

500 West Big Beaver Troy, MI 48084 troymi.gov

248.524.3364 planning@troymi.gov

PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING AGENDA REGULAR MEETING

Marianna Perakis, Chair, Lakshmi Malalahalli, Vice Chair Toby Buechner, Carlton Faison, Tyler Fox, Michael W. Hutson, Tom Krent, Dave Lambert and John J. Tagle

May 27, 2025

7:00 P.M.

Council Chambers

- 1. ROLL CALL
- 2. <u>APPROVAL OF AGENDA</u>
- 3. <u>APPROVAL OF MINUTES</u> May 13, 2025
- 4. <u>PUBLIC COMMENT</u> For Items Not on the Agenda

PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN APPROVAL

 <u>PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN APPROVAL – (SP JPLN2025-0001)</u> – Proposed GFA Forsyth Site Condominium, 9 single family detached units, North of Wattles, West of Dequindre (4189 and 4197 Forsyth; PIN 88-20-13-401-028, -037 & -038), Section 13, Presently zoned R-1C One Family Residential Zoning District.

OTHER ITEMS

- 6. <u>PUBLIC COMMENT</u> For Items on the Agenda
- 7. PLANNING COMMISSION COMMENT
- 8. <u>ADJOURN</u>

Chair Perakis called the Regular meeting of the Troy City Planning Commission to order at 7:02 p.m. on May 13, 2025, in the Council Chamber of the Troy City Hall. Chair Perakis and Vice Chair Malalahalli presented opening remarks relative to the role of the Planning Commission and procedure for tonight's meeting.

1. ROLL CALL

Present: Toby Buechner Carlton M. Faison Tyler Fox Michael W. Hutson Tom Krent David Lambert Lakshmi Malalahalli Marianna Perakis John J. Tagle

Also Present: Ben Carlisle, Carlisle Wortman & Associates R. Brent Savidant, Community Development Director Julie Quinlan Dufrane, Assistant City Attorney Kathy L. Czarnecki, Recording Secretary

2. <u>APPROVAL OF AGENDA</u>

Resolution # PC-2025-028

Moved by: Faison Support by: Buechner

RESOLVED, To approve the agenda as prepared.

Yes: All present (9)

MOTION CARRIED

3. <u>APPROVAL OF MINUTES</u> – April 22, 2025

Resolution # PC-2025-05-029

Moved by: Malalahalli Support by: Lambert

RESOLVED, To approve the minutes of April 22, 2025 Regular meeting as submitted.

Yes: All present (9)

MOTION CARRIED

4. <u>PUBLIC COMMENT</u> – For Items Not on the Agenda

Mary Ellen Barden, 2105 Babcock; addressed the agenda material provided to Planning Commission for their meetings.

PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT

 PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT – (PUD021 JPLN2024-0012) – Proposed Somerset West Concept Development Plan and Preliminary Development Plan for Phase 1A, North side of Big Beaver, West side of Coolidge (3100 W. Big Beaver; PIN 88-20-19-476-002, 88-20-19-476-003 and 88-20-19-430-004), Section 19, Presently Zoned PUD (Planned Unit Development) Zoning District

Mr. Carlisle explained the three step approval process of the Somerset West Planned Unit Development (PUD) application. He reviewed the proposed Concept Development Plan (CDP) and Preliminary Development Plan (PDP) for Phase 1A since last reviewed at the Planning Commission April 22, 2025 Regular meeting. Mr. Carlisle specifically addressed the applicant's responses to the eight conditions cited in the motion to postpone.

In summary, Mr. Carlisle asked the Planning Commission in its deliberations to consider if the Concept Development Plan meets the PUD Standards set forth in Section 11.03 and if the Preliminary Development Plan for Phase 1A meets the Site Plan Review Design Standards set forth in Section 8.06.

Some comments among Board and administration related to:

- Outline of 17 acres purchased by the University of Michigan (U of M Health).
- Open space requirements.
- Application of the PUD Agreement in relationship to the Zoning Ordinance requirements.

Ms. Dufrane suggested the Board incorporate in its Resolution any considerations it might want City Council to address.

Nate Forbes of Forbes Frankel Troy Ventures LLC addressed the company's continuing reinvestment in the Somerset Collection, their philosophical interest in the Big Beaver corridor and the City of Troy and the demolition of the former K-Mart Headquarters. He presented a video of the proposed mixed-use project, bringing attention to the pedestrian walkways and beautifully landscaped areas. The presentation included renderings of the mixed-use project, open space, medical facility, parking, elevations, building materials and color schemes.

Some comments during discussion related to the following:

- Expanse and vision of the open space.
- Demolition of the former K-Mart Headquarters at a cost of \$6.5 million.

- Townhomes and drive-through uses; applicant addressed reason to keep uses in the PUD Agreement.
- Construction of residential units as relates to the Consent Judgment.
- Parking; deck and surface only, no underground.
- Unknown economic and development climate.
- Installation of the infrastructure is not dependent on U of M Health development.
- U of M Health presence increases interest in Troy community and ancillary uses.
- High standards held by both U of M Health and the applicant.
- Concept Plan illustration; consideration to include pictures and renderings.

Ms. Dufrane expressed how the applicant and the administration are trying to achieve a balance between predictability and flexibility in the PUD Agreement. She reminded the Board that each phase of the development will be before them for consideration.

Mr. Forbes addressed how they are dependent on market fluctuations. He said they will find the balance and build an exceptional mixed-use project.

Chair Perakis opened the floor for public comment.

- Mary Ellen Barden, 2105 Babcock; addressed the impact of the project to her home during construction phase, shared pictures of existing unsightly condition behind her home, asked the applicant to provide an appropriate barrier to alleviate her concerns and concerns of the neighbors to the north.
- Jasper Gill, 3120 Newport; spoke in support of the proposed project, addressed its positive economic impact.

Chair Perakis closed the floor for public comment.

Resolution # PC-2025-05-030

Moved by: Fox Support by: Faison

WHEREAS, The applicant Forbes Frankel Troy Ventures LLC submitted a Conceptual Development Plan application for a Planned Unit Development, located on the northwest corner of Big Beaver and Coolidge, in Section 19, approximately 40 acres in area; and

WHEREAS, The applicant also submitted a Preliminary Development Plan application for Phase 1A of the proposed Planned Unit Development, including internal roads and utilities; and

WHEREAS, The Concept Development Plan proposes multiple phases for a mixed-use development including up to 500,000 square of feet of office, up to 300,000 square feet of retail, up to 750 residential units and up to 250 hotel rooms and open space public amenities; and

WHEREAS, The Concept Development Plan contemplates the development of up to 17 acres of the site by U of M Health, a constitutional corporation per the Michigan Constitution; and

WHEREAS, The Concept Development Plan will be implemented through submittal of Preliminary Development Plans for each phase of development; and

WHEREAS, Each Preliminary Development Plan will require a Planning Commission public hearing and City Council public hearing prior to approval; and

WHEREAS, The proposed Concept Development Plan meets the Standards for Approval set forth in Section 11.03.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, That the Planning Commission recommends to City Council that Concept Development Plan Approval for the proposed Somerset West Planned Unit Development be **granted**.

BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED, That the Planning Commission recommends to City Council that Preliminary Development Plan Approval for Phase 1A of the Somerset West Planned Unit Development be **granted**.

Discussion on the motion on the floor.

Ms. Dufrane clarified that each Preliminary Development Plan granted approval is given three years to complete construction per the Zoning Ordinance regulations. She said the applicant can request an extension of any approved Preliminary Development Plan and that request would be handled administratively. Ms. Dufrane said any substantial revisions to any approved plan would bring the item back to the Planning Commission for consideration.

Mr. Lambert said he feels the applicant should be granted flexibility because (1) the applicant has demolished the former K-Mart Building; (2) the applicant is bringing in the U of M Health facility as an important anchor; and (3) the applicant is providing multi uses for both businesses and residential units to supplement the Somerset Collection. Mr. Lambert said he is confident the applicant heard the comments expressed this evening from one neighbor to the north and is assured the applicant will accommodate all the neighbors to the north with a nice view of the project.

Vote on the motion on the floor.

Yes: All present (9)

MOTION CARRIED

CONDITIONAL REZONING

 <u>PUBLIC HEARING – CONDITIONAL REZONING APPLICATION (JPCR2025-002)</u> – Proposed Northland Enclave, West side of Corporate, North of Long Lake (5455 Corporate, PIN 88-20-08-451-004), Section 8, From O (Office) Zoning District to CB (Community Business) and MF (Multifamily) Zoning Districts

Mr. Buechner exited the meeting at 8:25 p.m.; returned at 8:27 p.m.

Mr. Carlisle reviewed the Conditional Rezoning application for Northland Enclave. He addressed how the application relates to the Master Plan (North Troy Special Area Plan) and the voluntary conditions offered by the applicant. He said removing an underperforming office building and repurposing the site for commercial and residential use is consistent with the overall intent of the transformation of North Troy. Mr. Carlisle addressed the site layout and circulation, parking, landscaping, lighting, floor plans, elevations, building materials and color schemes.

In summary, Mr. Carlisle asked the Planning Commission in its deliberations to consider if the application meets the Conditional Rezoning Standards (Section 16.04.C.3) and Site Plan Review Design Standards (Section 8.06). He said any approval should be subject to the conditions identified in the Planning Consultant report dated May 6, 2025.

A video presentation prepared by the applicant was shown.

Erion Nikolla of Eureka Innovation Development and Project Engineer James Butler of PEA Associates were present. The applicant distributed to the Board members updated printouts of the site plan, site layout, landscape plan, elevations, building materials and various colored renderings.

Mr. Nikolla addressed the challenge of repurposing the building and their mission to offer economically attainable and viable housing. Mr. Nikolla explained the shared access easement to the south. He said demolition is scheduled in the summer and construction would start as soon as final engineering plans are approved. Mr. Nikolla addressed the price range for the mix of two and three story homes (\$300,000+).

Some comments during discussion related to the following:

- Internal vehicular and pedestrian circulation.
 - o Turning radiuses of trucks at the northwest corner.
 - Safety concerns of the drive aisle.
 - Crosswalks provided.
- Style, design, color scheme, building material of residential units.
- Commercial tenants; small uses, no restaurant.
- Landscaping.
 - o Arborvitae, evergreens, retaining rock wall between residential and commercial.
 - Existing landscaping to the south remains.
 - Landscaping to the north will be replaced.

- Gravel walking path; approximately one acre.
- Placement/location of mechanical equipment.
- Design of entrance to the project.
- Front doors of residential units limit outdoor seating, engagement with neighbors.
- Consideration by applicant to create front porches.
- Consideration by applicant to add seating (benches) in center courtyard.
- Consideration to mirror commercial front and rear elevations.

Mr. Nikolla confirmed the voluntary conditions offered are:

- 7 foot wide by 7 foot deep front walkways.
- Additional benches.
- Facades of the retail building mimic each other.
- Approval of the Site Plan application.

PUBLIC HEARING OPENED

There was no one present who wished to speak.

PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED

Moved by: Fox Support by: Buechner

RESOLVED, That the Planning Commission hereby recommends to the City Council that the O (Office) to CB (Community Business) and MF (Multifamily) Conditional Rezoning request, as per Section 16.04 of the City of Troy Zoning Ordinance, located on the West side of Corporate, North of Long Lake (5455 Corporate, PIN 88-20-08-451-004), within Section 8, being approximately 8.22 acres in size, be **granted**, for the following reasons:

- 1. The request complies with the Master Plan.
- 2. The rezoning would permit greater flexibility in use and development of the property.
- 3. The conditions offered by the applicant reasonably protect the adjacent properties.
- 4. The rezoning would be compatible with surrounding zoning and land use.
- 5. The site can be adequately served with municipal water and sewer.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Planning Commission recommends the following site plan design considerations:

- 1. Increase stacking by one (1) space.
- 2. Provide screening around exterior air conditioning units.
- 3. Reduce lighting levels to 0.1 footcandles along retail boundaries adjacent to residential.
- 4. Provide color renderings of side and rear (garage) elevations.
- 5. Redesign the residential walkups to the doors to be 7 foot wide.
- 6. Include additional park benches on the property for public seating.

- 7 Redesign the facade on the rear of the commercial building to mimic aspects from the front of the commercial building.
- 8. Include the Site Plan as a voluntary condition of the Conditional Rezoning application to the satisfaction of the City Attorney.

Discussion on the motion on the floor.

Ms. Dufrane said the motion is okay but noted she does not necessarily like the wording 'to the satisfaction of the City Attorney' when it is a voluntary Conditional Rezoning Agreement.

Mr. Buechner spoke in support of the application. He said it is a great reuse of an office building, he likes the green area, the walking path, play area and the offer of the missing middle housing.

Mr. Fox spoke in support of the application. He said it offers an affordable housing product.

Vote on the motion on the floor.

Yes: All present (9)

MOTION CARRIED

SPECIAL USE APPROVAL AND PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN APPROVAL

 SPECIAL USE APPLICATION (SU JPLN2024-0031) – Proposed Barbat Troy Vehicle Fueling/Multi Use Station, Southeast corner of Crooks Road and South Boulevard (1981 South Boulevard, PIN 88-20-04-100-059), Section 4, Currently Zoned NN (Neighborhood Node "U") District

Mr. Carlisle reviewed the Special Use and Preliminary Site Plan application for the Barbat Troy Vehicle Fueling/Multi Use Station since last considered by the Planning Commission at their February 25, 2025 Regular meeting, at which time a Public Hearing was conducted. Mr. Carlisle outlined the applicant's responses to the issues identified in the motion to postpone.

In summary, Mr. Carlisle asked the Planning Commission in its deliberations to consider if the application meets the Site Plan Review Design Standards (Section 8.06) and the Special Use Standards (Section 9.03). Mr. Carlisle asked any approval of the Site Plan and Special Use application be subject to 1) consider eliminating signage/graphics on the canopy face and have it be metal or brick to match the building; 2) acknowledge on the site plan that the fueling center and restaurant is to be constructed and opened at the same time; and 3) provide a 3D model of the canopy and building in context with the surrounding site.

Present were Duane Barbat, Eric Williams of Stonefield Engineering and Project Architect John Abro.

Some comments during discussion related to the following:

- Shared driveway; turning radiuses for trucks.
- Fuel truck delivery; approximately one hour, six times a month, potential to block traffic maneuverability.
- Parking; location of barrier-free space.
- Number of existing fueling stations in the area.
- Public comment received at the public hearing; majority in opposition.
- Project will serve the northbound traffic.
- Applicant agrees to acknowledge on site plan that restaurant and convenience store will open at the same time as fueling station.
- Elevations; consideration to break up masonry walls, add articulation, landscaping; consideration to center entrance door.
- Canopy striping/color required by *Shell* brand.
- Location of the bike rack.
- Location of EV charging stations; one station serves four cars at one time; infrastructure in place for additional EV charging stations.
- Hours of operation; fueling center/convenience store 24/7, restaurant 10 a.m. to 11 p.m.

Mr. Fox stated he does not support the development because it is an autocentric use at a major intersection of the City. He noted many residents spoke in opposition at the public hearing. Mr. Fox said he sees no difference between the development under consideration this evening and the El Car Wash that the Board denied.

Mr. Barbat respectfully disagreed. He said a car wash is 100% auto related. Mr. Barbat said their product in addition to fuel offers food, convenience store items, and an opportunity for families and friends to gather.

Moved by: Lambert Support by: Krent

RESOLVED, That Special Use Approval and Preliminary Site Plan Approval for the proposed Barbat Troy Vehicle Fueling/Multi Use Station, Southeast corner of Crooks and South Boulevard (1981 South Boulevard), Section 4, Currently Zoned NN (Neighborhood Node) District, be **granted**, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Fueling center and restaurant to be constructed and opened concurrently.
- 2. Design features to the building to be approved by Planning staff per Planning Commission comments during tonight's meeting.
- 3. Include bike rack relocation on the site.

Discussion on the motion on the floor.

Mr. Lambert said the proposed use is much better than the condition of the Rite Aid building on site. He said with respect to the other fueling centers in the neighborhood, the role of the Planning Commission is to consider what is in the best interest of the community at that location.

Chair Perakis recalled the businesses to the east were happy about the project.

Ms. Malalahalli spoke in support and expressed appreciation to the applicant for working with the Board.

Vote on the motion on the floor.

Yes: Buechner, Faison, Hutson, Krent, Lambert, Malalahalli, Perakis, Tagle No: Fox

MOTION CARRIED

OTHER ITEMS

8. <u>PUBLIC COMMENT</u> – For Items on the Agenda

There was no one present who wished to speak.

9. PLANNING COMMISSION COMMENT

There were general comments, some related to:

- Mr. Savidant announced the Planning Commission May 27, 2025 meeting is still scheduled. He said the administration and sub-committee are continuing to work on the zoning language for the revised Master Plan.
- Mr. Hutson addressed the role of the Board in its consideration of a conditional rezoning application.
- Mr. Krent announced an Oakland County Planners Gathering on May 20.
- Ms. Dufrane reported good news on two Tollbrook legal cases.
- Ms. Dufrane addressed Mr. Hutson's comments on the Board's consideration of the conditional rezoning this evening. She said it became clear, after much discussion on the site plan, that it was important to ask the applicant for clarification if the site plan was a voluntary condition to the conditional rezoning application.

10. ADJOURN

The Regular meeting of the Planning Commission adjourned at 10:31 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Marianna J. Perakis, Chair

Kathy L. Czarnecki, Recording Secretary

https://d.docs.live.net/2f7ed4fe5f664ea8/Documents/Kathy/COT Planning Commission Minutes/2025/2025 05 13 Draft.docx

ITEM #5

DATE: May 22, 2025

- TO: Planning Commission
- FROM: R. Brent Savidant, Community Development Director
- SUBJECT: <u>PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN APPROVAL (SP JPLN2025-0001)</u> Proposed GFA Forsyth Site Condominium, 9 singe family detached units, North of Wattles, West of Dequindre (4189 and 4197 Forsyth; PIN 88-20-13-401-028, -037 & -038), Section 13, Presently zoned R-1C One Family Residential Zoning District.

The petitioner GFA Development, Inc. submitted the above referenced Preliminary Site Plan application for a 9-unit site condominium, comprised of one family detached homes. The Planning Commission is authorized to approve Preliminary Site Plans for site condominiums.

This application proposes to extend both Rockington and Stonington to the north to provide for 9 a new 9-unit site condominium. Both of those streets were approved in 2015 as part of the 25-unit Pinery Woods Site Condominium.

The attached report prepared by Carlisle/Wortman Associates, Inc. (CWA), the City's Planning Consultant, summarizes the project. CWA prepared the report with input from various City departments including Planning, Engineering, Public Works and Fire. City Management supports the findings of fact contained in the report and the recommendations included therein.

Attachments:

- 1. Maps
- 2. Report prepared by Carlisle/Wortman Associates, Inc.
- 3. Traffic memo, prepared by OHM, dated January 9, 2025.
- 4. Wetland Delineation, prepared by PEA Group, dated November 1, 2024
- 5. Preliminary Site Plan

PROPOSED RESOLUTION

<u>PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN APPROVAL – (SP JPLN2025-0001)</u> – Proposed GFA Forsyth Site Condominium, 9 single family detached units, North of Wattles, West of Dequindre (4189 and 4197 Forsyth; PIN 88-20-13-401-028, -037 & -038), Section 13, Presently zoned R-1C One Family Residential Zoning District.

Resolution # PC-2025-05-

Moved by: Support by:

RESOLVED, That Preliminary Site Condominium Approval, pursuant to Article 8 and Section 10.02 of the Zoning Ordinance, as requested for the proposed GFA Forsyth Site Condominium, 9 units/lots, North of Wattles, West of Dequindre, (4189 and 4197 Forsyth; PIN 88-20-13-401-028, -037 & -038), Section 13, approximately 12.62 acres in size, Currently Zoned R-1C (One Family Residential) District, be (granted, subject to the following conditions):

) or
(denied, for the following reasons:) or
(postponed, for the following reasons:)

Yes: No: Absent:

MOTION CARRIED





Note: The information provided by this application has been compiled from recorded deeds, plats, tax maps, surveys, and other public records and data. It is not a legally recorded map survey. Users of this data are hereby notified that the source information represented should be consulted for verification.

Print Date: 5/19/2025

Ω

350

700

ft





350 700 ft Print Date: 5/19/2025

Ω

Note: The information provided by this application has been compiled from recorded deeds, plats, tax maps, surveys, and other public records and data. It is not a legally recorded map survey. Users of this data are hereby notified that the source information represented should be consulted for verification.



117 NORTH FIRST STREET SUITE 70 ANN ARBOR, MI 48104 734.662.2200 734.662.1935 FAX

Date: January 10, 2025 May 21, 2025

Preliminary Site Condominium Review For City of Troy, Michigan

Project Name:	GFA- Forsyth
Applicant:	Gary Abitheira
Plan Date:	April 30, 2025
Location:	4165, 4189, & 4197 Forsyth Drive
Zoning:	R-1C, One-Family Residential District
Action Requested:	Preliminary Site Plan Review

PROJECT AND SITE DESCRIPTION

An application has been submitted to construct a new site condominium development at 4165, 4189, and 4197 Forsyth Drive. The development contains nine (9) single-family detached units and will be an extension of the existing Pinery Woods neighborhood to the south. Three (3) units will be off an extension of Rockingham Drive. and six (6) units will be off an extension of Stonington Drive. Both Rockingham Drive and Stonington Drive are accessed off of Wattles Drive.

The subject site is 4.15 acres and contains three (3) parcels. The parcels are currently encumbered by tree cover, wetlands, and one (1) existing home. The applicant proposes the use of lot averaging as permitted by Section 10.01.

The subject site is surrounded by single-family homes and zoned R-1C, One-Family Residential District.

GFA Forsyth Site Plan Review May 20, 2025

Location of Subject Site:



<u>Size of Subject Site:</u> The site contains three (3) parcels, measuring 4.15 acres total.

<u>Proposed Use of Subject Site:</u> Nine (9) single-family lots via lot averaging.

<u>Current Use of Subject Site:</u> There is currently one (1) single-family home on site at 4165 Forsyth Drive.

Current Zoning:

R-1C, One-Family Residential District.

Surrounding Property Details:

Direction	Zoning	Use
North	R-1C, One-family Residential District	Single-family homes
South	R-1C, One-family Residential District	Single-family homes
East	R-1C, One-family Residential District	Single-family homes
West	R-1C, One-family Residential District	Single-family
		homes/vacant

NATURAL RESOURCES

- **Topography:** A topographic survey has been provided on Sheet P-1. The site is relatively flat with minor elevation changes. In a report dated November 1, 2024, PEA Group states that the site is predominantly flat with shallow depressions.
- Wetlands: The subject site contains four (4) wetlands, with the largest measuring 0.17 acres. In October 2024, wetland surveys were performed by both PEA Group and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Determination reports by both groups indicate that wetlands on site are not regulated by EGLE; although, they note that the site likely receives drainage from surrounding properties. Reports from PEA Group and USACE are provided under separate cover.
- Woodlands:Most of the site is undeveloped and encumbered with tree cover. The tree
inventory provided identifies 248 existing trees on site. A tree replacement
plan is provided on Sheet T-1.0, with details outlined in the table below.

Replacement Details					
Protected Tree	Inches Removed	Replacement Required			
Landmark	59 inches	59 inches			
Woodland	657 inches	329 inches			
Preservation/Mitigation	Inches Preserved	Credit			
Landmark	63 inches	126 inches			
Woodland	262 inches	524 inches			
Total	otal Zero (0) inches required for replacement.				

Items to be Addressed: None.

SITE ARRANGEMENT

The subject site is 4.15 acres, with one (1) existing home and immense tree coverage. As part of this project, the existing home will be removed and nine (9) new single-family homes will be constructed. These new homes will be a continuation of the Pinery Woods neighborhood directly

GFA Forsyth Site Plan Review May 20, 2025

to the south (just north of Wattles Road). Both Rockingham Drive and Stonington Drive will be extended northwards into the new development. Three (3) homes will be located on Rockingham Drive and six (6) homes will be located on Stonington Drive. T-turnarounds are provided where each road ends. A detention pond is located along the site's west side. T-turnarounds shall be approved by the Engineering and Fire Departments.

Although the lots vary in size, the average lot width is 81.6 feet and the average lot area is 10,510 square feet.

Items to be Addressed: None

AREA, WIDTH, HEIGHT, SETBACKS

Dimensional standards of the R-1C Zoning District are outlined in Section 4.06.

	Required	Provided	Compliance
Front	30 feet	30 feet	Complies
Side	10 feet	10+ feet	Complies
Rear	40 feet	40 feet	Complies
Building Height	30 feet/2.5 stories	Approx. 27 feet/ 2 stories	Complies
Maximum % of Lot Area Covered By Buildings	30%	14%	Complies
Minimum Lot Size Per Dwelling Unit	inimum Lot Size Per Dwelling Unit 10,500 SF in area (on average)		Complies
Minimum Floor Area Per Unit	1,200 square feet	3,000+ square feet	Complies

Items to be Addressed: None.

SITE ACCESS AND CIRCULATION

Vehicular:

The nine (9) new lots will be a continuation of the Pinery Woods neighborhood to the south. This neighborhood has one (1) access point off Wattles. No additional access points will be constructed, but both Rockingham Drive and Stonington Drive will be extended for vehicular access. T-turnarounds will be provided where each road ends.

There was internal discussion about requiring a connection to Greensboro Drive; however, the applicant has future plans to extend development further north. If and when the future development occurs, the applicant will be required to provide a secondary connection point

Pedestrian:

A five (5) foot wide concrete sidewalk is provided along both sides of Rockingham Drive and Stonington Drive. This new sidewalk will connect to the existing sidewalk system along both roads.

Items to be Addressed: None.

LANDSCAPING

	Required	Provided	Compliance
Internal Street Landscaping: 1 tree per 50 lineal feet of internal public or private street	766.54 LF/50= 15 trees (each street)	Rockingham Dr: 17 trees	Complies
		Stonington Dr: 15 trees	
Tree Replacement: Woodland: for trees with DBH 6 inches or larger, 50% of the original DBH removed	388 inches	650 inches credit	Complies
Landmark: 100% of original DBH removed			

Stormwater Management:

A detention pond is proposed along the site's west side. We refer to the City Engineer for further review of stormwater management.

We note that although some internal street trees are located at the edge of the detention pond, this area may benefit from additional landscaping. Section 13.02.F.2.d. states that landscaping should be provided around stormwater retention/detention areas to enhance site condominium developments.

Items to be Addressed: None.

FLOOR PLANS AND ELEVATIONS

The applicant has provided floor plans and elevations for two (2) housing types, referred to as "The Troywood" and "Vanderpool." GFA Forsyth Site Plan Review May 20, 2025

Floor Plans:

Both housing types contain a 3-car garage, four (4) bedrooms, and four (4) restrooms. Site plans show the area of the Troywood as 3,549 square feet, however the area of the Vanderpool is not indicated.

Elevations:

Three (3) variations of elevations have been provided. Building materials for each include brick veneer, vinyl siding, asphalt shingles, and fascia. It appears that additional materials, such as stone veneer, are optional and may be included as desired by the buyer. The proposed building height is listed as 2-stories. While no specific height is listed, the scale provided measures the height at approximately twenty-seven (27) feet.

Items to be Addressed: None.

SITE PLAN REVIEW STANDARDS

Section 8.06 outlines Site Plan Review Design Standards.

- 1. Development shall ensure compatibility to existing commercial districts and provide a transition between land uses.
 - a. Building design shall enhance the character of the surrounding area in relation to building and parking placement, landscape and streetscape features, and architectural design.
 - *b.* Street fronts shall provide a variety of architectural expression that is appropriate in its context and prevents monotony.
 - c. Building design shall achieve a compatible transition between areas with different height, massing, scale, and architectural style.
- 2. Development shall incorporate the recognized best architectural building design practices.
 - a. Foster a lasting impact on the community through the provision of high quality design, construction, and detailing.
 - b. Provide high quality, durable materials, such as but not limited to stone, brick, glass, and metal. E.I.F.S. or material equivalent shall only be used as an accent material.
 - c. Develop buildings with creativity that includes balanced compositions and forms.
 - d. Design roofs that are appropriate to the architectural style of the building and create an appropriate visual exterior mass of the building given the context of the site.
 - e. For commercial buildings, incorporate clearly defined, highly visible customer entrances using features such as canopies, porticos, arcades, arches, wing walls, ground plane elements, and/or landscape planters.
 - f. Include community amenities that add value to the development such as patio/ seating areas, water features, art work or sculpture, clock towers, pedestrian plazas with park benches or other features located in areas accessible to the public.

- 3. Enhance the character, environment and safety for pedestrians and motorists.
 - a. Provide elements that define the street and the pedestrian realm.
 - b. Create a connection between the public right of way and ground floor activities.
 - c. Create a safe environment by employing design features to reduce vehicular and pedestrian conflict, while not sacrificing design excellence.
 - *d.* Enhance the pedestrian realm by framing the sidewalk area with trees, awnings, and other features.
 - e. Improve safety for pedestrians through site design measures.

Items to be Addressed: Planning Commission to consider if site plan standards have been met.

CONCLUSION

The Planning Commission should consider whether the proposed project meets Site Plan Review Design Standards of Section 8.06.

Sincerely,

CARLISLE/WORTMAN ASSOC., INC. Benjamin R. Carlisle, AICP, LEED AP President

CARLISLE/WORTMAN ASSOC., INC. Shana Kot Community Planner



memorandum

- Date: January 9, 2025
 - To: Scott Finlay, PE
- From: Stephen Dearing, PE, PTOE & Lauren Hull, EIT, RSP₁
 - Re: Proposed Forsyth Development

The Forsyth development is proposed along both Rockingham Drive and Stonington drive north of Wattles Road between John R Road and Dequindre Road. The proposed site contains nine single-family homes. Three of these homes are proposed on the east side of Rockingham Drive. The remaining six homes are proposed to be off of Stonington Drive. The proposed site will utilize the existing access points on Rockingham Drive and Stonington Drive.

To determine the expected trips generated from this site, ITE's Trip Generation website was used. Land use code 210 – Single-Family Detached Housing was utilized.

	AM Peak				PM Peak		
	In	In Out Total			Out	Total	
9 Homes	2	6	8	7	3	10	

Table 1. Trips Generated

As shown in the table above, the land use code provides minimal addition in trips to the surrounding network. During each peak hour, approximately one vehicle is entering or exiting the site every six minutes.

Overall, the amount of traffic generated from this proposed site will not provide excessive impacts to Rockingham drive, Stonington Drive, Wattles Road or the surrounding roadway network.

PEA GROUP

н н н

844.813.2949 PEAGROUP.COM

November 1, 2024 PEA Project No: 18-0028

Gary Abitheria GFA Development, Inc. 985 Elmsford Drive Troy, MI 48083

RE: Wetland Delineation (PIN 20-13-401-007,027, 028, 037, 038) GFA Forsyth Troy 4229 Forsyth Drive Troy, Oakland County, MI

On October 30, 2024, PEA Group evaluated the subject property for the field indicators of the presence of wetlands as defined by the State of Michigan. Pink wetland survey ribbons and pin flags were used to delineate a wetland boundary on the site when all three wetland indicators were present (wetland hydrology, hydric soils, and hydrophytic vegetation) as defined by USACE wetland delineation manual (1987) and the Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral-Northeast (Version 2.0).

The evaluated portion of the site consisted of approximately 5 acres of land in the City of Troy in Oakland County, Michigan. The site is in a residential area between Forsyth Drive and Greensboro Drive and north of Stonington Drive. Big Beaver Creek is enclosed in a pipe directly west of the property and the buried pipe cuts into the southwestern corner of the site. Due to its enclosure, the creek is not hydrologically connected to the site. The Gibson Drain lies approximately 1,000 feet north of the property. The evaluated portion of the site featured a variety of woody and herbaceous vegetation, such as silver maple, American basswood, northern red oak, red maple, common buckthorn, calico aster, hop sedge, and creeping-jenny. The terrain is predominantly flat with shallow depressions. Brush piles were stacked in the western and southern portions of the site. Soil sampling conducted at multiple points revealed soils with a primarily loamy texture. The field surveillance revealed four (4) wetlands on the property. The following report summarizes the characteristics of these wetlands as they appeared at the time of the delineation.

Wetland 'A': Flags A-1 to A-51



This forested wetland follows a narrow drainage pattern within the eastern portion of the site. The area likely receives surface runoff from surrounding properties. The portion of Wetland A on the subject property is approximately 7,562 SF (0.17 acres) in size. It contained wetland vegetation including silver maple (*Acer saccharinum*), American elm (*Ulmus americana*), and creeping-jenny (*Lysimachia nummularia*). Wetland A exhibited multiple indicators of hydrology, comprising water-stained leaves (B9), drainage patterns (B10), and saturation visible on aerial imagery (C9), as well as the hydric soil indicator Redox Dark Surface (F6). Upland species such as American basswood, fescue grass, and domestic apple were found along the wetland boundary. The wetland boundary was discerned where the hydrology indicators were no longer present, and the vegetation switched to primarily upland species.

Wetland 'B': Flags B-1 to B-6



This forested wetland lies near the western edge of the site and is approximately 1,390 SF (0.04 acres) in size. Wetland B likely receives surface runoff from surrounding properties. It contained wetland vegetation, including swamp white oak (*Quercus bicolor*), dark-green bulrush (*Scirpus atrovirens*), and fowl manna grass (*Glyceria striata*). Wetland B exhibited two (2) indicators of hydrology (i.e., water-stained leaves (B9) and drainage patterns (B10)), as well as two (2) hydric soil indicators (i.e., Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) and Depleted Matrix (F3)). Upland species including creeping thistle, ground ivy, and black locust were found along the wetland boundary. The wetland boundary was detected where the hydrology indicators were no longer present, and the vegetation switched to primarily upland species.

Wetland 'C': Flags C-1 to C-4



This forested wetland is located near the southern edge of the assessed area and spans an estimated surface area of 313 SF (0.007 acres). Wetland C contains several hydrophytic plant species, including hop sedge (*Carex lupulina*), fowl manna grass (*Glyceria striata*), creeping-jenny (*Lysimachia nummularia*), and eastern cottonwood (*Populus deltoides*). The area exhibited water-stained leaves (B9) as an indicator of wetland hydrology, as well as the Redox Dark Surface (F6) hydric soil indicator. Typical vegetation characteristic of upland areas was observed at the boundaries of the wetland, such as fescue grass, tall

goldenrod, and ground ivy. The wetland boundary was detected where the hydrology indicators were no longer present, and the vegetation switched to primarily upland species.

Wetland 'D': Flags D-1 to D-8



This emergent wetland is located within the western sector of the assessed area and spans an estimated surface area of 1,564SF (0.03 acres). The wetland is primarily characterized by wetland vegetation, including American elm (*Ulmus americana*), creeping-jenny (*Lysimachia nummularia*), and fowl manna grass (*Glyceria striata*). Indicators of wetland hydrology within the area include water-stained leaves (B9) and saturation visible on aerial imagery (C9). The soil profile aligned with the characteristics of the Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) hydric soil indicator. Typical vegetation characteristic of upland areas was observed at the boundaries of the wetland and included species such as red clover, common dandelion, and fescue grass.

NRCS Web Soil Survey Map

List Soils – 12—Brookston and Colwood loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes. **Hydric.** ShbuaB—Shebeon-Urban land complex, 0 to 4 percent slopes. Not hydric.



EGLE Wetland Mapper

Green areas are wetlands as identified on national wetland inventory and MIRIS maps. Yellow areas are areas which have wetland soils.

Green/yellow areas are areas identified on NWI and MIRIS maps and soil areas which include wetland soils.

The evaluated area is outlined in red.



<u>Wetland Map – Overall (refer to the full size topographical base map for clarity)</u>





Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy

Wetlands within 500' of an inland lake, pond, river, or stream, as defined by Part 303 of the Wetlands Protection Act are considered a regulated wetland.

Wetlands that are hydrologically connected to a river or stream, as defined by Part 301, are considered regulated.

Wetlands that are not within 500' of an inland lake, pond, river, or stream, but are more than 5 acres in size are considered regulated wetlands.

Wetlands that are listed within the rare or imperiled MDEQ list found on the Michigan's Rare Wetlands section of the website (26 of the 33 wetland communities are rare; 8 of the 26 rare are imperiled).

Wetlands with a documented presence of a threatened or endangered species.

Mitigation

EGLE typically requires that only wetland alterations that total over 1/3 of an acre in size be mitigated per the EGLE|USACE Joint Permit Application language, EGLE may also require mitigation of smaller areas of disturbance at their discretion per the Wetland Protection Act that calls for zero net loss wetlands. Mitigation may be constructed on-site, off-site or credits may be purchased from pre-approved EGLE wetland mitigation banks.

Opinion of Regulatory Status

Many factors influence the extent of a wetland boundary, including weather patterns, drainage, changes in vegetation, and activities on the site or on adjacent properties at the time of the investigation. The wetland observations completed by PEA for the subject parcel are based on the conditions at the site at the time of our investigation and current policy regarding the procedures used to delineate wetlands.

Please be advised that EGLE, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency regulate wetlands and ultimately reserve final judgement on the extent of wetlands on any given site. The determination of a wetland on a specific site can vary depending on the conditions offered above as well as on the agency representative conducting the determination, and current wetland regulations.

The following regulatory status of the wetlands is the opinion of PEA Group based on the field conditions at the time of the wetland delineation of October 30, 2024.

- Wetland A: Non-Regulated fails all rules of Part 303.
- Wetland B: Non-Regulated fails all rules of Part 303.
- Wetland C: Non-Regulated fails all rules of Part 303.
- Wetland D: Non-Regulated fails all rules of Part 303.

Prepared by: PEA Group

November 1, 2024 Page 10

Lara Prussing

Sara Prussing Ecological Technician II

- Pherena Pe

Theresa Pardington, PLA, PWS, ISA-CA Ecological Department Manager

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site. 18-0028/GFA Forsyth Troy	City/County: Troy/Oakland Sampling Date: 10/30/2024
Applicant/Owner: GFA Development, Inc./Gary Abitheria	Outproversing Date: Outpring Date: State: MI Sampling Doint: Upl 1
Investigator(s): T. Pardington, PWS & David Brodwyn	Section, Township, Range: 02N11E13
Landform (hillslope terrace etc.). Depressions on moraines	Local relief (concave, convex, none). None
Clone (0): 0-2 Let: 42.5808283662757	Educarrence (contexte, convex, none)
Slope (%): Lat: Lat:	
Soil Map Unit Name: Diookston and Colwood loanis (12)	NWI classification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of	year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significant	tly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally	problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showi	ng sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X Hydric Soil Present? Yes No X	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>No</u>
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No _X	_ If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:
HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that app	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) y)
Field Observations:	
Surface Water Present? Yes No _X Depth (inch Water Table Present? Yes No _X Depth (inch Saturation Present? Yes No _X Depth (inch (inch/udos capillary fringo) Yes No _X Depth (inch	es): es): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial ph	otos, previous inspections), if available:
Remarks:	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Distaire)	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
N/A		<u>Species</u>	Status	Number of Dominant Species
				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: \checkmark (A)
3				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
о				
T				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A/B)
5				(, ,
6				Prevalence Index worksheet:
7				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
	0	= Total Cov	ver	OBL species $\frac{0}{0}$ x 1 = $\frac{0}{0}$
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				FACW species 0 $x 2 = 0$
1. N/A				FAC species 0 $x_3 = 0$
2				FACU species 112 $x = 440$
3				$\begin{array}{c} \text{OPL species} \underline{0} \qquad \qquad x \text{ 5} = \underline{0} \\ \text{Column Totalo:} \underline{112} \qquad (A) \underline{448} \qquad (D) \end{array}$
4.				Column Totals: (A) (A) (B)
5.				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 4.0$
6				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7				Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
/	0			Dominance Test is >50%
	<u> </u>	= Total Cov	ver	Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	10	N	FACU	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
Trifolium pratense	60	Y	FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
	10		FACU	
3. Fractures weber			FACU	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
4. Festuca rubra		Y	FACU	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5. Glechoma hederacea	2	N	FACU	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
6				Tree – Woody plants 3 in (7.6 cm) or more in diameter
7				at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
8				Sanling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in DBH
9				and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
10.				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants regardless
11				of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
12				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
·	112	= Total Cov	/er	height.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:			0.	
N/A				
		. <u> </u>		
2				
3				Hydrophytic
4				Present? Yes No X
	0	= Total Cov	ver	
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate	e sheet.)			

Profile Desc	cription: (Describe	to the de	pth needed to docu	ment the	indicator	or confirm	m the absence of indicators.)	
Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	ox Feature %	S Type ¹	loc^2	Texture Remarks	
0-13	10YR 2/2	100		/0	Турс		Loamy	
					·			
13-17	2.5Y 5/4	95	10YR 5/8	5	С	М	Loamy	
				_				
					·		· · · · · · · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · · _ ·	
					·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
					·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
					·	. <u> </u>		
. <u> </u>					·	·		
							· ·	
¹ Type: C=C	oncentration, D=De	pletion, RM	I=Reduced Matrix, C	S=Covere	d or Coate	ed Sand G	arains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.	
Hydric Soil	Indicators:		Polyvaluo Polo	w Surface			Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils":	
Histosof	oipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)	(30) (L KI	х κ,	2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR R, L, MLRA 149D) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)	
Black Hi	stic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ace (S9) (I	LRR R, M	LRA 149B	B) 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, F	₹)
Hydroge	en Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Mucky I	Mineral (F	1) (LRR K	., L)	Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L)	
Depleter	d Below Dark Surfac	ce (A11)	Depleted Matrix	x (F3)	-)		Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)	
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)		Redox Dark Su	Irface (F6))		Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L,	R)
Sandy N	Aucky Mineral (S1)		Depleted Dark	Surface (F8)	=7)		Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 14 Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MI RA 144A 145 149	9B)
Sandy F	Redox (S5)			50113 (1 0)			Red Parent Material (TF2)	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Stripped	Matrix (S6)						Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)	
Dark Su	rface (S7) (LRR R,	MLRA 149	B)				Other (Explain in Remarks)	
³ Indicators o	f hydrophytic vegeta	ation and w	etland hydrology mus	st be pres	ent, unles	s disturbed	d or problematic.	
Restrictive	Layer (if observed)):						
Туре:							Hudria Sail Bragant? Vag	
Depth (in	ches):						hydric Soli Present? Tes No	
Remarks:								

I

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: 18-0028/GFA Forsyth Troy	Sampling Date: 10/30/2024
Applicant/Owner: GFA Development, Inc./Gary Abitheria	State: MI Sampling Point: Upl 2
Investigator(c). T. Pardington, PWS & David Brodwyn	Contion Township Bango: 02N11E13
Leastface (hill-least targets to Depressions on moraines	Section, Township, Range.
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Depreceience of merainee	Local relief (concave, convex, none):
Slope (%): 0-2 Lat: 42.3006473243791 L	.ong: -03.096196233416 Datum: WG364
Soil Map Unit Name: Brookston and Colwood loams (12)	NWI classification: N/A
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year	r? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly of	listurbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally prof	elematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing	sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No	Is the Sampled Area
Hydric Soil Present? Yes No <u>^</u>	
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No <u>A</u>	If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:
HYDROLOGY	
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1) Water-Stained L	eaves (B9) Drainage Patterns (B10)
High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna (313) Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (E	.15) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfid	e Odor (C1) Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2) Oxidized Rhizos	pheres on Living Roots (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Rec	luced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Rec	uction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2)
Internation Visible on Aerial Imageny (PZ)	Pemarke) Microtopographic Poliof (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	
Surface Water Present? Yes No X Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present? Yes No X Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes No X Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No X
(includes capillary fringe)	
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos	, previous inspections), if available:
Remarks:	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover</u>	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1. Populus deltoides	2	Y	FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2. Acer saccharinum	2	Y	FACW	Total Number of Dominant
3. Acer rubrum	5	Y	FAC	Species Across All Strata: <u>4</u> (B)
4.				Percent of Dominant Species
5				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 75 (A/B)
6				
		·		Prevalence Index worksheet:
/	0	·		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
	9	= Total Cov	ver	OBL species $\frac{0}{2}$ x 1 = $\frac{0}{4}$
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				FACW species $\frac{2}{47}$ x 2 = $\frac{4}{54}$
1. <u>N/A</u>				FAC species $\frac{17}{400}$ x 3 = $\frac{51}{400}$
2				FACU species 100 $x 4 = 400$
3.				UPL species $0 \times 5 = 0$
а. А				Column Totals: $(A) = (A) = (B)$
5.				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 3.8$
6				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7	_			Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
1				X Dominance Test is >50%
	0	= Total Cov	ver	Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	_			Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
1. Iritolium pratense	5	N	FACU	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
2. Glechoma hederacea	5	N	FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
_{3.} Prunella vulgaris	10	Ν	FAC	1
4. Taraxacum officinale	5	Ν	FACU	'Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
_{5.} Plantago major	5	Ν	FACU	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
_{6.} Festuca rubra	80	Y	FACU	Demitions of vegetation Strata.
7	_			Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter
8				
0				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3 28 ft (1 m) tall
9				
10				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
11				
12				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in beight
	110	= Total Cov	ver	neight.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				
_{1.} N/A				
2				
2				
				Hydrophytic Vegetation
4	0			Present? Yes X No
		= Total Cov	ver	
Despite the dominance test, the area was dominated	d by facult	ative uplar	nd species	and not hydrophytic species.

Color (moist) % Color (moist) % Type Loc ² Texture Remarks 0-17 10YR 2/1 100	Depth	Matrix		Redo	<u>x Fea</u> ture	S			-	
0-17 10YR 2/1 100 Sandy/loamy Image: Sandy Plant Surface (Sand Grains) Sandy/loamy Sandy/loamy Image: Sandy Plant Surface (Sand Grains) Image: Sandy Plant Surface (Sand Grains)<	(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks	S
Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ¹ Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix, Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ² : Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ² : Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L, R) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Depleted Matrix (F2) Polyvalue Below Surface (S3) (LRR K, L) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Matrix (F2) Polyvalue Below Surface (S3) (LRR K, L) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Matrix (F2) Polyvalue Below Surface (S3) (LRR K, L) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 1445, 149B Sandy Alexa (S4) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Sopodic (TA6) (MLRA 1444, 145, 149B Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Coperessions (F8) Red Parent Material (TF2) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR M, LA 149B) Other (Explain in Remarks) Other (Explain in Remarks) Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Type: No X Depth(inches): Hydric Soil Present? Yes	0-17	10YR 2/1	100						Sandy/loamy	
Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains ¹ Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix, Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils?: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils?: Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils?: Histic Epipedon (A2) MLRA 149B) Biack Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Stratifed Layers (A5) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Bepleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Depleted Matrix (F2) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Matrix (F3) Stripped Matrix (S4) Redox Dark Surface (F7) Stripped Matrix (S4) Redox Dark Surface (F7) Stripped Matrix (S4) Redox Depressions (F8) Stripped Matrix (S6) Very Shallow Dark Surface (T12) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L, R) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L) Depleted Dark Surface (S7) Stripped Matrix (S4) Back Histic (A3) Histic Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L)										
Type: C-Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. Type: C-Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. Type: C-Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. Type: C-Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. Type: MLRA 149B) Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils [*] : Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L, R) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L, R) Strited Layers (A5) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L, L) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Matrix (F3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L, L) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144B, 145, 149B Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Depresent Depleted Matrix (S1) Hydric Soil Present? Yes No X Sandy Redox (S5) Cother (Explain in Remarks) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted D										
Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. Histic Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils*: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils*: Histic Epipedon (A2) MLRA 149B) Coast Praine Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) S con Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Depleted Below Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Deoleted Dark Surface (F7) Piedemont Floodpian Soils (F19) (MLRA 149E Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Piedemont Floodpian Soils (F19) (MLRA 149E Sandy Redox (S5) Red Parent Material (TF2) Stripped Matrix (S6) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF2) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF2) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF2) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Other (Explain in Remarks) Other (Explain in Remarks) Indicators of hyd										
Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ³ Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ¹ : Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Coast Prairie Redox (A10) (LRR K, L, R) Histosol (A2) MLRA 149B) Coast Prairie Redox (A10) (LRR K, L, R) Histosol (A2) MLRA 149B) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Depleted Matrix (F2) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Startified Layers (A5) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Piedmont Floodphain Soils (F10) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spotic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Piedmont Floodphain Soils (F12) (MLRA 144B, 145B) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Piedmont Floodphain Soils (F12) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Piedmont Floodphain Soils (F12) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>										
Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ : Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ : Histos (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, Histos CA2) MLRA 149B) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) Black Histic (A3)										
Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ : Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) 5 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, RK, L, R, L) Black Histic (A3) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Dark Surface (S3) (LRR K, L, R) Statified Layers (A5) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Polyvalue Below Surface (S3) (LRR K, L) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Depleted Matrix (F3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Matrix (F2) Piedmont Floodplain Solis (F19) (MLRA 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144, 145, 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Red Parent Material (TF2) Stripped Matrix (S6) Other (Explain in Remarks) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Other (Explain in Remarks) Indicators of hydrophylic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Type:										
Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ : Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, L, R) Histosol (A2) MLRA 149B) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) JBack Histo (A3) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) JBack Histo (A3) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Depleted Below Surface (A12) Depleted Matrix (F2) Thin Dark Surface (A12) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Thin Dark Surface (A12) Redox Depressions (F8) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Stripped Matrix (S6) Red Parent Material (TF2) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Other (Explain in Remarks) 'Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Type:										
Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ² : Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ² : Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, L, MLRA 149B) Coast Prairie Redox (A10) (LRR K, L, R) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) Hydrogen Suffide (A4) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) Depleted Matrix (F3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) Depleted Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (A11) Depleted Matrix (F3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Endownore (S8) (LRR K, L) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Redox Dark Surface (F7) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149E) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Red Parent Material (TF2) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Other (Explain in Remarks) 'Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Type: No X 'Inperiment Deplet (inch										
Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. tydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ : Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ : Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, LA 149B) Coast Praine Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) Biack Histic Epipedon (A2) MLRA 149B) Coast Praine Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) Biack Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Dark Surface (S3) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L, R) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L, R) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F3) Thin Dark Surface (S12) (LRR K, L, R) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Dark Surface (F6) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (IRR K, L, R) Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spocic (TA6) (MLRA 1449, 145, 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Other (Explain in Remarks) Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. </td <td></td>										
Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B) Histosol (A2) MLRA 149B) Coast Prainie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) Black Histic Explexion (A2) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Depleted Dark Surface (F6) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S6) Wesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144B, 145, 149B) Red Parent Material (TF2) Stripped Matrix (S6) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Other (Explain in Remarks) Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Derth (inches): Meric Soil Present? Yes No No										
Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. tydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ : Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ : Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, RLRA 149B) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A12) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149E) Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 1444, 145, 149E) Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 1449B) Stripped Matrix (S6) Other (Explain in Remarks) Other (Explain in Remarks) Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. No_X Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type:										
Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ : Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, Histic Epipedon (A2) Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ : Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L, R) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Depleted Matrix (F2) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (A12) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Dark Surface (F6) Horo-Manganese Masses (F12) (MLRA 1449, 145, 149B, Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S6) Sandy Redox (S5) Red Parent Material (TF2) Other (Explain in Remarks) Stripped Matrix (S6) Other (Explain in Remarks) Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Type: Depletion in Remarks: Wemarks: Hydric Soi										
Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. tydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ : Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) Thic Dark Surface (A11) Depleted Matrix (F3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L, R) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Red ox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Stripped Matrix (S6) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Other (Explain in Remarks) Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Restrictive Layer (If observed): Type:										
Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ : Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B) Histosol (A2) MLRA 149B) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Depleted Matrix (F3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L, R) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 1444, 145, 149B) Stripped Matrix (S6) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Other (Explain in Remarks) Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. No Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches): No X Type:										
Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ : Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ : Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, Lagrandian Stressen, Lagran										
1ype: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. - "Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. Hidric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³: Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R,	1							. 2.		
Hydric Sol Indicators:	Type: C=C	oncentration, D=Dep	letion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, C	S=Covered	d or Coate	d Sand Gr	ains. ² Loo	cation: PL=Pore Lining,	, M=Matrix.
Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R,		indicators:		Debaselus Deba	0	(00) (1 00		indicators		
Inside Epipedon (A2) INICRA 149B)	Histosol	(A1)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surface	(S8) (LRF	К К,	2 cm N	Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, I	
Init Datk Tristic (AS)	FISUCE	pipedon (AZ)		Thin Dark Surf) 200 (SQ) (I		PA 1498	Coasi	Muchy Peat or Peat (S3)	KKK, L, K)
	Hydroge	en Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Mucky I	Mineral (F	1) (I RR K	I)	Dark S	Surface (S7) (I RR K I)	(LIXIX,L,IX)
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Depleted Matrix (F3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (A12) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149E Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Nesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Redox Depressions (F8) Nesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Nesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Nesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Net Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Other (Explain in Remarks) Other (Explain in Remarks) No No Remarks:	Stratifie	d Lavers (A5)		Loamy Gleved	Matrix (F2		, ⊑)	Polyva	alue Below Surface (S8)	(LRR K. L)
Thick Dark Surface (A12) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149E Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Sandy Redox (S5) Red Parent Material (TF2) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Other (Explain in Remarks) Bindicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Type: Depth (inches): Yes Remarks: Hydric Soil Present? Yes	Deplete	d Below Dark Surfac	e (A11)	Depleted Matri	x (F3)	/		Thin D	ark Surface (S9) (LRR	K, L)
	Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)	()	Redox Dark Su	irface (F6)			Iron-M	langanese Masses (F12	2) (LRR K, L, R)
Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Red Parent Material (TF2) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Other (Explain in Remarks) Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches): Hydric Soil Present? Yes No _X	Sandy N	/lucky Mineral (S1)		Depleted Dark	Surface (F	7)		Piedm	ont Floodplain Soils (F1	9) (MLRA 149
Sandy Redox (S5) Red Parent Material (TF2) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Other (Explain in Remarks)	Sandy C	Gleyed Matrix (S4)		Redox Depress	sions (F8)			Mesic	Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 14	44A, 145, 149B
Stripped Matrix (S6) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Other (Explain in Remarks)	Sandy F	Redox (S5)						Red P	arent Material (TF2)	
Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Other (Explain in Remarks) ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches): Remarks:	Stripped	I Matrix (S6)						Very S	Shallow Dark Surface (T	F12)
³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Type: Depth (inches): Remarks:	Dark Su	irface (S7) (LRR R, I	VILRA 149E	3)				Other	(Explain in Remarks)	
Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches): Remarks:	³ Indiantana a	f hurden hurdin un note	tions and			unt combine	ما مار سام م		_	
Type: Hydric Soil Present? Yes No X Remarks: Remarks: <td>Indicators o</td> <td>r nydropnytic vegeta</td> <td>tion and we</td> <td>etiano nyorology mus</td> <td>st be prese</td> <td>ent, uniess</td> <td>aisturbea</td> <td>or problematio</td> <td>С.</td> <td></td>	Indicators o	r nydropnytic vegeta	tion and we	etiano nyorology mus	st be prese	ent, uniess	aisturbea	or problematio	С.	
Type:	T	Layer (II observed)								
Depth (inches): Hydric Soil Present? Yes No X Remarks: R	Type:									
Remarks:	Depth (in	ches):						Hydric Soil	Present? Yes	No
	Remarks:									

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: 18-0028/GFA Forsyth Troy	City/County: Troy/Oakland Sampling Date: 10/30/2024
Applicant/Owner: GFA Development, Inc./Gary Abitheria	State: MI Sampling Point: Upl 3
Investigator(s). T. Pardington, PWS & David Brodwyn	Section Township Range [,] 02N11E13
Landform (hillelope, terrace, etc.). Depressions on moraines	Local relief (concave, convex, none). None
Olarse (%): 0-2	Eccartener (concave, convex, none)
Slope (%): Lat: Lat:	Long: Datum: Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name: Dioussion and Colwood Ioanis (12)	NWI classification: <u>N/A</u>
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of ye	ear? Yes <u>A</u> No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly	r disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally pr	oblematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing	g sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X Hydric Soil Present? Yes No X Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No X	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes No If ves, optional Wetland Site ID:
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate repo	nt)
HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1) Water-Stained	Leaves (B9) Drainage Patterns (B10)
High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna	(B13) Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits	(B15) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfi	de Odor (C1) Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2) Oxidized Rhize	ospheres on Living Roots (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Re	educed Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Re	face (C7) Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (BZ) Other (Explain	in Remarke) Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	
Surface Water Present? Yes No X Depth (inches):
Water Table Present? Yes No X Depth (inches):
Saturation Present? Yes No X Depth (inches (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No X
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photo	os, previous inspections), if available:
Remarks:	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Trac Stratum (Diat size)	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Quercus rubra	<u>5</u>	Y	FACU	Number of Dominant Species
	5	Y	FACU	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)
Acer saccharinum		Y	FACW	Total Number of Dominant
		<u> </u>		Species Across All Strata: (B)
4				Percent of Dominant Species
5		. <u> </u>		
6				Prevalence Index worksheet:
7		. <u> </u>		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
	15	= Total Cov	rer	OBL species 0 x 1 = 0
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				FACW species 5 x 2 = 10
1. <u>N/A</u>				FAC species 15 x 3 = 45
2.				FACU species 35 x 4 = 140
3				UPL species 0 $x 5 = 0$
0				Column Totals: <u>55</u> (A) <u>195</u> (B)
4				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 3.5$
6				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
0				Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
/	0	·		Dominance Test is >50%
	0	= Total Cov	er	Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^1$
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)				Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
1. Prunella vulgaris	15	Y	FAC	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
2. Taraxacum officinale	10	Y	FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
3. Trifolium pratense	10	Y	FACU	The direction of the definition of the effort of the device the second second
4. Cirsium arvense	5	Ν	FACU	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
6				
7				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
8.				Continue (chample, Manada, plante, lace, then 2 in DDI I
9.				and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
10.				Herb – All berbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
11				of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
12				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
	40	= Total Cov		height.
Mandu Mine Charture (Dist size)		- 10(a) 000		
N/A				
1				
2		. <u> </u>		
3			<u> </u>	Hydrophytic
4				Present? Yes No X
	0	= Total Cov	rer	
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate	sheet.)			

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the de	pth needed to docur	nent the	indicator	or confirm	n the absence	of indicators.)
Depth (inches)	Matrix	0/_	Redo	x Feature			Texturo	Pomarke
<u>(incries)</u> 0-9	10YR 2/1	100		/0	Туре	LUC		Remarks
	1011(2)1						Louny	
9-16	10YR 2/1	50						Loamy/clayey
	10YR 4/2	35	10YR 5/8	13	С	M		
·			7 5VR 3//		- <u>-</u>		·	
			7.511(5/4				·	
		_						
<u> </u>							·	
<u>1</u>							. 2.	
Hydric Soil	oncentration, D=Dep	pletion, RIV	I=Reduced Matrix, C	S=Covere	ed or Coate	ed Sand G	Indicators	cation: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Histosol	(A1)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surface	e (S8) (LR I	R R,	2 cm M	Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
Histic Ep	pipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)			Coast	Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
Black Hi	stic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ace (S9) (Mineral (E	(LRR R, M	LRA 149E	3) 5 cm N	Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
Stratified	d Layers (A5)		Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F	2)	, ⊑)	Polyva	alue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)
Depleted	d Below Dark Surfac	ce (A11)	Depleted Matrix	к (F3)			Thin D	park Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)		Redox Dark Su	rface (F6	5) EZ)		Iron-M	anganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
Sandy N Sandy G	Gleved Matrix (S4)		Redox Depress	sions (F8)	г <i>т)</i>)		Pleann Mesic	Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
Sandy R	Redox (S5)			(-)			Red P	arent Material (TF2)
Stripped	Matrix (S6)						Very S	Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
Dark Su	rface (S7) (LRR R,	MLRA 149	B)				Other	(Explain in Remarks)
³ Indicators of	f hydrophytic vegeta	ation and w	etland hydrology mus	st be pres	sent, unles	s disturbed	d or problematio	C.
Restrictive I	Layer (if observed)	:						
Туре:								
Depth (ind	ches):						Hydric Soil	Present? Yes No
Remarks:								

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site. 18-0028/GFA F	orsyth Troy		City/County. Troy	//Oakland	Sampling Date: 10/30/2024
Applicant/Owner GFA Devel	opment, Inc./Ga	ry Abitheria		_{State} . M	I Sampling Point. Wet A
Investigator(s). T. Pardington	i, PWS & David	Brodwyn	Section Townshir	Bange: 02N11E13	00000000000000000000000000000000
Landform (hillslone terrace etc	Depressions	on moraines	Local r	elief (concave, convex, non	_{e)} . None
Class (0) Ω	42.58105520821	04	200211	24343031	Batum WGS84
Slope (%): <u>-</u> Lat: _	on and Colwood	loams (12)	_ Long:		Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name: DIOUKSK			~	NWI class	
Are climatic / hydrologic conditi	ons on the site typ	ical for this time of y	ear?Yes 🔨	No (If no, explain in	Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil	, or Hydrology	/ significantly	y disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	," present? Yes <u>X</u> No
Are Vegetation, Soil	, or Hydrology	/ naturally pr	roblematic?	(If needed, explain any answ	wers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDING	S – Attach si	ite map showing	g sampling poi	int locations, transec	ts, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Prese Hydric Soil Present?	ent? Yes _ Yes _	X No X No	Is the Sam within a W	pled Area /etland? Yes_X	No
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _	<u> </u>	If yes, option	onal Wetland Site ID:	
HYDROLOGY					
Wetland Hydrology Indicato	ors:			Secondary Ind	icators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum	of one is required;	check all that apply))	Surface So	oil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)		X Water-Stained	Leaves (B9)	X Drainage I	^o atterns (B10)
High Water Table (A2)		Aquatic Fauna	a (B13)	Moss Trim	Lines (B16)
Saturation (A3)		Marl Deposits	(B15)	Dry-Seaso	n Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1)		Hydrogen Sulf	fide Odor (C1)	Crayfish B	urrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2)		Oxidized Rhizi	ospheres on Living	Roots (C3) <u> </u>	Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)		Fresence of R	eduction in Tilled Sc	Dils (C6) Geomorph	bic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5)		Thin Muck Su	rface (C7)	Shallow A	quitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aer	ial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain	n in Remarks)	Microtopo	graphic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Conc	cave Surface (B8)			FAC-Neut	ral Test (D5)
Field Observations:		~ /			
Surface Water Present?	Yes No	X Depth (inches	s):		
Water Table Present?	Yes No	Depth (inches	s):		\checkmark
Saturation Present?	Yes No	Depth (inches	s):	Wetland Hydrology Pres	ent? Yes <u> </u>
Describe Recorded Data (stre	am gauge, monito	pring well, aerial phot	tos, previous inspec	tions), if available:	
Remarks:					

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. Ulmus americana	10	Y	FACW	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL_EACW_or EAC: 7 (A)
2. Populus deltoides	15	Y	FAC	
3. Acer saccharinum	20	Y	FACW	Species Across All Strata:(B)
4				Percent of Dominant Species
5				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)
6				Provolonco Index workshoot:
7				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
	45	= Total Cov	rer	$\frac{1}{\text{OBL species } 5} \times 1 = 5$
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				FACW species 45 x 2 = 90
1. Rhamnus cathartica	10	Y	FAC	FAC species 50 x 3 = 150
2.				FACU species $\frac{0}{2}$ x 4 = $\frac{0}{2}$
3.				UPL species 0 $x 5 = 0$
4.				Column Totals: 100 (A) 243 (B)
5				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 2.4$
6				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7.				Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
	10	= Total Cov	rer	$\frac{X}{X}$ Dominance Test is >50%
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)				\underline{X} Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
1. Symphyotrichum lateriflorum	10	Y	FAC	Morphological Adaptations' (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
2. Prunella vulgaris	10	Y	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
3. Agrimonia parviflora	5	N	FAC	
4. Lysimachia nummularia	15	Y	FACW	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present unless disturbed or problematic
5. Carex lupulina	5	Ν	OBL	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
6				
7				at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
8				Sanling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in DBH
9				and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
10				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
11				of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
12				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
	45	= Total Cov	rer	height.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				
1. N/A				
2				
3				Hydrophytic
4.				Vegetation
	0	= Total Cov	ver	
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate s	sheet.)			
Upland vegetation near wetland boundary: basswoo	d, Festuca	a spp., red	clover, do	mestic apple, red raspberry

Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Feature	es Turna 1	1 2	Tartura	Description
(Incnes)	10VR 3/2	% 		<u>%</u> 5	_ Type	LOC M		Remarks
0-4	1011(3/2		1011(4/0	5	0		Loaniy	
4-17	10YR 3/2	55	7.5YR 3/4	30	С	M		Prominent redox conc.
	10YR 5/2	15						Loamy/clayey
¹ Type: C=Co Hydric Soil	oncentration, D=Dep Indicators:	oletion, RN	I=Reduced Matrix, CS	S=Covere	ed or Coate	ed Sand G	rains. ² Lo	cation: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Histosol	(A1)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surface	e (S8) (LR	R R,	2 cm	Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
Histic Ep	pipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)			Coast	Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
Black Hi	stic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ace (S9) (LRR R, M	LRA 1498	3) 5 cm	Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
Hydroge	en Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Mucky I	Mineral (F	1) (LRR M	K, L)	Dark	Surface (S7) (LRR K, L)
Stratified	d Below Dark Surfac	ο (Δ11)	Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F.	2)		Polyvi Thin [alue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)
Depieted	ark Surface (A12)		X Redox Dark Su	rface (E6)		Iron-N	Janganese Masses (F12) (I RR K I R)
Sandy M	Aucky Mineral (S1)		Depleted Dark	Surface (, F7)		Piedr	nont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149E
Sandy G	Bleved Matrix (S4)		Redox Depress	sions (F8)	,		Mesic	Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B
Sandy R	Redox (S5)			(-)			Red F	Parent Material (TF2)
Stripped	Matrix (S6)						Very \$	Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
Dark Su	rface (S7) (LRR R,	MLRA 149	B)				Other	(Explain in Remarks)
³ Indicators o	f hydrophytic vegeta	ation and w	etland hydrology mus	st be pres	ent, unles	s disturbed	d or problemati	C.
Restrictive I	Layer (if observed)	:						
Depth (in	ches):						Hydric Soi	l Present? Yes X No
Remarks:								

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site 18-0028/GFA Forsyth Troy	City/County. Troy/O	akland	Sampling Date: 10/30/2024
Applicant/Owner: GFA Development, Inc./Gary Abitheria	_ Oky, county:	_{State:} MI	Sampling Point: Wet B
Investigator(s): T. Pardington, PWS & David Brodwyn	Section, Township, R	Range: 02N11E13	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Depressions on moraines	Local relie	ef (concave, convex, none):	None
Slope (%): 0-2 Lat: 42.5810795800351	Long83.0987068	557375	Datum [.] WGS84
Soil Man Unit Name. Brookston and Colwood loams (12)	_ Long	NWI classifica	ation [.] N/A
Are elimatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of y	Voor2 Vee X No	/If no, explain in Re	omorke)
Are Visited to the origination of the site typical for this are only			
Are Vegetation, Soll, or Hydrology significanti	y disturbed Are	e "Normal Circumstances" p	resent? Yes <u>/ N</u> INU
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally p	roblematic? (If I	needed, explain any answer	s in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showin	g sampling point	locations, transects,	important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No	Is the Sample	ed Area	
Hydric Soil Present? Yes X No	within a Weth	and? Yes 🔨	No
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No	If yes, optiona	I Wetland Site ID:	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate rep	ort.)		
Brush piles were atop most of the wetland, as well as \sim 6" of v	wood chips.		
		Secondary Indicat	tors (minimum of two required)
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Λ	<u>Secondary mulca</u>	
Printery inducators (infinitiation of one is required, check an that apply,	<u>)</u> d L aguag (PQ)	X Drainage Bat	Lacks (DU)
Sufface water (A1)	J Leaves (B9)		terns (B10)
Πίγι water table (A2) Aquality addite	1 (D13) (D15)		Nator Table (C2)
Water Marks (R1) Hvdrogen Sul	fide Odor (C1)	Cravfish Burn	(C.8)
Sediment Denosits (B2) Oxidized Rhiz	rospheres on Living Ro	ots (C3) Saturation Vis	sible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of R	Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or St	ressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron R	Reduction in Tilled Soils	(C6) Geomorphic I	Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5)	irface (C7)	Shallow Aquit	tard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain	n in Remarks)	Microtopogra	phic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		FAC-Neutral	Test (D5)
Field Observations:			
Surface Water Present? Yes No X Depth (inches	s):		
Water Table Present? Yes No \underline{X} Depth (inches	s):		
Saturation Present? Yes No X Depth (inches	s): V	Vetland Hydrology Presen	t? Yes <u>×</u> No
(includes capillary fringe)	teo provious inspectio	na) if available:	
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring weil, achai pho	tos, previous inspection	ns), li avaliable.	
Remarks:			

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
<u>Iree Stratum</u> (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover</u>	Species?		Number of Dominant Species
	5		FACI	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 5 (A)
2. Carya ovata			FACO	Total Number of Dominant
3. Populus deltoides	5	Y	FAC	Species Across All Strata: 0 (B)
4				Percent of Dominant Species
5				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 03 (A/B)
6	<u> </u>			Prevalence Index worksheet:
7				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
	20	= Total Co	ver	$\frac{1}{\text{OBL species}} \frac{15}{15} \qquad \frac{1}{x_1 = 15}$
Sanling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:				FACW species 15 $x_2 = 30$
A Rhamnus cathartica	5	Y	FAC	FAC species 25 x 3 = 75
1				FACU species $5 x 4 = 20$
2				UPL species $0 \times 5 = 0$
3				Column Totals: <u>60</u> (A) <u>140</u> (B)
4				$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{r}}$
5				Prevalence Index = B/A =
6				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7	<u> </u>			Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
	5	= Total Co	ver	$\frac{X}{X}$ Dominance Test is >50%
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)				\underline{X} Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{1}$
1 Scirpus atrovirens	15	Y	OBL	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 Symphyotrichum lateriflorum 	5	N	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
2. Juncus tenuis	10	Y	FAC	
3. <u>Chuceria striata</u>	5	N		¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
6				Tree – Woody plants 3 in (7.6 cm) or more in diameter
7				at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
8				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in DBH
9				and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
10.				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
11				of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
12				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3 28 ft in
12.	35	Tatal Oa		height.
			ver	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				
1. <u>N/A</u>				
2				
3				Hydrophytic
4				Vegetation Present? Ves X No
	0	= Total Co	ver	
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate	sheet.)			1
Upland vegetation near wetland boundary: creeping	thistle, gro	ound ivy, b	asswood,	black locust.

Profile Desc	cription: (Describe	to the dep	oth needed to docu	ment the	indicator	or confirm	m the absence	e of indicators.)
Depth (inches)	<u>Matrix</u>	0/2	Color (moist)	ox Feature		1 oc^2	Toxturo	Remarks
		100		/0	<u> </u>	LUU		
0-0	1011 2/1							Loaniy/Sandy
6-18	10YR 4/2	60	10YR 5/8	5	0	М	Clavev	
0-10			1011(0/0			101	Oldycy	
	10YR 2/1	35						
							·	
							·	
		lation DM	-Doduced Metrix C				21 o	action: DI-Dara Lining M-Matrix
Hydric Soil	Indicators:	Dietion, Rivi	=Reduced Matrix, C	S=Covere		eu Sanu G	Indicators	for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol	(A1)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surface	e (S8) (I R	RR	2 cm 1	Muck (A10) (IRR K I MIRA 149B)
Histic Er	bipedon (A2)		MLRA 149E	3)	(00) (L R		Coast	Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
Black Hi	stic (A3)		Thin Dark Surf	, ace (S9) (LRR R, M	LRA 149E	3) 5 cm M	Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
Hydroge	en Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Mucky	Mineral (F	1) (LRR K	ί, L)	Dark S	Surface (S7) (LRR K, L)
Stratified	d Layers (A5)		Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F	2)		Polyva	alue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)
X Deplete	d Below Dark Surfac	e (A11)	X Depleted Matri	ix (F3)			Thin D	Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)		Redox Dark Si	urface (F6)		Iron-M	langanese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
Sandy G	Sleved Matrix (S4)		Depieted Dark	Surface (F7)		Plean Mesic	Spodic (TA6) (MI RA 144A 145 149B)
Sandy F	Redox (S5)			3013 (1 0)			Red P	Parent Material (TF2)
Stripped	Matrix (S6)						Very S	Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
Dark Su	rface (S7) (LRR R, I	MLRA 149	B)				Other	(Explain in Remarks)
31 11 1								
Postrictive	f hydrophytic vegeta	ition and w	etland hydrology mu	st be pres	ent, unles	s disturbed	d or problemati	С.
Tupo	Layer (II Observed)	•						
Type							Hudrie Ceil	Present2 Vec X No
Depth (in	ches):		<u> </u>				Hydric Soll	Present? Yes <u>~</u> No
Remarks:								
Wood chips	abundant in the u	ipper 6" o	f soil.					
1								

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: 18-0028/GFA F	orsyth Troy		City/County: Troy	//Oakland		Sampling Date: 1	0/30/2024
Applicant/Owner: GFA Devel	opment, Inc./Gary	/ Abitheria			State: MI	Sampling P	oint: Wet C
Investigator(s): T. Pardingtor	η, PWS & David B	rodwyn	Section, Townshir	, Range: 02N1	1E13		
Landform (hillslope, terrace, et	c.): Depressions of	on moraines	Local r	elief (concave, co	onvex. none):	None	
Slope (%): 0-2	42.580624194593	33	Long83.09823	841604428		Datum. WGS84	
Soil Man Unit Name. Brookst	on and Colwood I	oams (12)			NWI classific:	ation: N/A	
Are climatic / hydrologic condit	ions on the site typi	cal for this time of y	Voar2 Vog X	No (lf po			
Are Climatic / Hydrologic Condit			vedi ? res <u> </u>				Na
Are vegetation, Soll	, or Hydrology	significanti	y disturbed?			resent? Yes //	<u> </u>
Are Vegetation, Soil	, or Hydrology	naturally p	roblematic?	(If needed, expla	iin any answer	s in Remarks.)	
SUMMARY OF FINDING	S – Attach sit	e map showin	g sampling poi	int locations,	transects,	important fea	atures, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Prese Hydric Soil Present?	ent? Yes Yes	X No X No X No	Is the Sam within a W	ipled Area /etland?	Yes X	No	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	X No	If yes, option	onal Wetland Site	e ID:		
HYDROLOGY							
Wetland Hydrology Indicate	ors:			Sec	condary Indicat	ors (minimum of t	wo required)
Primary Indicators (minimum	of one is required; of	check all that apply)	<u></u> _	Surface Soil (Cracks (B6)	
Surface Water (A1)		▲ Water-Stained	d Leaves (B9)		Drainage Pat	terns (B10)	
High Water Table (A2)		Aquatic Fauna	a (B13) (B15)		Moss Trim Lir	ies (B16) Nator Table (C2)	
Water Marks (B1)		Hydrogen Sult	(BIS) fide Odor (C1)		Cravfish Burn		
Sediment Deposits (B2)		Oxidized Rhiz	ospheres on Living	Roots (C3)	Saturation Vis	sible on Aerial Ima	gery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3)		Presence of R	Reduced Iron (C4)		Stunted or St	ressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	eduction in Tilled So	oils (C6)	Geomorphic I	Position (D2)			
Iron Deposits (B5)		Thin Muck Su	rface (C7)		Shallow Aquit	ard (D3)	
Inundation Visible on Ae	rial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain	n in Remarks)		Microtopogra	phic Relief (D4)	
Sparsely Vegetated Con	cave Surface (B8)				FAC-Neutral	Test (D5)	
Surface Water Present?	Yos No	X Donth (incho)	c).				
Water Table Present?	Yes No	X Depth (inches	s)				
Saturation Present?	Yes No	X Depth (inches	s):	Wetland Hydro	ology Present	$_{ m t? Yes} imes$	No
(includes capillary fringe)		· 、	, <u> </u>				
Describe Recorded Data (stre	eam gauge, monitor	ing well, aerial pho	tos, previous inspec	ctions), if available	e:		
Remarks:							

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tilia americana	<u>% Cover</u>	Species?		Number of Dominant Species
	<u> </u>			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>5</u> (A)
2. Populus deitoides	20	ř	FAC	Total Number of Dominant
3			·	Species Across All Strata: 6 (B)
4				Percent of Dominant Species
5				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: $\frac{83}{}$ (A/B)
6				Prevalence Index worksheet:
7.				Total % Cover of Multiply by
	25	= Total Cov	/er	$\frac{1}{\text{OBL species}} \frac{15}{x_1 = 15}$
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:				FACW species 7 $x_2 = 14$
Crataegus crus-galli	5	Y	FAC	FAC species 55 $x_3 = 165$
Bhamnus cathartica	15	Y	FAC	FACU species 7 x 4 = 28
2		<u> </u>	1710	UPL species $0 x 5 = 0$
3				Column Totals: <u>84</u> (A) <u>222</u> (B)
4		. <u> </u>		26
5				Prevalence Index = B/A =
6				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7				Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
	20	= Total Cov	/er	$\frac{X}{X}$ Dominance Test is >50%
Herb Stratum (Plot size:				\underline{X} Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
1 Glyceria striata	5	N	OBL	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 Elvmus canadensis 	2	N	FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Symphyotrichum lateriflorum	10	Y	FAC	
Solidago rugosa	5	N	FAC	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
	- <u></u>			be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
	<u> </u>		FACW	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
6. Fraxinus pennsylvanica	2	<u>N</u>	FACW	Tree – Woody plants 3 in (7.6 cm) or more in diameter
7. Carex lupulina	10	Y	OBL	at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
8				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in DBH
9				and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
10				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
11.				of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
12				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
· <u>··</u>	39	- Total Ca		height.
		- 10(a) COV		
<u>vvoody vine Stratum</u> (Plot size:)				
1				
2			·	
3				Hydrophytic
4				Vegetation Present? Yes X No
	0	= Total Cov	/er	
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate s	sheet.)			
Upland vegetation near wetland boundary: tall golde	nrod, grou	ind ivy, Fe	stuca spp	. grass

Profile Desc	cription: (Describe	to the dept	h needed to docu	ment the	indicator	or confirn	n the absence of ir	ndicators.)
Depth (inches)	Color (moist)	0/c	Color (moist)	ox Feature	S Type ¹		Texture	Remarks
	10VR 2/1	95	7 5VR 6/8	5		M		Remarks
0-10	1011(2/1		7.011(0/0					
						·		-
						·	. <u> </u>	
							. <u></u>	
		· ·				·		
						·	·	
						·	······	
					·	·		
¹ Type: C=C	oncentration D=Den	letion RM=I	Reduced Matrix C	S=Covere	d or Coate	ed Sand G	rains ² Location	: PI =Pore Lining M=Matrix
Hydric Soil	Indicators:			0-000010			Indicators for I	Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol	(A1)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surface	e (S8) (LR	R R,	2 cm Muck	(A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
Histic E	pipedon (A2)	-	MLRA 149B	5)			Coast Prair	ie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
Black H	istic (A3)	-	Thin Dark Surf	ace (S9) (LRR R, M	LRA 149B) 5 cm Muck	y Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
Hydroge	en Sulfide (A4)	-	Loamy Mucky	Mineral (F	1) (LRR 	K, L)	Dark Surface	ce (S7) (LRR K, L)
Stratifie	d Layers (A5)	- (044)	Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2	2)		Polyvalue E	Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)
Deplete	d Below Dark Surfac	e (A11)	Depleted Matri X Redox Dark Si	X (F3) urface (F6)	\ \		Inin Dark :	
Sandy N	Aucky Mineral (S1)	-	Depleted Dark	Surface (I 0	, F7)		Piedmont F	Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
Sandy C	Gleyed Matrix (S4)	-	Redox Depres	sions (F8)	,		Mesic Spoo	dic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
Sandy F	Redox (S5)	_		. ,			Red Parent	Material (TF2)
Stripped	l Matrix (S6)						Very Shallo	w Dark Surface (TF12)
Dark Su	rface (S7) (LRR R, I	ILRA 149B)				Other (Exp	lain in Remarks)
³ Indicatora a	f hydrophytic ycacto	tion and wat	land hydrology my	ot ha prog	ont unlog	o diaturbad	l or problematic	
Restrictive	a nyurophytic vegeta		ianu nyurology mu	st be pres	ent, unies	s distui beu		
Type	Luyer (il observeu).							
Denth (in).						Hydric Soil Pres	sant? Vas X No
Deptn (In	cnes):						Tryunc Son Fres	
Remarks:								
1								

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: 18-0028/GFA Forsyth Troy Citv/C	County: Troy/Oakland Sampling Date: 10/30/2024					
Applicant/Owner: GFA Development, Inc./Gary Abitheria	State: MI Sampling Point: Wet D					
Investigator(s). T. Pardington, PWS & David Brodwyn	on Townshin Pance: 02N11E13					
Lendform (billeland terrade etc.), Depressions on moraines	L and ratio (concerve, convex, pane). None					
c. (1) 0-2 42 5807545472044	Elical relier (concave, convex, none)					
Slope (%): <u>2</u> Lat: <u>2.0007040472044</u> Long	Datum: Datum:					
Soil Map Unit Name: Brookston and Colwood loams (12)	NWI classification: _N/A					
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year?	′es <u> </u>					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly distu	bed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally problem	atic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)					
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sar	npling point locations, transects, important features, etc.					
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No Hydric Soil Present? Yes X No Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>No</u> If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:					
HYDROLOGY						
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)					
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)					
Surface Water (A1) X Water-Stained Leave	es (B9) Drainage Patterns (B10)					
High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna (B13)	na (B13) Moss Trim Lines (B16)					
Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15)	s (B15) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)					
Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfide Oc	ulfide Odor (C1) Crayfish Burrows (C8)					
Sediment Deposits (B2) Oxidized Rhizospher	zospheres on Living Roots (C3) \underline{X} Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)					
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduce	Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)					
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction	Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2)					
Iron Deposits (B5)	(D3)					
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain In Re	marks) Microtopographic Relief (D4)					
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)					
Surface Water Present? Ves No X Depth (inches):						
Water Table Present? Ves No X Depth (inches):						
Saturation Present? Ves No X Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X					
(includes capillary fringe)						
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, pre	evious inspections), if available:					
Remarks:						

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
<u>Iree Stratum</u> (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover</u>	Species?	<u>Status</u>	Number of Dominant Species
1. Acertablan	<u> </u>			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 5 (A)
2. Populus grandidentata	5	ř	FACU	Total Number of Dominant
3				Species Across All Strata: 0 (B)
4				Percent of Dominant Species
5				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>63</u> (A/B)
6				Prevalence Index worksheet:
7				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
	10	= Total Cov	/er	OBL species 5 $x_1 = 5$
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				FACW species 54 x 2 = 108
1 Ulmus americana	5	Y	FACW	FAC species <u>35</u> x 3 = <u>105</u>
2 Rhamnus carthartica	5	Y	FAC	FACU species $5 x 4 = 20$
2	2	N	FACW	UPL species $0 \times 5 = 0$
. Cornus amonum	2		FACW	Column Totals: <u>99</u> (A) <u>238</u> (B)
4			17000	Provalence Index = P/A = 2.4
5				
6				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7				Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
	14	= Total Cov	/er	\wedge Dominance Test is >50%
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)				\land Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{\circ}$
1. Apocynum cannabinum	15	Y	FAC	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
2 Glyceria striata	5	N	OBL	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
3 Symphyotrichum lateriflorum	5	N	FAC	
Lysimachia nummularia	45	Y	FACW	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
- Rumex crispus	5	N	FAC	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5. <u> </u>				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
6		. <u> </u>		Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter
7				at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
8		·		Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH
9				and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
10				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
11				of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
12				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
	75	= Total Cov	/er	height.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:				
1 N/A				
·				
2				
3				Hydrophytic Vegetation
4				Present? Yes X No
	<u> </u>	= Total Cov	/er	
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate s	sheet.)		— — — — — — — — — —	
Opland vegetation near wettand boundary: red clove	er, commor	1 dandello	n, resluca	a spp.

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the de	pth needed to docur	ment the	indicator	or confirm	n the absence	of indicators.)
Depth (inchos)	Matrix	0/	Redo	ox Feature	S Tunc ¹		Toyturo	Pomorko
(inches)		100		%	Туре	LOC		Remarks
	1011(3/2	100					Loamy	
7-18	10YR 5/2	60	10YR 4/6	10	С	Μ		Loamy/clayey
	10YR 3/2	28	7.5YR 4/6	2	С	М		
						·		
	ncentration D=Dev	aletion RM	I=Reduced Matrix C	S=Covere	d or Coate	d Sand G	raine ² Loc	cation: PI = Pore Lining M=Matrix
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:						Indicators	for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol	(A1)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surface	e (S8) (LRI	RR,	2 cm N	/uck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
Histic Ep	pipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)			Coast	Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
Black His	stic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ace (S9) (LRR R, M	LRA 149B	5) 5 cm N	Aucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
Hydroge	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Mucky I	Mineral (F	1) (LRR K	., L)	Dark S	Surface (S7) (LRR K, L)
X Depleted	l Below Dark Surfac	ce (A11)	Loany Gleyed	(F3)	2)		Folyva Thin D	ark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)		Redox Dark Su	rface (F6)		Iron-M	anganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
Sandy M	lucky Mineral (S1)		Depleted Dark	Surface (I	F7)		Piedm	ont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
Sandy G	leyed Matrix (S4)		Redox Depress	sions (F8)			Mesic	Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
Sandy R Stripped	edox (S5) Matrix (S6)						Red Pa	arent Material (TF2)
Dark Sur	face (S7) (LRR R, I	MLRA 149	B)				Other	(Explain in Remarks)
			,					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
³ Indicators of	hydrophytic vegeta	ation and w	etland hydrology mus	st be pres	ent, unless	s disturbec	l or problematio	2.
Restrictive L	ayer (if observed)	:						
Туре:								
Depth (inc	ches):						Hydric Soil	Present? Yes <u>A</u> No
Remarks:								

 PERMIT / APPROVAL SUMMARY

 DATE SUBMITTED
 DATE APPROVED
 PERMIT / APPROVAL

DESIGN TEAM

OWNER/APPLICANT/DEVELOPER

GFA DEVELOPMENT, INC. 986 ELMSFORD DRIVE TROY, MI 48083 CONTACT: GARY ABITHEIRA PHONE: 248.840.2828 EMAIL: GABITHEIRA@WIDEOPENWEST.COM **CIVIL ENGINEER**

PEA GROUP 1849 POND RUN AUBURN HILLS, MI 48326 CONTACT: JOHN B. THOMPSON, PE PHONE: 844.813.2949 EMAIL: JTHOMPSON@PEAGROUP.COM

LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT

PEA GROUP 7927 NEMCO WAY, STE. 115 BRIGHTON, MI 48116 CONTACT: LYNN WHIPPLE, PLA PHONE: 844.813.2949 EMAIL: LWHIPPLE@PEAGROUP.COM PRELIMINARY SITE PLANS

GFA - FORSYTH 4165, 4189, 4197 FORSYTH DRIVE TROY, OAKLAND COUNTY, MICHIGAN



PEX. GROUP

	INDEX OF DRAWINGS
NUMBER	TITLE
	COVER SHEET
P-1	TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY
P-2	PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN
P-3	PRELIMINARY GRADING PLAN
P-4	PRELIMINARY UTILITY PLAN
L-1.0	LANDSCAPE PLAN
L-1.1	LANDSCAPE DETAILS
T-1.0	TREE PRESERVATION PLAN
T-1.1	TREE INVENTORY
A-2	TROYWOOD - FIRST FLOOR PLAN
A-3	TROYWOOD - SECOND FLOOR PLAN
A2	VANDERPOOL - FLOOR PLANS

REVISIONS



DESCRIPTION ORIGINAL ISSUE DATE REVISED PER PLANNING REVIEW DATED 01/10/25 DATE 1/7/2025 4/30/2025





LEGAL DESCRIPTION (Per PEA Group)







GRADING LEGEND:

622.50

EXISTING SPOT ELEVATION

PROPOSED SPOT ELEVATION:

TYPICALLY TOP OF PAVEMENT IN PAVED AREAS, GUTTER GRADE

IN CURB LINES.

PROPOSED REVERSE GUTTER PAR

922 PROPOSED CONTOUR

- - - - PROPOSED RIDGE LINE

EXISTING CONTOUR

GROUP

t: 844.813.2949

www.peagroup.com

BENCHMARKS:

BM #301

ELEV. - 645.81

ELEV. - 643.19

ELEV.- 643.91

(CITY OF TROY DATUM - NAVD88)

BENCH TIE IN NORTHWEST GARAGE.

ROCKINGHAM AT HOUSE #4136.

BM #303 (CITY OF TROY BM #2758)

BM#302 (CITY OF TROY BM #2759) ARROW ON TOP OF HYDRANT, EAST SIDE OF

ARROW ON TOP OF HYDRANT #13-176, EAST

SIDE OF STONINGTON AT HOUSE #4144.



WATER MAIN BASIS OF DESIGN:			SANITARY SEWER BASIS OF DESIGN:
(Unit Factors Based on Oakland Co	unty Unit A	ssignment Facto) (Unit Factors Based on Oakland County Unit Assignment Factors
Residential Dwelling			Residential Dwelling
ULTIMATE DESIGN			ULTIMATE DESIGN
Number of Lots	9.0		Number of Lots 9.0
REU	9.0		REU (1.0 Per Dwelling) 9.0
People / REU	3.5		People / REU 3.5
	32	People	Population (P) 32 People
Average Flow (150 GPCPD)	4,800	G.P.D.	Average Flow (100 GPCPD) 3,200 G.P.D.
	0.007	C.F.S.	0.005 C.F.S.
	0.005	M.G.D.	P (1000s) 0.032
			Peaking Factor (PF) 4.35
Design Max. Flow = (2*avg)	9,600	G.P.D.	PF = (18+sqrt(P))/(4+sqrt(P))
	0.015	C.F.S.	Peak Flow (G.D.P.) 13,921 G.P.D.
	0.010	M.G.D.	Peak Flow (C.F.S.) 0.022 C.F.S.
			8" Pipe Capacity Provided 0.766 C.F.S.

UTILITY LEGEN	D:
-OH-ELEC-VV-O<	EX. OH. ELEC, POLE & GUY WIRE
-UG-CATV-TV-	EX. U.G. CABLE TV & PEDESTAL
-UG-COMM⊠-Ū	EX. U.G. COMMUNICATION LINE, PEDESTAL & MANHOLE
-UG-ELEC-E-E-E	EX. U.G. ELEC, MANHOLE, METER & HANDHOLE
	EX. GAS LINE
G GAS	EX. GAS VALVE & GAS LINE MARKER
T	EX. TRANSFORMER & IRRIGATION VALVE
	EX. WATER MAIN
∀ -0- @	EX. HYDRANT, GATE VALVE & POST INDICATOR VALVE
V NSO	EX. WATER VALVE BOX & SHUTOFF
	EX. SANITARY SEWER
© (S)	EX. SANITARY CLEANOUT & MANHOLE
C	EX. COMBINED SEWER MANHOLE
	EX. STORM SEWER
© ST	EX. CLEANOUT & MANHOLE
	EX. SQUARE, ROUND, & BEEHIVE CATCH BASIN
O ^{T.D.} RD	EX. YARD DRAIN & ROOF DRAIN
?	EX. UNIDENTIFIED STRUCTURE
	PROPOSED WATER MAIN
$igvee$ \otimes	PROPOSED HYDRANT AND GATE VALVE
•	PROPOSED TAPPING SLEEVE, VALVE & WELL
-	PROPOSED POST INDICATOR VALVE
	PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
O ^{C.0.} ●	PROPOSED SANITARY CLEANOUT & MANHOLE
	PROPOSED STORM SEWER
	PROPOSED STORM SEWER CLEANOUT & MANHOLE
	PROPOSED CATCH BASIN, INLET & YARD DRAIN

PΞΛ GROUP t: 844.813.2949 www.peagroup.com





GRAVEL

Proposed								Know wh
Natural G	reenspace area	i:	0.00	acre		C =	0.25	
Select NO	CRS Soil type		0.00				0.20	
Improved	Greenspace an	ea.	2 97	acre		C =	0.25	
Select NO	CRS Soil type		2.01			5	0.20	CAUTIONII
Wooded	Area:		0.00	acre		C =	0 25	THE LOCATIONS AND ELEVATIONS OF
Select NO	CRS Soil type:		C			-	5.20	APPROXIMATE. NO GUARANTEE IS EIT IMPLIED AS TO THE COMPLETENESS O THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE EXCLUSIV
Impeniou	s Area.		2 00	acre		<u> </u>	0.05	DETERMINING THE EXACT UTILITY LOC PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTI
Greenhel	t Area		2.00	acre		C =	0.35	
Total Area	a (A):		4 97	acre		0-	5.25	
Weighted	Coefficient of F	Runoff (C):	1.01		0.53			
Rainfall Flood Co	Intensity ntrol Time of Co	ncentration	, Tc =		20.00	min		
	esign Require	ments						
C	PRC Extended	Detention:	V _{ED} =		1	8,167	cf	
C	PRC Allowable	Outlet Rate	e: Q _{VED} =			0.11	cfs	
1	00-Year Storage	e Volume	/ _{100D} =		5	23,554	cf	
1	00-Year Allowed	hle Outlet D	ate: 0	. =		2 11	cfs	
			UIC. WVRR	-		2.44	of	
1	uu year Peak Ir	1110W: Q ₁₀₀₁₁	N =			14.28	CÍS	
Ļ	otontian Dari				-			CLIENT
		Investigant	00	764		0 107	of	
C	00 vr Storage E	levation:	63	1.04 8.05		0, 10/	of	GFA
1	Elev (#)		<u>03</u>	0.00 (cf)	Total V	3,304	U	DEVELOPM
	635.00		VOI	. (U) 0		JI. (CI)		
	636.00	1 082	2	102	2.40	22		
	637.00	4,903	2,4	792 R00	2,48	مر 91		TROY, MI 48083
	638.00	14 187	12	402	22.6	93		
	639.00	17 975	16	081	38.7	74		
-	640 00	21,990	19	983	58 7	56		
	641.00	26,231	24	,111	82.8	67		
В	ottom Elevation	of Pond:			635.	00		PROJECT TITLE
Rainfall In	tensity							
ime of Co	centration (T_)				20.00	min		
Since 15-T		nsitv equati	on		20.00			
1 = 30.2 /[$(T + 9.17)^{811}$	iony equal			1 97	/ jn/hr		
					1.01			
10 = 50.12	/ [(T + 9.17)^.8	1]			3.26	in/hr		
100 = 83.3	/[(T + 9.17)^.81]			5.42	in/hr		
					_			
CDVC: Cha	annei Protectio 719)CA	on volume	Control	volum	e 12 430) cf		
	,				, 100			
PRC: Cha	annel Protectio	on Rate Co	ontrol Vol	ume: E	xtended	Deten	tion	REVISIONS
'ED= (689	7)CA				18,167	′ cf		REV PER REVIEW - 01/10
		Data						
PRC Allo		rta te			-			
$v_{\text{VED}} = V_{\text{ED}}$	₀ / (48*60*60)				0.11	CTS		
00 Voor A	llowable Out	at Pata						
Qvrr = Qa								
Q _{VRR} =					0.78	s cfs/ac	;	
					0.1-			
eceiving S	system Restrict	ea ⊢low Ra	ie QVRR	=	0.49	cts/ac	;	<u> </u>
00-Year P	eak Allowable	e Discharg	e					
rea, A =					4.97	ac		
$Q_{100P} = Q_{VF}$	_{RR} (A)				2.44	cfs		
00-Year R	Runoff Volume							
/100R = (1	8,985)CA				50,008	cf		DRAWING TITLE
00-Year P	eak Inflow							
$Q_{100IN} = C($	I ₁₀₀)A				14.28	s cfs		υπιτι
		- 15 / 2						
otorage C	urve Factor (V	s/Vr)			0.47			
k = 0.206-0	J.15 X IN(Q100P	7Q100IN)			0.471			
00-Voor S	torage Volum	0				_		
5 = RA/10		e			23 551	cf		
3 - N(VII	5013				20,004	UI		PEA JOB NO.
lo infiltrat	tion will be pro	ovided, so	no CPVC	deduc	tion is ta	ken.		PM
/100 = Vs		,			23,554	cf		
								DN
l _{flood} mus	t be larger or e	equal to V	ED:					DES.
$V_{100} >= V_{100}$	V _{ED} ?				Yes	5		
/flood =					23.554	cf		

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

CLIE	=N I			
GF	FA			
DE	EVEL		ME	NT.
IN	C.			•
3301	MIRAGE	DRIVE		



DRAWING TITLE PRELIMINARY UTILITY PLAN

P-4

2018-028

JBT

KMB DSK

ORIGINAL ISSUE DATE: JANUARY 7, 2025

REV PER REVIEW - 01/10/25 04/30/25

GFA FORSYTH



C =	0.25	
		CAUTION!!
C =	0.25	THE LOCATIONS AND ELEVATIONS OF EXISTING UNDERGROUND UTILITIES AS SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING ARE ONLY APPROXIMATE. NO GUARANTEE IS EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED AS TO THE COMPLETENESS OR ACCURACY THEREOF. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE EXCLUSIVELY RESPONSIBLE FOR DETERMINING THE EXACT UTILITY LOCATIONS AND ELEVATIONS
C =	0.95	PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.
C =	0.25	

 \mathcal{O} 5.5'DIA FIREPIT

CONC GARAGE

[]]]]

GARAGE

IM 640.70



		KEY:	
SIGNATION	REMARKS	+ DECIDUOUS TREES	$\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{V}$
TIVE	REPLACEMENT TREE	IR = LETTER DESIGNATION FOR INTERNAL ROAD TREES	
TIVE	MULTI-TRUNK REPLACEMENT 1	REE (REPLACEMENT TREES HAVE NO LETTER DESIGNATION)	GROUP
TIVE TIVE	REPLACEMENT TREE SINGLE-STEM		t: 844.813.2949
	MULTI-TRUNK REPLACEMENT 1	REE = MULTI-TRUNK TREES	www.peagroup.com
N-NATIVE TIVE TIVE		Jun a	
TIVE	REPLACEMENT TREE	= EVERGREEN TREES	TE OF MICHIGH
TIVE TIVE	REPLACEMENT	= NON-IRRIGATED SEED LAWN	LYNN A.
TIVE	REPLACEMENT TREE		LANDSCAPE
			No. (166)
TIVE TIVE APTED NATIVE	REPLACEMENT TREE REPLACEMENT TREE REPLACEMENT TREE	= LOW PROFILE PRAIRIE SEED MIX $= LOW PROFILE PRAIRIE SEED MIX$ $SEE DETAIL SHEET L-1.1$	CANDSCAPE INT
		= SWALE SEED MIX SEE DETAIL SHEET L-1.1	
		LANDSCAPE CALCULATIONS: PER CITY OF TROY ZONING ORDINANCE, ZONED R1-C	
		13.02 F. SUBDIVISION AND SITE CONDOMINIUM STANDARDS REQUIRED: 1 TREE PER 50 LF OF INTERNAL ROADS = IR	NORTH
		766.54 LF / 50 = 15.33 TREES TREES REQUIRED (EACH SIDE)	0 15 30 60
		REPLACEMENT TREES:	
		REQUIRED: 122" REPLACEMENT TREES = 58: 3" CAL. TREES OR 8' HT. PROVIDED: 19- 8' EVG. 27- 3" DEC TREES AND 12- 8' MULTI-TRUNK	SCALE: 1" = 30'
			Know what's below.
		TREE INVENTORY/PRESERVATION CALCULATIONS	Call before you dig.
		WOODLAND I REES REMOVED: 89 (REPLACE AI 50% OF REMOVED DBH) 802" DBH x 0.5 = 401" REPLACEMENT WOODLAND TREES SAVED: 12 (OPEDIT OF 2X DBH)	CAUTION!! THE LOCATIONS AND ELEVATIONS OF EXISTING UNDERGROUND UTILITIES AS SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING ARE ONLY APPROXIMATE. NO GUADANTEE IS ETUPED POPEOGO
		13 CREDIT OF 2X DBH) 117" DBH x 2 = 234" CREDIT 401 - 234 = 167	IMPLIED AS TO THE COMPLETENESS OR ACCURACY THEREOF. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE EXCLUSIVELY RESPONSIBLE FOR DETERMINING THE EXACT UTILITY LOCATIONS AND ELEVATIONS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.
		167" DBH REQUIRED FOR WOODLAND REPLACEMENT	
		LANDMARK TREES LANDMARK TREES REMOVED: 4 (REPLACE AT 100% OF REMOVED DBH)	
		83" DBH x 1 = 83" REPLACEMENT LANDMARK TREES SAVED: 2 (CREDIT OF 2X DBH)	
		39" DBH x 2 = 78" CREDIT 83 - 78 = 5	
		(NO REPLACEMENT REQUIRED FOR EXEMPT TREES) SAVED EXEMPT TREES: 5 Trees	
		EXEMPT TREES ON SITE: 133 Trees	
		TOTAL SAVED TREES 6" AND ABOVE ON SITE: 20 Trees	
		TOTAL TREE CREDITS 0 TREE CREDITS NOTE: TREES SHALL BE PLACED AT A MINIMUM OF 5' AWAY FROM LITUITY LEADS	GFA DEVELOPMENT,
		GENERAL PLANTING NOTES:	INC. 3301 MIRAGE DRIVE
		1. LANDSCAPE CONTRACTOR SHALL VISIT SITE, INSPECT EXISTING SITE	TROY, MI 48083
`\		CONDITIONS AND REVIEW PROPOSED PLANTING AND RELATED WORK. IN CASE OF DISCREPANCY BETWEEN PLAN AND PLANT LIST, PLAN SHALL GOVERN QUANTITIES. CONTACT LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT WITH ANY CONCERNS.	
		2. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY LOCATIONS OF ALL ON SITE UTILITIES PRIOR TO BEGINNING CONSTRUCTION ON HIS/HER PHASE OF WORK. ELECTRIC. GAS.	
		TELEPHONE, CABLE TELEVISION MAY BE LOCATED BY CALLING MISS DIG 1-800-482-7171. ANY DAMAGE OR INTERRUPTION OF SERVICES SHALL BE	PROJECT TITLE
		ALL RELATED ACTIVITIES WITH OTHER TRADES ON THE JOB AND SHALL REPORT ANY UNACCEPTABLE JOB CONDITIONS TO OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE	GFA FORSYTH TROY, MICHIGAN
\bigcirc		3. ALL PLANT MATERIAL TO BE PREMIUM GRADE NURSERY STOCK AND SHALL	
5.5'DIA FIREPIT		STICK. ALL LANDSCAPE MATERIAL SHALL BE NORTHERN GROWN, NO. 1. GRADE.	
		4. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR VERIFYING ALL QUANTITIES SHOWN ON LANDSCAPE PLAN PRIOR TO PRICING THE WORK.	
	RICT	5. THE OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE RESERVES THE RIGHT TO REJECT ANY PLANT	
642		6. ALL SINGLE STEM SHADE TREES TO HAVE STRAIGHT TRUNKS AND	REVISIONS
CONC		5 YMME IRICAL CROWNS. 7. ALL SINGLE TRUNK SHADE TREES TO HAVE A CENTRAL LEADER: TREES WITH	REV PER REVIEW - 01/10/25 04/30/25
GARAGE		FORKED OR IRREGULAR TRUNKS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED.	
2		SYMMETRICAL CROWNS. ONE SIDED TREES OR THOSE WITH THIN OR OPEN CROWNS SHALL NOT BE ACCEPTED.	
·~		9. ALL EVERGREEN TREES SHALL BE HEAVILY BRANCHED AND FULL TO THE GROUND, SYMMETRICAL IN SHAPE AND NOT SHEARED FOR THE LAST FIVE	
		GROWING SEASONS. 10. ALL TREES TO HAVE CLAY OR CLAY LOAM BALLS, TREES WITH SAND BALLS	
GRV GRV		WILL BE REJECTED.	
ARAGE		HAND GRADE ALL LAWN AREAS WITHIN THE DRIP LINE OF EXISTING TREES.	ORIGINAL ISSUE DATE:
		ARE SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL OF THE LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT PRIOR TO INSTALLATION OF THE PLANT MATERIAL.	JANUARY 7, 2025
		13. IT IS MANDATORY THAT POSITIVE DRAINAGE IS PROVIDED AWAY FROM ALL BUILDINGS.	PRELIMINARY
		14. ALL PLANTING BEDS SHALL RECEIVE 3" SHREDDED HARDWOOD BARK MULCH WITH PRE EMERGENT, SEE SPECIFICATIONS. SHREDDED PALETTE AND DYED	LANDSCAPE
		MULCH WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED. 15. ALL LANDSCAPED AREAS SHALL RECEIVE 3" COMPACTED TOPSON	PLAN
		16. SEE SPECIFICATIONS FOR ADDITIONAL COMMENTS, REQUIREMENTS, PLANTING	
		17. FOR NON-LAWN SEED MIX AREAS, AS NOTED ON PLAN, BRUSH MOW ONCE	PEA JOB NO. 2018-028
		SEASONALLY FOR INVASIVE SPECIES CONTROL. 18. CONTRACTOR SHALL NOT INSTALL PLANTS UNDER BUILDING OVERHANG AND	P.M. JBT
		SHALL NOTIFY LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT IF DRAWINGS CONFLICT WITH BUILDING OVERHANGS.	DN. CAL DES. LAW
		19. TREES SHALL NOT CONFLICT/ BLOCK PROPOSED REGULATORY/ DIRECTION SIGNAGE, MONUMENT SIGNS, ADDRESS OR LIGHT POLES. SHIFT TREES AS	DRAWING NUMBER:
	l		I_1 ()
		NUT FOR CONSTRUCTION	

INSTALL AND PREP PER MANUFACTURES SPECIFICATIONS.

Tempora Avena sativa

Forbs:

Botan

Perma Androp Carex of Carex Carex I Carex : Carex Elymus Glycen Panicu Scirpus Scirpus Spartin

Tempo Avena Lolium

Forbs: Alisma Asclep Coreo Eutroc Iris virg Liatris : Lobelia Lobelia Lycopu Pycnar Rudbe Sagitta Senna Silphiu Symph Verber Zizia

NATIVE SEED MIX, BY STANTEC NATIVE PLANT NURSERY, 574-586-2412, OR EQUAL SPECIES TO BE NATIVE TO COUNTY, NO INVASIVE SPECIES ALLOWED FOR ALL SEED MIXES, PROVIDE EROSION MAT ON SLOPES AND AREAS OF WASH OUT TYP.

Low-profile Prairie Seed Mix

Stantec Native Plant Nursery 574-586-2412 stantec.com/native-plant-nursery

Botanical Name	<u>Common Name</u>	
Permanent Grasses:		
Bouteloua curtipendula	Side Oats Grama	
Carex spp.	Prairie Carex Mix	
Elymus canadensis	Canada Wild Rye	
Koeleria pyramidata	June Grass	
Panicum virgatum	Switch Grass	
Schizachyrium scoparium	Little Bluestem	
Temporary Cover:		

Common Oat Lolium multiflorum Annual Rye

Amorpha canescens	Lead Plant
Anemone cylindrica	ThimbleWeed
Asclepias syriaca	Common Milkweed
Asclepias tuberosa	Butterfly MilkWeed
Baptisia alba	White Wild Indigo
Chamaecrista fasciculata	Partridge Pea
Coreopsis lanceolata	Sand Coreopsis
Coreopsis palmata	Prairie Coreopsis
Dalea candida	White Prairie Clover
Dalea purpurea	Purple Prairie Clove
Desmanthus illinoensis	Illinois Sensitive Pla
Echinacea purpurea	Broad-Leaved Purple
Eryngium yuccifolium	Rattlesnake Master
Lespedeza capitata	Round-Head Bush C
Liatris aspera	Rough Blazing Star
Lupinus perennis	Wild Lupine
Monarda fistulosa	Wild Bergamot
Oligoneuron rigidum	Stiff Goldenrod
Parthenium integrifolium	Wild Quinine
Penstemon digitalis	Foxglove Beard Ton
Penstemon hirsutus	Hairy Beard Tongue
Pycnanthemum virginianum	Common Mountain
Ratibida pinnata	Yellow Coneflower
Rudbeckia hirta	Black-Eyed Susan
Rudbeckia subtomentosa	Sweet Black-Eyed S
Silphium terebinthinaceum	Prairie Dock
Solidago speciosa	Showy Goldenrod
Symphyotrichum ericoides	Heath Aster
Symphyotrichum laeve	Smooth Blue Aster
Symphyotrichum novae-angliae	New England Aster
Tradescantia ohiensis	Common Spiderworf
Verbena stricta	Hoary Vervain
Vernonia spp.	Ironweed (Various N
Veronicastrum virginicum	Culvers Root

Butterfly MilkWeed White Wild Indigo Partridge Pea Sand Coreopsis Prairie Coreopsis White Prairie Clover Purple Prairie Clover Illinois Sensitive Plant Broad-Leaved Purple Coneflower Rattlesnake Master Round-Head Bush Clover Rough Blazing Star Wild Lupine Wild Bergamot Stiff Goldenrod Wild Quinine Foxglove Beard Tongue Hairy Beard Tongue Common Mountain Mint Yellow Coneflower Black-Eyed Susan Sweet Black-Eyed Susan Prairie Dock Showy Goldenrod Heath Aster Smooth Blue Aster New England Aster Common Spiderwort Hoary Vervain Ironweed (Various Mix)

Swale Seed Mix Stantec Native Plant Nurserv 574-586-2412

ec nauve Flant nurse	ery 5/4-506-2412	
ec.com/native-plant-n	lursery	
nical Name	<u>Common Name</u>	
anent Grasses/Sedge	es:	
poqon qerardii	Big Bluestem	
comosa	Bristly Sedge	
cristatella	Crested Oval Sedge	
lurida	Bottlebrush Sedge	
SDD.	Prairie Sedge Mix	
vulpinoidea	Brown Fox Sedge	
, ıs virginicus	Virginia Wild Rve	
ria striata	Fowl Manna Grass	
um virgatum	Switch Grass	
us atrovirens	Dark Green Rush	
us cyperinus	Wool Grass	NOTE:
ina pectinata	Prairie Cord Grass	PRUNE AS SPECIFIED
		STAKE 3 LARGEST STEMS, IF TREE HAS 3 STAKES PER TREE MAX.
		STAKES VERTICAL AND AT SAME HEIGHT.
orary Cover:		
a sativa	Common Oat	REMOVE ROPES/CABLES FROM AROUND SINGLE STAY
n multiflorum	Annual Rye	
		MULCH 3" DEPTH WITH SHREDDED
		HARDWOOD BARK. NATURAL IN
).		COLOR. LEAVE 3" CIRCLE OF BARE
a spp.	Water Plantain (Various Mix)	SUIL AT BASE OF TREE TRUNK TO SET STAYS ABOVE FIRST
pias incarnata	Swamp Milkweed	EXCESS SOIL TO EXPOSE ROOT
psis tripteris	Tall Coreopsis	FLARE IF NECESSARY.
chium maculatum	Spotted Joe-Pye Weed	
rginica	Blue Flag	SCARIFY SUBGRADE AND
spicata	Marsh Blazing Star	RE-COMPACT BASE TO 4"DEPTH.
ia cardinalis	Cardinal Flower	REANT MIXTURE AS SPECIFIED
ia siphilitica	Great Blue Lobelia	BASKET AND FOLD DOWN ALL
us americanus	Common Water Horehound	SCARIFY TO 4" DEPTH AND RECOMPACT BURLAP FROM TOP 3 OF ROOT
anthemum virginianum	Common Mountian Mint	
eckia triloba	Brown-Eyed Susan	DIRT FROM ROOIBALL IS TO BE REMOVED TO EXPOSE ROOT FLARE.
aria latifolia	Common Arrowhead	STAKES TO EXTEND 12" BELOW PLANTING MIX. AMEND
a hebecarpa	Wild Senna	TREE PIT IN UNDISTURBED GROUND
um terebinthinaceum	Prairie Dock	REQUIREMENTS OF
hyotrichum novae-angli	a New England Aster	PLANT MATERIAL
ena hastata	Blue Vervain	
aurea	Golden Alexanders	/ 4 \MULTI-STEM TREE PLANTING DETAIL
		SCALE: 1" - 2' 0"
		$\int \int JUALE. I = 2 - U$



PLANT SO THAT THE TREE'S ROOT FLARE (TRUNK FLARE) IS FLUSH WITH GRADE OR 1-2" HIGHER IN POORLY DRAIN SOIL. STAKE JUST BELOW BRANCHES WITH 2"-3" WIDE NYLON OR PLASTIC STRAPS. CONNECT - FROM TREE TO STAKE AND ALLOW FOR FLEXIBILITY. REMOVE AFTER (1) ONE YEAR. (DO NOT USE WIRE & HOSE)

THREE 2"X2" HARDWOOD STAKES OR STEEL T-POSTS DRIVEN A MIN. OF 18" DEEP FIRMLY INTO SUBGRADE PRIOR TO

SHREDDED HARDWOOD BARK MULCH TO DRIPLINE. 3" DEEP AND LEAVE 3" CIRCLE OF BARE SOIL AROUND TREE TRUNK. DO NOT PLACE MULCH IN CONTACT WITH TREE TRUNK. FORM SAUCER WITH 4" HIGH

SPECIFIED PLANTING MIX, WATER & TAMP TO . - REMOVE AIR POCKETS, AMEND SOIL PER SITE CONDITIONS & TREE REQUIREMENTS EXPOSE ROOT FLARE OF TREE. CONTRACTOR MAY HAVE TO REMOVE EXCESS SOIL FROM - TOP OF ROOTBALL. REMOVE ALL BURLAP FROM TOP 3 OF ROOTBALL. DISCARD ALL NON-BIODEGRADABLE MATERIAL OFF SITE PLACE ROOTBALL ON UNEXCAVATED OR





EVERGREEN TREE PLANTING DETAIL SCALE: 1'' = 3' - 0''

TREE PROTECTION WILL BE ERECTED PRIOR TO START OF CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES AND SHALL REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETE

NO PERSON MAY CONDUCT ANY ACTIVITY WITHIN THE DRIP LINE OF ANY TREE DESIGNATED TO REMAIN; INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO PLACING SOLVENTS, BUILDING MATERIAL, CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT OR SOIL DEPOSITS WITHIN DRIP LINES

GRADE CHANGES MAY NOT OCCUR WITHIN THE DRIP LINE OF PROTECTED TREES

DURING CONSTRUCTION, NO PERSON SHALL ATTACH ANY DEVICE OR WIRE TO ANY REMAINING TREE

ALL UTILITY SERVICE REQUESTS MUST INCLUDE NOTIFICATION TO THE INSTALLER THAT PROTECTED TREES MUST BE AVOIDED. ALL TRENCHING SHALL OCCUR OUTSIDE OF THE PROTECTIVE FENCING

TREES LOCATED ON ADJACENT PROPERTY THAT MAY BE AFFECTED BY CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES MUST BE

PROTECTED TREES TO BE PRESERVED SHALL BE IDENTIFIED WITH FLAGGING PRIOR TO THE TREE CLEARING

OPERATIONS PROVIDE FENCE AROUND CRITICAL ROOT ZONE OF TREE

FENCE SHALL BE PLACED IN A CIRCLE WITH A MINIMUM RADIUS OF 1' PER 1" DIAMETER OF THE TREE MEASURED AT 4.5' ABOVE GROUND

4'HIGH PROTECTIVE FENCING

- EXISTING SOIL

WITH STEEL POSTS - 10' O.C.

Know what's DC **Call before you** CAUTION!! CAUTION!! THE LOCATIONS AND ELEVATIONS OF EXISTING UNDERGROUND UTILITIES AS SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING ARE ONLY APPROXIMATE. NO GUARANTEE IS EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED AS TO THE COMPLETENESS OR ACCURACY THEREOF. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE EXCLUSIVELY RESPONSIBLE FOR DETERMINING THE EXACT UTILITY LOCATIONS AND ELEVATIONS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.

CLIENT GFA DEVELOPMENT, INC. 3301 MIRAGE DRIVE TROY, MI 48083

PROJECT TITLE **GFA FORSYTH**

REVISIONS

REV PER REVIEW - 01/10/25 04/30/25

ORIGINAL ISSUE DATE:

JANUARY 7, 2025

DRAWING TITLE

TREE PROTECTION DETAIL SCALE: 1'' = 3' - 0''

CRITICAL ROOT ZONE



PLANT SO THAT THE TREE'S ROOT FLARE (TRUNK FLARE) IS FLUSH WITH GRADE OR 1-2" HIGHER IN POORLY DRAIN SOIL. SECURE TREE WRAP WITH BIODEGRADABLE MATERIAL AT TOP & BOTTOM, REMOVE AFTER FIRST WINTER

DO NOT PRUNE TERMINAL LEADER PRUNE ONLY DEAD, BROKEN BRANCHES AS DIRECTED BY LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT

STAKE JUST BELOW BRANCHES WITH 2"-3" WIDE NYLON OR PLASTIC STRAPS. CONNECT - FROM TREE TO STAKE AND ALLOW FOR FLEXIBILITY. REMOVE AFTER (1) ONE YEAR. (DO NOT USE WIRE & HOSE)

(3) THREE 2"X2" HARDWOOD STAKES DRIVEN A MIN. OF 18" DEEP FIRMLY INTO SUBGRADE PRIOR TO BACKFILLING

SHREDDED HARDWOOD BARK MULCH TO DRIPLINE. 3" DEEP AND LEAVE 3" CIRCLE OF BARE SOIL AROUND TREE TRUNK. DO NOT PLACE MULCH IN CONTACT WITH TREE TRUNK. FORM SAUCER WITH 4" HIGH CONTINUOUS RIM

SPECIFIED PLANTING MIX, WATER & TAMP TO - REMOVE AIR POCKETS, AMEND SOIL PER SITE CONDITIONS & TREE REQUIREMENTS

- FINISH GRADE

EXPOSE ROOT FLARE OF TREE. CONTRACTOR MAY HAVE TO REMOVE EXCESS SOIL FROM - TOP OF ROOTBALL. REMOVE ALL BURLAP FROM TOP 🖁 OF ROOTBALL. DISCARD ALL NON-BIODEGRADABLE MATERIAL OFF SITE _PLACE ROOTBALL ON UNEXCAVATED OR TAMPED SOIL



L-1.1

LANDSCAPE

DETAILS

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION



NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

PEA JOB NO.	2018-028
P.M.	JBT
DN.	KMB
DES.	DSK
DRAWING NUMBER:	0

TREE PRESERVATION PLAN

DRAWING TITLE

ORIGINAL ISSUE DATE: JANUARY 7, 2025

REVISIONS REV PER REVIEW - 01/10/25 04/30/25

GFA FORSYTH

PROJECT TITLE



SAVED EXEMPT TREES:	5	Trees					
EXEMPT TREES ON SITE:	133	Trees					
TOTAL SAVED TREES 6" AND ABOVE ON SITE: 20 Tree							

LANDMA	ARK TR	EES					
LANDMA	ARK TR	EES REM	OVED:	4	(REPLAC	CE AT 100%	OF REMOVED DBH)
	83''	DBH x 1	=		83''	REPLACEM	1ENT
LANDMA	ARK TR	EES SAVE	<u>D:</u>	2	(CREDIT	OF 2X DBH)
	39''	DBH x 2	=		78''	CREDIT	
		83	-	78	=	5	
5''	DBH	REQUIRE	D FOR I		RK REPL	ACEMENT	
172''	TOTA	L DBH RE	QUIRE	D FOR F	REPLACEN	/IENT	
EXEMPT	T TREE	S					
(NO REF	PLACEN		UIRED	FOR EX	EMPT TR	EES)	

WOODLAND TREES REMOVED: 89 (REPLACE AT 50% OF REMOVED DBH)

234 =

TREE INVENTORY/PRESERVATION CALCULATIONS WOODLAND TREES

401 -

167" DBH REQUIRED FOR WOODLAND REPLACEMENT

802" DBH x 0.5 =

117" DBH x 2 =

WOODLAND TREES SAVED:



KEY:

EXISTING TREES TO REMAIN PROVIDE TREE PROTECTION FENCE TREE PROTECTION FENCE

401" REPLACEMENT

167

13 (CREDIT OF 2X DBH)

234" CREDIT



LYNN A

WHIPPLE LANDSCAPE ARCHITEQT

SCALE: 1" = 30'

THE LOCATIONS AND ELEVATIONS OF EXISTING UNDERGROUND UTILITIES AS SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING ARE ONLY APPROXIMATE. NO GUARANTEE IS EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED AS TO THE COMPLETENESS OR ACCURACY THEREOF. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE EXCLUSIVELY RESPONSIBLE FOR DETERMINING THE EXACT UTILITY LOCATIONS AND ELEVATIONS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.

R

CAUTION!!

TAG NO. DBH COMMON NAME	LATIN NAME	COND	COMMENTS	CLASS	SAVE / REMOVE ON-SI	E REPLACE	TAG NO. DBH		LATIN NAME	COND COMMENTS	CLASS SAVE / REMOVE ON	SITE REPLACE	TAG NO. DBH COMMON NAME		COND	COMMENTS	CLASS	SAVE / REMOVE ON-SIT	REPLACE
1312 11 Box elder	Acer negundo	Fair		INVASIVE	R ¥	-	1414 12	White Mulberry	Morus alba	Fair x1	INVASIVE R	¥ -	1514 21 Silver-Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good	×1	INVASIVE	R ¥	-
1313 9 American 目m 1314 14 Silver Mente	Ulmus americana	Good			R ¥	-	<u>1415</u> 8	American Em	Ulmus americana	Good x1	INVASIVE R	¥ -		Acer saccharinum	Good			R ¥	-
<u>1314 14 Silvei Maple</u> 1315 7 American ⊟m	Ulmus americana	Good		INVASIVE	R ¥	-	1417 17	Cottonw ood	Populus deltoides	Good	INVASIVE R	+ - ¥ -	1517 21 Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	Good		INVASIVE	R ¥	-
1316 8 Basswood	Tilia americana	Fair		WOODLAND	P R ¥	REPLACE	1418 10	Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Good	WOODLAND R	¥ REPLACE	1518 10 American ⊟m	Ulmus americana	Good		INVASIVE	S Y	-
<u>1317</u> 13 American ⊟m	Ulmus americana	Good		INVASIVE	R ¥	-	1419 9	Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Good	WOODLAND R	¥ REPLACE	1519 6 Domestic Apple	Malus sylvestris	Good		WOODLAND	S Y	-
1318 18 Red Oak	Quercus rubra	Good				REPLACE REPLACE	1420 10 1421 15	Black Locust	Robinia pseudoacacia Robinia pseudoacacia	Good	INVASIVE R	¥ - ¥ -		Quercus bicolor	Good			S Y	-
1320 6 Sugar Maple	Acer saccharum	Fair		WOODLAND	PR Y	REPLACE	1422 10	Black Locust	Robinia pseudoacacia	Good	INVASIVE R	¥ -	1522 7 Domestic Apple	Malus sylvestris	Poor		WOODLAND	S Y	-
1321 9 Shagbark Hickory	Carya ovata	Good		WOODLAND	P R ¥	REPLACE	1423 11	Bassw ood	Tilia americana	Good x1	WOODLAND R	¥ REPLACE	1523 7 Domestic Apple	Malus sylvestris	Poor		WOODLAND	S Y	-
1322 8 American ⊞m 1323 6 American ⊞m	Ulmus americana	Good		INVASIVE	R ¥	-	1424 16 1425 18	Black Locust	Robinia pseudoacacia	Good ×2	INVASIVE R	¥ -	1524 6 Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Fair			S Y	-
1324 9 Domestic Apple	Malus sylvestris	Good		WOODLAND	P R Y	REPLACE	1426 10	Shagbark Hickory	Carya ovata	Good	WOODLAND R	¥ REPLACE	1526 6 American Em	Ulmus americana	Good		INVASIVE	S Y	-
1325 14 Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	Fair		INVASIVE	R ¥	-	1427 21	Bur oak	Quercus macrocarpa	Good	LANDMARK S	Y -	1527 7 Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Good		WOODLAND	S Y	-
1326 16 Cottonw ood 1327 10 Bur oak	Populus deltoides	Good		INVASIVE WOODLAND	R Y	- REPLACE	1428 11 1429 9	Black Locust Basswood	Robinia pseudoacacia	Fair x3	WOODLAND R	¥ - ¥ REPLACE	1528 40 Cottonwood	Populus deltoides Carva cordiformis	Good		INVASIVE WOODLAND	S Y R Y	- REPLACE
1328 10 Basswood	Tilia americana	Fair		WOODLAND	D R ¥	REPLACE	1430 11	Black Locust	Robinia pseudoacacia	Fair	INVASIVE R	¥ -	1530 10 American ⊟m	Ulmus americana	Good		INVASIVE	R ¥	-
1329 8 American ⊟m	Ulmus americana	Good		INVASIVE	R ¥	-	<u>1431</u> <u>10</u>	Black Locust	Robinia pseudoacacia	Fair ×1	INVASIVE R	¥ -	1531 21 Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	Good			R ¥	-
1330 10 American ⊟m 1331 9 Basswood	Ulmus americana	Good	×1				1432 ++ 1433 9	Pignut Hickory	Carya cordirormis Carya glabra	Good	WOODLAND R	¥ REPLACE ¥ REPLACE	<u>1532</u> 18 Basswood 1533 11 American ⊟m	Ulmus americana	Good	X1	LANDWARK INVASIVE	R Y	
1332 8 American ⊟m	Ulmus americana	Good		INVASIVE	R ¥	-	1434 7	Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Good	WOODLAND R	¥ REPLACE	1534 12 Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Good		WOODLAND	R ¥	REPLACE
1333 7 American ⊟m	Ulmus americana	Good		INVASIVE	R ¥	-	1435 18	Sw amp White Oak	Quercus bicolor	Good	LANDMARK S	Y -	1535 7 Wild Black Cherry	Prunus serotina	Fair			R ¥	REPLACE
1334 9 American 目m 1335 13 Silver Maple	Ulmus americana	Good		INVASIVE	R ¥	-	1430 ++	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good x2	INVASIVE R	¥ -	<u>1537</u> 9 American ⊟m	Ulmus americana	Good		INVASIVE	R ¥	-
1336 7 Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good		INVASIVE	R ¥	-	1438 23	Red-Oak	Quercus rubra	Good	LANDMARK R	¥ REPLACE	1538 31 Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	Good		INVASIVE	R ¥	-
1337 13 Basswood	Tilia americana	Good		WOODLA ND	D S Y	-	1439 9 1440 7	Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Good		Y REPLACE		Ulmus americana	Good			R ¥	
1339 10 Basswood 1340 34 Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	Good		WOODLAND	R Y		1441 11	Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Good	WOODLAND R	YREPLACE	1541 14 Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Good		WOODLAND	R ¥	REPLACE
1341 7 Thornapple/Haw thorne	Cragaegus spp.	Good	×1	WOODLAND	R Y	REPLACE	1442 9	Bassw ood	Tilia americana	Good x1	WOODLAND R	¥ REPLACE	<u>1542</u> 10 American ⊟m	Ulmus americana	Good		INVASIVE	R ¥	-
1342 8 Thornapple/Haw thorne	Cragaegus spp.	Poor			R Y		1443 <u>11</u> 1444 8	Basswood	Tilia americana	Good		¥REPLACE¥REPLACE	1543 10 Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Good			R Y	REPLACE
TOTO TT Sugar Maple 1344 19 Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good	**	INVASIVE	R ¥		1445 11	Bassw ood	Tilia americana	Good	WOODLAND R	Y REPLACE	<u>1545</u> 8 American ⊟m	Ulmus americana	Good		INVASIVE	R¥	
1345 27 Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	Good		INVASIVE	R ¥	-	1446 7	Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Good		¥ REPLACE	1546 12 Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Good			R ¥	REPLACE
1346 22 Cottonwood 1347 11 Silver Merice	Populus deltoides	Fair	 1		R ¥	-	1447 11 1448 7	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good	INVASIVE R	¥ - ¥ -	1547 12 Bitternut Hickory 1548 8 Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Good	×1	WOODLAND	R ¥ R ¥	REPLACE REPLACE
1348 16 Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Fair	×+ ×1	INVASIVE	R ¥		1449 9	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good x1	INVASIVE R	¥ -	1549 7 Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Good		WOODLAND	R ¥	REPLACE
1349 17 Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good	×1	INVASIVE	R ¥	-	1450 6	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good	INVASIVE R	¥ -	1550 13 Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Good		WOODLAND	R ¥	REPLACE
1350 11 Cottonw ood 1351 16 Cottonw ood	Populus deltoides	Good		INVASIVE	R ¥		$\frac{1451}{1452}$ $\frac{12}{6}$	Silver Maple Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good	INVASIVE R	¥ - ¥ -	1551 8 Bitternut Hickory 1552 10 Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Good	* 2		R Y R Y	REPLACE
1352 8 Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Good		WOODLAND	\mathbf{R}	REPLACE	1453 11	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good	INVASIVE R	¥ -	1553 11 Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Good		WOODLAND	R ¥	REPLACE
1353 6 Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Fair		WOODLAND	P R ¥	REPLACE	1454 8	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good	INVASIVE R	¥ -	1554 14 Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Good			R ¥	REPLACE
1354 9 Bitternut Hickory 1355 9 Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Good				REPLACE REPLACE	1455 8 1456 7	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good	INVASIVE R	¥ - ¥ -	1555 6 Bitternut Hickory 1556 8 Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Good		WOODLAND WOODLAND	R Y R Y	REPLACE
1356 6 Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Good		WOODLAND	PRY	REPLACE	1457 16	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good	INVASIVE R	¥ -	1557 6 Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Good		WOODLAND	R ¥	REPLACE
1357 7 Basswood	Tilia americana	Fair	×1		R Y	REPLACE	<u>1458</u> 12	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good	INVASIVE R	¥ -	1558 11 Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Good			R ¥	REPLACE
1358 7 Bitternut Hickory 1359 26 Cottonw ood	Carya cordiformis Populus deltoides	Good		WOODLAND INVASIVE	R Y	- REPLACE	1459 9 1460 17	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good	INVASIVE R	<u>+ -</u> + -	1559 13 Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Good		WOODLAND	<u> </u>	-
1360 24 Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Good		LANDMARK	K R Y	REPLACE	1461 21	Cottonw ood	Populus deltoides	Fair	INVASIVE R	¥ -							
1361 6 Basswood	Tilia americana	Fair			R Y	REPLACE	<u>1462</u> <u>18</u> 1463 <u>14</u>	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good	INVASIVE R	¥ -							
1363 12 Red Oak	Quercus rubra	Good		WOODLAND WOODLAND	P R Y	REPLACE	1463 14 1464 12	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good	INVASIVE R	+ - ¥ -	-						
1364 12 Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Fair		INVASIVE	R ¥	-	1465 9	Bassw ood	Tilia americana	Good x1	WOODLAND R	¥ REPLACE							
1365 13 Scotch Pine 1366 15 Scotch Pine	Pinus sylvestris	Good				REPLACE	1466 7 1467 8	Bur oak	Quercus macrocarpa	Good		¥ REPLACE × REPLACE	-						
1360 13 Scotich Fine 1367 7 Red Pine	Pinus resinosa	Fair		WOODLAND	D R ¥	REPLACE	1468 6	Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Good	WOODLAND R	YREPLACE	-						
1368 10 American ⊟m	Ulmus americana	Good		INVASIVE	R ¥	-	1469 24	Cottonw ood	Populus deltoides	Good	INVASIVE R	¥ -							
1369 7 Red Pine 1370 12 Scotch Pine	Pinus resinosa Pinus sylvestris	Fair			PRY	REPLACE REPLACE	<u>1470</u> 22 <u>1471</u> 11	Cottonw ood American ⊟m	Populus deltoides Ulmus americana	Good Good	INVASIVE R	¥ - ¥ -	_						
1371 7 Scotch Pine	Pinus sylvestris	Good		WOODLAND	PRY	REPLACE	1472 27	Cottonw ood	Populus deltoides	Good	INVASIVE R	¥ -							
1372 10 Scotch Pine	Pinus sylvestris	Fair			R Y	REPLACE	1473 7	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good	INVASIVE R	¥ -	_						
1373 11 Scotch Mhe 1374 6 Bur oak	Quercus macrocarpa	Fa⊮ Good		WOODLAND WOODLAND	D S Y	- REPLACE	1474 +2 1475 13	Cottonw ood	Populus deltoides	Good	INVASIVE R	<u>+ -</u> + -	-						
1375 30 Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good	×4	INVASIVE	S Y	-	1476 14	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good x2	INVASIVE R	¥ -							
1376 8 Red Oak 1377 11 American ⊟m	Quercus rubra	Good			R Y	REPLACE	1477 13 1478 16	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good	INVASIVE R	¥ -	_						
1380 11 Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good	x3	INVASIVE	R ¥		<u>1479</u> 7	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good	INVASIVE R	¥ -	_						
1381 8 American ⊟m	Ulmus americana	Good		INVASIVE	R ¥	-	1480 9	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good	INVASIVE R	¥ -	_						
1382 9 Bitternut Hickory 1383 9 American Em	Carya cordiformis	Good		WOODLAND	R Y R V	REPLACE -	1481 8 1482 6	Basswood	Tilia americana	Fair Good	WOODLAND R	Y REPLACE Y REPLACE							
1384 8 Bigtooth Aspen	Populus grandidentata	Fair		INVASIVE	R ¥		1483 8	Basswood	Tilia americana	Good	WOODLAND R	Y REPLACE							
1385 12 Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good	 		R ¥	-	1484 10	Basswood	Tilia americana	Good		Y REPLACE	-1						
+000 + Silver Maple 1387 16 Cottonw ood	Populus deltoides	Fair		INVASIVE	R ¥		1485 8 14867	Bitternut Hickorv	Carya cordiformis	Good	WOODLAND R	+ KEPLACE ¥ REPLACE							
1388 18 Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	Fair		INVASIVE	R ¥	-	1487 7	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good	INVASIVE R	¥ -]						
1389 16 Cottonw ood 1390 23 Cottonw cod	Populus deltoides	Fair Fair		INVASIVE	R ¥	-	1488 19	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good		¥ - ¥							
1391 6 American ⊟m	Ulmus americana	Good		INVASIVE	R ¥		1490 6	Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Good	WOODLAND R	¥ REPLACE	-						
1392 11 Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	Fair		INVASIVE	R ¥	-	1491 13	Shagbark Hickory	Carya ovata	Good	WOODLAND R	¥ REPLACE							
1393 19 Cottonwood 1394 17 Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	Good		INVASIVE	R ¥ R ¥	-	1492 8 1493 °	Shagbark Hickory	Carya ovata	Good	WOODLAND R	Y REPLACE							
1395 12 Cottonw ood	Populus deltoides	Fair		INVASIVE	R ¥	-	1494 8	Bassw ood		Good x1	WOODLAND R	¥ REPLACE							
1396 17 Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	Fair		INVASIVE	R ¥	-	1495 7	Bassw ood	Tilia americana	Good	WOODLAND R	¥ REPLACE	_						
+>>/ 31 Cottonw ood 1398 21 Silver Maple	+opuius deltoides Acer saccharinum	Good Good	×2	INVASIVE INVASIVE	R Y		1496 13 1497 7	Bur oak Bitternut Hickorv	Quercus macrocarpa	Good	WOODLAND R	×REPLACE¥REPLACE	-1						
1399 6 American Em	Ulmus americana	Good		INVASIVE	R ¥	-	1498 10	Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Good	WOODLAND S	Y -]						
1400 8 American ⊟m 1401 7 American ⊡m	Ulmus americana	Good			R ¥	-	1499 8	Basswood	Tilia americana	Good	WOODLAND S	Y -	-						
THOR ATTREFICan Elm 1402 6 Basswood	Jimus americana	Good		WOODLAND	R ¥	- REPLACE	1500 7 1501 6	Snagbark Hickory American ⊟m	Ulmus americana	Good	INVASIVE R	т - Ұ -	-1						
1403 20 Cottonw ood	Populus deltoides	Fair	×1	INVASIVE	R ¥	-	1502 11	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good	INVASIVE R	¥ -]						
1404 12 Silver Maple 1405 8 Shaphark Hickory	Acer saccharinum	Good					1503 9 1504 01	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good	INVASIVE R	¥ -	-						
1406 16 Cottonw ood	Populus deltoides	Fair		INVASIVE	R ¥		1504 21 1505 9	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good	INVASIVE R	 ¥ -							
1407 16 Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	Good		INVASIVE	R ¥	-	1506 25	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good	INVASIVE R	¥ -]						
1408 11 Silver Maple 1409 13 Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good	×1	INVASIVE	R ¥ R ¥	-	1507 9 1508 26	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good X2	INVASIVE R	¥ - ¥							
1410 6 Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good		INVASIVE	R Y		1509 8	American 目m		Good	INVASIVE R	¥							
1411 6 Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Good		INVASIVE	R ¥	-	1510 12	Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Fair	WOODLAND R	¥ REPLACE	_						
1412 6 Silver Maple 1413 33 Cottonwood	Acer saccharinum	Good	×1	INVASIVE	R Y	-	1511 13 1512 21	Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	Good v1	WOODLAND R	Y REPLACE							
			. .		· · · ·		<u>1513</u> 19	Cottonw ood	Populus deltoides	Good	INVASIVE R	¥ -	-1						

	
PEA JOB NO.	2018-028
P.M.	JBT
DN.	CAL
DES.	LAW
DRAWING NUMBER	::
T-1.	1

TREE INVENTORY

DRAWING TITLE

ORIGINAL ISSUE DATE: JANUARY 7, 2025

REVISIONS REV PER REVIEW - 01/10/25 04/30/25









TE OF MIC LYNN A. WHIPPLE LANDSCAPE ARCHIT

NOTE: A LINE STRIKE OUT INDICATES TREE TO BE REMOVED





CMD ALL CARBON MONOXIDE DEVICE MAY BE BATTERY - POWERED, PLUG-IN OR WITHOUT BATTERY BACKUP, WIRED INTO THE DWELLING'S AC POWER LINE WITH SECONDARY BATTERY BACKUP, OR CONNECTED TO A SYSTEM BY MEANS OF A CONTROL PANEL. PER CODE. (ENTIRE HOME TO BE UPDATED)

NOTE:

MINIMUM HEADER SIZE TO BE (2) 2X10'S FOR OPENINGS UP TO SIX FOOT IN LENGTH UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

PLACE UNTIL DECK OR LANDING IS

CONSTRUCTED OUTSIDE DOOR.



Architects/Designers

SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

1,951 SQ FT

5

NOTE: TRUSS DRAWINGS TO BE SUBMITTED TO ARCHITECT FOR REVIEW PRIOR TO FABRICATION.

LEGEND

S ALL NEW SMOKE DETECTOR TO BE HARDWIRED & INTERLINKED TO OTHER DETECTORS

NOTE: IN DWELLING UNITS, WHERE THE OPENING OF AN OPERABLE WINDOW IS LOCATED MORE THAN 72 INCHES ABOVE THE FINISHED GRADE OR SURFACE BELOW, THE LOWEST PART OF THE CLEAR OPENING OF THE WINDOW SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 24 INCHES ABOVE THE FINISHED FLOOR OF THE ROOM IN WHICH THE WINDOW IS LOCATED. GLAZING BETWEEN THE FLOOR AND 24 INCHES SHALL BE FIXED OR HAVE OPENINGS THROUGH WHICH A 4-INCH-DIAMETER SPHERE CANNOT PASS. WINDOWS WILL HAVE A FALL PREVENTION DEVICE AND OPERATION FOR EMERGENCY ESCAPE. PER. (MRC 2015, SECTION R312)

CMD ALL CARBON MONOXIDE DEVICE MAY BE BATTERY- POWERED, PLUG-IN OR WITHOUT BATTERY BACKUP, WIRED INTO THE DWELLING'S AC POWER LINE WITH SECONDARY BATTERY BACKUP, OR CONNECTED TO A SYSTEM BY MEANS OF A CONTROL PANEL. PER CODE. (ENTIRE HOME TO BE UPDATED)

MICHIGAN RESIDENTIAL CODE 2015 (MRC 2015)

NOTE:

CONTRACTOR TO MEET OR EXCEED THE INSULATION CODE MINIMUMS PER CHAPTER II MRC 2015

NOTE:

CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE FIRE STOPPING PER MRC 2015 CODE

NOTE: CONTRACTOR TO EXTEND ALL PIPE VENTS & AIR INTAKES TO BACK SIDE OF HOUSE.

NOTE:

CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSION PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.

NOTE:

PROVIDE SOLID BRIDGING AT JOIST END @ EVERY OTHER JOIST SPACE. TYP.

NOTE:

USE 2×6 STUDS IN GARAGE IF PLATE HEIGHT EXCEEDS IO'-O" DUE TO GRADE CONDITIONS.

NOTE: PROVIDE SELF-ILLUMINATED

SWITCH AT TOP AND BOTTOM OF EACH STAIR CONTROLLING LIGHT FOR THAT STAIR NOTE:

PROVIDE PIN OR BLOCKING TO

PREVENT DOORS TO OPEN BEYOND 4". PIN OR BLOCK TO REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL DECK OR LANDING IS CONSTRUCTED OUTSIDE DOOR.

NOTE:

MINIMUM HEADER SIZE TO BE (2) 2XIO'S FOR OPENINGS UP TO SIX FOOT IN LENGTH UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.



SECOND FLOOR PLAN SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

1,598 SQ FT

Moiseev/Gordon Associates, Inc. 4351 Delemere Court Royal Oak, MI 48073

www.mga-architects.net

1/4" = 1'-0"

EI

- END @ EVERY OTHER JOIST 5 PROVIDE SELF-ILLUMINATED SWITCH AT TOP & BOTTOM OF EACH STAIR. CONTROLLING LIGHT FOR THAT STAIR
- 6 PROVIDE PIN OR BLOCKING TO PREVENT DOORS TO OPEN BEYOND 4". PIN OR BLOCK TO REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL DECK OR LANDING IS CONSTRUCTED OUTSIDE OF DOOR.
- 7 MINIMUM HEADER SIZE TO BE (2) 2X10'S FOR OPENINGS UP TO SIX FOOT IN LENGTH UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. 8 USE 2x6 WOOD STUDS IN GARAGE IF
- PLATE HEIGHT EXCEEDS 10'-0" DUE TO GRADE CONDITIONS. 9 CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL
- DIMENSION PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. 10 CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY WINDOW MANUFACTURER BEFORE ORDERING NEW WINDOWS
- 11 PROVIDE PIN OR BLOCKING TO PREVENT DOORS TO OPEN BEYOND 4". PIN OR BLOCK TO REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL DECK OR LANDING IS CONSTRUCTED OUTSIDE OF DOOR.

Moiseev/Gordon Associates, Inc.

Sheet Number:

Architects/Designers