# PLANNING COMMISSION <br> MEETING AGENDA REGULAR MEETING 

Marianna Perakis, Chair, Lakshmi Malalahalli, Vice Chair
Toby Buechner, Carlton Faison, Tyler Fox, Michael W. Hutson, Tom Krent, Dave Lambert and John J. Tagle

1. ROLL CALL
2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES - January 23, 2024
4. PUBLIC COMMENT - For Items Not on the Agenda

## PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN

5. PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN APPROVAL (JPLN2023-0033) -. Proposed Premier Academy Troy daycare center and preschool, Northwest corner of Crooks and Wattles (PIN 88-20-17-476-056, 0-57 \& -061), Section 17, Zoned NN (Neighborhood Node "I" ) Zoning District.

## OTHER ITEMS

6. PUBLIC COMMENT- For Items on the Agenda
7. PLANNING COMMISSION COMMENT
8. ADJOURN

Chair Perakis called the Regular meeting of the Troy City Planning Commission to order at 7:00 p.m. on January 23, 2024, in the Council Chamber of the Troy City Hall. Chair Perakis and Vice Chair Malalahalli presented opening remarks relative to the role of the Planning Commission and procedure of tonight's meeting.

1. ROLL CALL

Present:
Toby Buechner
Carlton M. Faison
Tyler Fox
Michael W. Hutson
Tom Krent
David Lambert
Lakshmi Malalahalli
Marianna Perakis
John J. Tagle
Also Present:
Ben Carlisle, Carlisle Wortman \& Associates
R. Brent Savidant, Community Development Director

Julie Quinlan Dufrane, Assistant City Attorney
Kathy L. Czarnecki, Recording Secretary
2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Resolution \# PC-2024-01-004
Moved by: Faison
Support by: Buechner
RESOLVED, To approve the Agenda as prepared.
Yes: All present (9)
MOTION CARRIED
3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES - January 9, 2024

Resolution \# PC-2024-01-005
Moved by: Lambert
Support by: Faison
RESOLVED, To approve the minutes of January 9, 2024 Regular meeting as submitted.

Yes: All present (9)

## MOTION CARRIED

4. PUBLIC COMMENT - For Items Not on the Agenda

There was no one present who wished to speak.

## PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN

5. PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN APPROVAL (JPLN2023-0028) - Proposed John R Commons Townhome Development, West side of John R, North of Big Beaver (PIN 88-20-23-476-017), Section 23, Zoned MF (Multi-Family Residential) Zoning District

Mr. Savidant announced three dimensional (3-D) color renderings requested of the applicant are displayed in the visual presentation this evening.

Mr. Carlisle gave a brief history of a previous 2019 application of the John R Commons Townhome Development in which the applicant requested a rezoning of the parcels and was denied by the City Council. Mr. Carlisle stated the application before the Board this evening is a by-right development and not for a rezoning change. He identified the significant changes to the application as relates to a reduction in the number of units, alignment of five apartment buildings along the south property line, reconfiguration of the detention pond, a reduction of size/massing of the buildings abutting the northern property line, elimination of recreational area and clubhouse, reduction of building height to 25 feet and adding outdoor amenity spaces.

Mr. Carlisle addressed site arrangement, setbacks, building height, buffers along both the north and south property lines, access and circulation, mitigation of trees, trash removal, elevations, landscaping, and parking. He asked the applicant to consider some type of physical screening and/or additional landscaping to improve the buffer adjacent to the commercial uses. Mr. Carlisle asked the applicant to provide color renderings and to address building materials, specifically the type of siding.

Mr. Carlisle announced that the City Traffic Consultant Stephen Dearing of OHM Advisors is present this evening to address any traffic concerns.

In summary, Mr. Carlisle asked the Planning Commission to consider in its deliberations if the application meets Site Plan Review Design Standards (Section 8.06) and that the applicant consider additional screening options including a screen wall with additional landscaping as part of the Final Site Plan submittal.

Some of the comments during discussion among the administration related to:

- Ingress and egress.
- Width of typical residential streets and private roads.
- Public stub street off John R.
- Bicycle parking.
- Green space.
- Recreational amenities.


## Property owner/applicant Dennis Bostick, Civil Engineer Greg Bono of PEA and Project Architect Brian Neeper were present.

Mr. Bostick addressed the proposed rezoning that the City Council denied in 2019. He said the 2019 townhome project offered more amenities than the proposed development for Planning Commission consideration this evening. He identified properties he owns, including five acres behind the San Marino Club zoned for single family residential.

There was discussion, some comments related to:

- Ingress and egress.
- Nancy Bostick Drive
- Commercial center to the south.
- Buffer/screening on both the north side adjacent to residential and the south side adjacent to the commercial uses.
- Solid screening (brick wall) to buffer residential to north.
- Additional landscaping.
- Difference of north and south density of buffering.
- Applicant prefers landscaping.
- Potential for light spillage and noise pollution from commercial uses.
- Pedestrian circulation within development; pattern of sidewalks.
- Pedestrian access to commercial uses.
- Commercial entrances; both front and rear.
- Retention pond, as relates to aesthetics.
- Green space, amenities offered; i.e., outdoor seating, dog park, internal sidewalk loops, optional recreational uses.
- Concerns with traffic circulation, traffic pattern.
- Internal circulation.
- Access points.
- Safety of children and pedestrians (nearby soccer fields and commercial parking).
- Traffic calming devices.
- Emergency vehicular access (EVA).
- Bicycle parking, location of bicycle spaces.
- Marketing of townhomes; option to rent or own.
- Building materials and color scheme.
- Site arrangement; soften with green space, vertical landscaping.
- Tree survey; removal of landmark tree.
- Architectural features as relates to Site Plan Design Standards.

Mr. Bostick indicated he is amenable to the Planning Commission comments.
City Traffic Consultant Stephen Dearing addressed the ingress and egress accesses off Nancy Bostick Drive and the commercial center to the south. He said the traffic impact study completed for the previously proposed development in 2019 determined that access off Nancy Bostick Drive should be signalized. Mr. Dearing said the reduced density of the proposed development before the Board this evening would generate less traffic. He indicated a rudimentary analysis of generated traffic during peak hours would go either way; to signalize Nancy Bostwick Drive or install an EVA. He addressed traffic calming techniques that might ease concerns for safety.

Chair Perakis opened the floor for public comment. Acknowledging there was no one present who wished to speak, Chair Perakis closed the floor for public comment.

## Resolution \# PC-2024-01-006

Moved by: Lambert
Seconded by: Fox
RESOLVED, That Preliminary Site Plan Approval, pursuant to Article 8 of the Zoning Ordinance, as requested for the proposed John R Commons Townhome Development, located on the West side of John R, North of Big Beaver, Section 23, Zoned MF (MultiFamily Residential) District, be postponed for the following reasons to address the Planning Commission comments:

1. Landscape buffer on the south side of the development.
2. Landscape enhancements.
3. Pedestrian amenities.
4. Vehicular access at the southwest entrance.
5. Recreational amenities.
6. Consideration of saving additional trees.

Yes: All present (9)
MOTION CARRIED

## OTHER ITEMS

## 6. PLANNING COMMISSION 2023 ANNUAL REPORT

Mr. Savidant acknowledged Mr. Lambert and Mr. Krent brought to his attention a few corrections to the 2023 Annual Report. He said he would submit a corrected version of the report to the City Council.
7. PUBLIC COMMENT - For Items on the Agenda

There was no one present who wished to speak.

## 8. PLANNING COMMISSION COMMENT

There were general Planning Commission comments, some relating to:

- Upcoming Oakland County online webinar on stormwater standards.
- Administrative review of tree surveys submitted by applicants.
- Review/consideration of the Master Plan is scheduled on the City Council January 29, 2024 meeting.
- Setback requirements in relation to the number of variance requests going before the Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA).

Ms. Dufrane clarified the procedure that the Board is required to follow for Resolutions that recommend approval and/or denial of an application for action by the City Council.
9. ADJOURN

The Regular meeting of the Planning Commission adjourned at 8:34 p.m.
Respectfully submitted,

Marianna Perakis, Chair

Kathy L. Czarnecki, Recording Secretary
https://d.docs.live.net/2f7ed4fe5f664ea8/Documents/Kathy/COT Planning Commission Minutes/2024/2024 0123 Draft.docx

DATE: February 7, 2024
TO: Planning Commission
FROM: R. Brent Savidant, Community Development Director
SUBJECT: PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN APPROVAL (JPLN2023-0033) -. Proposed Premier Academy Troy daycare center and preschool, Northwest corner of Crooks and Wattles (PIN 88-20-17-476-056, 0-57 \& -061), Section 17, Zoned NN (Neighborhood Node "I") Zoning District.

The petitioner JS Capitol Group submitted the above referenced Preliminary Site Plan application for a 14,328 square-foot daycare and preschool center. The property is currently zoned NN (Neighborhood Node "I") Zoning District. The Planning Commission is responsible for granting Preliminary Site Plan approval for this item.

The attached report prepared by Carlisle/Wortman Associates, Inc. (CWA), the City's Planning Consultant, summarizes the project. CWA prepared the report with input from various City departments including Planning, Engineering, Public Works and Fire. City Management supports the findings of fact contained in the report and the recommendations included therein.

Attachments:

1. Maps
2. Report prepared by Carlisle/Wortman Associates, Inc.
3. Preliminary site plan

## PROPOSED RESOLUTION

PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN APPROVAL (JPLN2023-0033) -. Proposed Premier Academy Troy daycare center and preschool, Northwest corner of Crooks and Wattles (PIN 88-20-17-476-056, $0-57$ \& -061), Section 17, Zoned NN (Neighborhood Node "I") Zoning District.

Resolution \# PC-2024-02-
Moved by:
Seconded by:
RESOLVED, That Preliminary Site Plan Approval, pursuant to Article 8 of the Zoning Ordinance, as requested for the proposed Premier Academy Troy daycare center and preschool, located on the northwest corner of Crooks and Wattles (PIN 88-20-17-476-056, 0-57 \& -061), Section 17, Zoned NN (Neighborhood Node "I") Zoning District, be granted, subject to the following:

1) Obtain LOMA prior to Final Engineering approval.
2) Obtain EGLE wetland permit, if necessary, prior to Final Engineering approval.
3) Provide bicycle parking.

| (denied, for the following reasons: _____) or |
| :--- | :--- |
| (postponed, for the following reasons: $\quad$ ) or |

Yes:
No:
MOTION CARRIED/FAILED

## GIS Online



## TROY cISOnline




Note: The information provided by this application has been compiled from recorded deeds, plats, tax maps, surveys, and other public records and data. It is not a legally recorded map survey. Users of this data are hereby notified that the source information represented should be consulted for verification.

# Preliminary Site Plan 

For
City of Troy, Michigan

| Applicant: | JS Capitol Group |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project Name: | Premier Academy |
| Location: | Northwest corner of Crooks and Wattles |
| Plan Date: | December 8, 2023 |
| Zoning: | NN, Neighborhood Nodes ("I") |
| Action Requested: | Preliminary Site Plan |

## SITE DESCRIPTION

This is a review for Premier Academy, a one-story freestanding 14,328 square-foot daycare and preschool center. There are currently four (4) other Premier Academy facilities in Michigan. The site is located at the northwest corner of Crooks and Wattles. The building includes a pre-k classroom, pre-school classroom, four Montessori's, and designated infant and toddler areas; plus a lobby, laundry room, kitchen, gym, playground, and other smaller rooms such as restrooms and storage spaces.

Premier Academy has locations in Rochester Hills, Northville, Macomb, and Oakland Township.

The site is zoned Neighborhood Node, site type B. Childcare centers are a permitted use.

The proposed site contains three parcels of undeveloped land. Each parcel is part of Lot 4 of the "SUPERVISOR’S PLAT OF TROY FARMSITES" subdivision.

February 8, 2024

- Parcel A: 20-17-476-061
- Parcel B: 20-17-476-056
- Parcel C: 20-17-476-057

If approved, the lots will need to be combined.
Site Location:


Proposed Uses of Subject Parcel:

One-story freestanding 14,328 square foot retail building.

## Current Zoning:

The property is currently zoned NN, Neighborhood Nodes ("I") Form Based District.
Surrounding Property Details:

| Direction | Zoning | Use |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | NN, Neighborhood Nodes ("I") | Single-Family Residential |
| South | NN, Neighborhood Nodes ("।") | Single-Family Residential |
| East | NN, Neighborhood Nodes ("I") <br> R-1B One Family Residential | Vacant |
| West | NN, Neighborhood Nodes ("।") | Single-Family Residential |

## NATURAL FEATURES

Topography/Wetlands/Drain: The existing site contains a 6,100 sq/ft wetland area and lies in a designated floodplain. The wetland area covers the site entirely at the north end of the property and subsides roughly halfway towards the southern end of the property. An existing county drain (Lane Drain) runs through Parcels A and C. The applicant is developing and grading within the 100-year floodplain and installing a retaining wall between the parking lot and floodplain/drain. To do the proposed work, the applicant will be required to obtain a Letter of Map Amendment (LOMA) from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). A LOMA is an official amendment, by letter, to an effective National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) map. In addition, due to wetlands associated with the Lane Drain, a wetland permit may be required from EGLE.

Prior to final site plan approval and any Engineering approval, the applicant is required to obtain the LOMA and wetland permit, if necessary. It should be noted that the applicant may be able to reduce impact and development within the floodplain and wetland if they are able to eliminate the 11 parking spaces located north of the building adjacent to the proposed retaining wall. See parking section for more information.

Woodlands: The site contains roughly 230 existing trees of various native and invasive species. Of the 230 trees, 36 are regulated trees. Of the woodland trees, the applicant will remove 32 trees and save 3. There is one singular Landmark tree on-site which will also be removed.

Full replacement and preservation details are shown in Table 2.
Table 2. - Woodland Protection Ordinance

| Replacement Details |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Protected Tree | Inches Removed | Replacement Required |
| Landmark | 12 inches | 12 inches |
| Woodland | 312 inches | 156 inches |

February 8, 2024

| Preservation/Mitigation | Inches Preserved | Credit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Landmark | 0 inches | 0 inches |
| Woodland | 27 inches | 54 inches |
|  |  |  |
| Total | 114 inches required for replacement. |  |

Items to be addressed:

1) Consider removing or landbank parking to reduce impact upon floodplain and drain. See details under "Parking" section.
2) Obtain LOMA prior to final site plan approval.
3) If required, EGLE wetland permit will be obtained prior to final Engineering approvals.

## AREA, WIDTH, HEIGHT, SETBACKS

The proposed building is being reviewed under Building Form A.

|  | Required / Maximum | Provided | Compliance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Front (South) | 10-foot build-to-line | 10 -feet | Complies |
| Front (East) | 10-foot build-to-line | 21.5 -feet | Complies with Planning <br> Commission approval |
| Side (West) | N/A, building may be <br> placed up to property <br> line | 89.75 -feet | Complies |
| Rear (North) | 30-foot minimum <br> setback | 236 -feet | Complies |
| Building Height | 1 story, 14-feet, <br> 2.5 stories, 30 -feet | 1 story, 18.5 feet | Complies |
| Open Space | $30 \%$ | Complies |  |
| Parking Location | Cannot be located in <br> front yard | Located behind <br> building | Complies |

Items to be addressed: None

## PARKING

|  | Required | Provided |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Day Care Center: 2 spaces plus 1 } \\ \text { additional space for each 8 children }\end{array}$ |  | 44 |
| Loading zone | $196 / 8+2$ spaces $=27$ spaces |  |$]$ None | Barrier Free | 2 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bicycle | 2 | 44 |
| Total | 27 | 2 |

In order to reduce impervious surface and not overpark sites, parking in excess of $20 \%$ of the parking minimum requires Planning Commission approval. The applicant is required to have 27 spaces but is providing 44 spaces, $61 \%$ over the required. We note that there are 11 spaces located north of the building. Removing these 11 spaces may allow a reduction in the amount of grading within the floodplain and impact upon drain and wetlands. Removing these 11 spaces would reduce the total number of spaces to 33 , which still exceeds the parking required for the site. In conversations with the applicant, they note that they need a certain amount of parking based on their need. We had raised this issue with the applicant in a previous review. They note that based on their experience of other facilities, they think parking is sufficient. The applicant provided a parking summary, depicting the average number of arrivals and departures per hour at other Premier Academy locations. Applicant states that having less than 44 parking spaces would lead to congestion and inconvenience.

A designated loading zone is not indicated on the site plan. The applicant states that like all other Premier Academy facilities, operations do not require any kind of large deliveries; therefore, a loading zone is not necessary.

## Items to be Addressed:

1) Planning Commission to consider allowing parking to exceed greater than the $20 \%$ of the parking minimum. Applicant to provide written justification. As part of discussion, the Planning Commission should discuss with the applicant removing or landbanking the 11 spaces on the north side of the building to reduce impact upon floodplain and the necessity of a loading zone.
2) Applicant states that bicycle parking has been added; however, we have not been able to locate such parking on the revised site plans. Provide bicycle parking.

## TRAFFIC and CIRCULATION

The site plan has been reviewed by the Engineering Department and by OHM. In their review they note that:

The Fire Department has reviewed the project and found access and circulation to be sufficient. OHM concerns have been addressed.

Items to be addressed: None.

## SPECIFIC USE PROVISIONS

Day Care Centers have specific use standards as set forth in Section 6.09 of the Zoning Ordinance:

1. Frontage on either a major or minor arterial street shall be required.

## CWA Comment: Complies

2. A separate drop-off and pickup area shall be required adjacent to the main building entrance, located off of a public street and the parking access lane, and shall be of sufficient size so as to not create congestion on the site or within a public roadway.

CWA Comment: There is no separate drop-off and pickup area. The applicant explains that the staggered nature of drop-off and pick up times allows for a smooth and even flow of visitors throughout the day. They state that designated parking spaces shall be marked "reserved" for drop-off and pick up.
3. There shall be an outdoor play area of at least one thousand $(1,000)$ square feet provided on the premises. Said play area shall not be located within the front setback. This requirement may be waived if a public play area is available five hundred (500) feet from the subject parcel.

CWA Comment: The play area greatly exceeds 1,000 sq.ft.
4. Appropriate licenses with the State shall be maintained.

CWA Comment: The applicant will be required to obtain and maintain the required state licenses.

Items to be Addressed:

1) Explain the drop-off and pickup operation to confirm that congestion on the site or within a public roadway will not be created.

Site Plan Revisions 1/26/24:

## LANDSCAPING

A landscaping plan has been provided on Sheet L101. The following table discusses the development's compliance with the landscape requirements set forth in Section 13.02.

|  | Required: | Provided: | Compliance: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crooks and Wattles: The Ordinance requires that the greenbelt shall be landscaped with a minimum of one (1) deciduous tree for every thirty (30) lineal feet, or fraction thereof, of frontage abutting a public road right-of-way. | Crooks: 330 feet $=11$ trees <br> Wattles: 292 feet = 10 trees | 11 trees <br> 10 trees | Complies <br> Complies |
| Site landscaping: <br> A minimum of twenty (20\%) of the site area shall be comprised of landscape material. Up to twenty-five percent (25\%) of the required landscape area may be brick, stone, pavers, or other public plaza elements, but shall not include any parking area or required sidewalks. | 20\% | 60\% | Complies |
| Screening between uses: <br> Table 13.02 A and 13.02 B set forth screening requirements relating to adjacent land uses. | East: Screening required | Not provided | Complies |
| Parking Lot Trees: 1 per 8 spaces | 44 spaces $=6$ trees | 7 trees | Complies |
| Tree mitigation: | Required 114 inches | Provided 114 inches | Complies |

## Transformer / Trash Enclosure:

The trash enclosure will be screened with a masonry block wall.

## Retaining Wall/ Play Area Fence

A concrete Versa-Lok retaining wall system will be utilized. A four (4) foot ornamental steel fence shall be erected around the playground.

Items to be Addressed: None.

## PHOTOMETRICS

The applicant is proposing three (3) new parking lot lights, and three (3) wall lights. We note that the fixtures and footcandle measurements comply and the parking lot lighting averages over 3FC throughout the lot.

Items to be Addressed: None.

## FLOOR PLAN AND ELEVATIONS

The applicant has submitted floor plans and elevations. The proposed building materials include modular metal panels in shades of blue, green, grey, and white. The colors proposed for the building are Premier Academy's corporate colors. The south elevation (adjacent to Wattles) of the building includes many windows, with the largest window featuring an oversized decal of Premier Academy's logo (dimensions not included).

Items to be Addressed: None.

## DESIGN STANDARDS AND SITE PLAN REVIEW STANDARDS

The Neighborhood Node design standards as well as Site Plan review standards provide the Planning Commission with direction when reviewing the proposed site plan and design features of this development. We note that the applicant is compliant with the following transparency requirements:

- South (Wattles): 50\% required, $62 \%$ provided (as calculated by applicant)
- East (Crooks): $50 \%$ required, $58 \%$ provided (as calculated by applicant)
- West (parking lot): $30 \%$ required, $48 \%$ provided (as calculated by applicant)
- North (parking lot): $30 \%$ required, $50 \%$ provided (as calculated by applicant)

Section 8.06 outlines Site Plan Review Design Standards.

1. Development shall ensure compatibility with existing commercial districts and provide a transition between land uses.
a. Building design shall enhance the character of the surrounding area in relation to building and parking placement, landscape and streetscape features, and architectural design.
b. Street fronts shall provide a variety of architectural expression that is appropriate in its context and prevents monotony.
c. Building design shall achieve a compatible transition between areas with different height, massing, scale, and architectural style.
2. Development shall incorporate the recognized best architectural building design practices.
a. Foster a lasting impact on the community through the provision of high quality design, construction, and detailing.
b. Provide high quality, durable materials, such as but not limited to stone, brick, glass, and metal. E.I.F.S. or material equivalent shall only be used as an accent material.
c. Develop buildings with creativity that includes balanced compositions and forms.
d. Design roofs that are appropriate to the architectural style of the building and create an appropriate visual exterior mass of the building given the context of the site.
e. For commercial buildings, incorporate clearly defined, highly visible customer entrances using features such as canopies, porticos, arcades, arches, wing walls, ground plane elements, and/or landscape planters.
f. Include community amenities that add value to the development such as patio/ seating areas, water features, art work or sculpture, clock towers, pedestrian plazas with park benches or other features located in areas accessible to the public.
3. Enhance the character, environment and safety for pedestrians and motorists.
a. Provide elements that define the street and the pedestrian realm.
b. Create a connection between the public right of way and ground floor activities.
c. Create a safe environment by employing design features to reduce vehicular and pedestrian conflict, while not sacrificing design excellence.
d. Enhance the pedestrian realm by framing the sidewalk area with trees, awnings, and other features.
e. Improve safety for pedestrians through site design measures.

Items to be Addressed: None.

## SUMMARY

As part of the deliberation, the Planning Commission and the applicant shall discuss:

1) Allowing parking to exceed greater than the $20 \%$ of the parking minimum. As part of discussion, the Planning Commission should discuss with the applicant removing the 11 spaces on the north side of the building or landbanking that parking to reduce impact upon floodplain.
2) Compliance with Section 8.06 Site Plan Review Standards.

If Planning Commission approves the preliminary site plan, the following conditions shall be required as part of the final site plan submittal:

1) Obtain LOMA prior to Final Engineering approval.
2) Obtain EGLE wetland permit, if necessary, prior to Final Engineering approval.
3) Provide bicycle parking

Sincerely,


CARLISLE/WORTMAN ASSOC., INC.
Benjamin R. Carlisle, AICP, LEED AP President


CAREISLE/WORTMAN ASSOC., INC.
Shana Kot
Community Planner
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View of side facade from Crooks Rd.



View of front facade from Wattles Rd.
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## Parking Summary:

Our childcare facility has meticulously assessed the parking needs to ensure efficiency and sustainability. The allocation of 44 parking spaces is a carefully calculated decision aimed at optimizing the available space while avoiding unnecessary excess. This number strikes a balance that accommodates the expected number of staff and parents dropping off or picking up their children. Having fewer parking spaces would lead to congestion and inconvenience for parents, potentially affecting the smooth functioning of the childcare center. The allocation of 44 parking spaces reflects an adequate amount to allow for staff to park for the duration of their shift, lunch breakers to park for their mid-shift and to allow for enough spots for parents to have a smooth and safe pick up and drop off for their children. We are adamant in ensuring that our facility is both practical and considerate of the community of Troy.

Please refer to the following pages for supporting traffic data \& existing Premier Academy parking lot sizes for reference.

## Drop-off / Pick-up Procedure:

The operating hours at Premier Academy are 6:30am-6:30pm. Drop off takes place between 6:30am9:30am. Pick-up times are scheduled between 3:00pm-6:30pm which helps avoid any congestion and additional traffic to the surrounding roads at any one given time. Our staggered pickup and drop off allow for a smooth and even flow of visitors throughout the day.

The designated drop-off area would be along the front of the facility with select parking spaces marked as reserved. This is where parents can safely pull in and load/unload their students. Due to the staggered nature of drop off and pick up, these reserved parking spaces would never be at capacity all at once.

This pickup and drop off procedure for Premier Academy - Troy would ensure the safety of our school community and additionally those driving by the facility.


[^1]-•••

## ARRIVING

DEPARTING

## PREMIER ACADEMY <br> 12 HOUR TRAFFIC PATTERNS BY CAMPUS

| OAKLAND |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| TIME | ARRIVING | DEPARTING |
| 6:30-7:30AM | 41 | 29 |
| $7: 30-8: 30$ AM | 68 | 58 |
| 8:30-9:30AM | 44 | 38 |
| $9: 30-10: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 7 | 6 |
| 10:30-11:30AM | 6 | 12 |
| 11:30-12:30PM | 12 | 10 |
| 12:30-1:30PM | 6 | 15 |
| 1:30-2:30PM | 10 | 8 |
| $2: 30-3: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 28 | 34 |
| $3: 30-4: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 47 | 48 |
| $4: 30-5: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 33 | 37 |
| $5: 30-6: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 15 | 22 |

CAPACITY:215

| ROCHESTER HILLS |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| TIME | ARRIVING | DEPARTING |
| 6:30-7:30AM | 37 | 30 |
| $7: 30-8: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 63 | 55 |
| $8: 30-9: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 38 | 36 |
| $9: 30-10: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 4 | 5 |
| $10: 30-11: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 4 | 7 |
| 11:30-12:30PM | 11 | 8 |
| $12: 30-1: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 9 |
| $1: 30-2: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 8 | 6 |
| $2: 30-3: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 25 | 27 |
| $3: 30-4: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 39 | 43 |
| $4: 30-5: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 29 | 31 |
| $5: 30-6: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 14 | 19 |

CAPACITY:210

| NORTHVILLE |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| TIME | ARRIVING | DEPARTING |
| 6:30-7:30AM | 30 | 22 |
| $7: 30-8: 30$ AM | 58 | 52 |
| $8: 30-9: 30$ AM | 33 | 25 |
| $9: 30-10: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 9 | 7 |
| 10:30-11:30AM | 4 | 13 |
| 11:30-12:30PM | 11 | 8 |
| 12:30-1:30PM | 7 | 11 |
| 1:30-2:30PM | 8 | 6 |
| $2: 30-3: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 24 | 25 |
| $3: 30-4: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 37 | 42 |
| $4: 30-5: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 28 | 32 |
| $5: 30-6: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 11 | 17 |

CAPACITY:155



## KRIEGER KLATT

ARCHITECTS
01.19.2024

Benjamin Carlisle, LEED AP, AICP
Carlisle | Wortman Associates
117 North First Street, Suite 70
Ann Arbor, MI 48104

Re: Preliminary Site Plan Review
Northwest corner of Crooks \& Wattles
Premier Academy (Proposed Child Care Facility)

Thank you for your review of the Premier Academy project. This letter is to address the following review comments below.

## Natural Features

1. Consider removing parking to reduce impact upon floodplain and drain.

Proposed parking to be retained as shown. Further clarification explaining this request to maintain the proposed number of spaces is included within this resubmission.
2. Obtain LOMA prior to final site plan approval.

Will obtain LOMA permit, prior to final Engineering approvals.
3. Obtain EGLE wetland permit, if necessary, prior to final site plan approval.

If required, will obtain EGLE wetland permit, prior to final Engineering approvals.

## Parking

1. Planning Commission to consider allowing parking to exceed greater than the $20 \%$ of the parking minimum. Applicant to provide written justification. As part of discussion, the Planning Commission should discuss with the applicant removing the 11 spaces on the north side of the building to reduce impact upon floodplain.

Proposed parking to be retained as shown. Further clarification explaining this request to maintain the proposed number of spaces is included within this resubmission.
2. Provide bicycle parking.

Bicycle parking is now provided. Refer to revised site plan.

Parking Section Note: A designated loading zone is not indicated on the site plan. Like all other Premier Academy facilities, operations do not require any kind of large deliveries therefore a loading zone is not necessary.

## KRIEGER KLATT

ARCHITECTS

## Traffic \& Circulation

1. A right turn deceleration lane should be provided along Wattles Road at the proposed driveway.

A right turn deceleration lane has been provided along Wattles Road. Refer to revised the site plan.
2. The driveway throat width should be a minimum of 30 feet. It is currently shown as 25 feet.

The driveway throat has been increased to 30 feet. Refer to the revised site plan.
3. At the northeast corner of the parking lot, the last spot is adjacent to the grass paver drive.

Pave a small stub to allow vehicles to back out of the space, then transition to the pavers.
A small paved stub has been included on the revised site plan to allow vehicles to back out of the last northeast corner parking space.
4. Increase sidewalk along Crooks and Wattles to 8-feet in width.

Sidewalk width has been increased along Crooks \& Wattles to 8 feet.

- Eliminate EVA

EVA has been removed.

## Traffic and Circulation

1. Explain the drop-off and pickup operation to confirm that congestion on the site or within a public roadway will not be created.

Drop-off \& pick-up operation summary is included within this resubmission.

Landscaping

1. Provide required landscape screening along east property line.

Required landscape screening is now provided along the east property line.
2. Increase parking lot landscaping and add trees internal to the parking lot

Landscaping plan has been revised to increase parking lot landscaping and added parking lot trees.
3. Provide a detail of retaining wall and fence.

A detail of the retaining wall and fence has been provided.

## Photometrics

1. Consider increasing light intensity to between 3 to 4 footcandles throughout parking lot.

Refer to revised photometric plan. The average parking lot lighting now averages over 3FC throughout the lot.

## Design Standards \& Site Plan Review Standards

1. Recalculate transparency calculations.

Transparency calculations were recalculated \& determined to be in compliance on each building elevation. It was clarified that transparency is calculated between 2' and 8' above grade in lieu of the full façade as was originally calculated. Refer to revised calculations on A. 200 \& A. 201.

If you have any further comments, concerns, or questions; please do not hesitate to contact me.
Thank you,


Jeff Klatt, AIA
Krieger Klatt Architects, Inc.


[^0]:    View of playground area
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