City of Troy, Michigan

Single Audit

June 30, 2024





BUSINESS SUCCESS PARTNERS

Table of Contents

	Page
Single Audit	
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1
Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance	3
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	7
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	8
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	10



Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

Independent Auditors' Report

To the Honorable Mayor, City Council and Management of the City of Troy, Michigan City of Troy, Michigan

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Troy, Michigan (the City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2024, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 31, 2024.

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified.

Report on Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Yeo & yeo, P.C.

Auburn Hills, Michigan October 31, 2024



Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

Independent Auditors' Report

To the Honorable Mayor, City Council and Management of the City of Troy, Michigan City of Troy, Michigan

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City of Troy, Michigan's (the City's) compliance with the types of compliance requirements identified as subject to audit in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2024. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2024.

Basis for Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS); the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 *U.S. Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Our responsibilities under those standards and the Uniform Guidance are further described in the Auditors' Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the City and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

Responsibilities of Management for Compliance

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules, and provisions of contracts or agreements applicable to the City's federal programs.

Auditors' Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material, if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the City's compliance with the requirements of each major federal program as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the City's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of the City's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in order to
 design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on internal
 control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing
 an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such
 opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditors' Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance may exist that were not identified.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2024, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated October 31, 2024, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

yeo & yeo, P.C.

Auburn Hills, Michigan October 31, 2024

City of Troy, Michigan Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2024

	Assistance Listing Number	Federal or Pass-Through Grant Number	Federal Expenditures
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Passed through Oakland County			
CDBG Entitlement Grants Cluster	44.040	470400 700470	* 50.440
CDBG Entitlement Grant	14.218	172160-732170	\$ 59,443
CDBG Entitlement Grant	14.218	172170-731619	31,933
Total CDBG Entitlement Grants Cluster			91,376
Economic Development Initiative, Community Project Funding and Misc. Grants	14.251	B-22-CP-MI-0442	1,500,000
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			1,591,376
U.S. Department of Justice			
Bulletproof Vest Partnership Program	16.607	N/A	17,409
Equitable Sharing Program	16.922	N/A	101,755
	10.022	N/A	101,700
Total U.S. Department of Justice			119,164
U.S. Department of Transportation Passed through Michigan Department of Transportation			
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	16-5591 / 22A0009 / 23A0062	781,453
U.S. Department of Treasury			
Passed through Oakland County	04 007		40 550
COVID-19 Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds	21.027	SLFRP2640	46,553
COVID-19 Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds	21.027	1505-0271	6,838,961
Total COVID-19 Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds			6,885,514
Environmental Protection Agency			
Geographic Programs - Great Lakes Restoration Initiative			
Geographic Programs - Great Lakes Restoration Initiative	66.469	GL - 00E03266	21,931
Geographic Programs - Great Lakes Restoration Initiative	66.469	GL - 00E03520	147,192
Total Geographic Programs - Great Lakes Restoration Initiative			169,123
Election Assistance Commissior			
Passed through Michigan Department of State			
HAVA Election Security Grants	90.404	N/A	55,500
U.S. Office of National Drug Control Policy			
Passed through Oakland County			
High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas Program	95.001	N/A	5,985
U.S. Department of Homeland Security			
Passed through Oakland County			
Homeland Security Grant Program	97.067	N/A	6,839
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			<u>\$ 9,614,954</u>

City of Troy, Michigan Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards June 30, 2024

Note 1 - Basis of Presentation

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (the Schedule) includes the federal award activity of the City of Troy, Michigan (the City) under programs of the federal government for the year ended June 30, 2024. The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the City, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in financial position, or cash flows of the City.

Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Expenditures

Expenditures reported on the Schedule are reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, where certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement.

Indirect Cost Rate

The City has elected to use the 10 percent de minimis indirect cost rate as allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

Note 3 - Reconciliation to the Financial Statements

Federal revenue per the financial statements	
Governmental funds	\$ 9,751,411
Change in deferred inflows	(238,212)
Forfeiture expenditures not included in revenue	 101,755
Total expenditures of federal awards per the Schedule	\$ 9,614,954

Note 4 - Subrecipients

No amounts were provided to subrecipients.

Section I – Summary of Auditors' Results

Financial Statements

Type of report the auditor issued on whether the financial statements were prepared in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles: Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:

•	Material weakness(es) identified?			yes	Х	no
•	Significant deficiency(ies) identified?			yes _	Х	none reported
	ncompliance material to financial tements noted?			yes _	Х	no
Fe	deral Awards					
Int	ernal control over major federal programs	5				
•	Material weakness(es) identified?			yes	Х	no
•	Significant deficiency(ies) identified?			yes _	Х	none reported
Ту	Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major federal programs: Unmodified					
rec	y audit findings disclosed that are juired to be reported in accordance h 2 CFR 200.516(a)?			yes _	Х	no
lde	ntification of major federal programs:					
As	sistance Listing Number(s)	Name of Federal Program or Cluster				
14	251	Economic Development Initiative, Community Project Funding and Misc. Grants				
	205 027	Highway Planning and Construction COVID-19 Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds				
	llar threshold used to distinguish ween type A and type B programs:		\$ 750,000			
Au	ditee qualified as low-risk auditee?			yes	Х	no

Section II – Government Auditing Standards Findings

There were no Government Auditing Standards findings for the year ended June 30, 2024.

Section III – Federal Award Findings

There were no findings or questioned costs for Federal Awards for the year ended June 30, 2024.

Section IV – Prior Audit Findings

Government Auditing Standards Findings

There were no Government Auditing Standards findings for the year ended June 30, 2023.

Federal Award Findings

There were no findings or questioned costs for Federal Awards for the year ended June 30, 2023.