

500 West Big Beaver Troy, MI 48084 troymi.gov

CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM

Date: April 7, 2025

- To: Frank Nastasi, City Manager
- From: Robert J. Bruner, Deputy City Manager Robert C. Maleszyk, Chief Financial Officer Kyle Vieth, Controller Kurt Bovensiep, Public Works Director G. Scott Finlay, City Engineer

Subject: Authorization for Grant Submittal – Local Bridge Program

<u>History</u>

In 2019, the federal government used the general fund to supplement highway infrastructure funding. Michigan received \$93.5 million of the \$2.5 billion, from the Highway Infrastructure Program. The Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) has established a Local Bridge Bundling Program, utilizing the local share of the Fiscal Year Highway Infrastructure Program (HIP) to improve critical bridges in Michigan.

This is an opportunity to fund some bridges that might not otherwise find funding. Over the last decade, local agencies have been able to maintain the percentage of bridges in good or fair condition. However, recently, conditions have started to decline, and local agencies have not been able to make a significant reduction in the number of bridges in serious or critical condition. At the current condition level, Michigan lags behind its neighboring Great Lakes States and the national average in the percentage of good or fair bridges. Without increased funding, statistical forecasts predict bridge conditions will continue to decline.

The City of Troy engages OHM Advisors, a current Engineering Consultant, to perform all of the City's annual bridge inspections. The Beach Road mill and overlay project in 2023 revealed significant top deck delamination, which was repaired as part of the project. The repairs are a temporary measure until funding can be secured to replace the beams and top deck

Attached is the application prepared by OHM Advisors and reviewed by City Staff for the pursuit of Local Bridge Funding.

Financial

The Local Bridge Program requires a 5% match from the submitting agency. Currently, there is no requirement to obligate the necessary funds. City Staff will include funding during the appropriate budget year. Based on the bridge cost estimate worksheet, the total cost is \$925,000, and the City's share would be \$46,250.



500 West Big Beaver Troy, MI 48084 troymi.gov

CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM

Recommendation

City Staff recommends authorization to submit a grant application through the Michigan Department of Transportation Local Bridge Program to pursue funding for the replacement of the beams and top deck, at an estimated cost of \$925,000. The City of Troy will be responsible for 5% of this amount, or \$46,250.

STR 13611 BRIDGE SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT					
Facility	Latitude / Longitude	MDOT Structure ID	Structure Condition		
BEACH ROAD	42.581 / -83.1976	634679200079B01	Fair Condition(5)		
Feature	Length / Width / Spans	Owner			
ROUGE RIVER	24 / 39.9 / 1	City: TROY(6792)			
Location	Built / Recon. / Paint / Ovly.	TSC	Operational Status		
0.3 MI N OF WATTLES	1981 / / / 2012	Oakland(23)	A Open, no restriction(A)		
Region / County	Material / Design	Last NBI Inspection	Scour Evaluation		
Metro(7) / Oakland(63)	5 Prestressed Concrete / 05 Box Bm/Gird- Multiple	04/20/2023 / O85G	5 Stable w/in footing		

NBI INSPECTION			O85G
Inspector Name	Agency / Company Name	Insp. Freq.	Insp. Date
Adam Rychwalski	Orchard, Hiltz & McCliment Inc	24	04/20/2023

GENERAL NOTES

2023 inspection assisted by Nick Aukerman.

Concrete Box Beam w/ Timber Railings retrofitted with Concrete Barrier on the east side with Sidewalk and guardrail along the west side. Road construction happening at time of 2023 inspection. HMA milled through bridge, approaches, and beyond.

Repairs made to top of beams during road construction project in spring 2023 while road was closed. Approximately 75% of the top flanges were repaired. repairs included chipping deteriorated concrete and re-casting the top flanges of the adjacent box beams. Roadway was repaved after beam repairs. Recommend applying for superstructure replacement at next call for projects.

DECK

	04/19	04/21	04/23	
1. Surface (SIA-58A)	6	6	6	Transverse crack at both the north and south abutments. Cracks partially sealed. Longitudinal crack at centerline at cold joint partially sealed with 2'x1' pothole at midspan filled with cold patch. Spider web cracking in northwest quadrant mostly unsealed. Some random cracking at isolated locations. HMA surface milled at time of 2023 inspection. Potholes appear to be from deck deterioration. See deck comments for more detail. (EDIT 8-31-23 - see general comments on repairs made after inspection, surface repaved after repairs) (04/23) Transverse crack at both the north and south abutments. Cracks partially sealed. Longitudinal crack at centerline at cold joint partially sealed with 2'x1' pothole at midspan filled with cold patch. Spider web cracking in northwest quadrant mostly unsealed. Some random cracking at isolated locations. (04/21) Transverse crack at both the north and south abutments. Cracks sealed. Longitudinal crack at centerline at cold joint partially sealed with 2'x1' pothole at midspan filled with cold patch. Spider web cracking in northwest quadrant mostly unsealed. Some random cracking at isolated locations. (04/21) Transverse crack at both the north and south abutments. Cracks sealed. Longitudinal crack at centerline at cold joint partially sealed with 2'x1' pothole at midspan. Spider web cracking in northwest quadrant mostly unsealed (04/19)
2. Expansion Joints	Ν	Ν	Ν	(04/23) (04/21) (04/19)
3. Other Joints	Ν	Ν	Ν	(04/23) (04/21) (04/19)
4. Railings	7	7	6	Jersey barrier on east side with vertical cracks. Guardrail on west side with no issues. West concrete barrier posts cracking with exposed rebar on north end. (04/23) Jersey barrier on east side with vertical cracks. Guardrail on west side with no issues. (04/21) Jersey barrier on east side with vertical cracks. Guardrail on west side with no issues. (04/19)
5. Sidewalks or Curbs	7	7		Minor cracking. Some settling at ends in approaches. (04/23) Minor cracking. Some settling at ends in approaches creating potential trip hazard. (04/21) Minor cracking. Some settling at ends in approaches creating potential trip hazard. (04/19)
6. Deck Bottom Surface (SIA-58B)	Ν	Ν		Side-by-side box beams. See deck and stringer comments. (04/23) Side-by-side box beams. See deck and superstructure comments. (04/21) Side-by-side box beams. See deck and superstructure comments. (04/19)

STR 13611				BRIDGE SAFETY INS	PECTION REPORT	
Facility			Latitu	ide / Longitude	MDOT Structure ID	Structure Condition
BEACH ROAD			42.58	1 / -83.1976	634679200079B01	Fair Condition(5)
Feature			Leng	th / Width / Spans	Owner	
ROUGE RIVER			24 /	39.9 / 1	City: TROY(6792)	
Location			Built	/ Recon. / Paint / Ovly.	TSC	Operational Status
0.3 MI N OF WATTLE	S		1981	/ / /2012	Oakland(23)	A Open, no restriction(A)
Region / County			Mate	rial / Design	Last NBI Inspection	Scour Evaluation
Metro(7) / Oakland(6	3)			stressed Concrete / 05 Bm/Gird- Multiple	04/20/2023 / O85G	5 Stable w/in footing
7. Deck (SIA-58)	6	6	5	see surface and stringer of and at north reference line general comments on rep rating remains at fair due Surface in fair condition a see surface and superstru	comments. Large spalls in t e. Rebar exposed in tops o airs made after inspection, to beam condition at the tir nd stringers in fair condition ucture comments. (04/21) nd stringers in good condit	n. No deck on side-by-side box beams top of beams at midspan of west curbline f beams 3 & 4 west. (EDIT 8-31-23 - see surface repaved after repairs. Deck me of inspection and construction) (04/23 n. No deck on side-by-side box beams ion. No deck on side-by-side box beams
8. Drainage				Two catch basins along se Positive drainage present Two catch basins along se	outh approach. (04/23) . Road crowns on bridge a outh approach. (04/21) . Road crowns on bridge a	nd slopes away on north and south side. nd slopes away on north and south side. nd slopes away on north and south side.
SUPERSTRUCTUR	E					
		04/04	0.4/00			
	04/19	04/21	04/23			
9. Stringer (SIA-59)	6	6	5	between boxes. East fast growing from it. Beam 7W middle half delaminated 1 areas. Leaking with stalad comments. (EDIT 8-31-23 change to bottom flange of 13 adjacent box beams. E between boxes. Fascia p growing from it. Beam 7W delamination at north end stalactites at most joints (Evidence of previous drait post tensioning duct grout has delamination 5' long b	cia post tensioning duct gro / has delamination 3/4 leng ' wide. Beams 5W & 7W has cities at most joints. For de 8 - see general comments of condition) (04/23) Evidence of previous draina ost tensioning duct grout p / has delamination 5' long b . Beam 5W middle third de 04/21) nage from box weep holes t pocket is spalled and has by 1' wide at mid span of jo	age from box weep holes and from but pocket is spalled and has vegetation th along the north end 1' wide. Beam 5W ave 2 broken strands at delamination etails on top of beams see deck on repairs made after inspection. No age from box weep holes and from ocket is spalled and has vegetation by 1' wide at mid span of joint 6W and laminated 1' wide. Leaking with and from between boxes. North fascia vegetation growing from it. Beam 7W int 6W and delamination at north end. with stalactites at most joints (04/19)
10. Paint (SIA-59A)	Ν	Ν	Ν	(04/23) (04/21) (04/19)		
11. Section Loss	Ν	Ν	Ν	(04/23) (04/21) (04/19)		
12. Bearings	7	7	7	Functioning as intended.	Some debris and leaching	(at curb lines) and staining. (04/23) (at curb lines) and staining. (04/21) (at curb lines) and staining. (04/19)
SUBSTRUCTURE					-	· · ·
CODOTINO			0.11			
	04/19	04/21	04/23			
13. Abutments (SIA-60)	7	7	7	at all abutment/wingwall in abutment and wingwall or beam 7W bearing area. (0 Some leaching stains from	nterfaces and no joint seale SW quadrant trimmed bu 04/23) n leakage from backwall.	A few minor hairline cracks. Separate ~2 er in place. Tree growing between ut not removed. Cracking south abutment A few minor hairline cracks. Separate ~2 er in place. Tree growing between

Some leaching stains from leakage from backwall. A few minor hairline cracks. Separate ~2" at all abutment/wingwall interfaces and no joint sealer in place. Tree growing between abutment and wingwall on SW quadrant trimmed but not removed. (04/21) Some leaching stains from leakage from backwall. Area was dry at the time of inspections. A few minor hairline cracks. Separate ~2" at all abutment/wingwall interfaces and no joint sealer in place. Tree growing between abutment and wingwall on SW quadrant trimmed but not removed. (04/21)

STR 13611				BRIDGE SAFETY INSI	PECTION REPORT		
Facility BEACH ROAD Feature	·		42.58	ude / Longitude 31 / -83.1976 gth / Width / Spans	MDOT Structure ID 634679200079B01 Owner	Structure ConditionFair Condition(5)	
ROUGE RIVER			24 /	39.9 / 1	City: TROY(6792)		
Location			Built	/ Recon. / Paint / Ovly.	TSC	Operational Status	
0.3 MI N OF WATTLES	6		1981	/ / / 2012	Oakland(23)	A Open, no restriction(A)	
Region / County				erial / Design	Last NBI Inspection	Scour Evaluation	
Metro(7) / Oakland(63	3)			estressed Concrete / 05 Bm/Gird- Multiple	04/20/2023 / O85G	5 Stable w/in footing	
14. Piers (SIA-60)	Ν	Ν	N	(04/23) (04/21) (04/19)			
15. Slope Protection	Ν	Ν	Ν	(04/23) (04/21) (04/19)			
16. Channel (SIA-61)	4	4	4	on east side have dammed water flow. Channel turns s Channel meanders with se on east side have dammed water flow. Channel turns s Channel meanders with se on east side have dammed	I the direct flow through the sharply south on west side veral grass/mud islands or the direct flow through the sharply south on west side veral grass/mud islands or I the direct flow through the	the east. Several fallen trees/branches e channel and creating new paths for the of bridge. Poor alignment. (04/23) in the east. Several fallen trees/branches e channel and creating new paths for the of bridge. Poor alignment. (04/21) in the east. Several fallen trees/branches e channel and creating new paths for the of bridge. Poor alignment. (04/19)	
17. Scour Inspection	5	5	5	Flow is deeper along south abutment down to riparap. Material buildup along north abutment. No scour noted noted but uneven channel. Steel sheet piling along north banks both east and west sides. Silted in riprap along south abutment (04/23) Flow is deeper along south abutment down to riparap. Material buildup along north abutment. No scour noted noted but uneven channel. Steel sheet piling along north banks both east and west sides. Silted in riprap along south abutment (04/21) Flow is deeper along south abutment down to riparap. Material buildup along north abutment. No scour noted noted but uneven channel. Steel sheet piling along north banks both east and west sides. Silted in riprap along south abutment (04/21)			
APPROACH							
	04/19	04/21	04/23	3			
18. Approach Pavement	7	7	7	HMA approaches milled at HMA Approaches with hair HMA Approaches with hair	line cracks. Most cracks a	re sealed. (04/21)	
19. Approach Shoulders Sidewalks	7	7	7	hazard. Sidewalk has a fe Sidewalk approach slabs h hazard. Sidewalk has a fe	w cracks. HMA shoulders in have heaved on one side a w cracks. HMA shoulders have heaved on one side a	nd settled on the other, creating a trip	
20. Approach						rust and some holes in the sheets.	
Slopes				South bank is stable. (04/2 Steel sheet piling on north		rust and some holes in the sheets.	
				South bank is stable. (04/2 Steel sheet piling on north South bank is stable. (04/1	bank, but has heavy pack	rust and some holes in the sheets.	
21. Utilities				west side and cross (east/v road. (04/23) Two storm catch basins on west side and cross (east/v road. (04/21) Two storm catch basins on	west) just south of bridge. \ south side approach. Utili west) just south of bridge. \ south side approach. Utili	ty poles/lines running north/south along Water main running along east side of ty poles/lines running north/south along Water main running along east side of ty poles/lines running north/south along Water main running along east side of	

STR 13611	BRIDGE SAFETY	INSPECTION REPORT	
Facility	Latitude / Longitude	MDOT Structure ID	Structure Condition
BEACH ROAD	42.581 / -83.1976	634679200079B01	Fair Condition(5)
Feature	Length / Width / Spans	Owner	
ROUGE RIVER	24 / 39.9 / 1	City: TROY(6792)	
Location	Built / Recon. / Paint / Ovly	/. TSC	Operational Status
0.3 MI N OF WATTLES	1981 / / / 2012	Oakland(23)	A Open, no restriction(A)
Region / County	Material / Design	Last NBI Inspection	Scour Evaluation
Metro(7) / Oakland(63)	5 Prestressed Concrete / 0 Box Bm/Gird- Multiple	5 04/20/2023 / O85G	5 Stable w/in footing
22. Drainage Culverts	36" outlet pipe in NW below water line. Wel broken off in some sp 12" outlet pipe in SW 36" outlet pipe in NW below water line. Wel broken off in some sp 12" outlet pipe in SW 36" outlet pipe in NW below water line. Wel	wingwall w/ stones (debris on b ded rebar screen on 36" outlet, s ots) at water line. (04/23) wingwall w/ some sediment buil wingwall w/ stones (debris on b ded rebar screen on 36" outlet, s ots) at water line. (04/21) wingwall w/ some sediment buil wingwall w/ stones (debris on b	d up right at outlet. Invert at waterline. ottom of pipe). Invert approx. halfway steel is severely corroded (thin and d up right at outlet. Invert at waterline. ottom of pipe). Invert approx. halfway steel is severely corroded (thin and d up right at outlet. Invert at waterline. ottom of pipe). Invert approx. halfway steel is severely corroded (thin and
MISCELLANEOUS			
Guard Rail		Other Items	
Item	Rating	Item	Rating
36A. Bridge Railings	1	71. Water Adequacy	7
36B. Transitions	1	72. Approach Alignment	7
36C. Approach Guardrail	1	Temporary Support	0 No Temporary Supports
36D. Approach Guardrail Ends	0	High Load Hit (M)	No
		Special Insp. Equipment	2
		Underwater Insp. Method	1
False Decking (Timber) Removed	I to Complete Inspection	N/A - No False Decking	
Critical Feature Inspections (S	SIA-92)		
	Freq Date		

92A. Fracture Critical92B. Underwater92C. Other Special

92D. Fatigue Sensitive

STR 13611	S		Y AND APPRAISA		
Facility		de / Longitude	MDOT Structure ID	Structure Condition	
BEACH ROAD		1 / -83.1976	634679200079B01	Fair Condition(5)	
Feature	-	h / Width / Spans	Owner		
ROUGE RIVER	24 / 3	39.9 / 1	City: TROY(6792)		
Location	Built /	Recon. / Paint / Ovly.	TSC	Operational Status	
0.3 MI N OF WATTLES	1981	/ / /2012	Oakland(23)	A Open, no restriction(A	4)
Region / County	Mater	ial / Design	Last NBI Inspection	Scour Evaluation	
Metro(7) / Oakland(63)	5 Pres	stressed Concrete / 05	04/20/2023 / O85G	5 Stable w/in footing	
		m/Gird- Multiple			
Bridge History, Type,	Materials	Route Carried By Strue	cture <u>(ON Record)</u>	Route Under Structure (UN	DER Record)
27 - Year Built	1981	5A - Record Type	1	5A - Record Type	
106 - Year Reconstructed		5B - Route Signing	5	5B - Route Signing	
202 - Year Painted	2012	5C - Level of Service	1	5C - Level of Service	
203 - Year Overlay	2012 5 05	5D - Route Number	00000	5D - Route Number	
43 - Main Span Bridge Type 44 - Appr Span Bridge Type	5 05	5E - Direction Suffix 10L - Best 3m Unclr-Lt	0	5E - Direction Suffix 10L - Best 3m Unclr-Lt	
77 - Steel Type	0	10R - Best 3m Unclr-Rt	99 99	10R - Best 3m Unclr-Rt	
78 - Paint Type	0	PR Number	00 00	PR Number	I
79 - Rail Type	1	Control Section		Control Section	
80 - Post Type	1	11 - Mile Point	1.14	11 - Mile Point	
107 - Deck Type	2	12 - Base Highway Network		12 - Base Highway Network	
108A - Wearing Surface	6	13 - LRS Route-Subroute	0000006263 01	13 - LRS Route-Subroute	
108B - Membrane	2	19 - Detour Length	1	19 - Detour Length	
108C - Deck Protection	0	20 - Toll Facility	3	20 - Toll Facility	
Structure Dimens	ions	26 - Functional Class 28A - Lanes On	<u>19</u> 2	26 - Functional Class 28B - Lanes Under	
34 - Skew	0	29 - ADT	3500	29 - ADT	
35 - Struct Flared	N	30 - Year of ADT	1981	30 - Year of ADT	
45 - Num Main Spans	1	32 - Appr Roadway Width	24	42B - Service Type Under	5
46 - Num Apprs Spans	0 22	32A/B - Ap Pvt Type/Width	5 24.02	47L - Left Horizontal Clear	
48 - Max Span Length 49 - Structure Length	24	42A - Service Type On	5	47R - Right Horizontal Clear	
50A - Width Left Curb/SW	4.8	47L - Left Horizontal Clear	0	54A - Left Feature	
50B - Width Right Curb/SW	7.8	47R - Right Horizontal Clear		54B - Left Underclearance	99 99
33 - Median	0	53 - Min Vert Clr Ov Deck	99 99	54C - Right Feature	99 99
51 - Width Curb to Curb	24	100 - STRAHNET 102 - Traffic Direct	0 2	54D - Right Clearance Under Clearance Year	99 99
52 - Width Out to Out	39.9	109 - Truck %	0	55A - Reference Feature	N
112 - NBIS Length	Υ	110 - Truck Network	0	55B - Right Horiz Clearance	
Inspection Dat	a	114 - Future ADT	4025	56 - Left Horiz Clearance	
90 - Inspection Date	04/20/2023	115 - Year Future ADT	2001	100 - STRAHNET	
91 - Inspection Freq	24	Freeway	0	102 - Traffic Direct	
92A - Frac Crit Req/Freq	N	Structure Ap	praisal	109 - Truck %	
93A - Frac Crit Insp Date		36A - Bridge Railing	1	110 - Truck Network	
92B - Und Water Req/Freq	N	36B - Rail Transition	1	114 - Future ADT	
93B - Und Water Insp Date 92C - Oth Spec Insp Req/Freq	N	36C - Approach Rail	1	115 - Year Future ADT Freeway	
93C - Oth Spec Insp Red/Fred 93C - Oth Spec Insp Date		36D - Rail Termination	0	•	
92D - Fatigue Reg/Freg	N	67 - Structure Evaluation	5	Proposed Improve	ments
93D - Fatigue Insp Date		68 - Deck Geometry	2	75 - Type of Work	
176A - Und Water Insp Method	1	69 - Underclearance 71 - Waterway Adequacy	N 7	76 - Length of Improvement94 - Bridge Cost	
58 - Deck Rating	5	72 - Approach Alignment	7	95 - Roadway Cost	
58A/B - Deck Surface/Bottom	6	103 - Temporary Structure	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	96 - Total Cost	
59 - Superstructure Rating	5	113 - Scour Criticality	5	97 - Year of Cost Estimate	
59A - Paint Rating 60 - Substructure Rating	N 7	Miscelland		Load Rating and Po	ostina
61 - Channel Rating	4	37 - Historical Significance	5	31 - Design Load	6
62 - Culvert Rating	N	98A - Border Bridge State	Ť	41 - Open, Posted, Closed	A
Navigation Dat		98B - Border Bridge %		63 - Fed Oper Rtg Method	6
•		101 - Parallel Structure	Ν	64F - Fed Oper Rtg Load	3.09
38 - Navigation Control39 - Vertical Clearance	0	EPA ID		64MA - Mich Oper Rtg Method	6
40 - Horizontal Clearance	0	Stay in Place Forms		64MB - Mich Oper Rtg	3.04
111 - Pier Protection		143 - Pin & Hanger Code		64MC - Mich Oper Truck	18
116 - Lift Brdg Vert Clear	0	148 - No. of Pin & Hangers		65 - Inv Rtg Method	6 1.85
-	_			66 - Inventory Load 70 - Posting	5
				141 - Posted Loading	
				193 - Overload Class	

STR 13611	WORK RECOM		
Facility	Latitude / Longitude	MDOT Structure ID	Structure Condition
BEACH ROAD	42.581 / -83.1976	634679200079B01	Fair Condition(5)
Feature	Length / Width / Spans	Owner	
ROUGE RIVER	24 / 39.9 / 1	City: TROY(6792)	
Location	Built / Recon. / Paint / Ovly.	TSC	Operational Status
0.3 MI N OF WATTLES	1981 / / / 2012	Oakland(23)	A Open, no restriction(A)
Region / County	Material / Design	Last NBI Inspection	Scour Evaluation
Metro(7) / Oakland(63)	5 Prestressed Concrete / 05 Box Bm/Gird- Multiple	04/20/2023 / O85G	5 Stable w/in footing

WORK RECOMMENDATIONS

WORK RECOMMENDATIONS			0	85G
Inspector Name	Agency / Company Name	Insp. F	req. Insp. Date	
Adam Rychwalski	Orchard, Hiltz & McCliment	Inc 24	04/20/2023	
RECOMMENDATIONS & ACTION	NITEMS			
Recommendation Type	Priority	C	Description	
Super Repl.	М	Superstructure deteriorating. Replacement in the next few years recommended.		

<u>1a. Situation Map</u>



Image taken from Google Earth

2b. Detour Map



Image taken from Google Earth

Beach Rd to Long Lake Rd To N Adams Rd To Wattles Rd To Beach Rd Detour: 3.0 miles (Note: Beach Rd is a NFC major collector and detour utilizes NFC major collector or greater road classifications.)

3. Photographs



Typical Approach



Typical Surface



Typical Elevation



Typical Abutment



Top Flange of Box Beam Deterioration



Top Flange of Box Beam Deterioration



Top Flange of Box Beam Deterioration



Top Flange of Box Beam Deterioration



Typical Leaching Joint Between Box Beams



Beam 5W Cracking



Beam 7W Delamination and Broken Strand

4. Application Requirements for Beach Rd over Rouge River

A. Local Agency Contact Person

Scott Finlay - City Engineer City of Troy 500 W. Big Beaver Rd Troy, MI 48084

B. The purpose of this application is for the rehabilitation of the bridge carrying Beach Road over Rouge River. Funding requested for a superstructure replacement, approach roadway and guardrail, and maintenance of traffic.

C. Economic Importance of the Structure

This structure is located in a residential area of Troy 0.33 miles north of Wattles Road. Beach Road Park is 0.33 miles north of the bridge.

Although there are no schools in the immediate area of the bridge, Beach Road is utilized by the school district for bussing purposes. If bus traffic is not able to cross the bridge it will put a financial burden on the already tight school budgets because of increased length of bus routes. Emergency vehicles would also be impacted by the closing as well, increasing response times. The bridge is also used by local residents to access Beach Road Park and the main roads of the area.

The structure is an adjacent prestressed concrete box beam structure with 1 24' span. The bridge is overall in fair condition and rated a 5. During a road rehabilitation in 2023 where the HMA surface was replaced, it was found that 75% of the top flanges of the beams had deteriorated and crumbled away. The unsound concrete was removed and recast. However, this is considered a temporary fix and only providing cover to the existing rebar in the beams. Additionally, beam 7W has a delamination ³/₄ of the length along the north end that is 1' wide. Beams 5W and 7W have 2 broken strands at delaminated areas. There is no structural deck, and the surface is newly paved HMA. The abutments are in good condition and rated a 7. There are small hairline cracks, cracking at south abutment beam 7W bearing area, some leaching stains, and a 2" gap between all abutment and wingwall interfaces with no joint sealer.

The recommended repair for the bridge is a superstructure replacement. The condition of the box beams warrants replacement due to the broken strands and deteriorated top flanges of the beams. Broken strands compromise the structural integrity and load carrying capacity of the beams. The deteriorated top flange of the beams has a temporary concrete patch with an unknown service life. The only way to repair the structure is to replace the beams. The substructure is in good condition, so a full replacement is not needed. The approaches should be replaced as well to provide a smooth transition to the

bridge deck from the approach. A smooth transition is important as it will prevent excess impact loads, which can result in damage to the superstructure of the bridge.

D. If there is a current detour, what does it affect?

Currently the bridge is open to traffic and there is no detour.

E. If the structure were to be closed, what would the detour affect?

If the structure were to be closed, the detour would affect the residents in the area. The school system, with its already tight budget, will have cost increases because of the need to reroute its buses and extend routes. Emergency vehicles would have to take a longer route to reach emergencies in the area. As seconds matters in an emergency, this could become a public safety issue. Access to Beach Road Park would be impacted as well by the closure of the bridge.

F. The structure is not currently closed.

G. Maintenance of the Structure

The HMA surface was replaced in 2023 as part of a road rehabilitation job. During the job, 75% of the top flanges of the box beams were found to have deteriorated. The deteriorated concrete was removed and the top flanges were recast.

5. Estimated Rehabilitation Costs

Sup	Superstructure Replacement and Approach Work				
А.	Approach Construction	\$ 401,000.00			
В.	Structure Construction	\$ 524,000.00			
	Total (A & B)	\$ 925,000.00			

For a breakdown of construction costs see Appendix A.

6. Priority List

1. Beach Rd over Rouge River

7. Resolution

The resolution is attached in Appendix B.

8. Previous Applications

It is understood that all previous applications have been discarded and that this application will be used to select funding.

APPENDIX A

Exhibit 4 - Cost Estimating Worksheet

0005	•		BRIDGE COST ESTIMATE WO	DKOUEET			
2025						5.4 7 5	REV. 02/6/2024
OWNER: TROY	FISCAL Y	EAR: 2028	- CPM, REHAB, REPLAC	Out to Out	Curb to Curb	DATE: ENGINEER:	3/6/2025 AJR
REGION: Metro	TIBOAL	2020	LENGTH		WIDTH	ENGINEER.	
TSC: Oakland	PR: 626301	MP: 1.138	24.0	39.9	24.0	STRUCTURE ID:	13611
LOCATION		over ROUGE RIVE	2			BRIDGE ID:	N/A
PRIMARY WORK ACTIVITY OTHER WORK	Superstructure Replaceme		DECK AREA: CLEAR ROADWAY:		SFT SFT	STR. TYPE: Pres Box	stressed Concrete Beam or Girders - Mu
		NDOT D :					
WORK AC			ge Design Guides ed on design standards and hydraulic requirements)	QUANTITY	UNIT	UNIT COST	TOTAL
Single or Multiple Spans, G	rade Separation	(add demo	o, approach, MOT)		SFT	\$435.00 /SFT	
Single Span, Over Water	Length < 10		o, approach, MOT)		SFT	\$525.00 /SFT	
Multiple Spans, Over Water Precast Culvert	Length > 10 Length < 40		o, approach, MOT) o, approach, MOT)		SFT SFT	\$470.00 /SFT \$565.00 /SFT	
	Longar				011	\$000.00 /OI 1	
New Superstructure, Grade New Superstructure, Over New Superstructure, Over N			st deck/super; add MOT & approach) st deck/super; add MOT & approach)	1,008.0	SFT SFT	\$310.00 /SFT \$315.00 /SFT	\$317,520.00
WIDENING Structure Widening,	ft	(incl. deck/super	/sub widening, add approach transition)		SFT	\$630.00/SFT	
NEW DECK		(intell decircuper		1 1	0.11	\$000.00 / 01 1	
New Bridge Deck & Barrier		(incl. remove exi	st deck/railing, add approach, MOT)		SFT	\$150.00 /SFT	
DEMOLITION Entire Structure, Grade Sep	paration			1	SFT	\$75.00 /SFT	
Entire Structure, Over Wate					SFT	\$95.00 /SFT	
DECK REPAIR / TREATMENTS							
Bridge Railing Replacemen		(incl. removal an			FT	\$750.00 /FT	
Concrete Brush Block / Cur Concrete Barrier Patch	n Falch		ing and formwork) ing and formwork)		FT SFT	\$29.00 /FT \$85.00 /SFT	
Concrete Deck Patch		(incl. hand chipp			SFT	\$68.00 /SFT	
Deep Overlay		(incl. joint repl &			SFT	\$46.00 /SFT	
Epoxy Overlay	1	(incl. warranty)	la a al-at-mania al-ad)		SYD	\$48.00 /SYD	
Expansion Joint Gland Rep Expansion Joint Replacement		(remove and rep (incl. removal)	lace elastomeric gland)		FT FT	\$125.00 /FT \$860.00 /FT	
Full Depth Patch	511	(Incl. removal)			SFT	\$140.00 /SFT	
Healer / Sealer		(penetrates crac	ks in bridge deck)		SYD	\$30.00 /SYD	
HMA Overlay with WP men	nbrane	(E \$00/			SYD	\$60.00 /SYD	
Overlay Removal Reseal Bridge Joints		(Epoxy: \$22/syd	Latex: \$26/syd HMA: \$7/syd)		SYD FT	\$22.00 /SYD \$28.00 /FT	
Shallow Overlay		(incl. joint repl &	hydro)		SFT	\$46.00 /SFT	
SUPERSTRUCTURE REPAIR						· · · · ·	
Bearing Realignment / Rep	lacement	(incl. temporary	supports)		EA	\$6,450.00 EA	
Heat Straightening		(incl. clean and o	coat)		EA	\$57,000.00 EA	
Pack Rust Repair		(greater than 3/8			FT	\$1,150.00 /FT	
Paint - Complete		(incl. clean & coa			SFT	\$30.00 /SFT	
Paint - Partial / Spot / Zone PCI Beam End Blockout		(incl. clean & coa (incl. temporary	at - \$20k minimum)		SFT EA	\$60.00 /SFT \$7,200.00 EA	
Pin & Hanger Replacement		(incl. temporary			EA	\$17,000.00 EA	
Structural Steel Repair		(based on 6ft rep			EA	\$4,000.00 EA	
Structural Steel Repair	- Stiffener	(includes each s	de of beam)		EA	\$1,500.00 EA	
SUBSTRUCTURE REPAIR							
Substructure Patching			replace if repair area > 30%		CFT	\$360.00 /CFT	
Substructure Replacement		(incl. temporary	supports, excavation)		CFT	\$375.00 /CFT	
Substructure Horizontal Su	rface Sealer	and Structural C	teel Repair - Stiffener for ea steel beam)		SYD EA	\$75.00 /SYD \$4,000.00 EA	
Temporary Supports		(add Structural 3	iteel Repail - Stillenel for ea steel bearri)		EA	\$4,000.00 EA	
MISCELLANEOUS Articulating Concrete Block	System (ACB)				SYD	\$320.00 /SYD	
Concrete Surface Coating	Oystelli (AOD)				SYD	\$47.00 /SYD	
Culvert Cleanout					FT	\$125.00 /FT	
Epoxy Crack Injection		(structural crack			FT	\$70.00 /FT	
Metal Mesh Panels		(48" width, max	5'-6" length) ach concrete roadway exceeds 1,000ft)		SFT FT	\$28.00 /SFT \$110.00 /FT	
Pressure Relief Joint Riprap			tance around perimeter of substructure)	133.3	SYD	\$110.00 /FT \$275.00 /SYD	\$36,666.67
Silane Treatment			er for concrete surfaces)		SFT	\$7.00 /SFT	
Slope Protection Repairs			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		SYD	\$150.00 /SYD	
Other				OTDUOTU			
ROAD WORK				SIRUCIU	CUNSTI		\$354,187
Approach Pavement, 12" R	С	(incl. removal: a	d curb, gutter, guardrail) 40' ea. end	142.2	SYD	\$230.00 /SYD	\$32,711.11
Approach Curb & Gutter		(incl. removal) 4		80.0	FT	\$57.00 /FT	\$4,560.00
Guardrail Anchorage to Brid	lge	(each quadrant)		4.0	EA	\$2,540.00 /EA	\$10,160.00
Guardrail		(incl. removal) < (each quadrant)	200ft beyond reference line	100.0	EA FT	\$41.00 /FT \$3,900.00 /EA	\$4,100.00 \$15,600.00
		(beyond approad	h pavement)	4.0	LSUM	\$3,900.00 /EA \$150,000.00 LSUM	\$15,600.00
Guardrail Terminal		. ,		1.0	LSUM	\$25,000.00 LSUM	\$25,000.00
Guardrail Terminal Roadway Approach Work	Unit Cost to be determined	by Region or T	SC Traffic & Safety				
Guardrail Terminal Roadway Approach Work Utilities TRAFFIC CONTROL Part Width Construction	Unit Cost to be determined	by Region or T	SC Traffic & Safety	<u> </u>	LSUM	LSUM	
Guardrail Terminal Roadway Approach Work Utilities TRAFFIC CONTROL Part Width Construction Crossovers	Unit Cost to be determined	l by Region or T	SC Traffic & Safety		EA	/EA	
Guardrail Terminal Roadway Approach Work Utilities IRAFFIC CONTROL Part Width Construction Crossovers Temporary Traffic Signals	Unit Cost to be determined	l by Region or T	SC Traffic & Safety		EA set	/EA /set	
Guardrail Terminal Roadway Approach Work Utilities RAFFIC CONTROL Part Width Construction Crossovers Temporary Traffic Signals RR F lagging	Unit Cost to be determined	l by Region or T	SC Traffic & Safety	1.0	EA	/EA	\$30.000.00
Guardrail Terminal Roadway Approach Work Utilities IRAFFIC CONTROL Part Width Construction Crossovers Temporary Traffic Signals	Unit Cost to be determined	l by Region or T			EA set LSUM LSUM	/EA /set LSUM \$30,000.00 LSUM	
Guardrail Terminal Roadway Approach Work Utilities IRAFFIC CONTROL Part Width Construction Crossovers Temporary Traffic Signals RR Flagging Detour			RELATED F	ROAD/TRAFF	EA set LSUM LSUM	/EA /set LSUM \$30,000.00 LSUM RUCTION BUDGET	\$272,131
Guardrail Terminal Roadway Approach Work Utilities TRAFFIC CONTROL Part Width Construction Crossovers Temporary Traffic Signals RR Flagging Detour CONTINGENCY	(10% - 20%) (use higher (RELATED F	ROAD/TRAFF	EA set LSUM LSUM FIC CONSTI	/EA /set LSUM \$30,000.00 LSUM RUCTION BUDGET \$626,000.00	\$30,000.00 \$272,131 \$125,000
Guardrail Terminal Roadway Approach Work Utilities TRAFFIC CONTROL Part Width Construction Crossovers Temporary Traffic Signals RR Flagging Detour		contingency for s	RELATED F	ROAD/TRAFF	EA set LSUM LSUM	/EA /set LSUM \$30,000.00 LSUM RUCTION BUDGET	\$272,131

(Does not include PE or CE) TOTAL CONSTRUCTION BUDGET \$925,000

APPENDIX B

