There is no hardship shown.

ayes: 5
nays: 1 (Wilson)
absent: 1

MOTION TO DENY CARRIED.

ITEM #13. Variance Requested. H.L.M. Development, 2243 Bellingham, to erect a light industrial building with a 10' front setback where 50' is required; an 11.4' rear yard where 20' is required, and to allow 13 parking spaces within the 50' front setback.

Mr. VandenBussche explained that the petitioner is requesting a permit to construct a light industrial building that will have a front setback of 10' and a rear setback of 11.4'. The site plan also indicated that there are 13 parking spaces in the required front setback. The zoning ordinance requires a minimum front setback of 50' and does not permit parking or structures in this required front setback. The zoning ordinance also requires a minimum rear yard setback of 20' in an industrial district.

Mr. W. J. LeClair, the owner, was present and indicated that the space is needed to get the maximum use of thier property. He also indicated that the same relief had been granted to neighboring properties.

The chairman opened the public hearing.

There were no comments from the audience.

There was one letter of approval on file from S. Mazil, Mazil Research Company, 1813 Larchwood.

The chairman closed the public hearing.

Motion by: Lovio
Supported by: Melia

MOVED, that the request to erect an industrial addition with 10' front setback in lieu of the 50' required and a 11.4' rear setback in lieu of the 20' required be denied for the following reasons:

1. They are overbuilding the lot.
2. Insufficient hardship shown.

ayes: 6
nays: 0
absent: 1

MOTION TO DENY CARRIED.

ITEM #14. Special Approval. Northeast Landfill, 1720 South Boulevard, for relief to erect a 40'x60' temporary building in a residential zoned district.

Mr. VandenBussche explained that the petitioner is requesting a permit to erect a 40'x60' temporary building to be used for storage of commercial equipment for a period not to exceed two years. The petitioner has indicated that the building will be used during their landfill operation and would be removed upon its completion. The zoning ordinance, under section 42.04.02, allows the Board of Zoning Appeals to permit this type of building for a period not to exceed two years in undeveloped areas of the city.

J. J. Zayti, President of Northeast Landfill was present and indicated that the cost and hardship of maintaining the equipment used in the landfill operation has prompted them to erect a building. He indicated that this building will enable them to store and work on the equipment inside.

D-1

The chairman opened the public hearing.

There were no comments from the audience.

There was one letter of approval on file from Lee Young, 6901 Doenges.

There was one letter of objection on file from Dennis and Diane Wyzocki, 2749 Ranieri.

The chairman closed the public hearing.

Motion by: Lovio
Supported by: Wilson

MOVED, that approval to erect a temporary building in an undeveloped area for a period of two (2) years be granted for the following reasons:

1. The building would look better than the outside storage of equipment.
2. It would make the maintenance of their equipment much easier.

ayes: 6
nays: 0
absent: 1

MOTION TO APPROVE CARRIED.

ITEM #15. Variance Requested. John Kelly, 4164 Wentworth, to erect an accessory building adjacent to a single family residence in lieu of the required 10' distance.

Mr. VandenBussche explained that the petitioner is requesting a permit to continue construction on an accessory building that will be located adjacent to the south side of his residence. The zoning ordinance requires that any detached accessory building be a minimum distance of 10' from the principal building on the site.

Mr. John Kelly, the owner, was present and indicated that he was reconstructing the building, it had been erected once and blew down. He was not aware of the requirement for a permit. The building is to be used for the storage of lawn equipment, bicycles, etc. He indicated that he felt this type of structure was more attractive than an accessory building located out in the rear yard and that he has put a lot of time and money into the structure.

The chairman opened the public hearing.

Mr. Blass, 4148 Wentworth was present and objected to this structure; he feels the structure is unsightly and is very close to his property, there are no other buildings like this in the area, and feels it reduces the value of his property.

There was one letter of approval on file from Charles McQuinn, 4180 Wentworth.

There were seven letters of objection on file from: Otto Brass, 4148 Wentworth; W. T. McManus, 4212 Wentworth; Martha Adams, 4213 Wentworth; George Conaway, 4209 Wentworth; Henry & Bessie Polasky, 4235 Brandywyne; H. W. Dixon, 4149 Wentworth; Mr. & Mrs. Lee, 4181 Wentworth.

The chairman closed the public hearing.

Motion by: Lovio
Supported by: Milia

MOVED, that the request to erect an accessory building adjacent to the single family dwelling in lieu of the required 10' distance required be denied for the following reason:

1. Insufficient hardship.

ayes: 6
nays: 0
absent: 1

MOTION TO DENY CARRIED.

D-1

ITEM #16. Variance Requested. Mark Kadrovach, 1454 Boyd, to erect an attached garage with a total side yard of 12' where 15' is required.

Mr. VandenBussche explained that the petitioner is requesting a permit to construct an addition to a single family residence (attached garage). This addition will result in the total side yards being 12'. The zoning ordinance requires a minimum total of two side yards to be 15' in this residential district.

Mark Kadrovach, the owner, was present and indicated he was erecting the garage for the storage of his boat, he was doing this to save money on storage and because of the security of storing the boat in an enclosed building on his own property. He indicated that he is requesting permission to erect a garage larger than 21' because it would be very tight and he would have to remove the outriggers from the boat.

The chairman opened the public hearing.

There were no comments from the audience.

There were two letters of approval on file: Charles & Mildred Henry, 1441 Boyd and Daryle Bilicki, 1394 Boyd.

The chairman closed the public hearing

Motion by: Milia
Supported by: Wilson

MOVED, that permission to erect an attached garage that will result in a total side yard of 12' where 15' is required be denied for the following reason:

1. The encroachment is due to an inconvenience and there is no practical hardship shown.

ayes: 6
nays: 0
absent: 1

MOTION TO DENY CARRIED.

ITEM #17. Variance Requested. LaBarge and Dining, 553 Robbins, for relief to maintain a parking lot in the front setback area (30'x23' area).

Mr. VandenBussche explained that the petitioner is requesting permission to maintain a 23' x 30' paved parking lot within the required setback of an industrial district. The parking lot will be located 30' from the front property line. The zoning ordinance does not permit parking within the 50' front setback of an industrial district.

Mr. Richard Cieszkowski, the owner and his attorney Mr. LaBarge were present and indicated that there are other buildings on the street with off-street parking and that their offices are in the front of the building and customers would have to park out in back of the building and walk around to the front when calling at their offices. This would cause a hardship and inconvenience for the customers, especially during inclement weather. He indicated this would be for visitor parking only.

The chairman opened the public hearing.

Mike Damone, representing the owner of the subdivision and adjacent property owner was present and objected to this parking as he felt it was no hardship, the owner of the building was aware of the parking when he purchased the building. Also, he indicated that the parking is in violation of the developers agreement.

There were 2 letters of objection on file: Werner H. Wahl, Ph.D, Nuclear Diagnostics, Inc, 575 Robbins and Walter DiGiulio, MD, Ronald C. Lamparter, and Carl A. Lamparter of Walcarbon Investments, 575 Robbins.

There were also 2 petitions signed by owners or interested parties of properties at: 507 Robbins, 359 Robbins, 388 Robbins, 500 Robbins, 651 Robbins, 319 Executive, 379 Executive, 2401 Elliott, 574 Robbins, 556 Robbins, 575 Robbins, 648 Robbins, 651 Robbins, 500 Robbins, 450 Robbins, 388 Robbins and 376 Robbins.

Motion by: Melaragni
Supported by: Lovio

MOVED, that the request to maintain a parking lot in the front setback area at 553 Robbins be denied for the following reasons:

D-1

October 16, 1979

1. There was no sufficient hardship shown.
2. The owner was aware of the parking when he purchased the building

ayes: 6
nays: 0
absent: 1

MOTION TO DENY CARRIED.

ITEM #18. Variance Requested. Clarke Harris, 6711 Smith Court, for relief to erect a 16' x 12' open wood deck extending 13' into the rear yard where the zoning ordinance only permits open decks to extend 10' into a rear yard.

Mr. VandenBussche explained that the petitioner is requesting a permit to construct an open patio (wood deck) extending 13' into a required rear yard. The zoning ordinance permits open deck type patios to extend into the rear yard not more than 10'.

Clarke Harris, the owner, was present indicated that the size of the deck is the most desirable size and that his pie shaped lot creates a shallow rear yard.

The chairman opened the public hearing.

There were no comments from the audience.

There was one letter of approval on file from D. W. Brown, 6721 Granger.

The chairman closed the public hearing.

Motion by: Melaragni
Supported by:

MOVED, that the request to erect an open wood deck patio extending 13' into the rear yard be denied for the following reasons:

1. No hardship shown.
2. There are no property owners in the area at present to express approval or denial.

MOTION FAILED DUE TO LACK OF SUPPORT.

Motion by: Pearo
Supported by: Wilson

MOVED, that the request to erect an open deck patio extending 13' into the rear yard be approved for the following reason:

1. The shape of the lot has created a small rear yard.

ayes: 4
nays: 2 (Melaragni, Milia)
absent: 1

MOTION TO APPROVE FAILED. REQUEST DENIED

ITEM #19. Variance Requested. Ring Screw Works, 1405 John R., for relief to construct an industrial building with parking for 19 cars in lieu of the required 43 parking spaces.

Mr. VandenBussche explained that the petitioner is requesting a permit to construct an industrial building with a parking area for 19 cars. The zoning ordinance requires parking accommodations for 43 cars for a building of this size. A permit for this industrial building was issued by the Building Department showing a parking lot that conformed to the zoning ordinance. Subsequently, the petitioner has requested a revision of the site plan to the proposed parking area of only 19 cars.

Harold Chappell, the petitioner, was present and indicated that he is requesting permission to reduce the size of the parking area because of the small number of employees (approximately 6 to 7 employees) on any one shift. The cost of installing parking that would not be used would be excessive.

D-1

The chairman opened the public hearing.

There were no comments from the audience.

There were no letters on file.

The chairman closed the public hearing.

Motion by: Lovio
Supported by: Pearo

MOVED, that the request to reduce the parking area from 43 to 19 spaces be denied for the following reasons:

1. There is sufficient property to install a parking lot with the number of spaces required by the zoning ordinance.
2. There is not sufficient hardship shown.

ayes: 3
nays: 3 (Melaragni, Wilson, Milia)
absent: 1

MOTION TO DENY FAILS

Motion by: Melaragni
Supported by: Milia

MOVED, that the request to reduce the parking area from 43 to 19 spaces be approved for the following reason and with the following condition.

1. The petitioner does not need the additional parking.
2. That this request be reconsidered on a yearly basis in case the status of the building and parking needed changes.

ayes: 5
nays: 1 (Lovio)
absent: 1

MOTION TO APPROVE FOR ONE YEAR CARRIED.

ITEM #20. Variance Requested. Donald K. Larkins, 968 Trombley, to erect an 18' x20' attached garage 1.6' from the side lot line where 5' is required, which results in a relief of total side yard from 15' to 6.5'.

Stephen C. Grozner, the builder, and Donald Larkins, the petitioner, were present and indicated that the request for an attached garage that would result in the encroachment into the required side yard was because Mrs. Larkins arrived home from work very late and it would be safer for her to enter an attached garage. They also indicated that Mr. Larkins was not aware of the side yard requirements when he purchased the house.

The chairman opened the public hearing.

There were no comments from the audience.

There was one letter of approval on file from John Stevens, 920 Trombley.

There was one petition of approval on file signed by 5 property owners.

The chairman closed the public hearing.

Motion by: Lovio
Supported by: Milia

MOVED, that the request to erect an attached garage that would result in a 1.6' side yard in lieu of the 5' required be denied for the following reasons:

1. A 1.6' side yard variance is excessive.
2. Insufficient hardship shown.

ayes: 4
nays: 2 (Pearo, Giachino)
absent: 1

MOTION TO DENY CARRIED.

D-1

ITEM #21. Variance Requested. Amber and Amber, 1257-1261 Kirts, to erect ten carports 26.5' from the front lot line and 6' from the main building in lieu of the required 30' front setback and the required 10' from the main building.

Mr. VandenBussche explained that the petitioner is requesting a permit to erect ten accessory buildings (carports) that will be 26.5' from the front property line and 6' from the principal building. The zoning ordinance requires that accessory buildings shall be located a minimum of 10' from the principal building on a site. The required front setback for this type of zoning is 30' and the ordinance does not permit structures to be located within this required front setback.

Paul Amber, the petitioner, was present and indicated that his tenants have requested carports and that because of the location of the utilities he could not place the carports in the location desired and that this is the only place he can erect them.

The chairman opened the public hearing.

There were no comments from the audience.

There was one letter of objection on file from David I. Friedman, 2200 Crooks Road.

The chairman closed the public hearing.

Motion by: Wilson
Supported by: Lovio

MOVED, that approval for a variance to erect carports with a 26.5' front setback and a 6' distance from the principal structure in lieu of a 30' setback and 10' distance from the principal structure be approved for the following reason:

1. The carports cannot be located at another location because of the utilities.

ayes: 5
nays: 1 (Giachino)
absent: 1

MOTION TO APPROVE CARRIED.

ITEM #2. Renewal Requested. Evanswood Church of God, 2601 W. Square Lake, for relief of the required 4'6" masonry screening wall along the west property line adjacent to residential property.

The petitioner was not present

Motion by: Milia
Supported by: Melaragni

MOVED, that this item be tabled until the next regular meeting to allow the petitioner to be present.

ayes: 6
nays: 0
absent: 1

MOTION TO TABLE CARRIED.

The meeting adjourned at 11:15 p.m.

11

D-1